Heterogenous Treatment Effects & Policy Views Decomposition

Countries: US, France, Denmark, Germany *October 2021*

Heterogenous Treatment Effects

Main Results

- Negative effect of Climate Treatment on right-wing respondents for support on policies, but policy treatment has positive effect on willingness to adopt climate friendly behavior.
- Both treatment have a negative effect on left-leaning people.
- Policy treatment has a negative effect on both right- and left-leaning people on thinking that rich people are responsible for CC
- Climate treatment has strong effects on the main origin/race of the country.
- Also strong effects of both treatments on retired people and older people.

| | Knowledge Index | Index main policies | Index all policies | Index willing to change | Index global policies | Trust government | Companies Responsible | Rich responsible |
|----------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| Control group mean | 0 | -0.106 | -0.067 | 0.002 | -0.028 | 0.27 | 0.721 | 0.433 |
| Center | 0.136*** | 0.419*** | 0.509*** | 0.137* | 0.333*** | 0.046 | 0.086*** | 0.032 |
| | (0.031) | (0.069) | (0.069) | (0.071) | (0.070) | (0.032) | (0.032) | (0.036) |
| Left | 0.150*** | 0.725*** | 0.915*** | 0.492*** | 0.768*** | 0.081** | 0.172*** | 0.227*** |
| | (0.034) | (0.075) | (0.075) | (0.077) | (0.077) | (0.035) | (0.034) | (0.039) |
| Right | -0.004 | 0.189** | 0.231*** | -0.074 | 0.042 | 0.023 | -0.039 | 0.002 |
| | (0.034) | (0.074) | (0.074) | (0.076) | (0.076) | (0.035) | (0.034) | (0.038) |
| Treatment Climate | 0.102** | 0.183* | 0.198** | 0.057 | 0.015 | -0.022 | 0.056 | 0.077 |
| | (0.042) | (0.094) | (0.093) | (0.096) | (0.095) | (0.044) | (0.043) | (0.048) |
| Γreatment Policy | -0.009 | 0.138 | 0.148 | -0.178* | 0.032 | 0.019 | 0.060 | 0.152*** |
| | (0.042) | (0.092) | (0.092) | (0.094) | (0.094) | (0.043) | (0.042) | (0.048) |
| Γreatment Both | 0.014 | 0.327*** | 0.263*** | 0.051 | 0.019 | 0.001 | -0.015 | 0.108** |
| | (0.039) | (0.087) | (0.087) | (0.089) | (0.089) | (0.041) | (0.040) | (0.045) |
| Center × Treatment Climate | -0.045 | -0.086 | -0.100 | 0.011 | 0.073 | 0.059 | -0.022 | -0.025 |
| | (0.046) | (0.102) | (0.102) | (0.105) | (0.104) | (0.048) | (0.047) | (0.053) |
| Left × Treatment Climate | -0.018 | -0.083 | -0.160 | -0.146 | -0.011 | 0.052 | -0.030 | -0.101* |
| | (0.052) | (0.115) | (0.114) | (0.117) | (0.117) | (0.053) | (0.053) | (0.059) |
| Right × Treatment Climate | -0.094* | -0.191* | -0.211* | -0.053 | -0.023 | 0.031 | -0.030 | -0.060 |
| | (0.051) | (0.112) | (0.112) | (0.115) | (0.115) | (0.052) | (0.051) | (0.058) |
| Center × Treatment Policy | -0.002 | 0.058 | -0.037 | 0.173* | 0.028 | 0.011 | -0.056 | -0.049 |
| , | (0.046) | (0.102) | (0.101) | (0.104) | (0.104) | (0.047) | (0.047) | (0.053) |
| Left × Treatment Policy | 0.006 | 0.024 | -0.150 | 0.067 | -0.173 | 0.002 | -0.051 | -0.149** |
| | (0.051) | (0.112) | (0.112) | (0.115) | (0.114) | (0.052) | (0.051) | (0.058) |
| Right × Treatment Policy | -0.017 | -0.090 | -0.160 | 0.224** | -0.017 | -0.004 | -0.083 | -0.136** |
| • | (0.050) | (0.111) | (0.110) | (0.113) | (0.113) | (0.052) | (0.051) | (0.057) |
| Center × Treatment Both | 0.009 | -0.106 | -0.099 | 0.008 | 0.066 | 0.001 | 0.035 | 0.019 |
| | (0.044) | (0.097) | (0.097) | (0.099) | (0.099) | (0.045) | (0.045) | (0.050) |
| Left × Treatment Both | 0.035 | -0.158 | -0.161 | -0.192* | -0.006 | 0.021 | 0.016 | -0.111** |
| | (0.049) | (0.109) | (0.109) | (0.112) | (0.111) | (0.051) | (0.050) | (0.057) |
| | | | | | | | | |

Table 1: Heterogenous Treatment Effects – Origin

| | Knowledge Index | Index main policies | Index all policies | Index willing to change | Index global policies | Trust government | Companies Responsible | Rich responsi |
|---|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| Control group mean | 0 | -0.106 | -0.067 | 0.002 | -0.028 | 0.27 | 0.721 | 0.433 |
| origin: largest group | 0.043* | -0.201*** | -0.151*** | -0.094 | -0.168*** | -0.034 | -0.038 | -0.016 |
| | (0.026) | (0.057) | (0.057) | (0.058) | (0.058) | (0.027) | (0.026) | (0.030) |
| Treatment Climate | 0.054 | -0.140* | -0.097 | -0.227*** | -0.087 | -0.003 | -0.028 | 0.029 |
| | (0.036) | (0.079) | (0.079) | (0.080) | (0.080) | (0.037) | (0.036) | (0.041) |
| Treatment Policy | 0.004 | 0.046 | -0.014 | -0.119 | -0.087 | 0.002 | -0.022 | 0.029 |
| Treatment 2 stay | (0.035) | (0.078) | (0.077) | (0.079) | (0.079) | (0.036) | (0.036) | (0.040) |
| Treatment Both | -0.008 | -0.078 | -0.080 | -0.244*** | -0.109 | 0.004 | -0.063* | 0.020 |
| Treatment Both | (0.036) | (0.079) | (0.079) | (0.081) | (0.081) | (0.037) | (0.036) | (0.041) |
| origin: largest group × Treatment Climate | 0.002 | 0.260*** | 0.197** | 0.289*** | 0.152* | 0.030 | 0.071* | 0.005 |
| origin: largest group / Treatment Commet | (0.038) | (0.085) | (0.085) | (0.087) | (0.086) | (0.040) | (0.039) | (0.044) |
| | 0.010 | 0.121 | 0.002 | 0.105 | 0.100 | 0.024 | 0.020 | 0.044 |
| origin: largest group × Treatment Policy | -0.019 (0.038) | 0.124 (0.084) | 0.093 (0.084) | 0.105 (0.086) | 0.109 (0.085) | 0.024 (0.039) | 0.030 (0.038) | 0.044 (0.043) |
| | (0.050) | | | , , | | | (, | |
| origin: largest group \times Treatment Both | 0.038 | 0.336*** | 0.271*** | 0.297*** | 0.220** | 0.018 | 0.104*** | 0.076* |
| | (0.039) | (0.085) | (0.085) | (0.087) | (0.087) | (0.040) | (0.039) | (0.044) |
| Observations | 8,010 | 8,010 | 8,010 | 8,010 | 8,010 | 8,010 | 8,010 | 8,010 |

| | Knowledge macx | muck main poneics | muck an poncies | fidex withing to change | muck global policies | Trust government | Companies Responsible | Rich responsible |
|-----------------------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|----------------------|------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| Control group mean | 0 | -0.106 | -0.067 | 0.002 | -0.028 | 0.27 | 0.721 | 0.433 |
| Retired | -0.020 | -0.017 | -0.048 | -0.079 | -0.083 | 0.025 | -0.016 | 0.031 |
| | (0.033) | (0.073) | (0.073) | (0.075) | (0.075) | (0.034) | (0.034) | (0.038) |
| Student | 0.158*** | 0.171* | 0.131 | 0.023 | 0.074 | 0.056 | 0.081* | -0.032 |
| | (0.046) | (0.101) | (0.101) | (0.104) | (0.104) | (0.047) | (0.047) | (0.053) |
| Working | 0.021 | 0.053 | 0.037 | -0.066 | 0.030 | 0.071** | -0.012 | -0.061* |
| | (0.029) | (0.065) | (0.065) | (0.066) | (0.066) | (0.030) | (0.030) | (0.034) |
| Treatment Climate | 0.052 | 0.089 | -0.002 | -0.061 | -0.103 | 0.007 | 0.016 | 0.053 |
| | (0.039) | (0.087) | (0.087) | (0.089) | (0.089) | (0.040) | (0.040) | (0.045) |
| Treatment Policy | -0.034 | 0.158* | 0.086 | -0.048 | -0.013 | 0.043 | 0.079** | 0.121*** |
| | (0.039) | (0.087) | (0.087) | (0.089) | (0.089) | (0.041) | (0.040) | (0.045) |
| Treatment Both | 0.075* | 0.038 | -0.036 | -0.220** | -0.030 | 0.026 | -0.026 | 0.044 |
| | (0.039) | (0.087) | (0.086) | (0.089) | (0.088) | (0.040) | (0.040) | (0.045) |
| Retired × Treatment Climate | 0.032 | 0.040 | 0.151 | 0.104 | 0.237** | 0.033 | 0.022 | -0.082 |
| | (0.046) | (0.101) | (0.101) | (0.104) | (0.104) | (0.047) | (0.046) | (0.053) |
| Student × Treatment Climate | -0.047 | -0.167 | -0.022 | 0.054 | 0.173 | 0.051 | -0.019 | -0.033 |
| | (0.069) | (0.152) | (0.152) | (0.155) | (0.155) | (0.071) | (0.070) | (0.079) |
| Working × Treatment Climate | -0.007 | -0.018 | 0.055 | 0.092 | 0.121 | 0.005 | 0.021 | 0.013 |
| | (0.043) | (0.096) | (0.095) | (0.098) | (0.098) | (0.045) | (0.044) | (0.050) |
| Retired × Treatment Policy | 0.039 | 0.081 | 0.068 | 0.048 | 0.098 | 0.009 | -0.045 | -0.090* |
| | (0.046) | (0.102) | (0.102) | (0.104) | (0.104) | (0.048) | (0.047) | (0.053) |
| Student × Treatment Policy | -0.058 | -0.225 | -0.124 | -0.110 | -0.031 | 0.085 | -0.140** | -0.113 |
| | (0.065) | (0.143) | (0.142) | (0.146) | (0.145) | (0.067) | (0.065) | (0.074) |
| Working × Treatment Policy | 0.025 | -0.032 | -0.065 | 0.021 | -0.018 | -0.054 | -0.098** | -0.036 |
| | (0.043) | (0.096) | (0.096) | (0.098) | (0.098) | (0.045) | (0.044) | (0.050) |
| Retired × Treatment Both | -0.026 | 0.342*** | 0.319*** | 0.360*** | 0.209** | -0.011 | 0.076 | 0.023 |
| | (0.047) | (0.103) | (0.103) | (0.106) | (0.105) | (0.048) | (0.047) | (0.053) |
| Student × Treatment Both | -0.075 | 0.072 | 0.147 | 0.044 | 0.016 | 0.006 | -0.044 | -0.092 |
| | (0.067) | (0.148) | (0.148) | (0.151) | (0.151) | (0.069) | (0.068) | (0.077) |

Knowledge Index Index main policies Index all policies Index willing to change Index global policies Trust government Companies Responsible Rich responsible

| | Knowledge Index | Index main policies | Index all policies | Index willing to change | Index global policies | Trust government | Companies Responsible | Rich responsibl |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Age: 25-34 | -0.063° | -0.080 | 0.024 | -0.023 | 0.163** | 0.028 | 0.014 | -0.036 |
| | (0.036) | (0.080) | (0.080) | (0.082) | (0.081) | (0.037) | (0.037) | (0.041) |
| Age: 35-49 | -0.040 | -0.159** | -0.035 | -0.217*** | 0.053 | 0.029 | -0.024 | -0.027 |
| | (0.035) | (0.077) | (0.077) | (0.079) | (0.078) | (0.036) | (0.035) | (0.040) |
| Age: 50-64 | 0.030 | -0.203*** | -0.079 | -0.209*** | -0.010 | 0.032 | 0.007 | -0.068* |
| | (0.034) | (0.076) | (0.076) | (0.078) | (0.078) | (0.036) | (0.035) | (0.039) |
| Age: 65+ | 0.056 | -0.357*** | -0.178** | -0.446*** | -0.040 | 0.030 | -0.019 | -0.066 |
| | (0.037) | (0.082) | (0.082) | (0.084) | (0.083) | (0.038) | (0.037) | (0.042) |
| Treatment Climate | 0.069* | 0.106 | 0.179* | -0.005 | 0.224** | 0.015 | 0.028 | 0.079* |
| Treatment Cilinate | (0.042) | (0.092) | (0.092) | (0.094) | (0.094) | (0.043) | (0.042) | (0.048) |
| Treatment Policy | -0.100** | 0.081 | 0.062 | -0.045 | 0.039 | 0.097** | -0.047 | 0.109** |
| Treatment Policy | (0.039) | (0.087) | (0.086) | (0.089) | (0.088) | (0.040) | (0.040) | (0.045) |
| | | 0.085 | 0.082 | -0.085 | 0.028 | 0.022 | -0.041 | 0.016 |
| Treatment Both | -0.011 (0.040) | (0.089) | (0.082) | (0.091) | (0.090) | (0.041) | (0.041) | (0.046) |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Age: 25-34 × Treatment Climate | -0.039 (0.053) | -0.047 (0.118) | -0.157 (0.118) | -0.035 (0.121) | -0.350*** (0.120) | (0.003 | -0.031 (0.054) | -0.027 (0.061) |
| | (0.055) | (0.110) | (0.110) | (0.121) | (0.120) | (0.055) | (0.054) | (0.001) |
| Age: 35-49 × Treatment Climate | -0.021 | 0.010 | -0.095 | 0.096 | -0.178 | 0.010 | 0.032 | -0.002 |
| | (0.050) | (0.110) | (0.110) | (0.112) | (0.112) | (0.051) | (0.050) | (0.057) |
| Age: 50-64 × Treatment Climate | -0.028 | -0.138 | -0.214** | -0.067 | -0.206* | -0.012 | 0.006 | -0.059 |
| | (0.049) | (0.109) | (0.108) | (0.111) | (0.111) | (0.051) | (0.050) | (0.056) |
| Age: 65+ × Treatment Climate | 0.019 | 0.066 | -0.024 | 0.099 | -0.128 | 0.031 | 0.001 | -0.107* |
| | (0.049) | (0.108) | (0.108) | (0.111) | (0.110) | (0.051) | (0.050) | (0.056) |
| Age: 25-34 × Treatment Policy | 0.100* | 0.010 | -0.056 | -0.031 | -0.171 | -0.048 | 0.069 | 0.006 |
| , | (0.051) | (0.113) | (0.112) | (0.115) | (0.115) | (0.053) | (0.052) | (0.058) |
| Age: 35-49 × Treatment Policy | 0.110** | 0.088 | 0.0001 | 0.097 | -0.042 | -0.077 | 0.069 | -0.063 |
| , | (0.048) | (0.107) | (0.106) | (0.109) | (0.109) | (0.050) | (0.049) | (0.055) |
| Age: 50-64 × Treatment Policy | 0.053 | 0.015 | -0.067 | -0.096 | -0.065 | -0.107** | 0.017 | -0.046 |
| rige. 50-04 × Treatment Folicy | (0.047) | (0.104) | (0.104) | (0.107) | (0.106) | (0.049) | (0.048) | (0.054) |
| Age: 65+ × Treatment Policy | 0.132*** | 0.169 | 0.104 | 0.080 | 0.070 | -0.088* | 0.078* | -0.068 |
| Age: 65+ × Treatment Policy | (0.047) | (0.104) | (0.104) | (0.106) | (0.106) | (0.048) | (0.048) | (0.054) |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Age: 25-34 × Treatment Both | (0.039 | 0.021 (0.115) | -0.049 (0.114) | -0.019 (0.117) | -0.185 (0.117) | -0.018 (0.054) | 0.024 (0.053) | (0.089 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Age: 35-49 × Treatment Both | -0.023 | 0.041 | 0.010 | 0.075 | 0.022 | 0.017 | 0.103** | 0.078 |
| | (0.049) | (0.107) | (0.107) | (0.110) | (0.110) | (0.050) | (0.049) | (0.056) |
| Age: 50-64 × Treatment Both | 0.052 | 0.121 | 0.067 | 0.057 | 0.120 | 0.008 | 0.052 | 0.086 |
| | (0.048) | (0.106) | (0.106) | (0.109) | (0.108) | (0.050) | (0.049) | (0.055) |
| | | | | | | | | |

0.298***

0.199*

-0.030

0.113**

0.074

Age: 65+ × Treatment Both

0.096**

0.360***


0.260**

Decomposition of Policy Views

Main Results


- Political leaning and age are important drivers of support.
- Belief in efficiency is key.
- Thinking that climate change will/is affect(ing) oneself is much more important than being actually vulnerable to climate change.
- Partisan gap is also explained by different views on the efficiency of climate policies, as well as the importance of addressing poverty and inequalities, and not so much by objective characteristics (e.g., being vulnerable to CC or financially constrained).
- The geographical gap is largely explained by feeling affected by CC.
- Being concerned about climate change only plays a secondary role.

Decomposing Policy View - Individual Characteristics



Notes: In this figure, the dependent variable are policy indices. Depicted are coefficients on different types of variables and from two different specifications. We show the coefficients from the regressions of each policy index on (only) treatment indicators and on the full set of individual covariates.


Decomposing Policy View – Mechanisms



Notes: We show the coefficients on the different policy views from the regressions of each policy index on these factors, controlling for the full array of individual covariates and treatment indicators. We do not show the coefficients on all individual-level controls, except for the coefficient on the political indicators.

Gelbach decomposition of the partisan gap in support for...


... all climate policies



Notes: Each bar indicates the share of the partisan gap explained by each of the factors.


Explaining the Partisan Gap

Gelbach decomposition of the partisan gap in support for:




Explaining the Partisan Gap

Gelbach decomposition of the partisan gap in support for:



Gelbach decomposition of the geographical gap (urban vs. rural) in support for...


... all climate policies



Notes: Each bar indicates the share of the geographical gap explained by each of the factors.

Explaining the Geographical Gap

Gelbach decomposition of the geographical gap (urban vs. rural) in support for:



Explaining the Geographical Gap

Gelbach decomposition of the geographical gap (urban vs. rural) in support for:

