robustness\_global\_redistr

Start of Block: Welcome

|  |
| --- |
|  |

consent Welcome to this survey!<br> This survey is <b>anonymous </b>and is conducted <b>for research</b> purposes on a representative sample of <span id="sample\_size">[sample\_size: 3,000]</span> <span id="nationality">[nationality: American people]</span>.<br> <br> It takes around 20 min to complete.<br> <br> The survey contains lotteries and awards for those who get the correct answer to some comprehension questions.<br> If you are attentive and lucky, <b>you can win up to <span class="amount\_lottery">[amount\_lottery: $100]</span></b>.<br> <br> Please answer every question carefully.<br> <br> By clicking on the button below, you consent to the <a href="https://wumarketing.eu.qualtrics.com/ControlPanel/File.php?F=F\_cWOE4xE7sx1C9do">terms and conditions</a>.

Q75 Click to write the question text

Browser (1)

Version (2)

Operating System (3)

Screen Resolution (4)

Flash Version (5)

Java Support (6)

User Agent (7)

End of Block: Welcome

Start of Block: Socio-demographics

|  |
| --- |
|  |

gender What is your gender?

* Woman (1)
* Man (2)
* Other (3)

|  |
| --- |
|  |

hidden\_country What is your country?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

age\_exact What is your age?

* Below 18 (1)
* 18 to 20 (2)
* 21 to 24 (3)
* 25 to 29 (4)
* 30 to 34 (5)
* 35 to 39 (6)
* 40 to 44 (7)
* 45 to 49 (8)
* 50 to 54 (9)
* 55 to 59 (10)
* 60 to 64 (11)
* 65 to 69 (12)
* 70 to 74 (13)
* 75 to 79 (14)
* 80 to 84 (15)
* 85 to 89 (16)
* 90 to 99 (17)
* 100 or above (18)

|  |
| --- |
|  |

foreign Were you or your parents born in a foreign country?

* No, I was born in this country and my parents too (5)
* Not me but one of my parents was born in a foreign country (4)
* Not me but both my parents were born in a foreign country (3)
* Yes, I was born in a foreign country (2)

couple Do you live with your partner (if you have one)?

* Yes (1)
* No (2)

hh\_size <div>How many people are there in your household? <br></div><div>The household includes: <b>you</b>, your spouse, <b>your family members</b> who live with you, and your dependents (not flatmates).</div>

* 1 (2)
* 2 (3)
* 3 (4)
* 4 (5)
* 5 or more (6)

Nb\_children\_\_14 How many children under the age of 14 live with you?

* 0 (1)
* 1 (2)
* 2 (3)
* 3 (4)
* 4 or more (5)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Page Break |  |

Display this question:

If country = US

race What race or ethnicity do you identify with? (Multiple answers are possible)

* White (1)
* Black or African American (2)
* Hispanic (3)
* Asian (4)
* American Indian or Alaskan Native (5)
* Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander (6)
* Other (7)
* Prefer not to say (8)

|  |
| --- |
|  |

income <div>What is the <b><em><span id="periodicity\_text">[periodicity\_text: monthly]</span></em> <span id="income\_type">[income\_type: gross]</span> income of your household</b>, <span id="income\_type\_long">[income\_type\_long: after taxes and transfers]</span>?</div> <div>This includes all sources of income: wages, pensions, welfare payments, property income, dividends, self-employment earnings, Social Security benefits, and income from other sources.</div>

* less than $100 (5)
* between $100 and $200 (6)
* between $201 and $250 (11)
* between $251 and $300 (20)
* between $301 and $400 (12)
* between $401 and $500 (13)
* between $501 and $600 (14)
* between $601 and $700 (15)
* between $701 and $750 (21)
* between $751 and $800 (16)
* between $801 and $900 (8)
* more than $900 (9)
* Prefer not to say (23)

|  |
| --- |
|  |

education What is your highest completed education level?

* 0-1 Primary or less (9)
* 2 Medium school (1)
* 2 Some high school (2)
* 3 High school diploma (3)
* 3-4 Vocational training (4)
* 5 Short-cycle tertiary (5)
* 6 Bachelor's (6)
* 7-8 Master's or higher (7)

employment\_status What is your employment status?

* Full-time employed (2)
* Part-time employed (3)
* Self-employed (4)
* Student (6)
* Retired (7)
* Unemployed (searching for a job) (5)
* Inactive (not searching for a job) (8)

|  |
| --- |
|  |

zipcode What is your zipcode?<br> <span style="font-size:10.0pt">We ask for the zipcode to balance the sample in terms of degree of urbanization (rural, town or city). The survey will be terminated if your zipcode is not recognized.</span>

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

|  |
| --- |
|  |

home Are you a homeowner or a tenant? (Multiple answers are possible)

* Tenant (1)
* Owner (2)
* Landlord renting out property (3)
* Hosted free of charge (4)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Page Break |  |

|  |
| --- |
|  |

millionaire How likely are you to become a millionaire at some point in your life?<span id="urbanity"> </span>

* Very unlikely (1)
* Unlikely (2)
* Likely (3)
* Very likely (4)
* I am already a millionaire (5)

Display this question:

If country != SA

|  |
| --- |
|  |

voted Did you vote in the <span class="election">[election: 2024 presidential election]</span>?

* Yes (1)
* No (2)
* I didn't have the right to vote in [country\_name: the United States]. (4)
* Prefer not to say (3)

End of Block: Socio-demographics

Start of Block: Vote

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Page Break |  |

Display this question:

If country = SA

nationality\_SA What is your nationality?<br><span style="font-size:10.0pt">If you have both the Saudi and a foreign nationality, choose "Saudi".</span>

* Saudi (1)
* India (2)
* Bangladesh (3)
* Syria (4)
* Yemen (5)
* Egypt (6)
* Pakistan (7)
* Indonesia (8)
* Philippines (9)
* Sudan (10)
* Myanmar (11)
* Jordan (12)
* Sri Lanka (13)
* Nepal (14)
* Turkey (15)
* Somalia (16)
* Lebanon (17)
* Other (18)

Display this question:

If country = US

|  |
| --- |
|  |

vote\_US <span id="text\_vote">[text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the <span class="election">[election: 2024 European Parliament election]</span>?<br>Even if you did not vote in the <span class="election">[election: 2024 European Parliament election]</span>, please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]</span>

* Harris (4)
* Trump (5)
* Other (6)
* Prefer not to say (13)

Display this question:

If country = GB

|  |
| --- |
|  |

vote\_GB <span id="text\_vote">[text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the <span class="election">[election: 2024 European Parliament election]</span>?<br>Even if you did not vote in the <span class="election">[election: 2024 European Parliament election]</span>, please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]</span>

* Labour (5)
* Conservative (4)
* Reform UK (19)
* Liberal Democrats (6)
* Green (15)
* SNP (12)
* Sinn Féin (17)
* Plaid Cymru (20)
* DUP (16)
* Alliance Party of Northern Ireland (21)
* Other (18)
* Prefer not to say (13)

Display this question:

If country = FR

|  |
| --- |
|  |

vote\_FR <span id="text\_vote">[text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the <span class="election">[election: 2024 European Parliament election]</span>?<br>Even if you did not vote in the <span class="election">[election: 2024 European Parliament election]</span>, please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]</span>

* Rassemblement National (5)
* Renaissance, MoDem & Horizons (4)
* Parti Socaliste & Place publique (19)
* La France insoumise (6)
* Les Républicains (15)
* Les Écologistes – EÉLV (12)
* Reconquête (17)
* Parti Communiste Français (20)
* Résistons (Jean Lassalle) (16)
* Parti animaliste (21)
* Autre (18)
* Préfère ne pas répondre (13)

Display this question:

If country = CH

|  |
| --- |
|  |

vote\_CH <span id="text\_vote">[text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the <span class="election">[election: 2024 European Parliament election]</span>?<br>Even if you did not vote in the <span class="election">[election: 2024 European Parliament election]</span>, please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]</span>

* Swiss People's Party (5)
* Social Democratic Party (4)
* The Liberals (19)
* The Centre (6)
* Green Party (15)
* Green Liberal Party (12)
* Evangelical People's Party (17)
* Federal Democratic Union (20)
* Autre (18)
* Préfère ne pas répondre (13)

Display this question:

If country = PL

|  |
| --- |
|  |

vote\_PL <span id="text\_vote">[text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the <span class="election">[election: 2024 European Parliament election]</span>?<br>Even if you did not vote in the <span class="election">[election: 2024 European Parliament election]</span>, please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]</span>

* Civic Coalition (Civic Platform, Polish Initiative...) (5)
* United Right (Law and Justice, Sovereign Poland...) (4)
* Confederation (New Hope, National Movement, Confederation of the Polish Crown...) (19)
* Polish People's Party (6)
* Poland 2050 (22)
* The Left (New Left...) (15)
* Other (18)
* Prefer not to say (13)

Display this question:

If country = IT

|  |
| --- |
|  |

vote\_IT <span id="text\_vote">[text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the <span class="election">[election: 2024 European Parliament election]</span>?<br>Even if you did not vote in the <span class="election">[election: 2024 European Parliament election]</span>, please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]</span>

* FdI (5)
* PD (4)
* M5S (25)
* FI – NM (19)
* League (6)
* AVS (22)
* SUE (15)
* Azione (18)
* PTD (23)
* Libertà (24)
* Other (26)
* Prefer not to say (13)

Display this question:

If country = ES

|  |
| --- |
|  |

vote\_ES <span id="text\_vote">[text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the <span class="election">[election: 2024 European Parliament election]</span>?<br>Even if you did not vote in the <span class="election">[election: 2024 European Parliament election]</span>, please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]</span>

* PP (5)
* PSOE (4)
* Vox (25)
* Ahora Repúblicas (19)
* Sumar (6)
* SALF (22)
* Podemos (15)
* Junts UE (18)
* CEUS (23)
* Other (26)
* Prefer not to say (13)

Display this question:

If country = DE

|  |
| --- |
|  |

vote\_DE <span id="text\_vote">[text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the <span class="election">[election: 2024 European Parliament election]</span>?<br>Even if you did not vote in the <span class="election">[election: 2024 European Parliament election]</span>, please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]</span>

* CDU/CSU (5)
* AfD (4)
* SPD (25)
* Grüne (19)
* Die Linke (15)
* BSW (6)
* FDP (22)
* FW (18)
* Tierschutzpartei (27)
* Volt (23)
* Die Partei (24)
* Other (26)
* Prefer not to say (13)

Display this question:

If country = JP

|  |
| --- |
|  |

vote\_JP <span id="text\_vote">[text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the <span class="election">[election: 2024 European Parliament election]</span>?<br>Even if you did not vote in the <span class="election">[election: 2024 European Parliament election]</span>, please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]</span>

* LDP (5)
* CDP (4)
* Ishin JIP (25)
* DPFP (28)
* Komeito (19)
* Reiwa Shinsengumi (6)
* JCP (22)
* Sanseitō (15)
* CPJ (18)
* SDP (23)
* Other (24)
* Prefer not to say (13)

End of Block: Vote

Start of Block: Open-ended field

|  |
| --- |
|  |

concerns\_field What are your main concerns these days?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

|  |
| --- |
|  |

wish\_field What are your needs or wishes?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

|  |
| --- |
|  |

issue\_field Can you name an issue that is important to you but is neglected in the public debate?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

|  |
| --- |
|  |

injustice\_field What according to you is the greatest injustice of all?<br>

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

End of Block: Open-ended field

Start of Block: Conjoint analysis

Display this question:

If country != SA

And country != RU

|  |
| --- |
|  |

conjoint <span>Imagine if the two top candidates in your constituency in the next general election campaigned with the following policies in their party's platforms. <br><br>Which of these candidates would you vote for? </span> <br><div id="out"> </div> <div> <br> <table class="UserTable"> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"> <strong>Candidate A</strong></td> <td style="text-align: center;"> <strong>Candidate B</strong></td> <td> </td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">${e://Field/F-1-1-1}</td> <td style="text-align: center;">${e://Field/F-1-2-1}</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><strong>${e://Field/F-1-1}</strong></td> </tr><tr> <td style="text-align: center;">${e://Field/F-1-1-2}</td> <td style="text-align: center;">${e://Field/F-1-2-2}</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><strong>${e://Field/F-1-2}</strong></td> </tr><tr> <td style="text-align: center;">${e://Field/F-1-1-3}</td> <td style="text-align: center;">${e://Field/F-1-2-3}</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><strong>${e://Field/F-1-3}</strong></td> </tr><tr> <td style="text-align: center;">${e://Field/F-1-1-4}</td> <td style="text-align: center;">${e://Field/F-1-2-4}</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><strong>${e://Field/F-1-4}</strong></td> </tr><tr> <td style="text-align: center;">${e://Field/F-1-1-5}</td> <td style="text-align: center;">${e://Field/F-1-2-5}</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><strong>${e://Field/F-1-5}</strong></td> </tr></tbody> </table> </div>

* Candidate A (1)
* Candidate B (2)
* Neither of them (5)

End of Block: Conjoint analysis

Start of Block: Revenue split of global tax

Display this question:

If variant\_split = 1

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |

revenue\_split\_few Imagine a wealth tax applied to households with a net worth above <span id="tax\_threshold">[tax\_threshold: $5 million]</span>, implemented in every country around the world. <br><br><span id="tax\_country\_name">[tax\_country\_name: In the U.S.]</span>, the tax revenues collected would be <span id="tax\_revenue">[tax\_revenue: $514 billion]</span> per year (that is, <span id="tax\_revenue\_gdp">[tax\_revenue\_gdp: 2]</span>% of <span id="tax\_country\_gdp">[tax\_country\_gdp: U.S. GDP]</span>), while it would be <span id="LIC\_revenue">[LIC\_revenue: $1 billion]</span> in all low-income countries combined (700 million people live in a low-income country, most of them in Africa). Each country would retain part of the revenues it collects and use it for different domestic purposes. The remaining part would be pooled globally to finance sustainable development in low-income countries. <br><br><b>What percentage of the global wealth tax revenue should be allocated to each category?</b> <br><b>The total allocation must sum to 100%.</b><br><br>

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 | 55 | 60 | 65 | 70 | 75 | 80 | 85 | 90 | 95 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Domestic: Education and Healthcare () |  |
| Domestic: Social welfare programs () |  |
| Domestic: Reduction in the federal income tax () |  |
| Domestic: Reduction of the deficit () |  |
| Global: Education, Healthcare and Renewable energy in low-income countries () |  |

Display this question:

If variant\_split = 2

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |

revenue\_split\_many Imagine a wealth tax applied to households with net worth above <span id="tax\_threshold">[tax\_threshold: $5 million]</span>, implemented in all countries around the world. <br><br><span id="tax\_country\_name">[tax\_country\_name: In the U.S.]</span>, the tax revenues collected would be <span id="tax\_revenue">[tax\_revenue: $514 billion]</span> per year (that is, <span id="tax\_revenue\_gdp">[tax\_revenue\_gdp: 2]</span>% of <span id="tax\_country\_gdp">[tax\_country\_gdp: U.S. GDP]</span>), while it would be <span id="LIC\_revenue">[LIC\_revenue: $1 billion]</span> in all low-income countries combined (700 million people live in a low-income country, most of them in Africa). Each country would retain part of the revenues it collects and use it for different domestic purposes. The remaining part would be pooled globally to finance sustainable development. <br><br><b>What percentage of the global wealth tax revenue should be allocated to each category?</b> <br><b>The total allocation must sum to 100%.</b><br><br>

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 | 55 | 60 | 65 | 70 | 75 | 80 | 85 | 90 | 95 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Domestic: Education and Research () |  |
| Domestic: Healthcare () |  |
| Domestic: Defense () |  |
| Domestic: Deficit reduction () |  |
| Domestic: Justice and Police () |  |
| Domestic: Retirement pensions () |  |
| Domestic: Social welfare programs () |  |
| Domestic: Infrastructure (public transport, water systems...) () |  |
| Domestic: Income tax reduction () |  |
| Global: Education and Healthcare in low-income countries () |  |
| Global: Renewable energy and infrastructure to cope with climate change () |  |
| Global: Loss and Damage Fund (to rebuild after climate disasters) () |  |
| Global: Forestation and biodiversity projects () |  |

|  |
| --- |
|  |

hidden\_sum Click to write the question text

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

End of Block: Revenue split of global tax

Start of Block: Warm glow - moral substitute

Display this question:

If variant\_warm\_glow = 1

|  |
| --- |
|  |

ncs\_support <div>Do you agree with the following policy?</div> <br> <u><strong>Climate Scheme:</strong></u> <br> To meet the national climate target, a limited number of permits to emit greenhouse gases would be issued nationally. Polluting firms would be required to buy permits to cover their greenhouse gas emissions. Such a policy would <strong>make fossil fuel companies pay</strong> for their emissions and gradually raise the price of fossil fuels. <strong>Higher prices would encourage people and companies to use less fossil fuels, reducing greenhouse gas emissions.</strong><br> The revenues generated by the sale of permits would finance an equal cash transfer.<b> </b>Each <span class="country\_adjective">[country\_adjective: American]</span> would receive <span id="amount\_expenses">[amount\_expenses: $115]</span><span id="periodicity">[periodicity: per month]</span>, thereby offsetting price increases for the average <span class="country\_adjective">[country\_adjective: American]</span>.<br> <br> <b>Do you support the Climate Scheme?</b>

* Yes (1)
* No (2)

Display this question:

If variant\_warm\_glow = 2

|  |
| --- |
|  |

donation By taking this survey, you will be automatically entered into a lottery to win up to <span class="amount\_lottery">[amount\_lottery: $100]</span>. <br>Should you be selected in the lottery, you will have the option to channel a part of this additional compensation to the charity <i>Just One Tree</i> to plant trees.<br><br><b>In case you win the lottery, what share of the <span class="amount\_lottery">[amount\_lottery: $100 prize]</span> would you donate to plant trees?</b>

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Share to plant trees () |  |

End of Block: Warm glow - moral substitute

Start of Block: Cap & Share

|  |
| --- |
|  |

gcs\_support <div>Do you support the following policy?<br> To ensure that you have attentively read the description, <strong>we will ask some comprehension questions later in the survey: those who get correct answers can win <span id="amount\_lottery">[amount\_lottery: $100]</span></strong>.</div> <br> <u><strong>Global Climate Scheme:</strong></u> <br><br> In 2015, all countries agreed to contain global warming "well below +2 °C". To achieve this, <strong>there is a maximum amount of greenhouse gases we can emit globally</strong>. <br><br> To meet the climate target, a limited number of permits to emit greenhouse gases would be issued globally. Polluting firms would be required to buy permits to cover their greenhouse gas emissions. Such a policy would <strong>make fossil fuel companies pay</strong> for their emissions and gradually raise the price of fossil fuels. <strong>Higher prices would encourage people and companies to use less fossil fuels, reducing greenhouse gas emissions.<br></strong><br> In accordance with the principle that each human has an equal right to pollute, the revenues generated by the sale of permits could finance a global basic income. <strong>Every adult would receive <span class="amount\_bi">[amount\_bi: $20]</span><span class="periodicity">[periodicity: per month]</span></strong>, thereby lifting 600 million people who earn less than $2 a day out of extreme poverty.<br> <strong>The typical <span class="national">[national: American]</span> would lose out financially <span id="amount\_lost">[amount\_lost: $105]</span><span class="periodicity">[periodicity: per month]</span></strong> (as he or she would face around <span id="price\_increase">[price\_increase: 2]</span>% in price increases, which is higher than the <span class="amount\_bi">[amount\_bi: $20]</span><span class="periodicity">[periodicity: per month]</span> they would receive).<br><br> The policy could be implemented as soon as 100 countries agree to it. Countries that would refuse to take part in the policy could face sanctions (like tariffs) from the rest of the world and would be excluded from the basic income program.<br><br> <br><b> Do you support the Global Climate Scheme? </b><div></div>

* Yes (4)
* No (5)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Page Break |  |

Display this question:

If variant\_belief = 1

|  |
| --- |
|  |

gcs\_belief\_us According to you, <b>what percentage of <i><span class="belief\_nationality">[belief\_nationality: Americans]</span></i> would answer <i>Yes </i>to the previous question</b> (considering that typical <span class="belief\_nationality">[belief\_nationality: Americans]</span> would lose <span id="belief\_loss">[belief\_loss: $140]</span> per month from the Global Climate Scheme)<b>?</b><br> The respondent who is closest to the correct value will get <span class="amount\_lottery">[amount\_lottery: $100]</span>.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Percentage of [belief\_nationality: Americans] in favor of Global Climate Scheme () |  |

Display this question:

If variant\_belief = 0

|  |
| --- |
|  |

gcs\_belief\_own According to you, <b>what percentage of <i><span class="nationality">[nationality: fellow citizens]</span></i> would answer <i>Yes </i>to the previous question?</b><br> The respondent who is closest to the correct value will get <span class="amount\_lottery">[amount\_lottery: $100]</span>.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Percentage of [nationality: fellow citizens] in favor of Global Climate Scheme () |  |

End of Block: Cap & Share

Start of Block: Cap & Share non-universal

|  |
| --- |
|  |

gcs\_mid Below is a map showing a possible set of countries that would participate in the Global Climate Scheme previously described.<br> <br> These countries include China, India, as well as all Africa, Latin America, South-Asia and South-East Asia.<br> Collectively, these 119 countries account for 56% of global emissions<span id="text\_emissions\_with"> (if <span id="ics\_country">[ics\_country: the U.S.]</span> joined them, <span id="emissions\_mid\_with">[emissions\_mid\_with: 70]</span>% of global emissions would be covered)</span>.<br> <br> <img width="100" style="width: 100%" src="https://github.com/bixiou/robustness\_global\_redistr/raw/main/figures/maps\_participation/GCS\_mid.png" id="gcs\_mid">

|  |
| --- |
|  |

gcs\_low Below is a map showing a possible set of countries that would participate in the Global Climate Scheme previously described.<br> <br> These countries include India<span id="text\_eu">, the European Union,</span> as well as all Africa, Latin America, South-Asia and South-East Asia.<br> Collectively, these <span id="nb\_countries\_low">[nb\_countries\_low: 145]</span> countries account for <span id="emissions\_low\_without">[emissions\_low\_without: 40]</span>% of global emissions<span id="text\_emissions\_with"> (if <span id="ics\_country">[ics\_country: the U.S.]</span> joined them, <span id="emissions\_low\_with">[emissions\_low\_with: 40]</span>% of global emissions would be covered)</span>.<br> <br> <img src="https://github.com/bixiou/robustness\_global\_redistr/raw/main/figures/maps\_participation/GCS\_low.png" id="gcs\_low" width="100" style="width: 100%">

|  |
| --- |
|  |

gcs\_high\_color Below is a map showing a possible set of countries that would participate in the Global Climate Scheme previously described.<br> <br> These countries include China, India, <span id="text\_countries\_high">[text\_countries\_high: the European Union, Japan, the United Kingdom]</span>, Canada, South Korea, as well as all Africa, Latin America, South-Asia and South-East Asia. <br> Collectively, these <span id="nb\_countries\_high">[nb\_countries\_high: 153]</span> countries account for <span id="emissions\_high\_without">[emissions\_high\_without: 72]</span>% of global emissions<span id="text\_emissions\_with"> (if <span id="ics\_country">[ics\_country: the U.S.]</span> joined them, <span id="emissions\_high\_with">[emissions\_high\_with: 86]</span>% of global emissions would be covered)</span>.<br><br>Note that a provision would prevent the Global Climate Scheme from harming low- and middle-income countries: this is why countries like China, Mexico, or Egypt are in white on the map (they would neither win nor lose financially).<br> <br> <img src="https://github.com/bixiou/robustness\_global\_redistr/raw/main/figures/maps\_participation/GCS\_high\_color.PNG" id="gcs\_high\_color" width="100" style="width: 100%">

|  |
| --- |
|  |

gcs\_high Below is a map showing a possible set of countries that would participate in the Global Climate Scheme previously described.<br> <br> These countries include China, India, <span id="text\_countries\_high">[text\_countries\_high: the European Union, Japan, the United Kingdom]</span>, Canada, South Korea, as well as all Africa, Latin America, South-Asia and South-East Asia. <br> Collectively, these <span id="nb\_countries\_high">[nb\_countries\_high: 153]</span> countries account for <span id="emissions\_high\_without">[emissions\_high\_without: 71]</span>% of global emissions<span id="text\_emissions\_with"> (if <span id="ics\_country">[ics\_country: the U.S.]</span> joined them, <span id="emissions\_high\_with">[emissions\_high\_with: 86]</span>% of global emissions would be covered)</span>.<br> <br> <img style="width: 100%" width="100" id="gcs\_high" src="https://github.com/bixiou/robustness\_global\_redistr/raw/main/figures/maps\_participation/GCS\_high.png">

|  |
| --- |
|  |

ics\_support Do you support <span class="ics\_country">[ics\_country: the U.S.]</span> joining the Global Climate Scheme, in case it is adopted by the above countries?

* Yes (1)
* No (2)

End of Block: Cap & Share non-universal

Start of Block: Warm glow - realism

Display this question:

If variant\_realism = 1

And long > 0.42

|  |
| --- |
|  |

info\_solidarity To ensure that you have attentively read the description below, we will ask some comprehension questions later in the survey: those who get correct answers can win <span class="amount\_lottery">$100</span>. <br><br>In several international organizations, <b>countries have agreed to demonstrate some degree of solidarity in addressing global challenges</b>.<br> Negotiations are ongoing to implement specific mechanisms for sustainable development.<br><br>Here are a few examples:<br>🚢 <b>The International Maritime Organization plans to reduce carbon emissions</b> from shipping and may <b>adopt a levy</b> on such emissions in 2025, <b>that could partly finance low-income countries</b>.<br>📦 Since 1970, <b>developed countries have agreed to contribute 0.7% of their GDP in foreign aid</b> and development assistance.<br> 🌱 In international climate negotiations, <b>developed countries have committed to finance climate action in developing countries</b>. In 2009, they committed to provide $100 billion per year by 2020. In 2023, all countries agreed to set up a fund to help vulnerable countries cope with loss and damage from climate change. In 2024, the $100 billion goal was increased to $300 billion per year by 2035.<br>📈 In 2021, 136 countries adopted a minimum tax rate of 15% on multinational profits.<br>💎 In 2024, under the leadership of Brazil, <b>the G20 considered the introduction of a global tax</b> of 2% <b>on </b>the wealth of <b>billionaires</b>. <br>🌐 In 2024, the UN General Assembly adopted the Pact for the Future, which foresees a reform of the UN Security Council to limit the power of its five permanent member and expand it to new members.<br>🔄 Led by the Prime Minister of Barbados and supported by the UN Secretary General, the Bridgetown initiative seeks a new financial system that would drive financial resources towards climate action and sustainable development.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

likely\_solidarity According to you, how likely is it that international policies involving significant transfers from high-income countries to low-income countries will be introduced in the next 15 years?

* Very unlikely (1)
* Unlikely (2)
* Likely (3)
* Very likely (4)

Display this question:

If long > 0.42

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |

solidarity\_support Do you support or oppose the following policies?<br><br><span id="developed\_note\_long">[developed\_note\_long: (As some items refer to "developed countries", note that we consider Saudi Arabia to be a developed country in this question.)]</span>

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Strongly oppose (1) | Somewhat oppose (2) | Indifferent (3) | Somewhat support (4) | Strongly support (5) |
| A minimum tax of 2% on the wealth of billionaires, in voluntary countries (11) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Raising the globally agreed minimum tax rate on profits of multinational firms from 15% to 35%, closing loopholes and allocating revenues to countries where sales are made (5) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Expanding the UN Security Council (in charge of peacekeeping) to new permanent members such as India, Brazil, and the African Union, and restricting the use of the veto (4) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Developed countries contributing at least 0.7% of their GDP in foreign aid and development assistance (10) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt relief for vulnerable countries by suspending repayments until they are better able to repay, promoting their development (6) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Institutions like the World Bank investing in many more sustainable projects in lower-income countries, and offering lower interest rates (the Bridgetown initiative) (1) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Developed countries financing a fund to help vulnerable countries cope with loss and damage from climate change (2) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Developed countries providing $300 billion a year (0.4% of their GDP) to finance climate action in developing countries (9) |  |  |  |  |  |
| An international levy on carbon emissions from shipping, funding national budgets in proportion to population (7) |  |  |  |  |  |
| An international levy on carbon emissions from aviation, raising ticket prices by 30% and funding national budgets in proportion to population (8) |  |  |  |  |  |

End of Block: Warm glow - realism

Start of Block: NCQG

Display this question:

If variant\_ncqg\_maritime = 0

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |

maritime\_split This year, to meet the global climate targets, the International Maritime Organization is designing a global levy on shipping carbon emissions.<br><br><b>According to you, what percentage of the revenue from a maritime fuel levy should be allocated to each category below?</b> The total must be 100%.<br>

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Fostering sustainable transition in the least developed countries and small islands states () |  |
| Return revenues to shipping companies to prevent increases in shipping costs () |  |
| Finance research, development and deployment for zero-emission fuels and ships () |  |

Display this question:

If variant\_ncqg\_maritime = 2

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |

ncqg\_full <b>At international climate negotiations, developing countries call for larger provision of "climate finance": the financing of climate action from developed countries in developing countries.</b> <span id="developed\_note">[developed\_note: (Note that we consider Saudi Arabia to be a developed country in this question.)]</span><br><br><b>There are two kinds of climate finance: grants (that is, donations) and loans. In 2022, $26 billion was provided as grants and the rest as loans, for a total of $116 billion. </b><br><br>In 2009, developed countries agreed to mobilize $100 billion per year in climate finance by 2020. In 2024, they committed to raise this goal to $300 billion by 2035. None of the goals specify which share should be provided as grants.<br><br>Below are different positions on the amount of climate finance that should be provided in 2035, all expressed in grant-equivalent terms (that is, not counting loans):<br>-        $0: There should be no contributions from developed countries to climate action in developing countries.<br>-      $26 billion (0.04% of developed countries' GDP): The current amount, consistent with the old (2020) goal.<br>-    $100 billion (0.14% of GDP): The old (2020) goal, if all climate finance were provided as grants.<br>-    $300 billion (0.43% of GDP): The new (2035) goal, if all climate finance were provided as grants.<br>-    $600 billion (0.86% of GDP): The goal called for by India, a position shared by most developing countries.<br>- $1,000 billion (1.43% of GDP): The goal called for by Climate Action Network (a network of NGOs including Greenpeace, Oxfam, and WWF).<br>- $5,000 billion (7.14% of GDP): The goal called for by Demand Climate Justice (a network of NGOs including 350.org and the World Council of Churches)<br><br><b>If you could choose the amount of climate finance provided by developed countries to developing countries in 2035, what amount would you choose (in grant-equivalent terms)?</b><br>

* $0 (1)
* $26 billion (4)
* $100 billion (5)
* $300 billion (2)
* $600 billion (3)
* $1,000 billion (6)
* $5,000 billion (7)

Display this question:

If variant\_ncqg\_maritime = 1

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |

ncqg <b>"Climate finance" designates the financing of climate action from developed countries in developing countries.</b> <span id="developed\_note">[developed\_note: (Note that we consider Saudi Arabia to be a developed country in this question.)]</span><br><br><b>There are two kinds of climate finance: grants (that is, donations) and loans. The large majority is currently provided as loans. </b><br><br>In 2009, developed countries agreed to mobilize $100 billion per year in climate finance. In 2024, they committed to triple this goal by 2035. None of the goals specify which share should be provided as grants. <br>At international climate negotiations, developing countries call for larger provision of climate finance, particularly in the form of grants.<br><br><b>If you could choose the level of climate finance provided by developed countries to developing countries in 2035, what would you choose?</b><br>

* <strong>Stop </strong>all provision of climate finance. (1)
* <strong>Reduce </strong>the provision of climate finance. (4)
* <strong>Maintain current contributions</strong> ($26 billion per year in grants, that is 0.04% of developed countries' GDP, and $80 billion in loans, or 0.1% of GDP). (5)
* <strong>Meet the newly agreed goal</strong> by tripling grants and loans ($100 billion in grants, or 0.15% of GDP). (2)
* <strong>Increase climate finance to a level between what developed countries have agreed and what developing countries are asking for</strong> ($300 billion in grants, or 0.45% of GDP). (3)
* <strong>Increase climate finance to match what developing countries are asking for </strong>($600 billion in grants, or 0.9% of GDP). (6)
* <strong>Increase climate finance to match what NGOs are asking for</strong> (at least $1,000 billion per year in grants, that is 1.4% of GDP, is what Greenpeace, Oxfam, WWF, and the World Council of Churches ask for). (7)

End of Block: NCQG

Start of Block: Wealth tax depending on sets of countries

|  |
| --- |
|  |

global\_tax\_support <b>Imagine an international tax on individuals with net worth above <span class="wealth\_threshold">[wealth\_threshold: $1 million]</span>. </b><br>Only wealth above <span class="wealth\_threshold">[wealth\_threshold: $1 million]</span> would be taxed, at a rate of 2%. Each country would retain 70% of the revenues it collects, while 30% would be pooled at the global level to finance public services in low-income countries (in particular, access to drinking water, healthcare, and education in Africa). <br><br>Say we are in 2030. <b>Imagine that all other countries in the world adopt this policy. <br>Do you support <span id="country\_name">[country\_name: the United States]</span> adopting this international tax on millionaires?</b><br>

* Yes (1)
* No (2)

|  |
| --- |
|  |

hic\_tax\_support <b>Imagine an international tax on individuals with net worth above <span class="wealth\_threshold">[wealth\_threshold: $1 million]</span>. </b><br>Only wealth above <span class="wealth\_threshold">[wealth\_threshold: $1 million]</span> would be taxed, at a rate of 2%. Each country would retain 70% of the revenues it collects, while 30% would be pooled at the global level to finance public services in low-income countries (in particular, access to drinking water, healthcare, and education in Africa). <br><br>Say we are in 2030. <b><span id="hic\_tax">[hic\_tax: Imagine that all other high-income countries (such as the European Union, Japan, and Canada) adopt this policy and some middle-income countries (such as China) do not.]</b></span><b> <br>Do you support <span id="country\_name">[country\_name: the United States]</span> adopting this international tax on millionaires?</b><br>

* Yes (1)
* No (2)

|  |
| --- |
|  |

intl\_tax\_support <b>Imagine an international tax on individuals with net worth above <span class="wealth\_threshold">[wealth\_threshold: $1 million]</span>. </b><br>Only wealth above <span class="wealth\_threshold">[wealth\_threshold: $1 million]</span> would be taxed, at a rate of 2%. Each country would retain 70% of the revenues it collects, while 30% would be pooled at the global level to finance public services in low-income countries (in particular, access to drinking water, healthcare, and education in Africa). <br><br>Say we are in 2030.<b> <span id="intl\_tax">[intl\_tax: Imagine that some countries (such as the European Union) adopt this policy and others (such as Japan, Canada, and China) do not.]</span><br>Do you support <span id="country\_name">[country\_name: the United States]</span> adopting this international tax on millionaires?</b><br>

* Yes (1)
* No (2)

End of Block: Wealth tax depending on sets of countries

Start of Block: Scenarios & radical tax

Display this question:

If variant\_radical\_redistr = 1

|  |
| --- |
|  |

sustainable\_future\_a <b>Consider two possible scenarios for the world for the next 20 years. <br><br>Scenario A</b>: <br>Most countries implement coordinated policies to limit global warming to +2°C and reduce inequality. The world greatly reduces greenhouse gas emissions and is on track to meet its climate target. Taxes on millionaires fund the installation of heat pumps, the thermal insulation of buildings, and improved public transportation. Yachts and private jets are phased out worldwide. Cars are all electric by 2045, and they are about the same price as internal combustion cars nowadays. By 2045, environmental regulations gradually double the price heating fuel or gas, air travel, and beef. As a result, people fly half as much, eat half as much meat, and use more public transportation in 2045 than they did in 2025. Despite higher prices for polluting goods, the overall purchasing power is preserved, thanks to a decrease in sales tax that reduces the prices of non-polluting goods.<br><br><b>Scenario B</b>:<br>Since 2025, no additional policies are implemented to address climate change or inequality. People maintain the same lifestyles as in 2025. For example, most people continue to drive cars with internal combustion engines. Greenhouse gas emissions are stable. Global warming is expected to reach +3°C by 2100 and higher levels beyond that date. A warmer climate will cause more frequent and more severe droughts, heatwaves, wildfires, and floodings.<br><br>Apart from the elements described, the two scenarios are the same (for example, in terms of unemployment or crime). <br><br><b>Which scenario do you prefer for the future?</b>

* Scenario A (1)
* Scenario B (2)

Display this question:

If variant\_radical\_redistr = 0

|  |
| --- |
|  |

sustainable\_future\_b <b>Consider two possible scenarios for the world for the next 20 years. <br><br>Scenario A</b>:<br>Since 2025, no additional policies are implemented to address climate change or inequality. People maintain the same lifestyles as in 2025. For example, most people continue to drive cars with internal combustion engines. Greenhouse gas emissions are stable. Global warming is expected to reach +3°C by 2100 and higher levels beyond that date. A warmer climate will cause more frequent and more severe droughts, heatwaves, wildfires, and floodings.<br><br><b>Scenario B</b>: <br>Most countries implement coordinated policies to limit global warming to +2°C and reduce inequality. The world greatly reduces greenhouse gas emissions and is on track to meet its climate target. Taxes on millionaires fund the installation of heat pumps, the thermal insulation of buildings, and improved public transportation. Yachts and private jets are phased out worldwide. Cars are all electric by 2045, and they are about the same price as internal combustion cars nowadays. By 2045, environmental regulations gradually double the price of heating fuel or gas, air travel, and beef. As a result, people fly half as much, eat half as much meat, and use more public transportation in 2045 than they did in 2025. Despite higher prices for polluting goods, the overall purchasing power is preserved, thanks to a decrease in sales tax that reduces the prices of non-polluting goods.<br><br>Apart from the elements described, the two scenarios are the same (for example, in terms of unemployment or crime). <br><br><b>Which scenario do you prefer for the future?</b>

* Scenario A (1)
* Scenario B (2)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Page Break |  |

Display this question:

If variant\_radical\_redistr = 0

And long > 0.42

|  |
| --- |
|  |

top1\_tax\_support Currently, 2 billion people live in acute poverty, with less than <span class="lcu\_250">[lcu\_250: $250]</span><span class="periodicity">[periodicity: per month]</span>.<br>The Sustainable Development Goals, adopted by all countries in 2015, aim to alleviate poverty and give access to healthcare, education, drinking water, and sanitation for all by 2030. Due to lack of funding, the world is not on track to meet these poverty reduction goals.<br><br><b>Poverty reduction could be funded by a global tax on individual income above <span class="lcu\_120k">[lcu\_120k: $120,000]</span><span class="periodicity\_tax">[periodicity\_tax: per year]</span>. <br>The tax rate would be 15% for every <span class="currency">[currency: dollar]</span> over <span class="lcu\_120k">[lcu\_120k: $120,000]</span> of income</b> after existing taxes. <br>For example, a single person earning <span class="lcu\_130k">[lcu\_130k: $130,000]</span><span class="periodicity\_tax">[periodicity\_tax: per year]</span> after taxes would pay <span id="lcu\_1500">[lcu\_1500: $1,500]</span> in additional taxes, or 15% of <span id="lcu\_10k">[lcu\_10k: $10,000]</span> = <span class="lcu\_130k">[lcu\_130k: $130,000]</span> – <span class="lcu\_120k">[lcu\_120k: $120,000]</span>. Meanwhile, a married couple earning <span id="lcu\_200k">[lcu\_200k: $200,000]</span><span class="periodicity\_tax">[periodicity\_tax: per year]</span>, <span id="lcu\_100k">[lcu\_100k: $100,000]</span> for each of them, would go untaxed.<br>This tax would apply to the richest 1% of the world's population. <span id="tax\_country\_name">[tax\_country\_name: In the United States]</span>, it would affect the richest <span id="affected\_top1">[affected\_top1: 8]</span>% and redistribute <span id="transfer\_top1">[transfer\_top1: 3]</span>% of GDP to lower-income countries.<br><br><b>Do you support or oppose such a global tax on the richest people to finance global poverty reduction?</b><br>

* Strongly oppose (1)
* Somewhat oppose (4)
* Indifferent (5)
* Somewhat support (2)
* Strongly support (3)

Display this question:

If variant\_radical\_redistr = 1

And long > 0.42

|  |
| --- |
|  |

top3\_tax\_support Currently, 3 billion people live in deep poverty, with less than <span class="lcu\_400">[lcu\_400: $400]</span><span class="periodicity">[periodicity: per month]</span>.<br>The Sustainable Development Goals, adopted by all countries in 2015, aim to alleviate poverty and achieve access to healthcare, education, drinking water, and sanitation for all by 2030. Due to lack of funding, the world is not on track to meet these poverty reduction goals.<br><br><b>Poverty reduction could be funded by a global tax on individual income above <span class="lcu\_80k">[lcu\_80k: $80,000]</span><span class="periodicity\_tax">[periodicity\_tax: per year]</span>. <br>The tax rate would be 15% for every <span class="currency">[currency: dollar]</span> over <span class="lcu\_80k">[lcu\_80k: $80,000]</span> of income</b> after existing taxes, <b>30% over <span id="lcu\_120k">[lcu\_120k: $120,000]</span>, and 45% over <span id="lcu\_1M">[lcu\_1M: $1 million]</span>. </b><br>For example, a single person earning <span class="lcu\_90k">[lcu\_90k: $90,000]</span><span class="periodicity\_tax">[periodicity\_tax: per year]</span> after taxes would pay <span id="lcu\_1500\_top3">[lcu\_1500\_top3: $1,500]</span> in additional taxes, or 15% of <span id="lcu\_10k\_top3">[lcu\_10k\_top3: $10,000]</span> = <span class="lcu\_90k">[lcu\_90k: $90,000]</span> – <span class="lcu\_80k">[lcu\_80k: $80,000]</span>. Meanwhile, a married couple earning <span id="lcu\_150k">[lcu\_150k: $150,000]</span><span class="periodicity\_tax">[periodicity\_tax: per year]</span>, <span id="lcu\_75k">[lcu\_75k: $75,000]</span> for each of them, would go untaxed.<br>This tax would apply to the richest 3% of the world's population. <span id="tax\_country\_name">[tax\_country\_name: In the United States]</span>, it would affect the richest <span id="affected\_top3">[affected\_top3: 18]</span>% and redistribute <span id="transfer\_top3">[transfer\_top3: 8]</span>% of GDP to lower-income countries.<br><br><b>Do you support or oppose such a global tax on the richest people to finance global poverty reduction?</b><br>

* Strongly oppose (1)
* Somewhat oppose (4)
* Indifferent (5)
* Somewhat support (2)
* Strongly support (3)

attention\_test To show that you are attentive, please select "A little" in the following list:

* Not at all (1)
* A little (2)
* A lot (3)
* A great deal (4)

End of Block: Scenarios & radical tax

Start of Block: Preferred transfer means to LICs

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |

transfer\_how Below are different ways to transfer resources to help reduce poverty in a low-income country. <br>How do you evaluate each of these options?<br>

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | A wrong way (1) | An acceptable way (4) | A right way (2) | The best way (3) |
| Transfers to public development aid agencies which then finance suitable projects (6) |  |  |  |  |
| Transfers to the national government conditioned on the use of funds for poverty reduction programs (3) |  |  |  |  |
| Unconditional transfers to the national government (2) |  |  |  |  |
| Unconditional transfers to local authorities (municipality, village chief...) (4) |  |  |  |  |
| Transfers to local NGOs with democratic decision-making processes (5) |  |  |  |  |
| Cash transfers to parents (child allowances), to the disabled and to the elderly (1) |  |  |  |  |
| Unconditional cash transfers to each household (7) |  |  |  |  |

End of Block: Preferred transfer means to LICs

Start of Block: Radical redistribution

convergence\_support Should governments actively cooperate to have all countries converge in terms of GDP per capita by the end of the century?

* Yes (1)
* No (2)
* I prefer not to answer (3)

|  |
| --- |
|  |

global\_movement If there was a worldwide movement in favor of a global program to tackle climate change, implement taxes on millionaires and fund poverty reduction in low-income countries, to what extent would you be willing to be part of that movement? (Multiple answers possible)

* ⊗I would <i>not</i> support such a movement. (1)
* I could sign a petition and spread ideas. (2)
* I could attend a demonstration. (4)
* I could go on strike. (6)
* I could donate [amount\_lottery: $100] to a strike fund. (3)

Display this question:

If country != SA

And country != RU

|  |
| --- |
|  |

vote\_intl\_coalition Let us call "your political party" the party you voted for in the last election, or the party that represents your views most closely. <br><b>Imagine </b>there was <b>a worldwide coalition</b> of political parties in favor of a common program <b>to tackle climate change, implement taxes on millionaires and fund poverty reduction in low-income countries</b>. <br><br><b>Would you be more likely to vote for your party if it were part of that coalition?</b><br>

* Yes, I would be <b>more likely</b> to vote for my party if it joined that coalition (or to vote for another party if only that other party joined the coalition). (5)
* My choice would <b>not depend</b> on which parties are part of that coalition. (6)
* No, I would be <b>less likely</b> to vote for my party if it joined that coalition. (7)

|  |
| --- |
|  |

why\_hic\_help\_lic Some people think that high-income countries should support low-income countries. <br>Among the different reasons given, which ones do you agree with? (Multiple answers possible)

* High-income countries have a <strong>historical responsibility</strong> for the current situation in low-income countries. (1)
* In the long run, <strong>it is in the interest of high-income countries</strong> to help low-income countries. (2)
* <strong>Helping those in need</strong> is the right thing to do. This is also true at the international level. (3)
* ⊗None of the above. (5)

Display this question:

If country = FR

Or country = GB

Or country = DE

Or country = ES

Or country = IT

Or country = US

reparations\_support Some people argue that Western countries owe reparations for colonization and slavery to former colonies and descendants of slaves. <br>Reparations could take the form of funding education and facilitating technology transfers, to address unequal opportunities passed down from the past. <br><br><b>Do you support or oppose reparations</b> of this kind <b>for colonization and slavery? </b><br>

* Strongly oppose (1)
* Somewhat oppose (2)
* Indifferent (3)
* Somewhat support (4)
* Strongly support (5)

End of Block: Radical redistribution

Start of Block: Custom redistr

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |

income\_exact What is the <i><span id="periodicity\_text">[periodicity\_text: yearly]</span></i> income of your household <b>after taxes and social benefits</b>?<br>This includes all sources of income: salaries, pensions, allowances, welfare benefits, property income, etc.<br>My household earns ... <span id="text\_unit">[text\_unit: $ per year]</span> (answer with no comma, no space, no period):<br>

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Page Break |  |

Q94 Timing

First Click (1)

Last Click (2)

Page Submit (3)

Click Count (4)

|  |
| --- |
|  |

custom\_redistr If you could redistribute income at the global level, what would you do? In this question, we let you choose your preferred parameters for a redistribution of income at the world level. <br>If you prefer to skip this question, check the corresponding box at the bottom of the page.<br><br>The worldwide redistribution of income would take the form of additional policies, taxes, and transfers, on top of existing ones.<br>These policies would lower the income of the richest (the losers from the redistribution) and increase the income of the poorest (the winners). <br><br>Below you will find a graph of the world distribution of after-tax income and three sliders that vary it. The current distribution is in red, and your custom one is in green. <br>The first two sliders control the proportion of winners and the proportion of losers, among all humans. The third slider controls the degree of redistribution from the richest to the poorest. <br>If you do not want new policies to reduce global inequality, you can set the third slider to zero. <br><br><b>You need to move the sliders</b> (by holding the mouse down on the little squares and moving to the side) to make the green curve evolve: the idea is to move the sliders <b>until you get a green curve you are satisfied with</b>. <br><br> <br>Examples of income changes after your proposed redistribution:<br> <center><table class="UserTable"> <tbody><tr> <th style="text-align: center; padding: 2px 10px;">Now</th> <th style="text-align: center; padding: 2px 10px;">After</th> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 2px 10px;">0 <span class="text\_unit">[text\_unit: $ per year]</span></td> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 2px 10px;"><span id="after\_0">0</span> <span class="text\_unit">[text\_unit: $ per year]</span></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 2px 10px;"><span id="now\_10k">[now\_10k: 10 000]</span> <span class="text\_unit">[text\_unit: $ per year]</span></td> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 2px 10px;"><span id="after\_10k">[after\_10k: 10 000]</span> <span class="text\_unit">[text\_unit: $ per year]</span></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 2px 10px;"><span id="now\_60k">[now\_60k: 60 000]</span> <span class="text\_unit">[text\_unit: $ per year]</span></td> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 2px 10px;"><span id="after\_60k">[after\_60k: 60 000]</span> <span class="text\_unit">[text\_unit: $ per year]</span></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 2px 10px;"><span id="now\_100k">[now\_100k: 100 000]</span> <span class="text\_unit">[text\_unit: $ per year]</span></td> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 2px 10px;"><span id="after\_100k">[after\_100k: 100 000]</span> <span class="text\_unit">[text\_unit: $ per year]</span></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center; padding: 2px 10px;">Your <i>individual</i> income</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 2px 10px;"><span id="own">[own: 40 000]</span> <span class="text\_unit">[text\_unit: $ per year]</span></td> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 2px 10px;"><span id="after\_own">[after\_own: 3 000]</span> <span class="text\_unit">[text\_unit: $ per year]</span></td> </tr> </tbody></table></center> <div id="Slider\_N\_q\_"> </div> <div id="Slider\_N\_q"> </div> <br> <div id="Slider\_transfert\_"> </div> <div id="Slider\_transfert"> </div> <div style="width:100%;aspect-ratio:1.28;display: inline-block;" id="graphe"> </div> <div id="out"></div>

* I am satisfied with my custom redistribution. (4)
* I want to skip this question. (5)

End of Block: Custom redistr

Start of Block: Well-being

|  |
| --- |
|  |

well\_being\_gallup\_0 Please imagine a ladder, with steps numbered from 0 at the bottom to 10 at the top. The top of the ladder represents the best possible life for you and the bottom of the ladder represents the worst possible life for you. <br><br>On which step of the ladder would you say you personally feel you stand at this time?

* Worst possible0 (1)
* 1 (14)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* Best possible10 (23)

|  |
| --- |
|  |

well\_being\_gallup\_1 Please imagine a ladder, with steps numbered from 1 at the bottom to 10 at the top. The top of the ladder represents the best possible life for you and the bottom of the ladder represents the worst possible life for you. <br><br>On which step of the ladder would you say you personally feel you stand at this time?

* Worst possible1 (1)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* Best possible10 (23)

|  |
| --- |
|  |

well\_being\_wvs\_0 All things considered, how satisfied are you with your life as a whole these days?

* Completely dissatisfied0 (1)
* 1 (14)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* Completely satisfied10 (23)

|  |
| --- |
|  |

well\_being\_wvs\_1 All things considered, how satisfied are you with your life as a whole these days?

* Completely dissatisfied1 (1)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* Completely satisfied10 (23)

End of Block: Well-being

Start of Block: Comprehension

Display this question:

If cut = 0

Or variant\_comprehension = 1

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |

gcs\_comprehension <div><i>Comprehension question: one respondent with the expected answer will get <span id="amount\_lottery">[amount\_lottery: $100]</span>.</i><br><br>How would gasoline prices change as a result of the Global Climate Scheme? <br>Gasoline prices would...</div> <div></div>

* increase (2)
* not be affected (3)
* decrease (5)

End of Block: Comprehension

Start of Block: Synthetic questions

Display this question:

If variant\_synthetic = 1

Or cut = 0

my\_tax\_global\_nation To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement? "My taxes should go towards solving global problems."

* Strongly agree (1)
* Agree (2)
* Neither agree nor disagree (3)
* Disagree (4)
* Strongly disagree (5)

Display this question:

If variant\_synthetic = 0

Or cut = 0

|  |
| --- |
|  |

group\_defended Which group of people do you advocate for when you vote?

* Sentient beings (humans and animals) (1)
* Humans (2)
* [country\_adjective\_plural: Americans] (3)
* People from my community (for example my region, my religion, my gender…) (4)
* My family and myself (8)

End of Block: Synthetic questions

Start of Block: Extra questions (unused)

donation\_charities How much did you give to charitable organizations in 2022?

* I did not make donations to charities last year. (1)
* Less than $100. (2)
* Between $101 and $500. (3)
* Between $501 and $1,000. (4)
* Between $1,001 and $5,000. (5)
* More than $5,000. (6)

interested\_politics To what extent are you interested in politics?

* Not at all (0)
* A little (1)
* Moderately (2)
* A lot (3)
* A great deal (4)

involvement\_govt Where would you rate yourself on a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 means you think the government should limit yourself to only providing the most basic government functions, and 5 means you think the government should take active steps in every area it can to enhance the lives of its citizens?

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Desired involvement of government () |  |

End of Block: Extra questions (unused)

Start of Block: Feedback

Q93 Timing

First Click (1)

Last Click (2)

Page Submit (3)

Click Count (4)

Display this question:

If cut = 0

|  |
| --- |
|  |

survey\_biased Do you feel that this survey was politically biased?

* Yes, left-wing biased (1)
* Yes, right-wing biased (2)
* No, I do not feel it was biased (3)

Display this question:

If cut = 0

comment\_field The survey is nearing completion. You can now enter any comments, thoughts, or suggestions in the field below.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

End of Block: Feedback