

Shortfall of Domestic Resources to Eradicate Extreme Poverty

Adrien Fabre*I thank Michalis Moatsos for his help in using his data. I thank Thomas

February 1, 2024

[Link to most recent version](#)

Abstract

JEL codes: Keywords:

Contents

Contents	1
1 Introduction	2
2 Results	2
2.1 Data	2
2.2 The effect of balanced growth	3
2.3 Antipoverty caps	3
2.4 Antipoverty taxes	3
2.5 The credible potential of domestic redistribution	3
2.6 The potential of global redistribution	3
3 Discussion	3
Methods	3
Bibliography	3
List of Tables	4
List of Figures	4

*CNRS, CIREN. E-mail: adrien.fabre@cnrs.fr.

1 Introduction

Literature

2 Results

2.1 Data

The percentiles of each country's income (or consumption) are estimated by the Poverty and Inequality Platform (PIP) of the World Bank (ex-PovcalNet). This data is based on purchasing power parity (PPP) and given in constant 2017 \$. PIP aggregates the most recent household surveys (60% of countries were surveyed between 2018 and 2021).

In low-income countries (those of greatest interest to us), PIP provides data on the per capita *consumption* (rather than income). Thereby, the data does not capture services procured by the government. Another potential issue with household surveys is that the aggregate (national) consumption they imply is generally lower than the one estimated in national accounts. This discrepancy comes from measurement errors on both sides: on the one hand, household surveys suffer from underreporting of top incomes and large expenditures; on the other hand, national accounts do not properly account for informal work and tend to inflate agricultural output. Furthermore, authoritarian countries have been shown to produce inflated GDP statistics, except for countries below the GDP threshold of eligibility for preferential loans by the World Bank. While the ratio of Household Final Consumption Expenditures (HFCE) from national accounts is 44% greater than the aggregate value from household surveys, the "discrepancy ratio" is largest for middle-income countries, and is only 12% for low-income countries. Because household surveys are best suited to estimate consumption by the poorest, I use unadjusted PIP data in our baseline.

As a robustness check, I also re-derive our main results after adjusting aggregate consumption by the discrepancy ratio (computed using World Bank's data). In line with the literature, [Anand & Segal \(2015\)](#); [Lakner & Milanovic \(2013\)](#) I impute the extra consumption to the top percentile. I do not perform the rescaling on the 15% of countries with HFCE lower than its aggregate consumption from PIP, and I assume a discrepancy ratio of +12% for the 20% of countries lacking data on HFCE.

As is common in this literature, I assume that each percentile grows at the same rate between the country's survey year and 2030. I rescale incomes by the observed growth of GDP p.c. (in PPP) up to 2022 (using World Bank's data) and by different methods for

the 2022–2030 period. These methods include: extending the 2014–2019 growth trend (excluding COVID years); extending the trend for growing countries and assuming no growth when GDP p.c. has contracted between 2014 and 2019; assuming a constant growth (of either 0%, 3%, 4.5%, 6%, or 7%); using IMF forecasts (extended up to 2030 by replicating the 2026–2028 forecasted growth in 2028–2030); projecting future growth using a quadratic model that predicts the 2011–2019 growth based on the 1991–2011 growth (then applied to 2022–2030 using the 2002–2022 growth). I deviate from this two-step procedure to assess the original SDG goal, as I assume a constant growth of 7% starting in 2015.

2.2 The effect of balanced growth

2.3 Antipoverty caps

2.4 Antipoverty taxes

2.5 The credible potential of domestic redistribution

2.6 The potential of global redistribution

3 Discussion

Methods

Data quality.

Data and code availability

All data and code of as well as figures of the paper are available on github.com/bixiou/domestic_poverty_eradication

Bibliography

- S. Anand & P. Segal. Chapter 11 - The Global Distribution of Income. In A. B. Atkinson & F. Bourguignon, editors, *Handbook of Income Distribution*. Elsevier, 2015. [Link](#). [2](#)
- C. Lakner & B. Milanovic. Global Income Distribution : From the Fall of the Berlin Wall to the Great Recession. *Policy Research Working Paper*, 2013. [Link](#). [2](#)

List of Tables

List of Figures