robustness\_global\_redistr

Start of Block: Welcome

|  |
| --- |
|  |

consent Welcome to this survey! This survey is **anonymous** and is conducted **for research** purposes on a representative sample of [sample\_size: 3,000] [nationality: American people]. It takes around 20 min to complete. The survey contains lotteries and awards for those who get the correct answer to some comprehension questions. If you are attentive and lucky, **you can win up to [amount\_lottery: $100]**. Please answer every question carefully. By clicking on the button below, you consent to the terms and conditions.

consent Welcome to this survey! This survey is **anonymous** and is conducted **for research** purposes on a representative sample of [sample\_size: 3,000] [nationality: American people]. It takes around 20 min to complete. The survey contains lotteries and awards for those who get the correct answer to some comprehension questions. If you are attentive and lucky, **you can win up to [amount\_lottery: $100]**. Please answer every question carefully. By clicking on the button below, you consent to the terms and conditions.

consent Welcome to this survey! This survey is **anonymous** and is conducted **for research** purposes on a representative sample of [sample\_size: 3,000] [nationality: American people]. It takes around 20 min to complete. The survey contains lotteries and awards for those who get the correct answer to some comprehension questions. If you are attentive and lucky, **you can win up to [amount\_lottery: $100]**. Please answer every question carefully. By clicking on the button below, you consent to the terms and conditions.

consent Benvenuti a questo sondaggio! L'indagine è **anonimo** ed è condotta per scopi di **ricerca** su un campione rappresentativo di [sample\_size: 3.000] [nationality: americani]. Sono necessari circa 20 minuti per il completamento dell'indagine. Il sondaggio contiene lotterie e premi per coloro che rispondono correttamente ad alcune domande di comprensione. Se sei attento e fortunato, **potresti vincere fino a [amount\_lottery: $100]**. Ti preghiamo di rispondere a ogni domanda con attenzione.

consent Welcome to this survey! This survey is **anonymous** and is conducted **for research** purposes on a representative sample of [sample\_size: 3,000] [nationality: American people]. It takes around 20 min to complete. The survey contains lotteries and awards for those who get the correct answer to some comprehension questions. If you are attentive and lucky, **you can win up to [amount\_lottery: $100]**. Please answer every question carefully. By clicking on the button below, you consent to the terms and conditions.

مرحبًا بك في هذا الاستطلاع! يُرجى العلم أن استطلاع الرأي هذا **مجهول الهوية** ويتم إجراؤه لأغراض **بحثية** على عينة تبلغ [sample\_size: 3,000] [nationality: American people]   ستحتاج قرابة ال20 دقيقة للانتهاء من هذا الاستطلاع. يقدّم هذا الاستطلاع مجموعة من الجوائز والهدايا لهؤلاء الذين يتمكنون من الإجابة على أسئلة الفهم بطريقة صحيحة إذا كنت محظوظًا وتمكنت من الإجابة بشكل صحيح، **ستفوز بهدية تصل قيمتها إلى [amount\_lottery: $100]**. برجاء الإجابة على كافة الأسئلة بتمعن. بالنقر على الزر أدناه، فإنك توافق على الشروط والأحكام. consent

consent Witamy w badaniu! Niniejsza ankieta jest **anonimowa** i przeprowadzana w **celach badawczych** na reprezentatywnej próbie 3000 Polaków. Wypełnienie ankiety zajmie około 20 minut. Osoby, które udzielą prawidłowej odpowiedzi na kilka specjalnych pytań sprawdzających zawartych w ankiecie, wezmą udział w losowaniu nagród. Wykazując się uważnością, **może Pan/Pani wygrać aż do 500 PLN**. Prosimy o udzielenie przemyślanych odpowiedzi na wszystkie pytania. Klikając przycisk poniżej, wyraża Pan/Pani zgodę na warunki udziału w badaniu.

consent アンケートへのご協力をお願いします！ 本アンケートは**匿名**で実施されており、**調査研究**を目的としています。対象は[sample\_size: 3,000]名の[nationality: American people]です。 所要時間は約20分です。 アンケート内には抽選や賞品付きの設問が含まれており、特定の理解度テストに正解すると賞品を獲得できるチャンスがあります。 注意深く回答し、運が良ければ**最大[amount\_lottery: $100]を獲得できます**。 すべての質問に丁寧にお答えください。 下のボタンをクリックすると、利用規約に同意したものとみなされます。

consent ¡Bienvenido a esta encuesta! Esta encuesta es **anónima** y se lleva a cabo **para fines** de investigación entre una muestra representativa de [sample\_size: 3,000] [nationality: American people]. Toma alrededor de 20 minutos completarla. La encuesta incluye sorteos y premios para aquellos que respondan correctamente a algunas preguntas de comprensión. Si usted está atento y es afortunado, **puede ganar hasta [amount\_lottery: $100]**. Por favor responda cada pregunta cuidadosamente. Al hacer clic en el botón a continuación, usted acepta los términos y condiciones.

consent Welcome to this survey! This survey is **anonymous** and is conducted **for research** purposes on a representative sample of [sample\_size: 3,000] [nationality: American people]. It takes around 20 min to complete. The survey contains lotteries and awards for those who get the correct answer to some comprehension questions. If you are attentive and lucky, **you can win up to [amount\_lottery: $100]**. Please answer every question carefully. By clicking on the button below, you consent to the terms and conditions.

consent Willkommen zu dieser Umfrage! Diese Umfrage ist **anonym** und wird zu **Forschungszwecken** mit einer repräsentativen Stichprobe von [sample\_size: 3,000] [nationality: American people]n durchgeführt. Die Umfrage dauert ungefähr 20 Minuten. Teilnehmende können durch richtige Antworten auf einige Verständnisfragen an Verlosungen von Prämien teilnehmen. Mit Sorgfalt und etwas Glück haben Sie die Chance, **bis zu [amount\_lottery: $100] zu gewinnen.** Durch Klicken auf den folgenden Button erklären Sie sich mit den Nutzungsbedingungen einverstanden.

consent Bienvenue dans cette enquête ! Cette enquête est **anonyme** et est menée **à des fins de recherche** sur un échantillon représentatif de [sample\_size: 3 000] [nationality: Français]. Elle prend environ 20 minutes. L'enquête contient des loteries et des récompenses pour ceux qui obtiennent la bonne réponse à certaines questions de compréhension. Si vous êtes attentif/ve et chanceux/se, **vous pouvez gagner jusqu'à [amount\_lottery: 100 $]**. Veuillez répondre soigneusement à chaque question. En cliquant sur le bouton ci-dessous, vous acceptez les conditions générales d'utilisation.

consent Benvenuti a questo sondaggio! L'indagine è **anonima** ed è condotta per scopi di **ricerca** su un campione rappresentativo di [sample\_size: 3,000] [nationality: American people]. Sono necessari circa 20 minuti per il completamento dell'indagine. Il sondaggio contiene lotterie e premi per coloro che rispondono correttamente ad alcune domande di comprensione. Se sei attento e fortunato, **potresti vincere fino a [amount\_lottery: $100]**. Ti preghiamo di rispondere a ogni domanda con attenzione. Cliccando sul pulsante sottostante, acconsenti ai termini e alle condizioni in oggetto.

consent Welcome to this survey! This survey is **anonymous** and is conducted **for research** purposes on a representative sample of [sample\_size: 3,000] [nationality: American people]. It takes around 20 min to complete. The survey contains lotteries and awards for those who get the correct answer to some comprehension questions. If you are attentive and lucky, **you can win up to [amount\_lottery: $100]**. Please answer every question carefully. By clicking on the button below, you consent to the terms and conditions.

consent Welcome to this survey! This survey is **anonymous** and is conducted **for research** purposes on a representative sample of [sample\_size: 3,000] [nationality: American people]. It takes around 20 min to complete. The survey contains lotteries and awards for those who get the correct answer to some comprehension questions. If you are attentive and lucky, **you can win up to [amount\_lottery: $100]**. Please answer every question carefully. By clicking on the button below, you consent to the terms and conditions.
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End of Block: Welcome

Start of Block: Socio-demographics

|  |
| --- |
|  |

gender What is your gender?

* Woman (1)
* Man (2)
* Other (3)

gender What is your gender?

* Woman (1)
* Man (2)
* Other (3)

gender What is your gender?

* Woman (1)
* Man (2)
* Other (3)

gender Qual è il tuo genere?

* Donna (1)
* Uomo (2)
* Altro (3)

gender What is your gender?

* Woman (1)
* Man (2)
* Other (3)

ما هو جنسك؟ gender

* (1) أنثى
* (2) ذكر
* (3) جنس أخر

gender Jakiej jest Pan/Pani płci?

* Kobieta (1)
* Mężczyzna (2)
* Inna (3)

gender あなたの性別を教えてください。

* 女性 (1)
* 男性 (2)
* その他 (3)

gender ¿Cuál es su género?

* Mujer (1)
* Hombre (2)
* Otro (3)

gender What is your gender?

* Woman (1)
* Man (2)
* Other (3)

gender Mit welchem Geschlecht identifizieren Sie sich?

* Frau (1)
* Mann (2)
* Divers/andere Geschlechtsidentität (3)

gender Quel est votre genre ?

* Femme (1)
* Homme (2)
* Autre (3)

gender Qual è il tuo genere?

* Donna (1)
* Uomo (2)
* Altro (3)

gender What is your gender?

* Woman (1)
* Man (2)
* Other (3)

gender What is your gender?

* Woman (1)
* Man (2)
* Other (3)

|  |
| --- |
|  |

hidden\_country What is your country?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

hidden\_country What is your country?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

hidden\_country What is your country?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

hidden\_country In quale Paese vivi?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

hidden\_country What is your country?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

ما هي بلدك الأم؟ hidden\_country

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

hidden\_country W jakim kraju Pan/Pani mieszka?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

hidden\_country あなたの国籍を教えてください。

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

hidden\_country Cuál es su país?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

hidden\_country What is your country?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

hidden\_country In welchem Land leben Sie?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

hidden\_country What is your country?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

hidden\_country In quale Paese vivi?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

hidden\_country What is your country?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

hidden\_country What is your country?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

age\_exact What is your age?

* Below 18 (1)
* 18 to 20 (2)
* 21 to 24 (3)
* 25 to 29 (4)
* 30 to 34 (5)
* 35 to 39 (6)
* 40 to 44 (7)
* 45 to 49 (8)
* 50 to 54 (9)
* 55 to 59 (10)
* 60 to 64 (11)
* 65 to 69 (12)
* 70 to 74 (13)
* 75 to 79 (14)
* 80 to 84 (15)
* 85 to 89 (16)
* 90 to 99 (17)
* 100 or above (18)

age\_exact What is your age?

* Below 18 (1)
* 18 to 20 (2)
* 21 to 24 (3)
* 25 to 29 (4)
* 30 to 34 (5)
* 35 to 39 (6)
* 40 to 44 (7)
* 45 to 49 (8)
* 50 to 54 (9)
* 55 to 59 (10)
* 60 to 64 (11)
* 65 to 69 (12)
* 70 to 74 (13)
* 75 to 79 (14)
* 80 to 84 (15)
* 85 to 89 (16)
* 90 to 99 (17)
* 100 or above (18)

age\_exact What is your age?

* Below 18 (1)
* 18 to 20 (2)
* 21 to 24 (3)
* 25 to 29 (4)
* 30 to 34 (5)
* 35 to 39 (6)
* 40 to 44 (7)
* 45 to 49 (8)
* 50 to 54 (9)
* 55 to 59 (10)
* 60 to 64 (11)
* 65 to 69 (12)
* 70 to 74 (13)
* 75 to 79 (14)
* 80 to 84 (15)
* 85 to 89 (16)
* 90 to 99 (17)
* 100 or above (18)

age\_exact Quanti anni hai?

* Meno di 18 anni (1)
* tra 18 e 20 (2)
* tra 21 e 24 (3)
* tra 25 e 29 (4)
* tra 30 e 34 (5)
* tra 35 e 39 (6)
* tra 40 e 44 (7)
* tra 45 e 49 (8)
* tra 50 e 54 (9)
* tra 55 a 59 (10)
* tra 60 e 64 (11)
* tra 65 e 69 (12)
* tra 70 e 74 (13)
* tra 75 e 79 (14)
* tra 80 e 84 (15)
* tra 85 e 89 (16)
* tra 90 e 99 (17)
* 100 o più (18)

age\_exact What is your age?

* Below 18 (1)
* 18 to 20 (2)
* 21 to 24 (3)
* 25 to 29 (4)
* 30 to 34 (5)
* 35 to 39 (6)
* 40 to 44 (7)
* 45 to 49 (8)
* 50 to 54 (9)
* 55 to 59 (10)
* 60 to 64 (11)
* 65 to 69 (12)
* 70 to 74 (13)
* 75 to 79 (14)
* 80 to 84 (15)
* 85 to 89 (16)
* 90 to 99 (17)
* 100 or above (18)

كم عمرك؟ age\_exact

* (1) أصغر من 18 عامًا
* (2) من 18 إلى 20 عامًا
* (3) من 21 إلى 24 عامًا
* (4) من 25 إلى 29 عامًا
* (5) من 30 إلى 34 عامًا
* (6) من 35 إلى 39 عامًا
* (7) من 40 إلى 44 عامًا
* (8) من 45 إلى 49 عامًا
* (9) من 50 إلى 54 عامًا
* (10) من 55 إلى 59 عامًا
* (11) من 60 إلى 64 عامًا
* (12) من 65 إلى 69 عامًا
* (13) من 70 إلى 74 عامًا
* (14) من 75 إلى 79 عامًا
* (15) من 80 إلى 84 عامًا
* (16) من 85 إلى 89 عامًا
* (17) من 90 إلى 99 عامًا
* (18) أكبر من 100 عامًا

age\_exact Ile ma Pan/Pani lat?

* Mniej niż 18 (1)
* 18 do 20 (2)
* 21 do 24 (3)
* 25 do 29 (4)
* 30 do 34 (5)
* 35 do 39 (6)
* 40 do 44 (7)
* 45 do 49 (8)
* 50 do 54 (9)
* 55 do 59 (10)
* 60 do 64 (11)
* 65 do 69 (12)
* 70 do 74 (13)
* 75 do 79 (14)
* 80 do 84 (15)
* 85 do 89 (16)
* 90 do 99 (17)
* 100 lub więcej (18)

age\_exact あなたの年齢を教えてください。

* 18歳未満 (1)
* 18～20歳 (2)
* 21～24歳 (3)
* 25～29歳 (4)
* 30～34歳 (5)
* 35～39歳 (6)
* 40～44歳 (7)
* 45～49歳 (8)
* 50～54歳 (9)
* 55～59歳 (10)
* 60～64歳 (11)
* 65～69歳 (12)
* 70～74歳 (13)
* 75～79歳 (14)
* 80～84歳 (15)
* 85～89歳 (16)
* 90～99歳 (17)
* 100歳以上 (18)

age\_exact ¿Qué edad tiene?

* Menos de 18 años (1)
* 18 a 20 años (2)
* 21 a 24 años (3)
* 25 a 29 años (4)
* 30 a 34 años (5)
* 35 a 39 años (6)
* 40 a 44 años (7)
* 45 a 49 años (8)
* 50 a 54 años (9)
* 55 a 59 años (10)
* 60 a 64 años (11)
* 65 a 69 años (12)
* 70 a 74 años (13)
* 75 a 79 años (14)
* 80 a 84 años (15)
* 85 a 89 años (16)
* 90 a 99 años (17)
* 100 años o más (18)

age\_exact What is your age?

* Below 18 (1)
* 18 to 20 (2)
* 21 to 24 (3)
* 25 to 29 (4)
* 30 to 34 (5)
* 35 to 39 (6)
* 40 to 44 (7)
* 45 to 49 (8)
* 50 to 54 (9)
* 55 to 59 (10)
* 60 to 64 (11)
* 65 to 69 (12)
* 70 to 74 (13)
* 75 to 79 (14)
* 80 to 84 (15)
* 85 to 89 (16)
* 90 to 99 (17)
* 100 or above (18)

age\_exact Wie alt sind Sie?

* Unter 18 (1)
* zwischen 18 und 20 (2)
* zwischen 21 und 24 (3)
* zwischen 25 und 29 (4)
* zwischen 30 und 34 (5)
* zwischen 35 und 39 (6)
* zwischen 40 und 44 (7)
* zwischen 45 und 49 (8)
* zwischen 50 und 54 (9)
* zwischen 55 und 59 (10)
* zwischen 60 und 64 (11)
* zwischen 65 und 69 (12)
* zwischen 70 und 74 (13)
* zwischen 75 und 79 (14)
* zwischen 80 und 84 (15)
* zwischen 85 und 89 (16)
* zwischen 90 und 99 (17)
* 100 oder älter (18)

age\_exact Quel âge avez-vous ?

* Moins de 18 ans (1)
* Entre 18 et 20 ans (2)
* Entre 21 et 24 ans (3)
* Entre 25 et 29 ans (4)
* Entre 30 et 34 ans (5)
* Entre 35 et 39 ans (6)
* Entre 40 et 44 ans (7)
* Entre 45 et 49 ans (8)
* Entre 50 et 54 ans (9)
* Entre 55 et 59 ans (10)
* Entre 60 et 64 ans (11)
* Entre 65 et 69 ans (12)
* Entre 70 et 74 ans (13)
* Entre 75 et 79 ans (14)
* Entre 80 et 84 ans (15)
* Entre 85 et 89 ans (16)
* Entre 90 et 99 ans (17)
* 100 ans ou plus (18)

age\_exact Quanti anni hai?

* Meno di 18 (1)
* tra 18 e 20 (2)
* tra 21 e 24 (3)
* tra 25 e 29 (4)
* tra 30 e 34 (5)
* tra 35 e 39 (6)
* tra 40 e 44 (7)
* tra 45 e 49 (8)
* tra 50 e 54 (9)
* tra 55 e 59 (10)
* tra 60 e 64 (11)
* tra 65 e 69 (12)
* tra 70 e 74 (13)
* tra 75 e 79 (14)
* tra 80 e 84 (15)
* tra 85 e 89 (16)
* tra 90 e 99 (17)
* 100 o più (18)

age\_exact What is your age?

* Below 18 (1)
* 18 to 20 (2)
* 21 to 24 (3)
* 25 to 29 (4)
* 30 to 34 (5)
* 35 to 39 (6)
* 40 to 44 (7)
* 45 to 49 (8)
* 50 to 54 (9)
* 55 to 59 (10)
* 60 to 64 (11)
* 65 to 69 (12)
* 70 to 74 (13)
* 75 to 79 (14)
* 80 to 84 (15)
* 85 to 89 (16)
* 90 to 99 (17)
* 100 or above (18)

age\_exact What is your age?

* Below 18 (1)
* 18 to 20 (2)
* 21 to 24 (3)
* 25 to 29 (4)
* 30 to 34 (5)
* 35 to 39 (6)
* 40 to 44 (7)
* 45 to 49 (8)
* 50 to 54 (9)
* 55 to 59 (10)
* 60 to 64 (11)
* 65 to 69 (12)
* 70 to 74 (13)
* 75 to 79 (14)
* 80 to 84 (15)
* 85 to 89 (16)
* 90 to 99 (17)
* 100 or above (18)

|  |
| --- |
|  |

foreign Were you or your parents born in a foreign country?

* No, I was born in this country and my parents too (5)
* Not me but one of my parents was born in a foreign country (4)
* Not me but both my parents were born in a foreign country (3)
* Yes, I was born in a foreign country (2)

foreign Were you or your parents born in a foreign country?

* Nein, sowohl ich als auch meine Eltern sind in der Schweiz geboren. (5)
* Not me but one of my parents was born in a foreign country (4)
* Not me but both my parents were born in a foreign country (3)
* Yes, I was born in a foreign country (2)

foreign Were you or your parents born in a foreign country?

* Non, je suis né⋅€ en Suisse et mes parents aussi (5)
* Not me but one of my parents was born in a foreign country (4)
* Not me but both my parents were born in a foreign country (3)
* Yes, I was born in a foreign country (2)

foreign Tu o i tuoi genitori siete nati in un Paese estero?

* No, sono nato in Svizzera così come i miei genitori. (5)
* Io no, ma uno dei miei genitori è nato in un Paese estero. (4)
* Io no, ma entrambi i miei genitori sono nati in un Paese estero. (3)
* Sì, sono nato in un Paese estero. (2)

foreign Were you or your parents born in a foreign country?

* No, I was born in this country and my parents too (5)
* Not me but one of my parents was born in a foreign country (4)
* Not me but both my parents were born in a foreign country (3)
* Yes, I was born in a foreign country (2)

 هل وُلدت أو وُلد أي من والديك في دولة أجنبية؟ foreign

* (5) لا، لقد وُلدت أنا وأبي وأمي في المملكة العربية السعودية.
* (4) لقد وُلدت في المملكة العربية السعودية، ولكن وُلد أحد والديََ في دولة أجنبية.
* (3) لقد وُلدت في المملكة العربية السعودية، لكن وُلدَ أبي وأمي في دولة أجنبية.
* (2) نعم، لقد وُلدت في دولة أجنبية

foreign Czy Pan/Pani lub Pana/Pani rodzice urodzili się za granicą?

* Nie, ja urodziłem(-am) się w Polsce i moi rodzice też (5)
* Tak, ja urodziłem(-am) się w Polsce, a jedno z moich rodziców urodziło się w innym kraju (4)
* Tak, ja urodziłem(-am) się w Polsce, a moi rodzice (oboje) urodzili się w innym kraju (3)
* Tak, urodziłem(-am) się w innym kraju (2)

foreign あなた、またはあなたのご両親は外国で生まれましたか？

* いいえ、私も両親も日本で生まれました。 (5)
* 私はこの国で生まれましたが、両親のどちらかが外国で生まれました。 (4)
* 私はこの国で生まれましたが、両親はともに外国で生まれました。 (3)
* はい、私は外国で生まれました。 (2)

foreign ¿Sus padres nacieron en un país en el extranjero?

* No, yo nací en España y mis padres también. (5)
* Yo no, pero uno de mis padres nació en un país en el extranjero. (4)
* Yo no, pero mis padres nacieron en un país en el extranjero. (3)
* Sí, yo nací en un país en el extranjero. (2)

foreign Were you or your parents born in a foreign country?

* No, I was born in this country and my parents too (5)
* Not me but one of my parents was born in a foreign country (4)
* Not me but both my parents were born in a foreign country (3)
* Yes, I was born in a foreign country (2)

foreign Sind Sie oder Ihre Eltern in einem anderen Land geboren?

* Nein, sowohl ich als auch meine Eltern sind in Deutschland geboren. (5)
* Nein, ich nicht, aber ein Elternteil ist in einem anderen Land geboren. (4)
* Nein, ich nicht, aber beide Elternteile sind in einem anderen Land geboren. (3)
* Ja, ich bin in einem anderen Land geboren. (2)

foreign Êtes-vous né⋅e ou vos parents sont-ils nés dans un pays étranger ?

* Non, je suis né⋅e en France et mes parents aussi (5)
* Pas moi mais un de mes parents est né à l'étranger (4)
* Pas moi mais mes deux parents sont nés à l'étranger (3)
* Oui, je suis né à l'étranger (2)

foreign Tu o i tuoi genitori siete nati in un Paese estero?

* No, sono nato in Italia e anche i miei genitori (5)
* Io no, ma uno dei miei genitori è nato in un Paese estero (4)
* Io no, ma entrambi i miei genitori sono nati in un Paese estero (3)
* Sì, sono nato in un Paese estero (2)

foreign Were you or your parents born in a foreign country?

* No, I was born in this country and my parents too (5)
* Not me but one of my parents was born in a foreign country (4)
* Not me but both my parents were born in a foreign country (3)
* Yes, I was born in a foreign country (2)

foreign Were you or your parents born in a foreign country?

* No, I was born in this country and my parents too (5)
* Not me but one of my parents was born in a foreign country (4)
* Not me but both my parents were born in a foreign country (3)
* Yes, I was born in a foreign country (2)

couple Do you live with your partner (if you have one)?

* Yes (1)
* No (2)

couple Do you live with your partner (if you have one)?

* Yes (1)
* No (2)

couple Do you live with your partner (if you have one)?

* Yes (1)
* No (2)

couple Vivi con il tuo partner (se ne hai uno)?

* Sì (1)
* No (2)

couple Do you live with your partner (if you have one)?

* Yes (1)
* No (2)

هل تعيش مع زوجتك أو تعيشين مع زوجك (إذا كان لديك/لديكِ زوجة أو زوج)؟ couple

* (1) نعم
* (2) لا

couple Czy mieszka Pan/Pani ze swoim partnerem / partnerką (jeśli go / ją Pan/Pani posiada)?

* Tak (1)
* Nie (2)

couple （パートナーがいる場合）一緒に暮らしていますか？

* はい (1)
* いいえ (2)

couple ¿Vive con su pareja (en caso de tenerla)?

* Sí (1)
* No (2)

couple Do you live with your partner (if you have one)?

* Yes (1)
* No (2)

couple Leben Sie mit Ihrem bzw. ihrer Partner:in zusammen (sofern Sie in einer Beziehung sind)?

* Ja (1)
* Nein (2)

couple Vivez-vous avec votre partenaire (si vous en avez un) ?

* Oui (1)
* Non (2)

couple Vivi con il tuo partner (se ne hai uno)?

* Sì (1)
* No (2)

couple Do you live with your partner (if you have one)?

* Yes (1)
* No (2)

couple Do you live with your partner (if you have one)?

* Yes (1)
* No (2)

hh\_size   
How many people are there in your household?   
The household includes: **you**, your spouse, **your family members** who live with you, and your dependents (not flatmates).

* 1 (2)
* 2 (3)
* 3 (4)
* 4 (5)
* 5 or more (6)

hh\_size   
How many people are there in your household?   
The household includes: **you**, your spouse, **your family members** who live with you, and your dependents (not flatmates).

* 1 (2)
* 2 (3)
* 3 (4)
* 4 (5)
* 5 or more (6)

hh\_size   
How many people are there in your household?   
The household includes: **you**, your spouse, **your family members** who live with you, and your dependents (not flatmates).

* 1 (2)
* 2 (3)
* 3 (4)
* 4 (5)
* 5 or more (6)

hh\_size   
Da quante persone è composto il tuo nucleo familiare?   
Il nucleo familiare comprende: **te**, il tuo coniuge, **i membri della tua famiglia** che vivono con te e le persone a tuo carico (non sono inclusi i coinquilini).

* 1 (2)
* 2 (3)
* 3 (4)
* 4 (5)
* 5 o più (6)

hh\_size   
How many people are there in your household?   
The household includes: **you**, your spouse, **your family members** who live with you, and your dependents (not flatmates).

* 1 (2)
* 2 (3)
* 3 (4)
* 4 (5)
* 5 or more (6)

كم عدد أفراد أسرتك؟   
يُرجى مراعاة أنك أحد أفراد الأسرة ويشمل ذلك زوجتك، **وأفراد عائلتك** المقيمين معك في نفس المنزل، أو أفراد أسرتك الذين تعولهم. hh\_size

* (2) فرد واحد
* (3) فردان
* (4) ثلاثة أفراد
* (5) أربعة أفرد
* (6) 5 أفراد أو أكثر

hh\_size   
Ile osób łącznie mieszka w Pana/Pani gospodarstwie domowym?   
Do gospodarstwa domowego zaliczają się: **Pan/Pani**, członkowie Pana/Pani **rodziny** mieszkający z Panem/Panią oraz osoby pozostające na Pana/Pani utrzymaniu.

* 1 (2)
* 2 (3)
* 3 (4)
* 4 (5)
* 5 lub więcej (6)

hh\_size   
あなたの世帯の人数は何人ですか？   
世帯には以下の方が含まれます：**あなた自身**、配偶者、同居している**家族**、および扶養家族

* 1人 (2)
* 2人 (3)
* 3人 (4)
* 4人 (5)
* 5人以上 (6)

hh\_size ¿Cuántas personas viven en su hogar? Su hogar lo componen: **usted**, los miembros de **su familia que vivan con usted** y las personas a su cargo.

* 1 (2)
* 2 (3)
* 3 (4)
* 4 (5)
* 5 ó más (6)

hh\_size   
How many people are there in your household?   
The household includes: **you**, your spouse, **your family members** who live with you, and your dependents (not flatmates).

* 1 (2)
* 2 (3)
* 3 (4)
* 4 (5)
* 5 or more (6)

hh\_size   
Wie viele Personen leben in Ihrem Haushalt?   
Der Haushalt umfasst: **Sie selbst**, die bei Ihnen **lebenden Familienmitglieder** und Ihre Unterhaltsberechtigten.

* 1 (2)
* 2 (3)
* 3 (4)
* 4 (5)
* 5 oder mehr (6)

hh\_size   
Combien de personnes composent votre ménage ?   
Le ménage inclut : **vous**, votre conjoint, les membres de **votre famille** qui viventavec vous, et les personnes à votre charge (pas les colocataires).

* 1 (2)
* 2 (3)
* 3 (4)
* 4 (5)
* 5 ou plus (6)

hh\_size   
Da quante persone è composto il tuo nucleo familiare?   
Il nucleo familiare comprende: **te**, il tuo coniuge, **i membri della tua famiglia** che vivono con te e le persone a tuo carico (non sono inclusi i coinquilini).

* 1 (2)
* 2 (3)
* 3 (4)
* 4 (5)
* 5 o più (6)

hh\_size   
How many people are there in your household?   
The household includes: **you**, your spouse, **your family members** who live with you, and your dependents (not flatmates).

* 1 (2)
* 2 (3)
* 3 (4)
* 4 (5)
* 5 or more (6)

hh\_size   
How many people are there in your household?   
The household includes: **you**, your spouse, **your family members** who live with you, and your dependents (not flatmates).

* 1 (2)
* 2 (3)
* 3 (4)
* 4 (5)
* 5 or more (6)

Nb\_children\_\_14 How many children under the age of 14 live with you?

* 0 (1)
* 1 (2)
* 2 (3)
* 3 (4)
* 4 or more (5)

Nb\_children\_\_14 How many children under the age of 14 live with you?

* 0 (1)
* 1 (2)
* 2 (3)
* 3 (4)
* 4 or more (5)

Nb\_children\_\_14 How many children under the age of 14 live with you?

* 0 (1)
* 1 (2)
* 2 (3)
* 3 (4)
* 4 or more (5)

Nb\_children\_\_14 Quanti bambini di età inferiore ai 14 anni vivono con te?

* 0 (1)
* 1 (2)
* 2 (3)
* 3 (4)
* 4 o più (5)

Nb\_children\_\_14 How many children under the age of 14 live with you?

* 0 (1)
* 1 (2)
* 2 (3)
* 3 (4)
* 4 or more (5)

كم عدد الأطفال اللذين يعيشون معك وتقل أعمارهم عن 14 عام؟ Nb\_children\_\_14

* (1) لا يوجد
* (2) طفل واحد
* (3) طفلان
* (4) ثلاثة أطفال
* (5) 4 أطفال أو أكثر

Nb\_children\_\_14 Ile dzieci poniżej 14 roku życia mieszka razem z Panem/Panią?

* 0 (1)
* 1 (2)
* 2 (3)
* 3 (4)
* 4 lub więcej (5)

Nb\_children\_\_14 14歳未満のお子様は何人同居していますか？

* 0 (1)
* 1 (2)
* 2 (3)
* 3 (4)
* 4 以上 (5)

Nb\_children\_\_14 ¿Cuántos niños menores de 14 años viven con usted?

* 0 (1)
* 1 (2)
* 2 (3)
* 3 (4)
* 4 ó más (5)

Nb\_children\_\_14 How many children under the age of 14 live with you?

* 0 (1)
* 1 (2)
* 2 (3)
* 3 (4)
* 4 or more (5)

Nb\_children\_\_14 Wie viele Kinder unter 14 Jahren leben bei Ihnen?

* 0 (1)
* 1 (2)
* 2 (3)
* 3 (4)
* 4 oder mehr (5)

Nb\_children\_\_14 Combien d'enfants de moins de 14 ans vivent avec vous ?

* 0 (1)
* 1 (2)
* 2 (3)
* 3 (4)
* 4 ou plus (5)

Nb\_children\_\_14 Quanti bambini di età inferiore a 14 anni vivono con te?

* 0 (1)
* 1 (2)
* 2 (3)
* 3 (4)
* 4 o più (5)

Nb\_children\_\_14 How many children under the age of 14 live with you?

* 0 (1)
* 1 (2)
* 2 (3)
* 3 (4)
* 4 or more (5)

Nb\_children\_\_14 How many children under the age of 14 live with you?

* 0 (1)
* 1 (2)
* 2 (3)
* 3 (4)
* 4 or more (5)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Page Break |  |

Display this question:

If country = US

race What race or ethnicity do you identify with? (Multiple answers are possible)

* White (1)
* Black or African American (2)
* Hispanic (3)
* Asian (4)
* American Indian or Alaskan Native (5)
* Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander (6)
* Other (7)
* Prefer not to say (8)

race What race or ethnicity do you identify with? (Multiple answers are possible)

* White (1)
* Black or African American (2)
* Hispanic (3)
* Asian (4)
* American Indian or Alaskan Native (5)
* Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander (6)
* Other (7)
* Prefer not to say (8)

race What race or ethnicity do you identify with? (Multiple answers are possible)

* White (1)
* Black or African American (2)
* Hispanic (3)
* Asian (4)
* American Indian or Alaskan Native (5)
* Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander (6)
* Other (7)
* Prefer not to say (8)

race In quale razza o etnia ti identifichi? (Sono possibili risposte multiple)

* Bianca (1)
* Nera o afroamericana (2)
* Ispanica (3)
* Asiatica (4)
* Nativo-americana o nativo dell'Alaska (5)
* Nativo hawaiano o isolano del Pacifico (6)
* Altro (7)
* Preferisco non rispondere (8)

race What race or ethnicity do you identify with? (Multiple answers are possible)

* White (1)
* Black or African American (2)
* Hispanic (3)
* Asian (4)
* American Indian or Alaskan Native (5)
* Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander (6)
* Other (7)
* Prefer not to say (8)

لأي الأعراق تنتمي؟ (يمكنك اختيار أكثر من إجابة واحدة) race

* (1) أبيض
* (2) أسود أو أمريكي من أصل أفريقي
* (3) اسباني
* (4) أسيوي
* (5) أمريكي من الهنود الحمر أو ألاسكي أصلي
* (6) مواطن من هاواي أو من جزر المحيط الهادئ
* (7) أعراق أخرى
* (8) أفضل عدم الإجابة عن هذا السؤال

race What race or ethnicity do you identify with? (Multiple answers are possible)

* White (1)
* Black or African American (2)
* Hispanic (3)
* Asian (4)
* American Indian or Alaskan Native (5)
* Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander (6)
* Other (7)
* Prefer not to say (8)

race あなたが該当すると考える人種・民族をお選びください。（複数選択可）

* 白人 (1)
* 黒人またはアフリカ系アメリカ人 (2)
* ヒスパニック (3)
* アジア系 (4)
* アメリカ先住民またはアラスカ先住民 (5)
* ハワイ先住民または太平洋諸島系 (6)
* その他 (7)
* 回答しない (8)

race ¿Con qué raza o etnia se identifica usted? (Es permitido marcar varias respuestas)

* Blanca (1)
* Negra o Africana Americana (2)
* Hispánica (3)
* Asiática (4)
* India Americana o Nativa de Alaska (5)
* Hawaiana Nativa o Isleña del Pacífico (6)
* Otra (7)
* Prefiero no decir (8)

race What race or ethnicity do you identify with? (Multiple answers are possible)

* White (1)
* Black or African American (2)
* Hispanic (3)
* Asian (4)
* American Indian or Alaskan Native (5)
* Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander (6)
* Other (7)
* Prefer not to say (8)

race Mit welcher ethnischen Herkunft oder Zugehörigkeit identifizieren Sie sich? (Mehrfachantworten möglich)

* Europäische Herkunft (1)
* Schwarz oder afrikanische Herkunft (2)
* Lateinamerikanische Herkunft (3)
* Asiatische Herkunft (4)
* Indigene Bevölkerungsgruppe Nordamerikas (einschließlich Ureinwohner/-innen und indigener Alaskaner/-innen) (5)
* Indigene Bevölkerungsgruppe aus Hawaii oder anderen pazifischen Inseln (6)
* Andere (7)
* Keine Angabe (8)

race What race or ethnicity do you identify with? (Multiple answers are possible)

* White (1)
* Black or African American (2)
* Hispanic (3)
* Asian (4)
* American Indian or Alaskan Native (5)
* Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander (6)
* Other (7)
* Prefer not to say (8)

race In quale razza o etnia ti identifichi? (Sono possibili risposte multiple)

* Bianca (1)
* Nera o afroamericana (2)
* Ispanica (3)
* Asiatica (4)
* Nativo-americana o nativo dell'Alaska (5)
* Nativo hawaiano o isolano del Pacifico (6)
* Altro (7)
* Preferisco non rispondere (8)

race What race or ethnicity do you identify with? (Multiple answers are possible)

* White (1)
* Black or African American (2)
* Hispanic (3)
* Asian (4)
* American Indian or Alaskan Native (5)
* Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander (6)
* Other (7)
* Prefer not to say (8)

race What race or ethnicity do you identify with? (Multiple answers are possible)

* White (1)
* Black or African American (2)
* Hispanic (3)
* Asian (4)
* American Indian or Alaskan Native (5)
* Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander (6)
* Other (7)
* Prefer not to say (8)

|  |
| --- |
|  |

income   
What is the ***[periodicity\_text: monthly]* [income\_type: gross] income of your household**, [income\_type\_long: after taxes and transfers]?   
This includes all sources of income: wages, pensions, welfare payments, property income, dividends, self-employment earnings, Social Security benefits, and income from other sources.

* less than $100 (5)
* between $100 and $200 (6)
* between $201 and $250 (11)
* between $251 and $300 (20)
* between $301 and $400 (12)
* between $401 and $500 (13)
* between $501 and $600 (14)
* between $601 and $700 (15)
* between $701 and $750 (21)
* between $751 and $800 (16)
* between $801 and $900 (8)
* more than $900 (9)
* Prefer not to say (23)

income   
What is the ***[periodicity\_text: monthly]* [income\_type: gross] income of your household**, [income\_type\_long: after taxes and transfers]?   
This includes all sources of income: wages, pensions, welfare payments, property income, dividends, self-employment earnings, Social Security benefits, and income from other sources.

* less than $100 (5)
* between $100 and $200 (6)
* between $201 and $250 (11)
* between $251 and $300 (20)
* between $301 and $400 (12)
* between $401 and $500 (13)
* between $501 and $600 (14)
* between $601 and $700 (15)
* between $701 and $750 (21)
* between $751 and $800 (16)
* between $801 and $900 (8)
* more than $900 (9)
* Prefer not to say (23)

income   
What is the ***[periodicity\_text: monthly]* [income\_type: gross] income of your household**, [income\_type\_long: after taxes and transfers]?   
This includes all sources of income: wages, pensions, welfare payments, property income, dividends, self-employment earnings, Social Security benefits, and income from other sources.

* less than $100 (5)
* between $100 and $200 (6)
* between $201 and $250 (11)
* between $251 and $300 (20)
* between $301 and $400 (12)
* between $401 and $500 (13)
* between $501 and $600 (14)
* between $601 and $700 (15)
* between $701 and $750 (21)
* between $751 and $800 (16)
* between $801 and $900 (8)
* more than $900 (9)
* Prefer not to say (23)

income   
Qual è il **reddito *[periodicity\_text: monthly]* [income\_type: gross] del tuo nucleo familiare**, [income\_type\_long: after taxes and transfers]?   
Sono incluse tutte le forme di reddito: salari, pensioni, sussidi, reddito da proprietà, dividendi, entrate da lavoro autonomo, prestazioni previdenziali, e reddito da altre fonti.

* meno di $100 (5)
* tra $101 e $200 (6)
* tra $201 e $250 (11)
* tra $251 e $300 (20)
* tra $301 e $400 (12)
* tra $401 e $500 (13)
* tra $501 e $600 (14)
* tra $601 e $700 (15)
* tra $701 e $750 (21)
* tra $751 e $800 (16)
* tra $801 e $900 (8)
* più di $900 (9)
* Preferisco non rispondere (23)

income   
What is the ***[periodicity\_text: monthly]* [income\_type: gross] income of your household**, [income\_type\_long: after taxes and transfers]?   
This includes all sources of income: wages, pensions, welfare payments, property income, dividends, self-employment earnings, Social Security benefits, and income from other sources.

* less than $100 (5)
* between $100 and $200 (6)
* between $201 and $250 (11)
* between $251 and $300 (20)
* between $301 and $400 (12)
* between $401 and $500 (13)
* between $501 and $600 (14)
* between $601 and $700 (15)
* between $701 and $750 (21)
* between $751 and $800 (16)
* between $801 and $900 (8)
* more than $900 (9)
* Prefer not to say (23)

كم يبلغ  **[income\_type: gross] الدخل *[periodicity\_text: monthly]* الخاص بك**, [income\_type\_long: after taxes and transfers]؟   
يشمل ذلك المعاشات التقاعدية، والدخل الناتج عن الممتلكات العقارية، وأرباح الأسهم، وأرباح العمل الخاص، ومزايا الضمان الاجتماعي، وكافة مصادر الدخل الأخرى. income

* (5) أقل من $100
* (6) يتراوح بين $100 و $200
* (11) يتراوح بين $201 و $250
* (20) يتراوح بين $251 و $300
* (12) يتراوح بين $301 و $400
* (13) يتراوح بين $401 و $500
* (14) يتراوح بين $501 و $600
* (15) يتراوح بين $601 و $700
* (21) يتراوح بين $701 و $750
* (16) يتراوح بين $751 و $800
* (8) يتراوح بين $801 و $900
* (9) أكثر من $900
* (23) أفضل عدم الإجابة عن هذا السؤال

income   
W którym przedziale mieści się ***miesięczny* dochód netto Pana/Pani gospodarstwa domowego, po odliczeniu podatków i składek?**     
Obejmuje to wszystkie dochody: wynagrodzenia, emerytury, świadczenia socjalne, dochody z tytułu własności, dywidendy, dochody z działalności gospodarczej, świadczenia z tytułu zabezpieczenia społecznego i dochody z innych źródeł.

* mniej niż $100 (5)
* od $100 do $200 (6)
* od $201 do $250 (11)
* od $251 do $300 (20)
* od $301 do 400 (12)
* od $401 do $500 (13)
* od $501 do $600 (14)
* od $601 do $700 (15)
* od $701 do $750 (21)
* od $751 do $800 (16)
* od $801 do $900 (8)
* więcej niż $900 (9)
* Wolę nie odpowiadać (23)

income   
**世帯年収**はどれくらいですか？ [income\_type\_long: after taxes and transfers]の金額をお答えください。   
これには、給与、年金、福祉給付、不動産収入、配当金、自営業収入、社会保障給付、およびその他の収入が含まれます。

* $100 以下 (5)
* $100 から $200 の間 (6)
* $201 から $250 の間 (11)
* $251 から $300 の間 (20)
* $301 から $400 の間 (12)
* $401 から $500 の間 (13)
* $501 から $600 の間 (14)
* $601 から $700 の間 (15)
* $701 から $750 の間 (21)
* $751 から $800 の間 (16)
* $801 から $900 の間 (8)
* $900 以上 (9)
* 回答しない (23)

income Cuál es el ***ingreso [income\_type: gross] [periodicity\_text: monthly]* de su familia**, [income\_type\_long: after taxes and transfers]? Esto incluye todas las fuentes de ingreso: salarios, pensiones, pagos de asistencia social, ingreso de la propiedad, dividendos, trabajo por cuenta propia, beneficios de Seguridad Social, e ingresos de otras fuentes.

* Menos de $100 (5)
* entre $100 y $200 (6)
* entre $201 y $250 (11)
* entre $251 y $300 (20)
* entre $301 y $400 (12)
* entre $401 y $500 (13)
* entre $501 y $600 (14)
* entre $601 y $700 (15)
* entre $701 y $750 (21)
* entre $751 y $800 (16)
* entre $801 y $900 (8)
* más de $900 (9)
* Prefiero no decir (23)

income   
What is the ***[periodicity\_text: monthly]* [income\_type: gross] income of your household**, [income\_type\_long: after taxes and transfers]?   
This includes all sources of income: wages, pensions, welfare payments, property income, dividends, self-employment earnings, Social Security benefits, and income from other sources.

* less than $100 (5)
* between $100 and $200 (6)
* between $201 and $250 (11)
* between $251 and $300 (20)
* between $301 and $400 (12)
* between $401 and $500 (13)
* between $501 and $600 (14)
* between $601 and $700 (15)
* between $701 and $750 (21)
* between $751 and $800 (16)
* between $801 and $900 (8)
* more than $900 (9)
* Prefer not to say (23)

income   
Wie hoch ist das ***[periodicity\_text: monthly]* [income\_type: gross] Ihres Haushalts**, [income\_type\_long: after taxes and transfers]?   
Dies umfasst sämtliche Einkommensquellen: Gehälter, Renten, staatliche Unterstützung, Mieteinnahmen, Dividenden, selbstständige Erwerbstätigkeit, Sozialversicherungsleistungen und sonstige Einnahmequellen.

* unter $100 (5)
* zwischen $100 und $200 (6)
* zwischen $201 und $250 (11)
* zwischen $251 und $300 (20)
* zwischen $301 und $400 (12)
* zwischen $401 und $500 (13)
* zwischen $501 und $600 (14)
* zwischen $601 und $700 (15)
* zwischen $701 und $750 (21)
* zwischen $751 und $800 (16)
* zwischen $801 und $900 (8)
* über $900 (9)
* Keine Angabe (23)

income Quel est le revenu **[periodicity\_text: mensuel] [income\_type: brut]** de votre **ménage** [income\_type\_long: après impôts et prestations sociales] ? Sont inclus ici tous les revenus : salaires, pensions, allocations, revenus fonciers, etc.

* Moins de $100 (5)
* Entre $100 et $200 (6)
* Entre $201 et $250 (11)
* Entre $251 et $300 (20)
* Entre $301 et $400 (12)
* Entre $401 et $500 (13)
* Entre $501 et $600 (14)
* Entre $601 et $700 (15)
* Entre $701 et $750 (21)
* Entre $751 et $800 (16)
* Entre $801 et $900 (8)
* Plus de $900 (9)
* Je préfère ne pas répondre (23)

income   
Qual è il **reddito *[periodicity\_text: monthly]* [income\_type: gross] del tuo nucleo familiare**, [income\_type\_long: after taxes and transfers]?   
Sono incluse tutte le forme di reddito: salari, pensioni, sussidi, reddito da proprietà, dividendi, entrate da lavoro autonomo, prestazioni previdenziali, e reddito da altre fonti.

* meno di $100 (5)
* tra $100 e $200 (6)
* tra $201 e $250 (11)
* tra $251 e $300 (20)
* tra $301 e $400 (12)
* tra $401 e $500 (13)
* tra $501 e $600 (14)
* tra $601 e $700 (15)
* tra $701 e $750 (21)
* tra $751 e $800 (16)
* tra $801 e $900 (8)
* più di $900 (9)
* Preferisco non rispondere (23)

income   
What is the ***[periodicity\_text: monthly]* [income\_type: gross] income of your household**, [income\_type\_long: after taxes and transfers]?   
This includes all sources of income: wages, pensions, welfare payments, property income, dividends, self-employment earnings, Social Security benefits, and income from other sources.

* less than $100 (5)
* between $100 and $200 (6)
* between $201 and $250 (11)
* between $251 and $300 (20)
* between $301 and $400 (12)
* between $401 and $500 (13)
* between $501 and $600 (14)
* between $601 and $700 (15)
* between $701 and $750 (21)
* between $751 and $800 (16)
* between $801 and $900 (8)
* more than $900 (9)
* Prefer not to say (23)

income   
What is the ***[periodicity\_text: monthly]* [income\_type: gross] income of your household**, [income\_type\_long: after taxes and transfers]?   
This includes all sources of income: wages, pensions, welfare payments, property income, dividends, self-employment earnings, Social Security benefits, and income from other sources.

* less than $100 (5)
* between $100 and $200 (6)
* between $201 and $250 (11)
* between $251 and $300 (20)
* between $301 and $400 (12)
* between $401 and $500 (13)
* between $501 and $600 (14)
* between $601 and $700 (15)
* between $701 and $750 (21)
* between $751 and $800 (16)
* between $801 and $900 (8)
* more than $900 (9)
* Prefer not to say (23)

|  |
| --- |
|  |

education What is your highest completed education level?

* 0-1 Primary or less (9)
* 2 Medium school (1)
* 2 Some high school (2)
* 3 High school diploma (3)
* 3-4 Vocational training (4)
* 5 Short-cycle tertiary (5)
* 6 Bachelor's (6)
* 7-8 Master's or higher (7)

education What is your highest completed education level?

* 0-1 Primary or less (9)
* 2 Medium school (1)
* 2 Some high school (2)
* 3 High school diploma (3)
* 3-4 Vocational training (4)
* 5 Short-cycle tertiary (5)
* 6 Bachelor's (6)
* 7-8 Master's or higher (7)

education What is your highest completed education level?

* 0-1 Primary or less (9)
* 2 Medium school (1)
* 2 Some high school (2)
* 3 High school diploma (3)
* 3-4 Vocational training (4)
* 5 Short-cycle tertiary (5)
* 6 Bachelor's (6)
* 7-8 Master's or higher (7)

education Qual è il livello di istruzione più elevato che hai raggiunto?

* 0-1 Istruzione primaria o inferiore (9)
* 2 Istruzione secondaria di primo livello (1)
* 2 Istruzione secondaria di secondo livello senza qualifica (2)
* 3 Diploma di maturità o diploma di maturità specializzata (3)
* 3-4 Formazione professionale di base o diploma di maturità professionale (4)
* 5 Istruzione post-scolastica (5)
* 6 Laurea triennale o equivalente (HF, esame professionale, attestato federale) (6)
* 7-8 Laurea magistrale o livello di istruzione superiore (7)

education What is your highest completed education level?

* 0-1 Primary or less (9)
* 2 Medium school (1)
* 2 Some high school (2)
* 3 High school diploma (3)
* 3-4 Vocational training (4)
* 5 Short-cycle tertiary (5)
* 6 Bachelor's (6)
* 7-8 Master's or higher (7)

ما هو أعلى مستوى تعليمي حصلت عليه؟ education

* (9) 0-1 تعليم ابتدائي أو أقل
* (1) 2 تعليم متوسط
* (2) 2 تعليم ثانوي دون إتمام المرحلة
* (3) 3 حاصل على شهادة التعليم الثانوي
* (4) 3-4 تدريب مهني
* (5) 5 دبلوم تقني أو دبلوم جامعي متوسط
* (6) 6 درجة بكالوريوس أو ما يُعادلها
* (7) 7-8 درجة ماجستير أو أعلى

education Jaki jest Pana/Pani najwyższy ukończony poziom wykształcenia?

* Podstawowe lub brak (9)
* Zasadnicze zawodowe (1)
* Średnie zawodowe (2)
* Średnie ogólne (3)
* Policealne / pomaturalne (4)
* Specjalistyczne / kolegium (5)
* Wyższe licencjackie lub równorzędne (6)
* Wyższe magisterskie lub więcej (7)

education 最終学歴をお選びください。

* 0-1 初等教育以下 (9)
* 2 中等教育（中学校・義務教育課程） (1)
* 2 高校中退（一部履修） (2)
* 3 高校卒業（高等学校卒業資格） (3)
* 3-4 職業訓練・専門学校修了 (4)
* 5 短期高等教育（短大・高等専門学校など） (5)
* 6 学士（大学卒業） (6)
* 7-8 修士以上（修士・博士課程修了） (7)

education ¿Cuál es el nivel más alto de educación que haya completado?

* 0-1 Primaria o menor (9)
* 2 Escuela Media (1)
* 2 Alguna Escuela Secundaria (2)
* 3 Diploma de Bachiller (3)
* 3-4 Entrenamiento Vocacional (4)
* 5 Terciario de Ciclo Corto (5)
* 6 Licenciatura (6)
* 7-8 Maestría o más alto (7)

education What is your highest completed education level?

* 0-1 Primary or less (9)
* 2 Medium school (1)
* 2 Some high school (2)
* 3 High school diploma (3)
* 3-4 Vocational training (4)
* 5 Short-cycle tertiary (5)
* 6 Bachelor's (6)
* 7-8 Master's or higher (7)

education Welches ist Ihr höchster abgeschlossener Bildungsabschluss?

* 0-1 Primary or less (9)
* 2 Medium school (1)
* 2 Some high school (2)
* 3 High school diploma (3)
* 3-4 Vocational training (4)
* 5 Short-cycle tertiary (5)
* 6 Bachelor's (6)
* 7-8 Master's or higher (7)

education Quel est votre plus haut niveau de qualification ?

* 0-1 Primary or less (9)
* 2 Medium school (1)
* 2 Some high school (2)
* 3 High school diploma (3)
* 3-4 Vocational training (4)
* 5 Short-cycle tertiary (5)
* 6 Bachelor's (6)
* 7-8 Master's or higher (7)

education Qual è il livello di istruzione più elevato che hai raggiunto?

* 0-1 Istruzione primaria o nessun titolo di studio (9)
* 2 Licenza media (1)
* 2 Scuola superiore, senza diploma di maturità (2)
* 3 Diploma di maturità, esame di Stato (3)
* 3-4 Certificato di specializzazione tecnica superiore (IFTS) (4)
* 5 Diploma di Tecnico superiore (ITS) (5)
* 6 Laurea triennale o equivalente (AFAM…) (6)
* 7-8 Laurea magistrale o livello di istruzione superiore (7)

education What is your highest completed education level?

* 0-1 Primary or less (9)
* 2 Medium school (1)
* 2 Some high school (2)
* 3 High school diploma (3)
* 3-4 Vocational training (4)
* 5 Short-cycle tertiary (5)
* 6 Bachelor's (6)
* 7-8 Master's or higher (7)

education What is your highest completed education level?

* 0-1 Primary or less (9)
* 2 Medium school (1)
* 2 Some high school (2)
* 3 High school diploma (3)
* 3-4 Vocational training (4)
* 5 Short-cycle tertiary (5)
* 6 Bachelor's (6)
* 7-8 Master's or higher (7)

employment\_status What is your employment status?

* Full-time employed (2)
* Part-time employed (3)
* Self-employed (4)
* Student (6)
* Retired (7)
* Unemployed (searching for a job) (5)
* Inactive (not searching for a job) (8)

employment\_status What is your employment status?

* Full-time employed (2)
* Part-time employed (3)
* Self-employed (4)
* Student (6)
* Retired (7)
* Unemployed (searching for a job) (5)
* Inactive (not searching for a job) (8)

employment\_status What is your employment status?

* Full-time employed (2)
* Part-time employed (3)
* Self-employed (4)
* Student (6)
* Retired (7)
* Unemployed (searching for a job) (5)
* Inactive (not searching for a job) (8)

employment\_status What is your employment status?

* Full-time employed (2)
* Part-time employed (3)
* Self-employed (4)
* Student (6)
* Retired (7)
* Unemployed (searching for a job) (5)
* Inactive (not searching for a job) (8)

employment\_status What is your employment status?

* Full-time employed (2)
* Part-time employed (3)
* Self-employed (4)
* Student (6)
* Retired (7)
* Unemployed (searching for a job) (5)
* Inactive (not searching for a job) (8)

ما هو وضعك الوظيفي؟ employment\_status

* (2) موظف بدوام كامل
* (3) موظف بدوام جزئي
* (4) أعمل لحسابي الخاص
* (6) طالب
* (7) متقاعد
* (5) لا أمتلك وظيفة (أبحث عن عمل في الوقت الحالي)
* (8) عاطل (لا أبحث عن عمل في الوقت الحالي)

employment\_status Jaki jest Pana/Pani obecny status zatrudnienia?

* Zatrudnienie w pełnym wymiarze godzin (2)
* Zatrudnienie w niepełnym wymiarze godzin (3)
* Samozatrudnienie (4)
* Uczeń / student (6)
* Emerytura (7)
* Bezrobotny(-a) (poszukuję pracy) (5)
* Nieaktywny(-a) (nie poszukuję pracy) (8)

employment\_status 現在の雇用状況をお選びください。

* フルタイム勤務 (2)
* パートタイム勤務 (3)
* 自営業 (4)
* 学生 (6)
* 退職者 (7)
* 失業中（求職中） (5)
* 無職（求職していない） (8)

employment\_status ¿Cuál es su situación laboral?

* Empleado/a a tiempo completo (2)
* Empleado/a a tiempo parcial (3)
* Trabajador/a autónomo/a (4)
* Estudiante (6)
* Jubilado/a (7)
* Desempleado/a (buscando trabajo) (5)
* Inactivo/a (no busca trabajo) (8)

employment\_status What is your employment status?

* Full-time employed (2)
* Part-time employed (3)
* Self-employed (4)
* Student (6)
* Retired (7)
* Unemployed (searching for a job) (5)
* Inactive (not searching for a job) (8)

employment\_status Wie ist Ihr aktueller Beschäftigungsstatus?

* Vollzeit angestellt (2)
* Teilzeitbeschäftigt (3)
* Selbstständig (4)
* Student:in (6)
* Im Ruhestand/pensioniert (7)
* Arbeitslos (arbeitssuchend) (5)
* Nicht erwerbstätig (nicht auf Jobsuche) (8)

employment\_status Quel est votre statut d'emploi ?

* Employé⋅e à temps plein (2)
* Employé⋅e à temps partiel (3)
* Indépendant⋅e (4)
* Étudiant⋅e (6)
* Retraité⋅e (7)
* Au chômage (en recherche d'emploi) (5)
* Inactif/ve (sans recherche d'emploi) (8)

employment\_status Qual è la tua situazione lavorativa attuale?

* Impiegato a tempo pieno (2)
* Impiegato part-time (3)
* Lavoratore autonomo (4)
* Studente (6)
* Pensionato (7)
* Disoccupato (in cerca di occupazione) (5)
* Inattivo (non sono in cerca di una occupazione) (8)

employment\_status What is your employment status?

* Full-time employed (2)
* Part-time employed (3)
* Self-employed (4)
* Student (6)
* Retired (7)
* Unemployed (searching for a job) (5)
* Inactive (not searching for a job) (8)

employment\_status What is your employment status?

* Full-time employed (2)
* Part-time employed (3)
* Self-employed (4)
* Student (6)
* Retired (7)
* Unemployed (searching for a job) (5)
* Inactive (not searching for a job) (8)

|  |
| --- |
|  |

zipcode What is your ZIP code?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

zipcode What is your zipcode? We ask for the zipcode to balance the sample in terms of degree of urbanization (rural, town or city). The survey will be terminated if your zipcode is not recognized.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

zipcode What is your zipcode? We ask for the zipcode to balance the sample in terms of degree of urbanization (rural, town or city). The survey will be terminated if your zipcode is not recognized.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

zipcode Qual è il tuo NPA (numero postale di avviamento)? Ti chiediamo questa informazione per bilanciare il campione intervistato in termini di grado di urbanizzazione (rurale, città di provincia o grande città). Il sondaggio terminerà se il tuo NPA non viene riconosciuto.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

zipcode What is your zipcode? We ask for the zipcode to balance the sample in terms of degree of urbanization (rural, town or city). The survey will be terminated if your zipcode is not recognized.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

ما هي أول 5 أرقام من الرمز البريدي الخاص بك؟ يهدف طلبنا للرمز البريدي إلى ضمان تمثيل متوازن لمعدل التحضر للعينة المشاركة في الاستطلاع (سواءً كانت مناطق ريفية، أو بلدات صغيرة، أو مدن). سيتم إنهاء الاستطلاع في حالة عدم إدخال الرمز البريدي الخاص بك zipcode

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

zipcode Jaki jest Pana/Pani kod pocztowy? Prosimy o podanie kodu pocztowego, aby zrównoważyć próbę badawczą pod względem wielkości miejscowości (wieś lub miasto). Ankieta zostanie przerwana, jeśli Pana/Pani kod pocztowy nie zostanie rozpoznany.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

zipcode あなたの郵便番号を教えてください。 郵便番号は、都市・町・農村といった地域の都市化の程度に基づいてサンプルを調整するために使用します。 郵便番号が認識されない場合、アンケートは終了となりますのでご了承ください。

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

zipcode ¿Cuál es su código postal? Solicitamos el código postal para equilibrar la muestra según el grado de urbanización (rural, urbano o rural). La encuesta se cancelará si no se reconoce su código postal.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

zipcode What is your zipcode? We ask for the zipcode to balance the sample in terms of degree of urbanization (rural, town or city). The survey will be terminated if your zipcode is not recognized.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

zipcode Wie lautet Ihre Postleitzahl? Wir fragen nach der Postleitzahl, um die Stichprobe in Bezug auf den Urbanisierungsgrad (ländlich, Kleinstadt oder Großstadt) auszugleichen. Die Umfrage wird beendet, wenn Ihre Postleitzahl nicht erkannt wird.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

zipcode Quel est votre code postal ? Nous demandons le code postal afin d'équilibrer l'échantillon en termes de type d'urbanisation (urbain ou rural). L'enquête sera stoppée si votre code postal n'est pas reconnu.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

zipcode Qual è il tuo CAP (codice di avviamento postale)? Ti chiediamo questa informazione per bilanciare il campione intervistato in termini di grado di urbanizzazione (rurale, città di provincia o grande città). Il sondaggio terminerà se il tuo CAP non viene riconosciuto.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

zipcode What is your Outcode (the left part of your postcode)? For example, if your postcode is SN10 1AB, enter SN10. We ask for the zipcode to balance the sample in terms of degree of urbanization (rural, town or city). The survey will be terminated if your zipcode is not recognized.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

zipcode What are the **first 5 digits of your zipcode**? We ask for the zipcode to balance the sample in terms of degree of urbanization (rural, town or city). The survey will be terminated if your zipcode is not recognized.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

|  |
| --- |
|  |

home Are you a homeowner or a tenant? (Multiple answers are possible)

* Tenant (1)
* Owner (2)
* Landlord renting out property (3)
* Hosted free of charge (4)

home Are you a homeowner or a tenant? (Multiple answers are possible)

* Tenant (1)
* Owner (2)
* Landlord renting out property (3)
* Hosted free of charge (4)

home Are you a homeowner or a tenant? (Multiple answers are possible)

* Tenant (1)
* Owner (2)
* Landlord renting out property (3)
* Hosted free of charge (4)

home Are you a homeowner or a tenant? (Multiple answers are possible)

* Tenant (1)
* Owner (2)
* Landlord renting out property (3)
* Hosted free of charge (4)

home Are you a homeowner or a tenant? (Multiple answers are possible)

* Tenant (1)
* Owner (2)
* Landlord renting out property (3)
* Hosted free of charge (4)

هل تمتلك المنزل الذي تقطن فيه أم تستأجره؟ (يمكن اختيار أكثر من إجابة) home

* (1) مستأجر
* (2) مالك
* (3) مالك عقار أؤجر العقار
* (4) مُستضاف مجانًا

home Czy jest Pan/Pani właścicielem, czy najemcą domu/mieszkania? (Możliwe wiele odpowiedzi)

* Najemca (1)
* Właściciel (2)
* Właściciel wynajmujący swoją nieruchomość (3)
* Właściciel udostępniający swoją nieruchomość nieodpłatnie (4)

home あなたは持ち家に住んでいますか、それとも賃貸ですか？（複数選択可）

* 賃貸 (1)
* 持ち家 (2)
* 不動産を貸し出す家主 (3)
* 無償で住居提供を受けている (4)

home ¿Es usted propietario/a o inquilino/a? (Es permitido marcar varias respuestas)

* Inquilino/a (1)
* Propietario/a (2)
* Propietario/a que alquila una propiedad (3)
* Alojado/a de forma gratuita (4)

home Are you a homeowner or a tenant? (Multiple answers are possible)

* Tenant (1)
* Owner (2)
* Landlord renting out property (3)
* Hosted free of charge (4)

home Sind Sie Hausbesitzer:in oder Mieter:in? (Mehrfachnennungen sind möglich)

* Mieter:in (1)
* Eigentümer:in (2)
* Vermieter:in, der/die Eigentum vermietet (3)
* In kostenloser Unterkunft (4)

home Êtes-vous propriétaire ou locataire ? (Réponses multiples possible)

* Locataire (1)
* Propriétaire occupant (2)
* Propriétaire bailleur (3)
* Hébergé⋅e gratuitement (4)

home Sei proprietario di un'abitazione o un affittuario? (Sono possibili risposte multiple)

* Affittuario (1)
* Proprietario (2)
* Proprietario che affitta un immobile (3)
* Ospitato gratuitamente (4)

home Are you a homeowner or a tenant? (Multiple answers are possible)

* Tenant (1)
* Owner (2)
* Landlord renting out property (3)
* Hosted free of charge (4)

home Are you a homeowner or a tenant? (Multiple answers are possible)

* Tenant (1)
* Owner (2)
* Landlord renting out property (3)
* Hosted free of charge (4)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Page Break |  |

|  |
| --- |
|  |

millionaire How likely are you to become a millionaire at some point in your life?

* Very unlikely (1)
* Unlikely (2)
* Likely (3)
* Very likely (4)
* I am already a millionaire (5)

millionaire How likely are you to become a millionaire at some point in your life?

* Very unlikely (1)
* Unlikely (2)
* Likely (3)
* Very likely (4)
* I am already a millionaire (5)

millionaire How likely are you to become a millionaire at some point in your life?

* Very unlikely (1)
* Unlikely (2)
* Likely (3)
* Very likely (4)
* I am already a millionaire (5)

millionaire How likely are you to become a millionaire at some point in your life?

* Very unlikely (1)
* Unlikely (2)
* Likely (3)
* Very likely (4)
* I am already a millionaire (5)

millionaire How likely are you to become a millionaire at some point in your life?

* Very unlikely (1)
* Unlikely (2)
* Likely (3)
* Very likely (4)
* I am already a millionaire (5)

هل من الممكن أن تصبح مليونيرًا في يوم من الأيام؟ millionaire

* (1) مستحيل
* (2) من غير المحتمل
* (3) من المحتمل
* (4) من المرجح جدًا أنه يمكنني أن أصبح مليونيرًا
* (5) أنا مليونير بالفعل

millionaire Na ile jest prawdopodobne, że w którymś momencie swojego życia zostanie Pan/Pani milionerem / milionerką?

* Bardzo mało prawdopodobne (1)
* Mało prawdopodobne (2)
* Prawdopodobne (3)
* Bardzo prawdopodobne (4)
* Już jestem milionerem / milionerką (5)

millionaire あなたが将来的に億万長者になる可能性はどのくらいあると思いますか？

* ほとんど可能性はない (1)
* あまり可能性はない (2)
* 可能性がある (3)
* 高い可能性がある (4)
* すでに億万長者である (5)

millionaire ¿Qué probabilidades hay de que se convierta en millonario en algún momento de su vida?

* Muy improbable (1)
* Improbable (2)
* Probable (3)
* Muy probable (4)
* Ya soy millonario (5)

millionaire How likely are you to become a millionaire at some point in your life?

* Very unlikely (1)
* Unlikely (2)
* Likely (3)
* Very likely (4)
* I am already a millionaire (5)

millionaire Für wie wahrscheinlich halten Sie es, dass Sie in Ihrem Leben Millionär:in werden?

* Sehr unwahrscheinlich (1)
* Unwahrscheinlich (2)
* Wahrscheinlich (3)
* Sehr wahrscheinlich (4)
* Ich bin bereits Millionär:in (5)

millionaire Est-ce probable ou pas que vous deveniez un jour millionnaire ?

* Très peu probable (1)
* Peu probable (2)
* Probable (3)
* Très probable (4)
* Je suis déjà millionnaire (5)

millionaire Quanto è probabile che diventerai milionario a un certo punto della tua vita?

* Molto improbabile (1)
* Improbabile (2)
* Probabile (3)
* Molto probabile (4)
* Sono già milionario (5)

millionaire How likely are you to become a millionaire at some point in your life?

* Very unlikely (1)
* Unlikely (2)
* Likely (3)
* Very likely (4)
* I am already a millionaire (5)

millionaire How likely are you to become a millionaire at some point in your life?

* Very unlikely (1)
* Unlikely (2)
* Likely (3)
* Very likely (4)
* I am already a millionaire (5)

Display this question:

If country != SA

|  |
| --- |
|  |

voted Did you vote in the [election: 2024 presidential election]?

* Yes (1)
* No (2)
* I didn't have the right to vote in [country\_name: the United States]. (4)
* Prefer not to say (3)

voted Did you vote in the [election: 2024 presidential election]?

* Yes (1)
* No (2)
* I didn't have the right to vote in [country\_name: the United States]. (4)
* Prefer not to say (3)

voted Did you vote in the [election: 2024 presidential election]?

* Yes (1)
* No (2)
* Je n'avais pas le droit de voter en Suisse (4)
* Prefer not to say (3)

voted Did you vote in the [election: 2024 presidential election]?

* Yes (1)
* No (2)
* I didn't have the right to vote in [country\_name: the United States]. (4)
* Prefer not to say (3)

voted Did you vote in the [election: 2024 presidential election]?

* Yes (1)
* No (2)
* I didn't have the right to vote in [country\_name: the United States]. (4)
* Prefer not to say (3)

هل قمت بالتصويت في [election: 2024 presidential election]؟ voted

* (1) نعم
* (2) لا
* (4) لم يكن لدي الحق في التصويت في [country\_name: the United States].
* (3) أفضل عدم الإجابة عن هذا السؤال

voted Czy głosował(a) Pan/Pani w wyborach do Parlamentu Europejskiego w 2024 r.?

* Tak (1)
* Nie (2)
* Nie miałem(-am) prawa głosu (4)
* Wolę nie odpowiadać (3)

voted あなたは [election: 2024 presidential election]で投票しましたか？

* はい (1)
* いいえ (2)
* 私は[country\_name: the United States]で投票する権利がありませんでした (4)
* 回答しない (3)

voted ¿Votó en las elecciones al Parlamento Europeo de 2024?

* Sí (1)
* No (2)
* Yo no tenía derecho a votar en España (4)
* Prefiero no decirlo (3)

voted Did you vote in the [election: 2024 presidential election]?

* Yes (1)
* No (2)
* I didn't have the right to vote in [country\_name: the United States]. (4)
* Prefer not to say (3)

voted Haben Sie an der [election: 2024 presidential election] teilgenommen?

* Ja (1)
* Nein (2)
* Ich hatte kein Wahlrecht in [country\_name: the United States]. (4)
* Keine Angabe (3)

voted Avez-vous voté pour un candidat aux [election: élections européennes de 2024] ?

* Oui (1)
* Non (2)
* Je n'avais pas le droit de voter en France (4)
* Je préfère ne pas répondre (3)

voted Hai votato alle [election: 2024 presidential election]?

* Sì (1)
* No (2)
* Non avevo il diritto di votare in [country\_name: the United States]. (4)
* Preferisco non rispondere (3)

voted Did you vote in the [election: 2024 presidential election]?

* Yes (1)
* No (2)
* I didn't have the right to vote in [country\_name: the United States]. (4)
* Prefer not to say (3)

voted Did you vote in the [election: 2024 presidential election]?

* Yes (1)
* No (2)
* I didn't have the right to vote in [country\_name: the United States]. (4)
* Prefer not to say (3)

End of Block: Socio-demographics

Start of Block: Vote

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Page Break |  |

Display this question:

If country = SA

nationality\_SA What is your nationality? If you have both the Saudi and a foreign nationality, choose "Saudi".

* Saudi (1)
* India (2)
* Bangladesh (3)
* Syria (4)
* Yemen (5)
* Egypt (6)
* Pakistan (7)
* Indonesia (8)
* Philippines (9)
* Sudan (10)
* Myanmar (11)
* Jordan (12)
* Sri Lanka (13)
* Nepal (14)
* Turkey (15)
* Somalia (16)
* Lebanon (17)
* Other (18)

nationality\_SA What is your nationality? If you have both the Saudi and a foreign nationality, choose "Saudi".

* Saudi (1)
* India (2)
* Bangladesh (3)
* Syria (4)
* Yemen (5)
* Egypt (6)
* Pakistan (7)
* Indonesia (8)
* Philippines (9)
* Sudan (10)
* Myanmar (11)
* Jordan (12)
* Sri Lanka (13)
* Nepal (14)
* Turkey (15)
* Somalia (16)
* Lebanon (17)
* Other (18)

nationality\_SA What is your nationality? If you have both the Saudi and a foreign nationality, choose "Saudi".

* Saudi (1)
* India (2)
* Bangladesh (3)
* Syria (4)
* Yemen (5)
* Egypt (6)
* Pakistan (7)
* Indonesia (8)
* Philippines (9)
* Sudan (10)
* Myanmar (11)
* Jordan (12)
* Sri Lanka (13)
* Nepal (14)
* Turkey (15)
* Somalia (16)
* Lebanon (17)
* Other (18)

nationality\_SA What is your nationality? If you have both the Saudi and a foreign nationality, choose "Saudi".

* Saudi (1)
* India (2)
* Bangladesh (3)
* Syria (4)
* Yemen (5)
* Egypt (6)
* Pakistan (7)
* Indonesia (8)
* Philippines (9)
* Sudan (10)
* Myanmar (11)
* Jordan (12)
* Sri Lanka (13)
* Nepal (14)
* Turkey (15)
* Somalia (16)
* Lebanon (17)
* Other (18)

nationality\_SA What is your nationality? If you have both the Saudi and a foreign nationality, choose "Saudi".

* Saudi (1)
* India (2)
* Bangladesh (3)
* Syria (4)
* Yemen (5)
* Egypt (6)
* Pakistan (7)
* Indonesia (8)
* Philippines (9)
* Sudan (10)
* Myanmar (11)
* Jordan (12)
* Sri Lanka (13)
* Nepal (14)
* Turkey (15)
* Somalia (16)
* Lebanon (17)
* Other (18)

ما هي جنسيتك؟ إذا كنت مزدوج الجنسية وتحمل جنسية أخرى بالإضافة إلى الجنسية السعودية، يُرجى اختيار "سعودي". nationality\_SA

* (1) سعودي
* (2) هندي
* (3) بنجلاديشي
* (4) سوري
* (5) يمني
* (6) مصري
* (7) باكستاني
* (8) إندونيسي
* (9) فلبيني
* (10) سوداني
* (11) مواطن ميانماري
* (12) أردني
* (13) سيرلانكي
* (14) نيبالي
* (15) تركي
* (16) صومالي
* (17) لبناني
* (18) جنسية أخرى

nationality\_SA What is your nationality? If you have both the Saudi and a foreign nationality, choose "Saudi".

* Saudi (1)
* India (2)
* Bangladesh (3)
* Syria (4)
* Yemen (5)
* Egypt (6)
* Pakistan (7)
* Indonesia (8)
* Philippines (9)
* Sudan (10)
* Myanmar (11)
* Jordan (12)
* Sri Lanka (13)
* Nepal (14)
* Turkey (15)
* Somalia (16)
* Lebanon (17)
* Other (18)

nationality\_SA What is your nationality? If you have both the Saudi and a foreign nationality, choose "Saudi".

* Saudi (1)
* India (2)
* Bangladesh (3)
* Syria (4)
* Yemen (5)
* Egypt (6)
* Pakistan (7)
* Indonesia (8)
* Philippines (9)
* Sudan (10)
* Myanmar (11)
* Jordan (12)
* Sri Lanka (13)
* Nepal (14)
* Turkey (15)
* Somalia (16)
* Lebanon (17)
* Other (18)

nationality\_SA What is your nationality? If you have both the Saudi and a foreign nationality, choose "Saudi".

* Saudi (1)
* India (2)
* Bangladesh (3)
* Syria (4)
* Yemen (5)
* Egypt (6)
* Pakistan (7)
* Indonesia (8)
* Philippines (9)
* Sudan (10)
* Myanmar (11)
* Jordan (12)
* Sri Lanka (13)
* Nepal (14)
* Turkey (15)
* Somalia (16)
* Lebanon (17)
* Other (18)

nationality\_SA What is your nationality? If you have both the Saudi and a foreign nationality, choose "Saudi".

* Saudi (1)
* India (2)
* Bangladesh (3)
* Syria (4)
* Yemen (5)
* Egypt (6)
* Pakistan (7)
* Indonesia (8)
* Philippines (9)
* Sudan (10)
* Myanmar (11)
* Jordan (12)
* Sri Lanka (13)
* Nepal (14)
* Turkey (15)
* Somalia (16)
* Lebanon (17)
* Other (18)

nationality\_SA What is your nationality? If you have both the Saudi and a foreign nationality, choose "Saudi".

* Saudi (1)
* India (2)
* Bangladesh (3)
* Syria (4)
* Yemen (5)
* Egypt (6)
* Pakistan (7)
* Indonesia (8)
* Philippines (9)
* Sudan (10)
* Myanmar (11)
* Jordan (12)
* Sri Lanka (13)
* Nepal (14)
* Turkey (15)
* Somalia (16)
* Lebanon (17)
* Other (18)

nationality\_SA What is your nationality? If you have both the Saudi and a foreign nationality, choose "Saudi".

* Saudi (1)
* India (2)
* Bangladesh (3)
* Syria (4)
* Yemen (5)
* Egypt (6)
* Pakistan (7)
* Indonesia (8)
* Philippines (9)
* Sudan (10)
* Myanmar (11)
* Jordan (12)
* Sri Lanka (13)
* Nepal (14)
* Turkey (15)
* Somalia (16)
* Lebanon (17)
* Other (18)

nationality\_SA What is your nationality? If you have both the Saudi and a foreign nationality, choose "Saudi".

* Saudi (1)
* India (2)
* Bangladesh (3)
* Syria (4)
* Yemen (5)
* Egypt (6)
* Pakistan (7)
* Indonesia (8)
* Philippines (9)
* Sudan (10)
* Myanmar (11)
* Jordan (12)
* Sri Lanka (13)
* Nepal (14)
* Turkey (15)
* Somalia (16)
* Lebanon (17)
* Other (18)

nationality\_SA What is your nationality? If you have both the Saudi and a foreign nationality, choose "Saudi".

* Saudi (1)
* India (2)
* Bangladesh (3)
* Syria (4)
* Yemen (5)
* Egypt (6)
* Pakistan (7)
* Indonesia (8)
* Philippines (9)
* Sudan (10)
* Myanmar (11)
* Jordan (12)
* Sri Lanka (13)
* Nepal (14)
* Turkey (15)
* Somalia (16)
* Lebanon (17)
* Other (18)

nationality\_SA What is your nationality? If you have both the Saudi and a foreign nationality, choose "Saudi".

* Saudi (1)
* India (2)
* Bangladesh (3)
* Syria (4)
* Yemen (5)
* Egypt (6)
* Pakistan (7)
* Indonesia (8)
* Philippines (9)
* Sudan (10)
* Myanmar (11)
* Jordan (12)
* Sri Lanka (13)
* Nepal (14)
* Turkey (15)
* Somalia (16)
* Lebanon (17)
* Other (18)

Display this question:

If country = US

|  |
| --- |
|  |

vote\_US [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* Harris (4)
* Trump (5)
* Other (6)
* Prefer not to say (13)

vote\_US [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* Harris (4)
* Trump (5)
* Other (6)
* Prefer not to say (13)

vote\_US [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* Harris (4)
* Trump (5)
* Other (6)
* Prefer not to say (13)

vote\_US [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* Harris (4)
* Trump (5)
* Other (6)
* Prefer not to say (13)

vote\_US [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* Harris (4)
* Trump (5)
* Other (6)
* Prefer not to say (13)

[text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.] vote\_US

* (4) هاريس
* (5) ترامب
* (6) مرشح أخر
* (13) أفضل عدم الإجابة على هذا السؤال

vote\_US Na jakiego kandydata oddałeś głos w wyborach do Parlamentu Europejskiego w 2024 r.? Nawet jeśli nie głosowałeś w wyborach do Parlamentu Europejskiego w 2024 r., prosimy o wskazanie kandydata, na którego najprawdopodobniej oddałeś głos lub który bardziej odzwierciedla Twoje poglądy.

* Harrisa (4)
* Atut (5)
* Inny (6)
* Wolę nie mówić (13)

vote\_US [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? たとえ[election: 2024 European Parliament election]で投票しなかった場合でも、最も投票する可能性が高かった候補者、またはあなたの考えに最も近い候補者を教えてください。]

* ハリス (4)
* トランプ (5)
* その他 (6)
* 回答しない (13)

vote\_US [text\_vote: ¿Por cuál candidato votó en la [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Aún si no votó en la [election: 2024 European Parliament election], por favor indique el candidato por el que probablemente habría votado o que represente mejor sus opiniones.]

* Harris (4)
* Triunfo (5)
* Otro (6)
* Prefiero no decir (13)

vote\_US [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* Harris (4)
* Trump (5)
* Otro (6)
* Prefiero no decirlo (13)

vote\_US [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* Harris (4)
* Trump (5)
* Andere (6)
* Keine Angabe (13)

vote\_US Pour quel candidat avez-vous voté aux [election: élections européennes de 2024] ? Même si vous n'avez pas voté aux [election: élections européennes de 2024], veuillez indiquer le candidat pour lequel vous étiez le plus susceptible d'avoir voté ou qui représente plus fidèlement vos opinions.

* Harris (4)
* Atout (5)
* Autre (6)
* je préfère ne pas dire (13)

vote\_US [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Anche se non hai votato alle [election: 2024 European Parliament election], ti preghiamo di indicare il candidato per il quale avresti probabilmente votato o che rappresenta al meglio le tue opinioni.]

* Harris (4)
* Trump (5)
* Altro (6)
* Preferisco non rispondere (13)

vote\_US [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* Harris (4)
* Trump (5)
* Other (6)
* Prefer not to say (13)

vote\_US [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* Harris (4)
* Trump (5)
* Other (6)
* Prefer not to say (13)

Display this question:

If country = GB

|  |
| --- |
|  |

vote\_GB [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* Labour (5)
* Conservative (4)
* Reform UK (19)
* Liberal Democrats (6)
* Green (15)
* SNP (12)
* Sinn Féin (17)
* Plaid Cymru (20)
* DUP (16)
* Alliance Party of Northern Ireland (21)
* Other (18)
* Prefer not to say (13)

vote\_GB [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* Labour (5)
* Conservative (4)
* Reform UK (19)
* Liberal Democrats (6)
* Green (15)
* SNP (12)
* Sinn Féin (17)
* Plaid Cymru (20)
* DUP (16)
* Alliance Party of Northern Ireland (21)
* Other (18)
* Prefer not to say (13)

vote\_GB [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* Labour (5)
* Conservative (4)
* Reform UK (19)
* Liberal Democrats (6)
* Green (15)
* SNP (12)
* Sinn Féin (17)
* Plaid Cymru (20)
* DUP (16)
* Alliance Party of Northern Ireland (21)
* Other (18)
* Prefer not to say (13)

vote\_GB [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* Labour (5)
* Conservative (4)
* Reform UK (19)
* Liberal Democrats (6)
* Green (15)
* SNP (12)
* Sinn Féin (17)
* Plaid Cymru (20)
* DUP (16)
* Alliance Party of Northern Ireland (21)
* Other (18)
* Prefer not to say (13)

vote\_GB [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* Labour (5)
* Conservative (4)
* Reform UK (19)
* Liberal Democrats (6)
* Green (15)
* SNP (12)
* Sinn Féin (17)
* Plaid Cymru (20)
* DUP (16)
* Alliance Party of Northern Ireland (21)
* Other (18)
* Prefer not to say (13)

[text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.] vote\_GB

* (5) حزب العمال
* (4) الحزب المحافظ
* (19) حزب الإصلاح البريطاني
* (6) الحزب الديموقراطي الليبرالي
* (15) حزب الخُضر
* (12) الحزب الوطني الاسكتلندي
* (17) حزب شين فين
* (20) حزب ويلز
* (16) الحزب الوحدوي الديموقراطي
* (21) حزب تحالف إيرلندا الشمالية
* (18) حزب أخر
* (13) أفضل عدم الإجابة على هذا السؤال

vote\_GB Na jakiego kandydata oddałeś głos w wyborach do Parlamentu Europejskiego w 2024 r. ? Nawet jeśli nie głosowałeś w wyborach do Parlamentu Europejskiego w 2024 r ., prosimy o wskazanie kandydata, na którego najprawdopodobniej oddałeś głos lub który bardziej odzwierciedla Twoje poglądy.

* Praca (5)
* Konserwatywny (4)
* Reforma Wielkiej Brytanii (19)
* Liberalni Demokraci (6)
* Zielony (15)
* SNP (12)
* Sinn Fein (17)
* Kraciasta Cymru (20)
* DUP (16)
* Partia Sojuszu Irlandii Północnej (21)
* Inny (18)
* Wolę nie mówić (13)

vote\_GB [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? たとえ[election: 2024 European Parliament election]で投票しなかった場合でも、最も投票する可能性が高かった候補者、またはあなたの考えに最も近い候補者を教えてください。]

* 労働党（Labour） (5)
* 保守党（Conservative） (4)
* 改革党（Reform UK） (19)
* 自由民主党（Liberal Democrats） (6)
* 緑の党（Green） (15)
* スコットランド国民党（SNP） (12)
* シン・フェイン党（Sinn Féin） (17)
* プライド・カムリ党（Plaid Cymru） (20)
* デモクラティック・ユニオニスト党（DUP） (16)
* アライアンス党（Alliance Party of Northern Ireland） (21)
* その他 (18)
* 回答しない (13)

vote\_GB [text\_vote: ¿Por qué candidato votó en las [elecciones: Elecciones al Parlamento Europeo de 2024]? Aunque no haya votado en las [elecciones: Elecciones al Parlamento Europeo de 2024], indique el candidato por el que probablemente habría votado o que represente mejor sus opiniones.]

* Triunfo (5)
* Biden (4)
* Reforma del Reino Unido (19)
* Jorgensen (6)
* Verde (15)
* Hawkins (12)
* partido Sinn Fein (17)
* Plaid Cymru (20)
* DUP (16)
* Partido Alianza de Irlanda del Norte (21)
* Otro (18)
* Prefiero no decirlo (13)

vote\_GB [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* Labour (5)
* Conservative (4)
* Reform UK (19)
* Liberal Democrats (6)
* Green (15)
* SNP (12)
* Sinn Féin (17)
* Plaid Cymru (20)
* DUP (16)
* Alliance Party of Northern Ireland (21)
* Other (18)
* Prefer not to say (13)

vote\_GB [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* Trumpf (5)
* Biden (4)
* Reform UK (19)
* Jörgensen (6)
* Green (15)
* Hawkins (12)
* Sinn Féin (17)
* Plaid Cymru (20)
* DUP (16)
* Alliance Party of Northern Ireland (21)
* Other (18)
* Mache lieber keine Angabe (13)

vote\_GB Pour quel candidat avez-vous voté lors des élections générales britanniques de 2019 ?

* Travail (5)
* Conservateur (4)
* Fête du Brexit (19)
* Démocrates libéraux (6)
* Vert (15)
* SNP (12)
* Sinn fein (17)
* Plaid Cymru (20)
* DUP (16)
* Parti de l'Alliance d'Irlande du Nord (21)
* Autre (18)
* Je préfère ne pas le dire (13)

vote\_GB [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election] ? Anche se non hai votato alle [election: 2024 European Parliament election] , ti preghiamo di indicare il candidato per il quale avresti probabilmente votato o che rappresenta al meglio le tue opinioni.]

* Partito Laburista (5)
* Partito Conservatore (4)
* Reform UK (19)
* Liberal Democratici (6)
* Verdi (15)
* Partito Nazionale Scozzese (SNP) (12)
* Sinn Féin (17)
* Plaid Cymru (20)
* Partito Unionista Democratico (DUP) (16)
* Partito dell'Alleanza dell'Irlanda del Nord (21)
* Altro (18)
* Preferisco non rispondere (13)

vote\_GB [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* Labour (5)
* Conservative (4)
* Reform UK (19)
* Liberal Democrats (6)
* Green (15)
* SNP (12)
* Sinn Féin (17)
* Plaid Cymru (20)
* DUP (16)
* Alliance Party of Northern Ireland (21)
* Other (18)
* Prefer not to say (13)

vote\_GB [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* Labour (5)
* Conservative (4)
* Reform UK (19)
* Liberal Democrats (6)
* Green (15)
* SNP (12)
* Sinn Féin (17)
* Plaid Cymru (20)
* DUP (16)
* Alliance Party of Northern Ireland (21)
* Other (18)
* Prefer not to say (13)

Display this question:

If country = FR

|  |
| --- |
|  |

vote\_FR [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* Rassemblement National (5)
* Renaissance, MoDem & Horizons (4)
* Parti Socaliste & Place publique (19)
* La France insoumise (6)
* Les Républicains (15)
* Les Écologistes – EÉLV (12)
* Reconquête (17)
* Parti Communiste Français (20)
* Résistons (Jean Lassalle) (16)
* Parti animaliste (21)
* Autre (18)
* Préfère ne pas répondre (13)

vote\_FR [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* Rassemblement National (5)
* Renaissance, MoDem & Horizons (4)
* Parti Socaliste & Place publique (19)
* La France insoumise (6)
* Les Républicains (15)
* Les Écologistes – EÉLV (12)
* Reconquête (17)
* Parti Communiste Français (20)
* Résistons (Jean Lassalle) (16)
* Parti animaliste (21)
* Autre (18)
* Préfère ne pas répondre (13)

vote\_FR [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* Rassemblement National (5)
* Renaissance, MoDem & Horizons (4)
* Parti Socaliste & Place publique (19)
* La France insoumise (6)
* Les Républicains (15)
* Les Écologistes – EÉLV (12)
* Reconquête (17)
* Parti Communiste Français (20)
* Résistons (Jean Lassalle) (16)
* Parti animaliste (21)
* Autre (18)
* Préfère ne pas répondre (13)

vote\_FR [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* Rassemblement National (5)
* Renaissance, MoDem & Horizons (4)
* Parti Socaliste & Place publique (19)
* La France insoumise (6)
* Les Républicains (15)
* Les Écologistes – EÉLV (12)
* Reconquête (17)
* Parti Communiste Français (20)
* Résistons (Jean Lassalle) (16)
* Parti animaliste (21)
* Autre (18)
* Préfère ne pas répondre (13)

vote\_FR [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* Rassemblement National (5)
* Renaissance, MoDem & Horizons (4)
* Parti Socaliste & Place publique (19)
* La France insoumise (6)
* Les Républicains (15)
* Les Écologistes – EÉLV (12)
* Reconquête (17)
* Parti Communiste Français (20)
* Résistons (Jean Lassalle) (16)
* Parti animaliste (21)
* Autre (18)
* Préfère ne pas répondre (13)

[text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.] vote\_FR

* (5) Rassemblement National
* (4) Renaissance, MoDem & Horizons
* (19) Parti Socaliste & Place publique
* (6) La France insoumise
* (15) Les Républicains
* (12) Les Écologistes – EÉLV
* (17) Reconquête
* (20) Parti Communiste Français
* (16) Résistons (Jean Lassalle)
* (21) Parti animaliste
* (18) Autre
* (13) Préfère ne pas répondre

vote\_FR Na jakiego kandydata oddałeś głos w wyborach do Parlamentu Europejskiego w 2024 r. ? Nawet jeśli nie głosowałeś w wyborach do Parlamentu Europejskiego w 2024 r ., prosimy o wskazanie kandydata, na którego najprawdopodobniej oddałeś głos lub który bardziej odzwierciedla Twoje poglądy.

* Praca (5)
* Konserwatywny (4)
* Reforma Wielkiej Brytanii (19)
* Liberalni Demokraci (6)
* Zielony (15)
* SNP (12)
* Sinn Fein (17)
* Kraciasta Cymru (20)
* DUP (16)
* Partia Sojuszu Irlandii Północnej (21)
* Inny (18)
* Wolę nie mówić (13)

vote\_FR [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? たとえ[election: 2024 European Parliament election]で投票しなかった場合でも、最も投票する可能性が高かった候補者、またはあなたの考えに最も近い候補者を教えてください。]

* 国民連合（Rassemblement National） (5)
* ルネサンス、モデム＆オリゾン（Renaissance, MoDem & Horizons） (4)
* 社会党＆公共の場所（Parti Socialiste & Place publique） (19)
* 不屈のフランス（La France insoumise） (6)
* 共和党（Les Républicains） (15)
* エコロジスト – EÉLV（Les Écologistes – EÉLV） (12)
* リコンケート（Reconquête） (17)
* フランス共産党（Parti Communiste Français） (20)
* レジストン（ジャン・ラスール）（Résistons – Jean Lassalle） (16)
* 動物党（Parti animaliste） (21)
* その他 (18)
* 回答しない (13)

vote\_FR [text\_vote: ¿Por qué candidato votó en las [elecciones: Elecciones al Parlamento Europeo de 2024]? Aunque no haya votado en las [elecciones: Elecciones al Parlamento Europeo de 2024], indique el candidato por el que probablemente habría votado o que represente mejor sus opiniones.]

* Triunfo (5)
* Biden (4)
* Partido Socialista y Plaza Pública (19)
* Jorgensen (6)
* Los republicanos (15)
* Hawkins (12)
* Reconquista (17)
* Partido Comunista Francés (20)
* Resistir (Jean Lassalle) (16)
* Partido Animalista (21)
* Otro (18)
* Prefiero no decirlo (13)

vote\_FR [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* Rassemblement National (5)
* Renaissance, MoDem & Horizons (4)
* Parti Socaliste & Place publique (19)
* La France insoumise (6)
* Les Républicains (15)
* Les Écologistes – EÉLV (12)
* Reconquête (17)
* Parti Communiste Français (20)
* Résistons (Jean Lassalle) (16)
* Parti animaliste (21)
* Autre (18)
* Préfère ne pas répondre (13)

vote\_FR [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* Trumpf (5)
* Biden (4)
* Parti Socaliste & Place publique (19)
* Jörgensen (6)
* Les Républicains (15)
* Hawkins (12)
* Reconquête (17)
* Parti Communiste Français (20)
* Résistons (Jean Lassalle) (16)
* Parti animaliste (21)
* Autre (18)
* Mache lieber keine Angabe (13)

vote\_FR [text\_vote : Pour quel candidat avez-vous voté aux [election: élections au Parlement européen de 2024] ? Même si vous n’avez pas voté aux [election: élections au Parlement européen de 2024], veuillez indiquer le candidat pour lequel vous auriez le plus probablement voté ou qui représente le plus fidèlement vos opinions.]

* Rassemblement National (5)
* Renaissance, MoDem & Horizons (4)
* Parti Socialiste & Place publique (19)
* La France insoumise (6)
* Les Républicains (15)
* Les Écologistes – EÉLV (12)
* Reconquête (17)
* Parti Communiste Français (20)
* Résister (Jean Lassalle) (16)
* Parti Animaliste (21)
* Autre (18)
* Je préfère ne pas répondre (13)

vote\_FR [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Anche se non hai votato alle [election: 2024 European Parliament election], ti preghiamo di indicare il candidato per il quale avresti probabilmente votato o che rappresenta al meglio le tue opinioni.]

* Rassemblement National - Unione dell'Estrema Destra (5)
* Renaissance, MoDem & Horizons (4)
* Partito Socialista & Place publique (19)
* La France insoumise (6)
* I Repubblicani (15)
* Gli Ecologisti - Europa Ecologia I Verdi (EÉLV) (12)
* Reconquête (17)
* Partito Comunista Francese (20)
* Resistiamo (Jean Lassalle) (16)
* Partito animalista (21)
* Altro (18)
* Preferisco non rispondere (13)

vote\_FR [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* Rassemblement National (5)
* Renaissance, MoDem & Horizons (4)
* Parti Socaliste & Place publique (19)
* La France insoumise (6)
* Les Républicains (15)
* Les Écologistes – EÉLV (12)
* Reconquête (17)
* Parti Communiste Français (20)
* Résistons (Jean Lassalle) (16)
* Parti animaliste (21)
* Autre (18)
* Préfère ne pas répondre (13)

vote\_FR [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* Rassemblement National (5)
* Renaissance, MoDem & Horizons (4)
* Parti Socaliste & Place publique (19)
* La France insoumise (6)
* Les Républicains (15)
* Les Écologistes – EÉLV (12)
* Reconquête (17)
* Parti Communiste Français (20)
* Résistons (Jean Lassalle) (16)
* Parti animaliste (21)
* Autre (18)
* Préfère ne pas répondre (13)

Display this question:

If country = CH

|  |
| --- |
|  |

vote\_CH [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* Swiss People's Party (5)
* Social Democratic Party (4)
* The Liberals (19)
* The Centre (6)
* Green Party (15)
* Green Liberal Party (12)
* Evangelical People's Party (17)
* Federal Democratic Union (20)
* Autre (18)
* Préfère ne pas répondre (13)

vote\_CH [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* Swiss People's Party (5)
* Social Democratic Party (4)
* The Liberals (19)
* The Centre (6)
* Green Party (15)
* Green Liberal Party (12)
* Evangelical People's Party (17)
* Federal Democratic Union (20)
* Autre (18)
* Mache lieber keine Angabe (13)

vote\_CH [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* Union démocratique du centre (5)
* Parti socialiste suisse (4)
* Parti libéral-radical (19)
* Le Centre (6)
* Les Verts (15)
* Vert'libéraux (12)
* Parti évangélique (17)
* Union démocratique fédérale (20)
* Autre (18)
* Préfère ne pas répondre (13)

vote\_CH [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* Unione Democratica di Centro (5)
* Partito Socialista Svizzero (4)
* PLR. I Liberali Radicali (19)
* Alleanza del Centro (6)
* Verdi svizzeri (15)
* Partito verde liberale (12)
* Partito Evangelico Svizzero (17)
* Unione Democratica Federale (20)
* Altro (18)
* Preferisco non rispondere (13)

vote\_CH [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* Swiss People's Party (5)
* Social Democratic Party (4)
* The Liberals (19)
* The Centre (6)
* Green Party (15)
* Green Liberal Party (12)
* Evangelical People's Party (17)
* Federal Democratic Union (20)
* Autre (18)
* Préfère ne pas répondre (13)

[text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.] vote\_CH

* (5) Swiss People's Party
* (4) Social Democratic Party
* (19) The Liberals
* (6) The Centre
* (15) Green Party
* (12) Green Liberal Party
* (17) Evangelical People's Party
* (20) Federal Democratic Union
* (18) Autre
* (13) Préfère ne pas répondre

vote\_CH Na jakiego kandydata oddałeś głos w wyborach do Parlamentu Europejskiego w 2024 r. ? Nawet jeśli nie głosowałeś w wyborach do Parlamentu Europejskiego w 2024 r ., prosimy o wskazanie kandydata, na którego najprawdopodobniej oddałeś głos lub który bardziej odzwierciedla Twoje poglądy.

* Praca (5)
* Konserwatywny (4)
* Reforma Wielkiej Brytanii (19)
* Liberalni Demokraci (6)
* Zielony (15)
* SNP (12)
* Sinn Fein (17)
* Kraciasta Cymru (20)
* Inny (18)
* Wolę nie mówić (13)

vote\_CH [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? たとえ[election: 2024 European Parliament election]で投票しなかった場合でも、最も投票する可能性が高かった候補者、またはあなたの考えに最も近い候補者を教えてください。]

* スイス国民党（Swiss People's Party） (5)
* 社会民主党（Social Democratic Party） (4)
* 自由党（The Liberals） (19)
* 中央党（The Centre） (6)
* 緑の党（Green Party） (15)
* 緑の自由党（Green Liberal Party） (12)
* 福音主義国民党（Evangelical People's Party） (17)
* 連邦民主同盟（Federal Democratic Union） (20)
* その他 (18)
* 回答しない (13)

vote\_CH [text\_vote: ¿Por qué candidato votó en las [elecciones: Elecciones al Parlamento Europeo de 2024]? Aunque no haya votado en las [elecciones: Elecciones al Parlamento Europeo de 2024], indique el candidato por el que probablemente habría votado o que represente mejor sus opiniones.]

* Triunfo (5)
* Biden (4)
* Los liberales (19)
* Jorgensen (6)
* Partido Verde (15)
* Hawkins (12)
* Partido Evangélico del Pueblo (17)
* Unión Democrática Federal (20)
* Otro (18)
* Prefiero no decirlo (13)

vote\_CH [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* Swiss People's Party (5)
* Social Democratic Party (4)
* The Liberals (19)
* The Centre (6)
* Green Party (15)
* Green Liberal Party (12)
* Evangelical People's Party (17)
* Federal Democratic Union (20)
* Autre (18)
* Préfère ne pas répondre (13)

vote\_CH [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* SVP - Schweizerische Volkspartei (5)
* SP - Sozialdemokratische Partei der Schweiz (4)
* FDP.Die Liberalen (19)
* Die Mitte (6)
* Grüne Schweiz (15)
* GLP - Grünliberale Partei (12)
* EVP -  Evangelische Volkspartei der Schweiz (17)
* EDU - Eidgenössisch-Demokratische Union (20)
* Andere (18)
* Keine Angabe (13)

vote\_CH [text\_vote : Pour quel candidat avez-vous voté aux [election: élections au Parlement européen de 2024] ? Même si vous n’avez pas voté aux [election: élections au Parlement européen de 2024], veuillez indiquer le candidat pour lequel vous auriez le plus probablement voté ou qui représente le plus fidèlement vos opinions.]

* Union Démocratique du Centre (5)
* Parti Socialiste suisse (4)
* Parti libéral-radical (19)
* Le Centre (6)
* Les Verts (15)
* Vert'libéraux (12)
* Parti évangélique (17)
* Union démocratique fédérale (20)
* Autre (18)
* Je préfère ne pas le dire (13)

vote\_CH [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Anche se non hai votato alle [election: 2024 European Parliament election], ti preghiamo di indicare il candidato per il quale avresti probabilmente votato o che rappresenta al meglio le tue opinioni.]

* Partito Popolare Svizzero (5)
* Partito Social-Democratico (4)
* I Liberali (19)
* Alleanza del Centro (6)
* Verdi Svizzeri (15)
* Partito Verde Liberale della Svizzera (12)
* Partito Evangelico Svizzero (17)
* Unione Democratica Federale (20)
* Altro (18)
* Preferisco non rispondere (13)

vote\_CH [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* Swiss People's Party (5)
* Social Democratic Party (4)
* The Liberals (19)
* The Centre (6)
* Green Party (15)
* Green Liberal Party (12)
* Evangelical People's Party (17)
* Federal Democratic Union (20)
* Autre (18)
* Préfère ne pas répondre (13)

vote\_CH [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* Swiss People's Party (5)
* Social Democratic Party (4)
* The Liberals (19)
* The Centre (6)
* Green Party (15)
* Green Liberal Party (12)
* Evangelical People's Party (17)
* Federal Democratic Union (20)
* Autre (18)
* Préfère ne pas répondre (13)

Display this question:

If country = PL

|  |
| --- |
|  |

vote\_PL [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* Civic Coalition (Civic Platform, Polish Initiative...) (5)
* United Right (Law and Justice, Sovereign Poland...) (4)
* Confederation (New Hope, National Movement, Confederation of the Polish Crown...) (19)
* Polish People's Party (6)
* Poland 2050 (22)
* The Left (New Left...) (15)
* Other (18)
* Prefer not to say (13)

vote\_PL [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* Civic Coalition (Civic Platform, Polish Initiative...) (5)
* United Right (Law and Justice, Sovereign Poland...) (4)
* Confederation (New Hope, National Movement, Confederation of the Polish Crown...) (19)
* Polish People's Party (6)
* Poland 2050 (22)
* The Left (New Left...) (15)
* Other (18)
* Prefer not to say (13)

vote\_PL [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* Civic Coalition (Civic Platform, Polish Initiative...) (5)
* United Right (Law and Justice, Sovereign Poland...) (4)
* Confederation (New Hope, National Movement, Confederation of the Polish Crown...) (19)
* Polish People's Party (6)
* Poland 2050 (22)
* The Left (New Left...) (15)
* Other (18)
* Prefer not to say (13)

vote\_PL [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* Civic Coalition (Civic Platform, Polish Initiative...) (5)
* United Right (Law and Justice, Sovereign Poland...) (4)
* Confederation (New Hope, National Movement, Confederation of the Polish Crown...) (19)
* Polish People's Party (6)
* Poland 2050 (22)
* The Left (New Left...) (15)
* Other (18)
* Prefer not to say (13)

vote\_PL [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* Civic Coalition (Civic Platform, Polish Initiative...) (5)
* United Right (Law and Justice, Sovereign Poland...) (4)
* Confederation (New Hope, National Movement, Confederation of the Polish Crown...) (19)
* Polish People's Party (6)
* Poland 2050 (22)
* The Left (New Left...) (15)
* Other (18)
* Prefer not to say (13)

[text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.] vote\_PL

* (5) Civic Coalition (Civic Platform, Polish Initiative...)
* (4) United Right (Law and Justice, Sovereign Poland...)
* (19) Confederation (New Hope, National Movement, Confederation of the Polish Crown...)
* (6) Polish People's Party
* (22) Poland 2050
* (15) The Left (New Left...)
* (18) Other
* (13) Prefer not to say

vote\_PL [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? [Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* Koalicja Obywatelska (Platforma Obywatelska, Inicjatywa Polska...) (5)
* Zjednoczona Prawica (Prawo i Sprawiedliwość, Solidarna Polska...) (4)
* Konfederacja (Nowa Nadzieja, Ruch Narodowy, Konfederacja Korony Polskiej...) (19)
* Polskie Stronnictwo Ludowe (6)
* Polska 2050 (22)
* Lewica (Nowa Lewica...) (15)
* Inny (18)
* Wolę nie odpowiadać (13)

vote\_PL [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? たとえ[election: 2024 European Parliament election]で投票しなかった場合でも、最も投票する可能性が高かった候補者、またはあなたの考えに最も近い候補者を教えてください。]

* 市民連合（Civic Coalition：市民プラットフォーム、ポーランド・イニシアティブ など） (5)
* 統一右派（United Right：法と正義党、主権ポーランド など） (4)
* 連盟（Confederation：新たな希望、国家運動、ポーランド王国連盟 など） (19)
* ポーランド人民党（Polish People's Party） (6)
* ポーランド2050（Poland 2050） (22)
* 左派（The Left：新左派 など） (15)
* その他 (18)
* 回答しない (13)

vote\_PL [text\_vote: ¿Por qué candidato votó en las [elecciones: Elecciones al Parlamento Europeo de 2024]? Aunque no haya votado en las [elecciones: Elecciones al Parlamento Europeo de 2024], indique el candidato por el que probablemente habría votado o que represente mejor sus opiniones.]

* Triunfo (5)
* Biden (4)
* Confederación (Nueva Esperanza, Movimiento Nacional, Confederación de la Corona Polaca...) (19)
* Jorgensen (6)
* Polonia 2050 (22)
* La izquierda (Nueva izquierda...) (15)
* Otro (18)
* Prefiero no decirlo (13)

vote\_PL [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* Civic Coalition (Civic Platform, Polish Initiative...) (5)
* United Right (Law and Justice, Sovereign Poland...) (4)
* Confederation (New Hope, National Movement, Confederation of the Polish Crown...) (19)
* Polish People's Party (6)
* Poland 2050 (22)
* The Left (New Left...) (15)
* Other (18)
* Prefer not to say (13)

vote\_PL [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* Trumpf (5)
* Biden (4)
* Confederation (New Hope, National Movement, Confederation of the Polish Crown...) (19)
* Jörgensen (6)
* Poland 2050 (22)
* The Left (New Left...) (15)
* Other (18)
* Mache lieber keine Angabe (13)

vote\_PL Pour quel candidat avez-vous voté lors des élections générales britanniques de 2019 ?

* Travail (5)
* Conservateur (4)
* Fête du Brexit (19)
* Démocrates libéraux (6)
* Pologne 2050 (22)
* Vert (15)
* Autre (18)
* Je préfère ne pas le dire (13)

vote\_PL [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Anche se non hai votato alle [election: 2024 European Parliament election], ti preghiamo di indicare il candidato per il quale avresti probabilmente votato o che rappresenta al meglio le tue opinioni.]

* Coalizione Civica (Piattaforma Civica, Iniziativa Polacca...) (5)
* Destra Unita (Diritto e Giustizia, Polonia Solidale...) (4)
* Confederazione (Nuova Speranza, Movimento Nazionale, Confederazione della Corona Polacca...) (19)
* Partito Popolare Polacco (6)
* Polonia 2050 (22)
* Sinistra (Nuova Sinistra...) (15)
* Altro (18)
* Preferisco non rispondere (13)

vote\_PL [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* Civic Coalition (Civic Platform, Polish Initiative...) (5)
* United Right (Law and Justice, Sovereign Poland...) (4)
* Confederation (New Hope, National Movement, Confederation of the Polish Crown...) (19)
* Polish People's Party (6)
* Poland 2050 (22)
* The Left (New Left...) (15)
* Other (18)
* Prefer not to say (13)

vote\_PL [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* Civic Coalition (Civic Platform, Polish Initiative...) (5)
* United Right (Law and Justice, Sovereign Poland...) (4)
* Confederation (New Hope, National Movement, Confederation of the Polish Crown...) (19)
* Polish People's Party (6)
* Poland 2050 (22)
* The Left (New Left...) (15)
* Other (18)
* Prefer not to say (13)

Display this question:

If country = IT

|  |
| --- |
|  |

vote\_IT [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* FdI (5)
* PD (4)
* M5S (25)
* FI – NM (19)
* League (6)
* AVS (22)
* SUE (15)
* Azione (18)
* PTD (23)
* Libertà (24)
* Other (26)
* Prefer not to say (13)

vote\_IT [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* FdI (5)
* PD (4)
* M5S (25)
* FI – NM (19)
* League (6)
* AVS (22)
* SUE (15)
* Azione (18)
* PTD (23)
* Libertà (24)
* Other (26)
* Prefer not to say (13)

vote\_IT [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* FdI (5)
* PD (4)
* M5S (25)
* FI – NM (19)
* League (6)
* AVS (22)
* SUE (15)
* Azione (18)
* PTD (23)
* Libertà (24)
* Other (26)
* Prefer not to say (13)

vote\_IT [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* FdI (5)
* PD (4)
* M5S (25)
* FI – NM (19)
* League (6)
* AVS (22)
* SUE (15)
* Azione (18)
* PTD (23)
* Libertà (24)
* Other (26)
* Prefer not to say (13)

vote\_IT [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* FdI (5)
* PD (4)
* M5S (25)
* FI – NM (19)
* League (6)
* AVS (22)
* SUE (15)
* Azione (18)
* PTD (23)
* Libertà (24)
* Other (26)
* Prefer not to say (13)

[text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.] vote\_IT

* (5) FdI
* (4) PD
* (25) M5S
* (19) FI – NM
* (6) League
* (22) AVS
* (15) SUE
* (18) Azione
* (23) PTD
* (24) Libertà
* (26) Other
* (13) Prefer not to say

vote\_IT [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* Koalicja Obywatelska (Platforma Obywatelska, Inicjatywa Polska...) (5)
* Zjednoczona Prawica (Prawo i Sprawiedliwość, Solidarna Polska...) (4)
* M5S (25)
* Konfederacja (Nowa Nadzieja, Ruch Narodowy, Konfederacja Korony Polskiej...) (19)
* Polskie Stronnictwo Ludowe (6)
* Polska 2050 (22)
* Lewica (Nowa Lewica...) (15)
* Inny (18)
* PTD (23)
* Libertà (24)
* Other (26)
* Wolę nie odpowiadać (13)

vote\_IT [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? たとえ[election: 2024 European Parliament election]で投票しなかった場合でも、最も投票する可能性が高かった候補者、またはあなたの考えに最も近い候補者を教えてください。]

* イタリアの同胞（FdI：Fratelli d'Italia） (5)
* 民主党（PD：Partito Democratico） (4)
* 五つ星運動（M5S：Movimento 5 Stelle） (25)
* フォルツァ・イタリア – 我らの中道（FI – NM：Forza Italia – Noi Moderati） (19)
* 同盟（League：Lega） (6)
* 左翼・緑の同盟（AVS：Alleanza Verdi e Sinistra） (22)
* 統一社会主義（SUE：Sinistra Unita e Ecologista） (15)
* アツィオーネ（Azione） (18)
* 民主・労働党（PTD：Partito Democratico del Lavoro） (23)
* 自由（Libertà） (24)
* その他 (26)
* 回答しない (13)

vote\_IT [text\_vote: ¿Por qué candidato votó en las [elecciones: Elecciones al Parlamento Europeo de 2024]? Aunque no haya votado en las [elecciones: Elecciones al Parlamento Europeo de 2024], indique el candidato por el que probablemente habría votado o que represente mejor sus opiniones.]

* Triunfo (5)
* Biden (4)
* M5S (25)
* FI – NM (19)
* Jorgensen (6)
* AVS (22)
* UE (15)
* Acciones (18)
* Trastorno postraumático (23)
* Libertad (24)
* Otro (26)
* Prefiero no decirlo (13)

vote\_IT [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* FdI (5)
* PD (4)
* M5S (25)
* FI – NM (19)
* League (6)
* AVS (22)
* SUE (15)
* Azione (18)
* PTD (23)
* Libertà (24)
* Other (26)
* Prefer not to say (13)

vote\_IT [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* Trumpf (5)
* Biden (4)
* M5S (25)
* FI – NM (19)
* Jörgensen (6)
* AVS (22)
* SUE (15)
* Azione (18)
* PTD (23)
* Libertà (24)
* Other (26)
* Mache lieber keine Angabe (13)

vote\_IT Pour quel candidat avez-vous voté lors des élections générales britanniques de 2019 ?

* Travail (5)
* Conservateur (4)
* M5S (25)
* Fête du Brexit (19)
* Démocrates libéraux (6)
* Pologne 2050 (22)
* Vert (15)
* Autre (18)
* PTD (23)
* Libertà (24)
* Other (26)
* Je préfère ne pas le dire (13)

vote\_IT [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Anche se non hai votato alle [election: 2024 European Parliament election], ti preghiamo di indicare il candidato per il quale avresti probabilmente votato o che rappresenta al meglio le tue opinioni.]

* Fratelli d'Italia (FdI) (5)
* Partito Democratico (PD) (4)
* Movimento Cinque Stelle (M5S) (25)
* Forza Italia - Noi Moderati (FI-NM) (19)
* Lega Salvini Premier (6)
* Alleanza Verdi e Sinistra (AVS) (22)
* Stati Uniti d'Europa (15)
* Azione (18)
* Pace Terra Dignità (23)
* Movimento delle libertà (24)
* Altro (26)
* Preferisco non rispondere (13)

vote\_IT [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* FdI (5)
* PD (4)
* M5S (25)
* FI – NM (19)
* League (6)
* AVS (22)
* SUE (15)
* Azione (18)
* PTD (23)
* Libertà (24)
* Other (26)
* Prefer not to say (13)

vote\_IT [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* FdI (5)
* PD (4)
* M5S (25)
* FI – NM (19)
* League (6)
* AVS (22)
* SUE (15)
* Azione (18)
* PTD (23)
* Libertà (24)
* Other (26)
* Prefer not to say (13)

Display this question:

If country = ES

|  |
| --- |
|  |

vote\_ES [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* PP (5)
* PSOE (4)
* Vox (25)
* Ahora Repúblicas (19)
* Sumar (6)
* SALF (22)
* Podemos (15)
* Junts UE (18)
* CEUS (23)
* Other (26)
* Prefer not to say (13)

vote\_ES [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* PP (5)
* PSOE (4)
* Vox (25)
* Ahora Repúblicas (19)
* Sumar (6)
* SALF (22)
* Podemos (15)
* Junts UE (18)
* CEUS (23)
* Other (26)
* Prefer not to say (13)

vote\_ES [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* PP (5)
* PSOE (4)
* Vox (25)
* Ahora Repúblicas (19)
* Sumar (6)
* SALF (22)
* Podemos (15)
* Junts UE (18)
* CEUS (23)
* Other (26)
* Prefer not to say (13)

vote\_ES [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* PP (5)
* PSOE (4)
* Vox (25)
* Ahora Repúblicas (19)
* Sumar (6)
* SALF (22)
* Podemos (15)
* Junts UE (18)
* CEUS (23)
* Other (26)
* Prefer not to say (13)

vote\_ES [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* PP (5)
* PSOE (4)
* Vox (25)
* Ahora Repúblicas (19)
* Sumar (6)
* SALF (22)
* Podemos (15)
* Junts UE (18)
* CEUS (23)
* Other (26)
* Prefer not to say (13)

[text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.] vote\_ES

* (5) PP
* (4) PSOE
* (25) Vox
* (19) Ahora Repúblicas
* (6) Sumar
* (22) SALF
* (15) Podemos
* (18) Junts UE
* (23) CEUS
* (26) Other
* (13) Prefer not to say

vote\_ES [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* Koalicja Obywatelska (Platforma Obywatelska, Inicjatywa Polska...) (5)
* Zjednoczona Prawica (Prawo i Sprawiedliwość, Solidarna Polska...) (4)
* Vox (25)
* Konfederacja (Nowa Nadzieja, Ruch Narodowy, Konfederacja Korony Polskiej...) (19)
* Polskie Stronnictwo Ludowe (6)
* Polska 2050 (22)
* Lewica (Nowa Lewica...) (15)
* Inny (18)
* CEUS (23)
* Other (26)
* Wolę nie odpowiadać (13)

vote\_ES [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? たとえ[election: 2024 European Parliament election]で投票しなかった場合でも、最も投票する可能性が高かった候補者、またはあなたの考えに最も近い候補者を教えてください。]

* 国民党（PP：Partido Popular） (5)
* スペイン社会労働党（PSOE：Partido Socialista Obrero Español） (4)
* ボックス（Vox） (25)
* 今こそ共和国（Ahora Repúblicas） (19)
* スマール（Sumar） (6)
* アンダルシア自由連合（SALF：Sociedad Andaluza Libre y Federal） (22)
* ポデモス（Podemos） (15)
* ジュンツ・欧州（Junts UE：Junts per Catalunya – Unión Europea） (18)
* 欧州連合市民（CEUS：Ciudadanos Europeos Unidos） (23)
* その他 (26)
* 回答しない (13)

vote\_ES [text\_vote: ¿Por qué candidato votó en las [elecciones: Elecciones al Parlamento Europeo de 2024]? Aunque no haya votado en las [elecciones: Elecciones al Parlamento Europeo de 2024], indique el candidato por el que probablemente habría votado o que represente mejor sus opiniones.]

* Partido Popular (5)
* PSOE - Partido Socialista Obrero Español (4)
* Vox (25)
* Ahora Repúblicas (ERC, EH Bildu, BNG) (19)
* Sumar (6)
* SALF - Se Acabó la Fiesta  (22)
* Podemos (15)
* Junts UE (18)
* CEUS - Coalición por una Europa Solidaria (PNB, CCa, GBai, El Pi) (23)
* Otro (26)
* Prefiero no decirlo (13)

vote\_ES [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* PP (5)
* PSOE (4)
* Vox (25)
* Ahora Repúblicas (19)
* Sumar (6)
* SALF (22)
* Podemos (15)
* Junts UE (18)
* CEUS (23)
* Other (26)
* Prefer not to say (13)

vote\_ES [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* Trumpf (5)
* Biden (4)
* Vox (25)
* Ahora Repúblicas (19)
* Jörgensen (6)
* SALF (22)
* Podemos (15)
* Junts UE (18)
* CEUS (23)
* Other (26)
* Mache lieber keine Angabe (13)

vote\_ES Pour quel candidat avez-vous voté lors des élections générales britanniques de 2019 ?

* Travail (5)
* Conservateur (4)
* Vox (25)
* Fête du Brexit (19)
* Démocrates libéraux (6)
* Pologne 2050 (22)
* Vert (15)
* Autre (18)
* CEUS (23)
* Other (26)
* Je préfère ne pas le dire (13)

vote\_ES [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Anche se non hai votato alle [election: 2024 European Parliament election], ti preghiamo di indicare il candidato per il quale avresti probabilmente votato o che rappresenta al meglio le tue opinioni.]

* Partito Popolare (PP) (5)
* Partito Socialista Operaio Spagnolo (PSOE) (4)
* Vox (25)
* Ahora Repúblicas (19)
* Sumar (6)
* SALF (Se Acabó La Fiesta) - La festa è finita (22)
* Possiamo (15)
* Junts UE (18)
* Coalizione per un'Europa Solidale (CEUS) (23)
* Altro (26)
* Preferisco non rispondere (13)

vote\_ES [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* PP (5)
* PSOE (4)
* Vox (25)
* Ahora Repúblicas (19)
* Sumar (6)
* SALF (22)
* Podemos (15)
* Junts UE (18)
* CEUS (23)
* Other (26)
* Prefer not to say (13)

vote\_ES [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* PP (5)
* PSOE (4)
* Vox (25)
* Ahora Repúblicas (19)
* Sumar (6)
* SALF (22)
* Podemos (15)
* Junts UE (18)
* CEUS (23)
* Other (26)
* Prefer not to say (13)

Display this question:

If country = DE

|  |
| --- |
|  |

vote\_DE [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* CDU/CSU (5)
* AfD (4)
* SPD (25)
* Grüne (19)
* Die Linke (15)
* BSW (6)
* FDP (22)
* FW (18)
* Tierschutzpartei (27)
* Volt (23)
* Die Partei (24)
* Other (26)
* Prefer not to say (13)

vote\_DE [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* CDU/CSU (5)
* AfD (4)
* SPD (25)
* Grüne (19)
* Die Linke (15)
* BSW (6)
* FDP (22)
* FW (18)
* Tierschutzpartei (27)
* Volt (23)
* Die Partei (24)
* Other (26)
* Prefer not to say (13)

vote\_DE [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* CDU/CSU (5)
* AfD (4)
* SPD (25)
* Grüne (19)
* Die Linke (15)
* BSW (6)
* FDP (22)
* FW (18)
* Tierschutzpartei (27)
* Volt (23)
* Die Partei (24)
* Other (26)
* Prefer not to say (13)

vote\_DE [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* CDU/CSU (5)
* AfD (4)
* SPD (25)
* Grüne (19)
* Die Linke (15)
* BSW (6)
* FDP (22)
* FW (18)
* Tierschutzpartei (27)
* Volt (23)
* Die Partei (24)
* Other (26)
* Prefer not to say (13)

vote\_DE [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* CDU/CSU (5)
* AfD (4)
* SPD (25)
* Grüne (19)
* Die Linke (15)
* BSW (6)
* FDP (22)
* FW (18)
* Tierschutzpartei (27)
* Volt (23)
* Die Partei (24)
* Other (26)
* Prefer not to say (13)

[text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.] vote\_DE

* (5) CDU/CSU
* (4) AfD
* (25) SPD
* (19) Grüne
* (15) Die Linke
* (6) BSW
* (22) FDP
* (18) FW
* (27) Tierschutzpartei
* (23) Volt
* (24) Die Partei
* (26) Other
* (13) Prefer not to say

vote\_DE [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* Koalicja Obywatelska (Platforma Obywatelska, Inicjatywa Polska...) (5)
* Zjednoczona Prawica (Prawo i Sprawiedliwość, Solidarna Polska...) (4)
* SPD (25)
* Konfederacja (Nowa Nadzieja, Ruch Narodowy, Konfederacja Korony Polskiej...) (19)
* Lewica (Nowa Lewica...) (15)
* Polskie Stronnictwo Ludowe (6)
* Polska 2050 (22)
* Inny (18)
* Tierschutzpartei (27)
* Volt (23)
* Die Partei (24)
* Other (26)
* Wolę nie odpowiadać (13)

vote\_DE [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? たとえ[election: 2024 European Parliament election]で投票しなかった場合でも、最も投票する可能性が高かった候補者、またはあなたの考えに最も近い候補者を教えてください。]

* キリスト教民主・社会同盟（CDU/CSU：Christlich Demokratische Union / Christlich-Soziale Union） (5)
* ドイツのための選択肢（AfD：Alternative für Deutschland） (4)
* 社会民主党（SPD：Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands） (25)
* 緑の党（Grüne：Bündnis 90/Die Grünen） (19)
* 左翼党（Die Linke） (15)
* サラ・ワーゲンクネヒト連合（BSW：Bündnis Sahra Wagenknecht） (6)
* 自由民主党（FDP：Freie Demokratische Partei） (22)
* 自由有権者（FW：Freie Wähler） (18)
* 動物保護党（Tierschutzpartei：Partei Mensch Umwelt Tierschutz） (27)
* ヴォルト（Volt：Volt Deutschland） (23)
* 風刺党（Die Partei：Partei für Arbeit, Rechtsstaat, Tierschutz, Elitenförderung und basisdemokratische Initiative） (24)
* その他 (26)
* 回答しない (13)

vote\_DE ¿Por qué candidato votó en las [elecciones: Elecciones al Parlamento Europeo de 2024]? Aunque no haya votado en las [elecciones: Elecciones al Parlamento Europeo de 2024], indique el candidato por el que probablemente habría votado o que represente mejor sus opiniones.]

* Triunfo (5)
* Biden (4)
* SPD (25)
* Gruñido (19)
* Enlace dominical (15)
* Jorgensen (6)
* Partido Demócrata Libre (22)
* Frente (18)
* Partido Protector de los Animales (27)
* Voltio (23)
* La fiesta (24)
* Otro (26)
* Prefiero no decirlo (13)

vote\_DE [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* CDU/CSU (5)
* AfD (4)
* SPD (25)
* Grüne (19)
* Die Linke (15)
* BSW (6)
* FDP (22)
* FW (18)
* Tierschutzpartei (27)
* Volt (23)
* Die Partei (24)
* Other (26)
* Prefer not to say (13)

vote\_DE [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* CDU/CSU (5)
* AfD - Alternative für Deutschland (4)
* SPD - Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands (25)
* Bündnis 90/Die Grünen (19)
* Die Linke (15)
* BSW - Bündnis Sahra Wagenknecht (6)
* FDP - Freie Demokratische Partei (22)
* FW - Freie Wähler (18)
* Tierschutzpartei (27)
* Volt Deutschland (23)
* Die Partei (24)
* Andere (26)
* Keine Angabe (13)

vote\_DE Pour quel candidat avez-vous voté lors des élections générales britanniques de 2019 ?

* Travail (5)
* Conservateur (4)
* SPD (25)
* Fête du Brexit (19)
* Vert (15)
* Démocrates libéraux (6)
* Pologne 2050 (22)
* Autre (18)
* Tierschutzpartei (27)
* Volt (23)
* Die Partei (24)
* Other (26)
* Je préfère ne pas le dire (13)

vote\_DE [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Anche se non hai votato alle [election: 2024 European Parliament election], ti preghiamo di indicare il candidato per il quale avresti probabilmente votato o che rappresenta al meglio le tue opinioni.]

* Unione Cristiano-Democratica della Germania (CDU) e Unione Cristiano-Sociale in Baviera (CSU) (5)
* Alternativa per la Germania (AfD) (4)
* Partito Socialdemocratico della Germania (SPD) (25)
* Verdi (19)
* La Sinistra (15)
* Alleanza Sahra Wagenknecht – Ragione e Giustizia (BSW) (6)
* Partito Liberale Democratico (FDP) (22)
* Liberi Elettori (FW) (18)
* Partito per la Protezione degli Animali (27)
* Volt Germania (23)
* Il Partito (24)
* Altro (26)
* Preferisco non rispondere (13)

vote\_DE [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* CDU/CSU (5)
* AfD (4)
* SPD (25)
* Grüne (19)
* Die Linke (15)
* BSW (6)
* FDP (22)
* FW (18)
* Tierschutzpartei (27)
* Volt (23)
* Die Partei (24)
* Other (26)
* Prefer not to say (13)

vote\_DE [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* CDU/CSU (5)
* AfD (4)
* SPD (25)
* Grüne (19)
* Die Linke (15)
* BSW (6)
* FDP (22)
* FW (18)
* Tierschutzpartei (27)
* Volt (23)
* Die Partei (24)
* Other (26)
* Prefer not to say (13)

Display this question:

If country = JP

|  |
| --- |
|  |

vote\_JP [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* LDP (5)
* CDP (4)
* Ishin JIP (25)
* DPFP (28)
* Komeito (19)
* Reiwa Shinsengumi (6)
* JCP (22)
* Sanseitō (15)
* CPJ (18)
* SDP (23)
* Other (24)
* Prefer not to say (13)

vote\_JP [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* LDP (5)
* CDP (4)
* Ishin JIP (25)
* DPFP (28)
* Komeito (19)
* Reiwa Shinsengumi (6)
* JCP (22)
* Sanseitō (15)
* CPJ (18)
* SDP (23)
* Other (24)
* Prefer not to say (13)

vote\_JP [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* LDP (5)
* CDP (4)
* Ishin JIP (25)
* DPFP (28)
* Komeito (19)
* Reiwa Shinsengumi (6)
* JCP (22)
* Sanseitō (15)
* CPJ (18)
* SDP (23)
* Other (24)
* Prefer not to say (13)

vote\_JP [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* LDP (5)
* CDP (4)
* Ishin JIP (25)
* DPFP (28)
* Komeito (19)
* Reiwa Shinsengumi (6)
* JCP (22)
* Sanseitō (15)
* CPJ (18)
* SDP (23)
* Other (24)
* Prefer not to say (13)

vote\_JP [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* LDP (5)
* CDP (4)
* Ishin JIP (25)
* DPFP (28)
* Komeito (19)
* Reiwa Shinsengumi (6)
* JCP (22)
* Sanseitō (15)
* CPJ (18)
* SDP (23)
* Other (24)
* Prefer not to say (13)

[text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.] vote\_JP

* (5) LDP
* (4) CDP
* (25) Ishin JIP
* (28) DPFP
* (19) Komeito
* (6) Reiwa Shinsengumi
* (22) JCP
* (15) Sanseitō
* (18) CPJ
* (23) SDP
* (24) Other
* (13) Prefer not to say

vote\_JP [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* Koalicja Obywatelska (Platforma Obywatelska, Inicjatywa Polska...) (5)
* Zjednoczona Prawica (Prawo i Sprawiedliwość, Solidarna Polska...) (4)
* Ishin JIP (25)
* DPFP (28)
* Konfederacja (Nowa Nadzieja, Ruch Narodowy, Konfederacja Korony Polskiej...) (19)
* Polskie Stronnictwo Ludowe (6)
* Polska 2050 (22)
* Lewica (Nowa Lewica...) (15)
* Inny (18)
* SDP (23)
* Other (24)
* Wolę nie odpowiadać (13)

vote\_JP [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? たとえ[election: 2024 European Parliament election]で投票しなかった場合でも、最も投票する可能性が高かった候補者、またはあなたの考えに最も近い候補者を教えてください。]

* 自由民主党 (5)
* 立憲民主党 (4)
* 日本維新の会 (25)
* 国民民主党 (28)
* 公明党 (19)
* れいわ新選組 (6)
* 日本共産党 (22)
* 参政党 (15)
* 日本保守党 (18)
* 社会民主党 (23)
* その他 (24)
* 回答しない (13)

vote\_JP ¿Por qué candidato votó en las [elecciones: Elecciones al Parlamento Europeo de 2024]? Aunque no haya votado en las [elecciones: Elecciones al Parlamento Europeo de 2024], indique el candidato por el que probablemente habría votado o que represente mejor sus opiniones.]

* Triunfo (5)
* Biden (4)
* Eran JIP (25)
* DPFP (28)
* Komeito (19)
* Jorgensen (6)
* JCP (22)
* Sanseito (15)
* CPJ (18)
* partido socialdemócrata (23)
* Otro (24)
* Prefiero no decirlo (13)

vote\_JP [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* LDP (5)
* CDP (4)
* Ishin JIP (25)
* DPFP (28)
* Komeito (19)
* Reiwa Shinsengumi (6)
* JCP (22)
* Sanseitō (15)
* CPJ (18)
* SDP (23)
* Other (24)
* Prefer not to say (13)

vote\_JP [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* CDU/CSU (5)
* AfD - Alternative für Deutschland (4)
* SPD - Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands (25)
* DPFP (28)
* Bündnis 90/Die Grünen (19)
* BSW - Bündnis Sahra Wagenknecht (6)
* FDP - Freie Demokratische Partei (22)
* Die Linke (15)
* FW - Freie Wähler (18)
* Volt Deutschland (23)
* Other (24)
* Mache lieber keine Angabe (13)

vote\_JP Pour quel candidat avez-vous voté lors des élections générales britanniques de 2019 ?

* Travail (5)
* Conservateur (4)
* Ishin JIP (25)
* DPFP (28)
* Fête du Brexit (19)
* Démocrates libéraux (6)
* Pologne 2050 (22)
* Vert (15)
* Autre (18)
* SDP (23)
* Other (24)
* Je préfère ne pas le dire (13)

vote\_JP [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Anche se non hai votato alle [election: 2024 European Parliament election], ti preghiamo di indicare il candidato per il quale avresti probabilmente votato o che rappresenta al meglio le tue opinioni.]

* Partito Liberal Democratico (LDP) (5)
* Partito Costituzionale Democratico del Giappone (CDP) (4)
* Ishin JIP (25)
* Partito Democratico per il Popolo (DPFP) (28)
* Komeito (19)
* Reiwa Shinsengumi (6)
* Partito Comunista Giapponese (JCP) (22)
* Sanseitō (15)
* Partito Conservatore del Giappone (CPJ) (18)
* Partito Social Democratico (SDP) (23)
* Altro (24)
* Preferisco non rispondere (13)

vote\_JP [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* LDP (5)
* CDP (4)
* Ishin JIP (25)
* DPFP (28)
* Komeito (19)
* Reiwa Shinsengumi (6)
* JCP (22)
* Sanseitō (15)
* CPJ (18)
* SDP (23)
* Other (24)
* Prefer not to say (13)

vote\_JP [text\_vote: Which candidate did you vote for in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election]? Even if you did not vote in the [election: 2024 European Parliament election], please indicate the candidate that you were most likely to have voted for or who represents your views more closely.]

* LDP (5)
* CDP (4)
* Ishin JIP (25)
* DPFP (28)
* Komeito (19)
* Reiwa Shinsengumi (6)
* JCP (22)
* Sanseitō (15)
* CPJ (18)
* SDP (23)
* Other (24)
* Prefer not to say (13)

End of Block: Vote

Start of Block: Open-ended field

|  |
| --- |
|  |

concerns\_field What are your main concerns these days?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

concerns\_field What are your main concerns these days?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

concerns\_field What are your main concerns these days?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

concerns\_field What are your main concerns these days?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

concerns\_field What are your main concerns these days?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

ما هي اهتماماتك الرئيسية في الوقت الحالي؟ concerns\_field

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

concerns\_field Co jest dla Pana/Pani obecnie największym powodem do zmartwień?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

concerns\_field 最近のあなたの主な関心事は何ですか？

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

concerns\_field ¿Cuáles son sus principales preocupaciones estos días?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

concerns\_field What are your main concerns these days?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

concerns\_field Was bereitet Ihnen derzeit am meisten Sorgen?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

concerns\_field Quelles sont vos principales préoccupations ces jours-ci ?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

concerns\_field Quali sono le tue principali preoccupazioni al momento?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

concerns\_field What are your main concerns these days?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

concerns\_field What are your main concerns these days?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

|  |
| --- |
|  |

wish\_field What are your needs or wishes?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

wish\_field What are your needs or wishes?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

wish\_field What are your needs or wishes?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

wish\_field What are your needs or wishes?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

wish\_field What are your needs or wishes?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

ما هو الشيء الذي تحتاج إليه أو الهدف الذي ترغب في تحقيقه؟ wish\_field

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

wish\_field Czego Pan/Pani obecnie potrzebuje lub o czym marzy?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

wish\_field あなたが必要としていること、または望んでいることは何ですか？

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

wish\_field ¿Qué necesita o desea?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

wish\_field What are your needs or wishes?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

wish\_field Was benötigen oder wünschen Sie sich?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

wish\_field De quoi avez-vous besoin ? Que souhaitez-vous ?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

wish\_field Di cosa avresti bisogno o cosa desideri?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

wish\_field What are your needs or wishes?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

wish\_field What are your needs or wishes?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

|  |
| --- |
|  |

issue\_field Can you name an issue that is important to you but is neglected in the public debate?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

issue\_field Can you name an issue that is important to you but is neglected in the public debate?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

issue\_field Can you name an issue that is important to you but is neglected in the public debate?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

issue\_field Can you name an issue that is important to you but is neglected in the public debate?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

issue\_field Can you name an issue that is important to you but is neglected in the public debate?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

هل يمكنك إخبارنا عن قضية هامة بالنسبة إليك ولكنها لا تلقى القدر الكافي من الاهتمام في النقاش العام؟ issue\_field

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

issue\_field Czy istnieje jakiś problem, który jest ważny dla Pana/Pani, ale jest lekceważony w debacie publicznej?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

issue\_field あなたにとって重要だが、公共の議論で十分に取り上げられていない問題はありますか？

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

issue\_field ¿Puede mencionar un tema que es importante para usted pero que es descuidado en el debate público?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

issue\_field Can you name an issue that is important to you but is neglected in the public debate?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

issue\_field Können Sie ein Thema nennen, das Ihnen wichtig ist, aber in der öffentlichen Debatte vernachlässigt wird?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

issue\_field Pouvez-vous citer une question qui vous tient à cœur mais qui est négligée dans le débat public ?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

issue\_field Puoi menzionare una questione che è importante per te ma che viene trascurata dal dibattito pubblico?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

issue\_field Can you name an issue that is important to you but is neglected in the public debate?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

issue\_field Can you name an issue that is important to you but is neglected in the public debate?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

|  |
| --- |
|  |

injustice\_field What according to you is the greatest injustice of all?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

injustice\_field What according to you is the greatest injustice of all?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

injustice\_field What according to you is the greatest injustice of all?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

injustice\_field What according to you is the greatest injustice of all?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

injustice\_field What according to you is the greatest injustice of all?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

برأيك ما هو أكبر ظلم على الإطلاق؟ injustice\_field

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

injustice\_field Co jest według Pana/Pani obecnie największą niesprawiedliwością na świecie?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

injustice\_field あなたにとって、最大の不公平とは何ですか?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

injustice\_field Según usted, ¿cuál es la mayor injusticia que existe?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

injustice\_field What according to you is the greatest injustice of all?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

injustice\_field Was ist Ihrer Meinung nach die größte Ungerechtigkeit überhaupt?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

injustice\_field Selon vous, quelle est la plus grande injustice qui soit ?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

injustice\_field Secondo te, qual è la più grande ingiustizia che esista?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

injustice\_field What according to you is the greatest injustice of all?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

injustice\_field What according to you is the greatest injustice of all?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

End of Block: Open-ended field

Start of Block: Conjoint analysis

Display this question:

If country != SA

And country != RU

|  |
| --- |
|  |

conjoint Imagine if the two main candidates in the next presidential election campaigned with the following platforms. Which of these candidates would you vote for?   
    
  **Candidate A** **Candidate B**   ${e://Field/F-1-1-1} ${e://Field/F-1-2-1} **${e://Field/F-1-1}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-2} ${e://Field/F-1-2-2} **${e://Field/F-1-2}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-3} ${e://Field/F-1-2-3} **${e://Field/F-1-3}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-4} ${e://Field/F-1-2-4} **${e://Field/F-1-4}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-5} ${e://Field/F-1-2-5} **${e://Field/F-1-5}**

* Candidate A (1)
* Candidate B (2)
* Neither of them (5)

conjoint Stellen Sie sich zwei mögliche politische Programme vor, die jeweils eine unterschiedliche Richtung für die Zukunft der Schweiz einschlagen. Welches Programm würden Sie bevorzugen?   
    
  **Programm A** **Programm B**   ${e://Field/F-1-1-1} ${e://Field/F-1-2-1} **${e://Field/F-1-1}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-2} ${e://Field/F-1-2-2} **${e://Field/F-1-2}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-3} ${e://Field/F-1-2-3} **${e://Field/F-1-3}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-4} ${e://Field/F-1-2-4} **${e://Field/F-1-4}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-5} ${e://Field/F-1-2-5} **${e://Field/F-1-5}**

* Programm A (1)
* Programm B (2)
* Keines der beiden (5)

conjoint Imaginez deux programmes politiques possibles qui pourraient constituer l'agenda politique de la Suisse dans les années à venir. Lequel de ces programmes préférez-vous ?   
    
  **Programme A** **Programme B**   ${e://Field/F-1-1-1} ${e://Field/F-1-2-1} **${e://Field/F-1-1}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-2} ${e://Field/F-1-2-2} **${e://Field/F-1-2}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-3} ${e://Field/F-1-2-3} **${e://Field/F-1-3}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-4} ${e://Field/F-1-2-4} **${e://Field/F-1-4}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-5} ${e://Field/F-1-2-5} **${e://Field/F-1-5}**

* Programme A (1)
* Programme B (2)
* Aucun des deux (5)

conjoint Immaginiamo due possibili direzioni politiche che potrebbero influenzare la futura agenda politica della Svizzera. Quale programma preferiresti?   
    
  **Programma A** **Programma B**   ${e://Field/F-1-1-1} ${e://Field/F-1-2-1} **${e://Field/F-1-1}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-2} ${e://Field/F-1-2-2} **${e://Field/F-1-2}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-3} ${e://Field/F-1-2-3} **${e://Field/F-1-3}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-4} ${e://Field/F-1-2-4} **${e://Field/F-1-4}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-5} ${e://Field/F-1-2-5} **${e://Field/F-1-5}**

* Programma A (1)
* Programma B (2)
* Nessuno dei due (5)

conjoint Imagine if the two top candidates in your constituency in the next general election campaigned with the following policies in their party's platforms. Which of these candidates would you vote for?   
   
 **Candidate A** **Candidate B**   ${e://Field/F-1-1-1} ${e://Field/F-1-2-1} **${e://Field/F-1-1}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-2} ${e://Field/F-1-2-2} **${e://Field/F-1-2}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-3} ${e://Field/F-1-2-3} **${e://Field/F-1-3}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-4} ${e://Field/F-1-2-4} **${e://Field/F-1-4}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-5} ${e://Field/F-1-2-5} **${e://Field/F-1-5}**

* Candidate A (1)
* Candidate B (2)
* Neither of them (5)

تخيل لو أن المرشحَين الرئيسيين في دائرتك الانتخابية في الانتخابات العامة القادمة خاضا حملتهم الانتخابية على أساس السياسات التالية في برامجهم الحزبية. من هو المرشح الذي ستقوم بالتصويت لصالحه؟   
    
  **المرشح أ** **المرشح ب**   ${e://Field/F-1-1-1} ${e://Field/F-1-2-1} **${e://Field/F-1-1}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-2} ${e://Field/F-1-2-2} **${e://Field/F-1-2}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-3} ${e://Field/F-1-2-3} **${e://Field/F-1-3}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-4} ${e://Field/F-1-2-4} **${e://Field/F-1-4}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-5} ${e://Field/F-1-2-5} **${e://Field/F-1-5}** conjoint

* (1) المرشح أ
* (2) المرشح ب
* (5) لن أصوت لأي من المرشحَين

conjoint Proszę sobie wyobrazić, że dwaj kandydaci w drugiej turze następnych wyborów prezydenckich prowadziliby kampanię wyborczą w oparciu o następujące programy. Na którego z tych kandydatów by Pan/Pani zagłosował(a)?   
  **Kandydat A** **Kandydat B**   ${e://Field/F-1-1-1} ${e://Field/F-1-2-1} **${e://Field/F-1-1}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-2} ${e://Field/F-1-2-2} **${e://Field/F-1-2}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-3} ${e://Field/F-1-2-3} **${e://Field/F-1-3}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-4} ${e://Field/F-1-2-4} **${e://Field/F-1-4}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-5} ${e://Field/F-1-2-5} **${e://Field/F-1-5}**

* Kandydat A (1)
* Kandydat B (2)
* Żaden z nich (5)

conjoint 次回の総選挙で、あなたの選挙区の上位2名の候補者が以下の政策を掲げて選挙運動を行ったと想像してください。 あなたはどちらの候補者に投票しますか？   
    
  **候補者 A** **候補者 B**   ${e://Field/F-1-1-1} ${e://Field/F-1-2-1} **${e://Field/F-1-1}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-2} ${e://Field/F-1-2-2} **${e://Field/F-1-2}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-3} ${e://Field/F-1-2-3} **${e://Field/F-1-3}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-4} ${e://Field/F-1-2-4} **${e://Field/F-1-4}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-5} ${e://Field/F-1-2-5} **${e://Field/F-1-5}**

* 候補者 A (1)
* 候補者 B (2)
* どちらにも投票しない (5)

conjoint Imaginemos dos programas posibles para la coalición ganadora después de las próximas elecciones generales. ¿Por cuál de estos programas votaría usted?   
    
  **Programa A** **Programa B**   ${e://Field/F-1-1-1} ${e://Field/F-1-2-1} **${e://Field/F-1-1}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-2} ${e://Field/F-1-2-2} **${e://Field/F-1-2}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-3} ${e://Field/F-1-2-3} **${e://Field/F-1-3}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-4} ${e://Field/F-1-2-4} **${e://Field/F-1-4}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-5} ${e://Field/F-1-2-5} **${e://Field/F-1-5}**

* Programa A (1)
* Programa B (2)
* Ninguno de ellos (5)

conjoint Imaginemos dos programas posibles para los candidatos de la próxima eleccion presidencial. ¿Por cuál de estos candidatos votaría usted?   
    
  **Candidato A** **Candidato B**   ${e://Field/F-1-1-1} ${e://Field/F-1-2-1} **${e://Field/F-1-1}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-2} ${e://Field/F-1-2-2} **${e://Field/F-1-2}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-3} ${e://Field/F-1-2-3} **${e://Field/F-1-3}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-4} ${e://Field/F-1-2-4} **${e://Field/F-1-4}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-5} ${e://Field/F-1-2-5} **${e://Field/F-1-5}**

* Candidate A (1)
* Candidate B (2)
* Neither of them (5)

conjoint Stellen Sie sich zwei mögliche Regierungsprogramme der siegreichen Koalition nach der nächsten Bundestagswahl vor. Welches dieser Programme bevorzugen Sie?   
  **Programm A** **Programm B**   ${e://Field/F-1-1-1} ${e://Field/F-1-2-1} **${e://Field/F-1-1}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-2} ${e://Field/F-1-2-2} **${e://Field/F-1-2}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-3} ${e://Field/F-1-2-3} **${e://Field/F-1-3}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-4} ${e://Field/F-1-2-4} **${e://Field/F-1-4}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-5} ${e://Field/F-1-2-5} **${e://Field/F-1-5}**

* Programm A (1)
* Programm B (2)
* Keines der beiden (5)

conjoint Imaginez que les deux candidats au deuxième tour de la prochaine élection présidentielle fassent campagne avec les mesures suivantes dans leurs programmes. Pour lequel de ces candidats voteriez-vous ?   
  **Candidat A** **Candidat B**   ${e://Field/F-1-1-1} ${e://Field/F-1-2-1} **${e://Field/F-1-1}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-2} ${e://Field/F-1-2-2} **${e://Field/F-1-2}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-3} ${e://Field/F-1-2-3} **${e://Field/F-1-3}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-4} ${e://Field/F-1-2-4} **${e://Field/F-1-4}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-5} ${e://Field/F-1-2-5} **${e://Field/F-1-5}**

* Candidat A (1)
* Candidat B (2)
* Aucun des deux (5)

conjoint Immaginiamo due possibili programmi per la coalizione vincente a seguito delle prossime elezioni politiche. Quale dei seguenti programmi preferiresti?   
    
  **Programma A** **Programma B**   ${e://Field/F-1-1-1} ${e://Field/F-1-2-1} **${e://Field/F-1-1}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-2} ${e://Field/F-1-2-2} **${e://Field/F-1-2}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-3} ${e://Field/F-1-2-3} **${e://Field/F-1-3}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-4} ${e://Field/F-1-2-4} **${e://Field/F-1-4}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-5} ${e://Field/F-1-2-5} **${e://Field/F-1-5}**

* Programma A (1)
* Programma B (2)
* Nessuno dei due (5)

conjoint Imagine if the two top candidates in your constituency in the next general election campaigned with the following policies in their party's platforms. Which of these candidates would you vote for?   
   
 **Candidate A** **Candidate B**   ${e://Field/F-1-1-1} ${e://Field/F-1-2-1} **${e://Field/F-1-1}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-2} ${e://Field/F-1-2-2} **${e://Field/F-1-2}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-3} ${e://Field/F-1-2-3} **${e://Field/F-1-3}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-4} ${e://Field/F-1-2-4} **${e://Field/F-1-4}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-5} ${e://Field/F-1-2-5} **${e://Field/F-1-5}**

* Candidate A (1)
* Candidate B (2)
* Neither of them (5)

conjoint Imagine if the two top candidates in your constituency in the next general election campaigned with the following policies in their party's platforms. Which of these candidates would you vote for?   
   
 **Candidate A** **Candidate B**   ${e://Field/F-1-1-1} ${e://Field/F-1-2-1} **${e://Field/F-1-1}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-2} ${e://Field/F-1-2-2} **${e://Field/F-1-2}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-3} ${e://Field/F-1-2-3} **${e://Field/F-1-3}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-4} ${e://Field/F-1-2-4} **${e://Field/F-1-4}** ${e://Field/F-1-1-5} ${e://Field/F-1-2-5} **${e://Field/F-1-5}**

* Candidate A (1)
* Candidate B (2)
* Neither of them (5)

End of Block: Conjoint analysis

Start of Block: Revenue split of global tax

Display this question:

If variant\_split = 1

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |

revenue\_split\_few Imagine a wealth tax applied to households with a net worth above [tax\_threshold: $5 million], implemented in every country around the world. [tax\_country\_name: In the U.S.], the tax revenues collected would be [tax\_revenue: $514 billion] per year (that is, [tax\_revenue\_gdp: 2]% of [tax\_country\_gdp: U.S. GDP]), while it would be [LIC\_revenue: $1 billion] in all low-income countries combined (700 million people live in a low-income country, most of them in Africa). Each country would retain part of the revenues it collects and use it for different domestic purposes. The remaining part would be pooled globally to finance sustainable development in low-income countries. **What percentage of the global wealth tax revenue should be allocated to each category?** **The total allocation must sum to 100%.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 | 55 | 60 | 65 | 70 | 75 | 80 | 85 | 90 | 95 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Domestic: Education and Healthcare () |  |
| Domestic: Social welfare programs () |  |
| Domestic: Reduction in the federal income tax () |  |
| Domestic: Reduction of the deficit () |  |
| Global: Education, Healthcare and Renewable energy in low-income countries () |  |

revenue\_split\_few Imagine a wealth tax applied to households with a net worth above [tax\_threshold: $5 million], implemented in every country around the world. [tax\_country\_name: In the U.S.], the tax revenues collected would be [tax\_revenue: $514 billion] per year (that is, [tax\_revenue\_gdp: 2]% of [tax\_country\_gdp: U.S. GDP]), while it would be [LIC\_revenue: $1 billion] in all low-income countries combined (700 million people live in a low-income country, most of them in Africa). Each country would retain part of the revenues it collects and use it for different domestic purposes. The remaining part would be pooled globally to finance sustainable development in low-income countries. **What percentage of the global wealth tax revenue should be allocated to each category?** **The total allocation must sum to 100%.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 | 55 | 60 | 65 | 70 | 75 | 80 | 85 | 90 | 95 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Domestic: Education and Healthcare () |  |
| Domestic: Social welfare programs () |  |
| Domestic: Reduction in the federal income tax () |  |
| Domestic: Reduction of the deficit () |  |
| Global: Education, Healthcare and Renewable energy in low-income countries () |  |

revenue\_split\_few Imagine a wealth tax applied to households with a net worth above [tax\_threshold: $5 million], implemented in every country around the world. [tax\_country\_name: In the U.S.], the tax revenues collected would be [tax\_revenue: $514 billion] per year (that is, [tax\_revenue\_gdp: 2]% of [tax\_country\_gdp: U.S. GDP]), while it would be [LIC\_revenue: $1 billion] in all low-income countries combined (700 million people live in a low-income country, most of them in Africa). Each country would retain part of the revenues it collects and use it for different domestic purposes. The remaining part would be pooled globally to finance sustainable development in low-income countries. **What percentage of the global wealth tax revenue should be allocated to each category?** **The total allocation must sum to 100%.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 | 55 | 60 | 65 | 70 | 75 | 80 | 85 | 90 | 95 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Domestic: Education and Healthcare () |  |
| National : Protection sociale () |  |
| Domestic: Reduction in the federal income tax () |  |
| Domestic: Reduction of the deficit () |  |
| Global: Education, Healthcare and Renewable energy in low-income countries () |  |

revenue\_split\_few Immaginiamo un'imposta patrimoniale applicata ai nuclei familiari che abbiano un patrimonio netto superiore a [tax\_threshold: $5 million], implementata in ogni Paese del mondo. [tax\_country\_name: In the U.S.], le entrate fiscali raccolte sarebbero pari a [tax\_revenue: $514 billion] all'anno (che rappresenta il [tax\_revenue\_gdp: 2]% del [tax\_country\_gdp: U.S. GDP]), mentre sarebbero pari a [LIC\_revenue: $1 billion] nei Paesi a basso reddito presi collettivamente (700 milioni di persone vivono nei Paesi a basso reddito, la maggior parte dei quali si trovano in Africa). Ogni Paese tratterrebbe una parte delle entrate raccolte e le userebbe per diversi scopi riferiti alla nazione. La parte rimanente sarebbe messa in un fondo comune a livello globale per finanziare lo sviluppo sostenibile nei Paesi a basso reddito. **Quale percentuale del gettito fiscale globale della ricchezza dovrebbe essere assegnata a ciascuna categoria?** **L'allocazione complessiva deve sommare al 100%.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 | 55 | 60 | 65 | 70 | 75 | 80 | 85 | 90 | 95 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Domestic: Education and Healthcare () |  |
| Domestic: Social welfare programs () |  |
| Domestic: Reduction in the federal income tax () |  |
| Domestic: Reduction of the deficit () |  |
| Global: Education, Healthcare and Renewable energy in low-income countries () |  |

revenue\_split\_few Imagine a wealth tax applied to households with a net worth above [tax\_threshold: $5 million], implemented in every country around the world. [tax\_country\_name: In the U.S.], the tax revenues collected would be [tax\_revenue: $514 billion] per year (that is, [tax\_revenue\_gdp: 2]% of [tax\_country\_gdp: U.S. GDP]), while it would be [LIC\_revenue: $1 billion] in all low-income countries combined (700 million people live in a low-income country, most of them in Africa). Each country would retain part of the revenues it collects and use it for different domestic purposes. The remaining part would be pooled globally to finance sustainable development in low-income countries. **What percentage of the global wealth tax revenue should be allocated to each category?** **The total allocation must sum to 100%.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 | 55 | 60 | 65 | 70 | 75 | 80 | 85 | 90 | 95 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Domestic: Education and Healthcare () |  |
| Domestic: Social welfare programs () |  |
| Domestic: Reduction in the federal income tax () |  |
| Domestic: Reduction of the deficit () |  |
| Global: Education, Healthcare and Renewable energy in low-income countries () |  |

تخيل أنه تم تطبيق ضريبة على الثروة تُفرض على الأسر التي يتجاوز صافي ثروتها [tax\_threshold: $5 million]، ويتم تطبيقها في كافة دول العالم. [tax\_country\_name: In the U.S.]، ستصل العائدات الضريبية المُحصَّلة إلى [tax\_revenue: $514 billion] سنويًا (أي ما يُعادل [tax\_revenue\_gdp: 2] % من [tax\_country\_gdp: U.S. GDP])، بينما ستصل إلى [LIC\_revenue: $1 billion] في جميع البلدان منخفضة الدخل مجتمعة (يجدر الإشارة إلى أنه يعيش حوالي 700 مليون شخص في البلاد منخفضة الدخل، والتي يقع معظمهما في افريقيا). وستحتفظ كل دولة بجزء من الإيرادات التي تجمعها وتستخدمها لأغراض مختلفة محليًا. بينما سيتم ضخ السيولة المتبقية عالميًا لتمويل مشاريع التنمية المستدامة في البلدان منخفضة الدخل. **ما هي نسبة عائدات ضريبة الثروة العالمية التي ينبغي تخصيصها لكل فئة؟** **يجب أن يكون مجموع التخصيص 100%.**   revenue\_split\_few

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 100 | 95 | 90 | 85 | 80 | 75 | 70 | 65 | 60 | 55 | 50 | 45 | 40 | 35 | 30 | 25 | 20 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 0 |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | () محليًا: التعليم والرعاية الصحية |
|  | () محليًا: برامج الرعاية الاجتماعية |
|  | () محليًا: تخفيض ضريبة الدخل |
|  | () محليًا: خفض العجز المالي |
|  | () عالميًا: التعليم والرعاية الصحية والطاقة المتجددة في البلدان النامية |

revenue\_split\_few Proszę sobie wyobrazić, że został wprowadzony podatek majątkowy, który dotyczyłby gospodarstw domowych o majątku netto przekraczającym 20 mln PLN, we wszystkich krajach na całym świecie. W Polsce dochody z podatku wyniosłyby 6 mld PLN rocznie (tj. 0,2% PKB), podczas gdy we wszystkich krajach o niskich dochodach łącznie wyniosłyby 1 mld USD (w krajach o niskich dochodach żyje 700 milionów ludzi, większość w Afryce). Każdy kraj zachowałby część zebranego dochodu i wykorzystałby ją na różne cele wewnętrzne (usługi publiczne, programy pomocy społecznej, ulgi podatkowe lub zmniejszenie deficytu budżetowego). Pozostała część zostałaby zgromadzona na poziomie globalnym w celu finansowania zrównoważonego rozwoju w krajach o niskim dochodzie. **Jaki odsetek globalnego podatku od majątku powinien zostać przydzielony do każdej kategorii? Suma musi wynosić 100%.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 | 55 | 60 | 65 | 70 | 75 | 80 | 85 | 90 | 95 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| W kraju: edukacja i opieka zdrowotna () |  |
| W kraju: programy pomocy społecznej () |  |
| W kraju: obniżenie podatku dochodowego () |  |
| W kraju: zmniejszenie deficytu budżetowego () |  |
| Globalnie: edukacja, opieka zdrowotna i energia odnawialna w krajach o niskich dochodach () |  |

revenue\_split\_few 世界中すべての国で、純資産が[tax\_threshold: $5 million]を超える世帯に対して富裕税が導入されたと想像してください。 [tax\_country\_name: In the U.S.]、この税によって年間[tax\_revenue: $514 billion]の税収が見込まれます（これは[tax\_country\_gdp: U.S. GDP]の[tax\_revenue\_gdp: 2]%に相当します）。一方で、低所得国すべてを合わせても年間の税収は[LIC\_revenue: $1 billion]にとどまります（低所得国には約7億人が暮らしており、その多くはアフリカに集中しています）。各国は、自国で集めた税収の一部を国内のさまざまな用途に充て、残りの一部を国際的に拠出し、低所得国での持続可能な開発のために活用します。 **この世界共通の富裕税収を、以下のカテゴリにどのような割合で配分するべきだと思いますか？** **合計が100%になるように、各カテゴリへの配分（％）を入力してください。**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 | 55 | 60 | 65 | 70 | 75 | 80 | 85 | 90 | 95 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 国内：教育と医療 () |  |
| 国内：社会福祉プログラム () |  |
| 国内：所得税の減税 () |  |
| 国内：赤字の削減 () |  |
| グローバル：低所得国における教育、医療、再生可能エネルギー () |  |

revenue\_split\_few Imagine un impuesto al patrimonio aplicado a los hogares con un patrimonio neto superior a [tax\_threshold: $5 million], implementado en todos los países del mundo. [tax\_country\_name: In the U.S.], los ingresos fiscales recaudados serían [tax\_revenue: $514 billion] por año (eso es, [tax\_revenue\_gdp: 2]% de [tax\_country\_gdp: U.S. GDP]), mientras que sería [LIC\_revenue: $1 billion] en todos los países de bajo ingreso combinados (700 millones de personas viven en países de bajo ingreso, la mayoría de ellos en Africa). Cada país retendría parte de los ingresos que recauda y los utilizaría para diferentes fines. La parte restante se agruparía globalmente para financiar el desarrollo sostenible en países de bajos ingresos. **¿Qué porcentaje de los ingresos del impuesto sobre el patrimonio mundial debería asignarse a cada categoría?** **La asignación total debe sumar 100%.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 | 55 | 60 | 65 | 70 | 75 | 80 | 85 | 90 | 95 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Interno: Educación y cuidado de la salud () |  |
| Interno: Programas de Bienestar Social () |  |
| Interno: Reducción del Impuesto Federal sobre la Renta () |  |
| Interno: Reducción del déficit () |  |
| Global: Educación, Cuidado de la Salud y Energía Renobable en países de bajo ingreso. () |  |

revenue\_split\_few Imagine a wealth tax applied to households with a net worth above [tax\_threshold: $5 million], implemented in every country around the world. [tax\_country\_name: In the U.S.], the tax revenues collected would be [tax\_revenue: $514 billion] per year (that is, [tax\_revenue\_gdp: 2]% of [tax\_country\_gdp: U.S. GDP]), while it would be [LIC\_revenue: $1 billion] in all low-income countries combined (700 million people live in a low-income country, most of them in Africa). Each country would retain part of the revenues it collects and use it for different domestic purposes. The remaining part would be pooled globally to finance sustainable development in low-income countries. **What percentage of the global wealth tax revenue should be allocated to each category?** **The total allocation must sum to 100%.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 | 55 | 60 | 65 | 70 | 75 | 80 | 85 | 90 | 95 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Domestic: Education and Healthcare () |  |
| Domestic: Social welfare programs () |  |
| Domestic: Reduction in the federal income tax () |  |
| Domestic: Reduction of the deficit () |  |
| Global: Education, Healthcare and Renewable energy in low-income countries () |  |

revenue\_split\_few Stellen Sie sich vor, dass eine Vermögenssteuer für Haushalte mit einem Nettovermögen über [tax\_threshold: $5 million] weltweit in allen Ländern eingeführt wird. [tax\_country\_name: In the U.S.] würden die durch diese Steuer erhobenen Einnahmen [tax\_revenue: $514 billion] pro Jahr betragen (das entspricht [tax\_revenue\_gdp: 2]% des [tax\_country\_gdp: U.S. GDP]). In allen einkommensschwachen Ländern zusammen betrüge dies jedoch nur [LIC\_revenue: $1 billion] (700 Millionen Menschen leben in einem einkommensschwachen Land, die meisten davon in Afrika). Jedes Land würde einen Teil der dadurch generierten Einnahmen behalten und für verschiedene nationale Zwecke verwenden. Der verbleibende Teil würde weltweit zusammengeführt, um die nachhaltige Entwicklung in Entwicklungsländern zu finanzieren. **Welcher Prozentsatz der Einnahmen dieser weltweiten Vermögenssteuer sollte jeder Kategorie zugewiesen werden? Die Gesamtverteilung muss zusammen 100 % ergeben.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 | 55 | 60 | 65 | 70 | 75 | 80 | 85 | 90 | 95 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| National: Bildung und Gesundheitssystem () |  |
| National: Sozialhilfeprogramme () |  |
| National: Senkung der Einkommenssteuer () |  |
| National: Abbau des Haushaltsdefizits (Staatsschuldenabbau) () |  |
| Global: Bildung, Gesundheitsversorgung und erneuerbare Energien in einkommensschwachen Ländern () |  |

revenue\_split\_few Imaginez un impôt sur la fortune s'appliquant aux ménages avec un patrimoine supérieur à 5 millions de livres sterling, mis en œuvre dans tous les pays. Aux États-Unis, les recettes collectées s'élèveraient à 430 milliards de dollars par an (soit 2 % du [tax\_country\_gdp: U.S. GDP]), alors qu'elles s'élèveraient à 1 milliard d'euros dans l'ensemble des pays à bas revenus (700 millions de personnes vivent dans un pays à bas revenus, la plupart en Afrique). Chaque pays conserverait une partie des recettes qu’il collecte et l’utiliserait à différentes fins nationales. La partie restante serait mise en commun au niveau mondial pour financer le développement durable dans les pays à bas revenus. Quel pourcentage des recettes de l’impôt mondial sur la fortune devrait être alloué à chaque catégorie ? Le total doit faire 100 %.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 | 55 | 60 | 65 | 70 | 75 | 80 | 85 | 90 | 95 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| National : Éducation et santé () |  |
| National : Protection sociale (RSA, allocations familiales, crèches, minimum vieillesse, AAH...) () |  |
| National : Réduction de l’impôt sur le revenu () |  |
| National : Réduction du déficit () |  |
| Mondial : Éducation, santé et énergies renouvelables dans les pays à bas revenus () |  |

revenue\_split\_few Immaginiamo un'imposta patrimoniale applicata ai nuclei familiari che abbiano un patrimonio netto superiore a [tax\_threshold: $5 million], implementata in ogni Paese del mondo. [tax\_country\_name: In the U.S.], il gettito fiscale riscosso sarebbe pari a [tax\_revenue: $514 billion] all'anno (che rappresenta il [tax\_revenue\_gdp: 2]% del [tax\_country\_gdp: U.S. GDP]), mentre sarebbe pari a [LIC\_revenue: $1 billion] nei Paesi a basso reddito presi collettivamente (700 milioni di persone vivono nei Paesi a basso reddito, la maggior parte dei quali si trovano in Africa). Ogni Paese tratterrebbe una parte del gettito riscosso, che destinerebbe a diversi scopi riferiti alla nazione. La parte rimanente sarebbe messa in un fondo comune a livello globale per finanziare lo sviluppo sostenibile nei Paesi a basso reddito. **Quale percentuale del gettito fiscale globale della ricchezza dovrebbe essere assegnata a ciascuna categoria?** **L'allocazione complessiva deve sommare al 100%.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 | 55 | 60 | 65 | 70 | 75 | 80 | 85 | 90 | 95 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Nazionale: Educazione e Assistenza sanitaria () |  |
| Nazionale: Programmi di assistenza sociale () |  |
| Nazionale: Riduzione dell'imposta sul reddito () |  |
| Nazionale: Riduzione del deficit () |  |
| Globale: Educazione, Assistenza sanitaria ed Energia rinnovabile nei Paesi a basso reddito () |  |

revenue\_split\_few Imagine a wealth tax applied to households with a net worth above [tax\_threshold: $5 million], implemented in every country around the world. [tax\_country\_name: In the U.S.], the tax revenues collected would be [tax\_revenue: $514 billion] per year (that is, [tax\_revenue\_gdp: 2]% of [tax\_country\_gdp: U.S. GDP]), while it would be [LIC\_revenue: $1 billion] in all low-income countries combined (700 million people live in a low-income country, most of them in Africa). Each country would retain part of the revenues it collects and use it for different domestic purposes. The remaining part would be pooled globally to finance sustainable development in low-income countries. **What percentage of the global wealth tax revenue should be allocated to each category?** **The total allocation must sum to 100%.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 | 55 | 60 | 65 | 70 | 75 | 80 | 85 | 90 | 95 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Domestic: Education and Healthcare () |  |
| Domestic: Social welfare programs () |  |
| Domestic: Reduction in the federal income tax () |  |
| Domestic: Reduction of the deficit () |  |
| Global: Education, Healthcare and Renewable energy in low-income countries () |  |

revenue\_split\_few Imagine a wealth tax applied to households with a net worth above [tax\_threshold: $5 million], implemented in every country around the world. [tax\_country\_name: In the U.S.], the tax revenues collected would be [tax\_revenue: $514 billion] per year (that is, [tax\_revenue\_gdp: 2]% of [tax\_country\_gdp: U.S. GDP]), while it would be [LIC\_revenue: $1 billion] in all low-income countries combined (700 million people live in a low-income country, most of them in Africa). Each country would retain part of the revenues it collects and use it for different domestic purposes. The remaining part would be pooled globally to finance sustainable development in low-income countries. **What percentage of the global wealth tax revenue should be allocated to each category?** **The total allocation must sum to 100%.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 | 55 | 60 | 65 | 70 | 75 | 80 | 85 | 90 | 95 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Domestic: Education and Healthcare () |  |
| Domestic: Social welfare programs () |  |
| Domestic: Reduction in the federal income tax () |  |
| Domestic: Reduction of the deficit () |  |
| Global: Education, Healthcare and Renewable energy in low-income countries () |  |

Display this question:

If variant\_split = 2

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |

revenue\_split\_many Imagine a wealth tax applied to households with net worth above [tax\_threshold: $5 million], implemented in all countries around the world. [tax\_country\_name: In the U.S.], the tax revenues collected would be [tax\_revenue: $514 billion] per year (that is, [tax\_revenue\_gdp: 2]% of [tax\_country\_gdp: U.S. GDP]), while it would be [LIC\_revenue: $1 billion] in all low-income countries combined (700 million people live in a low-income country, most of them in Africa). Each country would retain part of the revenues it collects and use it for different domestic purposes. The remaining part would be pooled globally to finance sustainable development. **What percentage of the global wealth tax revenue should be allocated to each category?**  **The total allocation must sum to 100%.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 | 55 | 60 | 65 | 70 | 75 | 80 | 85 | 90 | 95 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Domestic: Education and Research () |  |
| Domestic: Healthcare () |  |
| Domestic: Defense () |  |
| Domestic: Deficit reduction () |  |
| Domestic: Justice and Police () |  |
| Domestic: Retirement pensions () |  |
| Domestic: Social welfare programs () |  |
| Domestic: Infrastructure (public transport, water systems...) () |  |
| Domestic: Income tax reduction () |  |
| Global: Education and Healthcare in low-income countries () |  |
| Global: Renewable energy and infrastructure to cope with climate change () |  |
| Global: Loss and Damage Fund (to rebuild after climate disasters) () |  |
| Global: Forestation and biodiversity projects () |  |

revenue\_split\_many Imagine a wealth tax applied to households with net worth above [tax\_threshold: $5 million], implemented in all countries around the world. [tax\_country\_name: In the U.S.], the tax revenues collected would be [tax\_revenue: $514 billion] per year (that is, [tax\_revenue\_gdp: 2]% of [tax\_country\_gdp: U.S. GDP]), while it would be [LIC\_revenue: $1 billion] in all low-income countries combined (700 million people live in a low-income country, most of them in Africa). Each country would retain part of the revenues it collects and use it for different domestic purposes. The remaining part would be pooled globally to finance sustainable development. **What percentage of the global wealth tax revenue should be allocated to each category?**  **The total allocation must sum to 100%.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 | 55 | 60 | 65 | 70 | 75 | 80 | 85 | 90 | 95 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Domestic: Education and Research () |  |
| Domestic: Healthcare () |  |
| Domestic: Defense () |  |
| Domestic: Deficit reduction () |  |
| Domestic: Justice and Police () |  |
| Domestic: Retirement pensions () |  |
| Domestic: Social welfare programs () |  |
| Domestic: Infrastructure (public transport, water systems...) () |  |
| Domestic: Income tax reduction () |  |
| Global: Education and Healthcare in low-income countries () |  |
| Global: Renewable energy and infrastructure to cope with climate change () |  |
| Global: Loss and Damage Fund (to rebuild after climate disasters) () |  |
| Global: Forestation and biodiversity projects () |  |

revenue\_split\_many Imagine a wealth tax applied to households with net worth above [tax\_threshold: $5 million], implemented in all countries around the world. [tax\_country\_name: In the U.S.], the tax revenues collected would be [tax\_revenue: $514 billion] per year (that is, [tax\_revenue\_gdp: 2]% of [tax\_country\_gdp: U.S. GDP]), while it would be [LIC\_revenue: $1 billion] in all low-income countries combined (700 million people live in a low-income country, most of them in Africa). Each country would retain part of the revenues it collects and use it for different domestic purposes. The remaining part would be pooled globally to finance sustainable development. **What percentage of the global wealth tax revenue should be allocated to each category?**  **The total allocation must sum to 100%.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 | 55 | 60 | 65 | 70 | 75 | 80 | 85 | 90 | 95 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Domestic: Education and Research () |  |
| Domestic: Healthcare () |  |
| Domestic: Defense () |  |
| Domestic: Deficit reduction () |  |
| Domestic: Justice and Police () |  |
| Domestic: Retirement pensions () |  |
| National : Protection sociale () |  |
| Domestic: Infrastructure (public transport, water systems...) () |  |
| Domestic: Income tax reduction () |  |
| Global: Education and Healthcare in low-income countries () |  |
| Global: Renewable energy and infrastructure to cope with climate change () |  |
| Global: Loss and Damage Fund (to rebuild after climate disasters) () |  |
| Global: Forestation and biodiversity projects () |  |

revenue\_split\_many Imagine a wealth tax applied to households with net worth above [tax\_threshold: $5 million], implemented in all countries around the world. [tax\_country\_name: In the U.S.], the tax revenues collected would be [tax\_revenue: $514 billion] per year (that is, [tax\_revenue\_gdp: 2]% of [tax\_country\_gdp: U.S. GDP]), while it would be [LIC\_revenue: $1 billion] in all low-income countries combined (700 million people live in a low-income country, most of them in Africa). Each country would retain part of the revenues it collects and use it for different domestic purposes. The remaining part would be pooled globally to finance sustainable development. **What percentage of the global wealth tax revenue should be allocated to each category?**  **The total allocation must sum to 100%.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 | 55 | 60 | 65 | 70 | 75 | 80 | 85 | 90 | 95 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Domestic: Education and Research () |  |
| Domestic: Healthcare () |  |
| Domestic: Defense () |  |
| Domestic: Deficit reduction () |  |
| Domestic: Justice and Police () |  |
| Domestic: Retirement pensions () |  |
| Domestic: Social welfare programs () |  |
| Domestic: Infrastructure (public transport, water systems...) () |  |
| Domestic: Income tax reduction () |  |
| Global: Education and Healthcare in low-income countries () |  |
| Global: Renewable energy and infrastructure to cope with climate change () |  |
| Global: Loss and Damage Fund (to rebuild after climate disasters) () |  |
| Global: Forestation and biodiversity projects () |  |

revenue\_split\_many Imagine a wealth tax applied to households with net worth above [tax\_threshold: $5 million], implemented in all countries around the world. [tax\_country\_name: In the U.S.], the tax revenues collected would be [tax\_revenue: $514 billion] per year (that is, [tax\_revenue\_gdp: 2]% of [tax\_country\_gdp: U.S. GDP]), while it would be [LIC\_revenue: $1 billion] in all low-income countries combined (700 million people live in a low-income country, most of them in Africa). Each country would retain part of the revenues it collects and use it for different domestic purposes. The remaining part would be pooled globally to finance sustainable development. **What percentage of the global wealth tax revenue should be allocated to each category?**  **The total allocation must sum to 100%.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 | 55 | 60 | 65 | 70 | 75 | 80 | 85 | 90 | 95 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Domestic: Education and Research () |  |
| Domestic: Healthcare () |  |
| Domestic: Defense () |  |
| Domestic: Deficit reduction () |  |
| Domestic: Justice and Police () |  |
| Domestic: Retirement pensions () |  |
| Domestic: Social welfare programs () |  |
| Domestic: Infrastructure (public transport, water systems...) () |  |
| Domestic: Income tax reduction () |  |
| Global: Education and Healthcare in low-income countries () |  |
| Global: Renewable energy and infrastructure to cope with climate change () |  |
| Global: Loss and Damage Fund (to rebuild after climate disasters) () |  |
| Global: Forestation and biodiversity projects () |  |

تخيل أنه تم تطبيق ضريبة على الثروة تُفرض على الأسر التي يتجاوز صافي ثروتها [tax\_threshold: $5 million]، ويتم تطبيقها في كافة دول العالم. [tax\_country\_name: In the U.S.]، ستصل العائدات الضريبية المُحصَّلة إلى [tax\_revenue: $514 billion] سنويًا (أي ما يُعادل [tax\_revenue\_gdp: 2] % من [tax\_country\_gdp: U.S. GDP])، بينما ستصل إلى [LIC\_revenue: $1 billion] في جميع البلدان منخفضة الدخل مجتمعة (يجدر الإشارة إلى أنه يعيش حوالي 700 مليون شخص في البلاد منخفضة الدخل، والتي يقع معظمهما في افريقيا). وستحتفظ كل دولة بجزء من الإيرادات التي تجمعها وتستخدمها لأغراض مختلفة محليًا. بينما سيتم ضخ السيولة المتبقية عالميًا لتمويل مشاريع التنمية المستدامة. **ما هي نسبة عائدات ضريبة الثروة العالمية التي ينبغي تخصيصها لكل فئة؟** **يجب أن يكون مجموع التخصيص 100%.**   revenue\_split\_many

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 100 | 95 | 90 | 85 | 80 | 75 | 70 | 65 | 60 | 55 | 50 | 45 | 40 | 35 | 30 | 25 | 20 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 0 |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | () محليًا: التعليم والبحث العلمي |
|  | () محليًا: الرعاية الصحية |
|  | () محليًا: الدفاع |
|  | () محليًا: خفض العجز المالي |
|  | () محليًا: القضاء والشرطة |
|  | () محليًا: معاشات التقاعد |
|  | () محليًا: برامج الرعاية الاجتماعية |
|  | () محليًا: البنية التحتية (النقل العام، وأنظمة المياه...) |
|  | () محليًا: تخفيض ضريبة الدخل |
|  | () عالميًا: التعليم والرعاية الصحية في البلدان منخفضة الدخل |
|  | () عالميًا: مشاريع الطاقة المتجددة والبنية التحتية لمكافحة التغيرات المناخية |
|  | () عالميًا: صندوق الخسائر والأضرار (لإعادة البناء والتعافي بعد الكوارث المناخية) |
|  | () عالميًا: مشروعات التشجير والحفاظ على التنوع البيولوجي |

revenue\_split\_many Proszę sobie wyobrazić, że został wprowadzony podatek majątkowy, który dotyczyłby gospodarstw domowych o majątku netto przekraczającym 20 mln PLN, we wszystkich krajach na całym świecie. W Polsce dochody z podatku wyniosłyby 6 mld PLN rocznie (tj. 0,2% PKB), podczas gdy we wszystkich krajach o niskich dochodach łącznie wyniosłyby 1 mld USD (w krajach o niskich dochodach żyje 700 milionów ludzi, większość w Afryce). Każdy kraj zachowałby część zebranego dochodu i wykorzystałby ją na różne cele wewnętrzne (usługi publiczne, programy pomocy społecznej, ulgi podatkowe lub zmniejszenie deficytu budżetowego). Pozostała część zostałaby zgromadzona na poziomie globalnym w celu finansowania zrównoważonego rozwoju. **Jaki odsetek globalnego podatku od majątku powinien zostać przydzielony do każdej kategorii?** Suma musi wynosić 100%.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 | 55 | 60 | 65 | 70 | 75 | 80 | 85 | 90 | 95 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| W kraju: edukacja i badania naukowe () |  |
| W kraju: opieka zdrowotna () |  |
| W kraju: obrona narodowa () |  |
| W kraju: redukcja deficytu budżetowego () |  |
| W kraju: sądownictwo i policja () |  |
| W kraju: emerytury () |  |
| W kraju: programy pomocy społecznej () |  |
| W kraju: infrastruktura (transport publiczny, gospodarka wodna...) () |  |
| W kraju: obniżka podatku dochodowego () |  |
| Globalnie: edukacja i opieka zdrowotna w krajach o niskich dochodach () |  |
| Globalnie: energia odnawialna i infrastruktura umożliwiające radzenie sobie ze zmianami klimatu () |  |
| Globalnie: fundusz strat i szkód (odbudowa po katastrofach klimatycznych) () |  |
| Globalnie: projekty dotyczące zalesiania i różnorodności biologicznej () |  |

revenue\_split\_many 全世界で、純資産が[tax\_threshold: $5 million]を超える世帯に対して富裕税が導入されたと想像してください。 [tax\_country\_name: In the U.S.]、この税により年間[tax\_revenue: $514 billion]の税収が見込まれます（これは[tax\_revenue\_gdp: 2]%の[tax\_country\_gdp: U.S. GDP]に相当します）。一方で、すべての低所得国を合わせても、年間の税収はわずか[LIC\_revenue: $1 billion]にとどまります（低所得国には約7億人が暮らしており、その多くはアフリカに集中しています）。各国は、自国で集めた税収の一部を国内のさまざまな目的に活用し、残りの部分を国際的に拠出して、持続可能な開発のために活用します。 **この国際的な富裕税収は、以下のカテゴリにどのように配分されるべきだと思いますか？**  **合計が100%になるように、それぞれのカテゴリへの配分割合（％）を入力してください。**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 | 55 | 60 | 65 | 70 | 75 | 80 | 85 | 90 | 95 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 国内：教育・研究 () |  |
| 国内：医療 () |  |
| 国内：防衛 () |  |
| 国内：財政赤字の削減 () |  |
| 国内：司法・警察 () |  |
| 国内：年金 () |  |
| 国内：社会保障プログラム () |  |
| 国内：インフラ（公共交通、水道システムなど） () |  |
| 国内：所得税の引き下げ () |  |
| グローバル：低所得国の教育・医療 () |  |
| グローバル：再生可能エネルギーと気候変動対策インフラ () |  |
| グローバル：損失と損害基金（気候災害後の復興支援） () |  |
| グローバル：森林再生・生物多様性プロジェクト () |  |

revenue\_split\_many Imagine un impuesto al patrimonio aplicado a los hogares con un patrimonio neto superior a [tax\_threshold: $5 million], implementado en todos los países del mundo. [tax\_country\_name: In the U.S.], los ingresos fiscales recaudados serían [tax\_revenue: $514 billion] por año (eso es, [tax\_revenue\_gdp: 2]% de [tax\_country\_gdp: U.S. GDP]), mientras que sería [LIC\_revenue: $1 billion] en todos los países de bajo ingreso combinados (700 millones de personas viven en países de bajo ingreso, la mayoría de ellos en Africa). Cada país retendría parte de los ingresos que recauda y los utilizaría para diferentes fines. La parte restante se agruparía globalmente para financiar el desarrollo sostenible. **¿Qué porcentaje de los ingresos del impuesto sobre el patrimonio mundial debería asignarse a cada categoría?** **La asignación total debe sumar 100%.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 | 55 | 60 | 65 | 70 | 75 | 80 | 85 | 90 | 95 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Interno: Educación e Investigación () |  |
| Interno: Cuidado de la salud () |  |
| Interno: Defensa () |  |
| Interno: Reducción del déficit () |  |
| Interno: Justicia y Policía () |  |
| Interno: Pensiones de retiro () |  |
| Interno: Programas de Bienestar Social () |  |
| Interno: Infraestructura (transporte público, sistemas de agua.) () |  |
| Interno: Reducción del Impuesto sobre la Renta () |  |
| Global: Educación y cuidado de la salud en países de bajo ingreso. () |  |
| Global: Energía renovable e infraestructura para hacer frente al cambio climático () |  |
| Global: Fondo de pérdidas y daños (para reconstruir después de desastres climáticos) () |  |
| Global: Forestación y proyectos de biodiversidad () |  |

revenue\_split\_many Imagine a wealth tax applied to households with net worth above [tax\_threshold: $5 million], implemented in all countries around the world. [tax\_country\_name: In the U.S.], the tax revenues collected would be [tax\_revenue: $514 billion] per year (that is, [tax\_revenue\_gdp: 2]% of [tax\_country\_gdp: U.S. GDP]), while it would be [LIC\_revenue: $1 billion] in all low-income countries combined (700 million people live in a low-income country, most of them in Africa). Each country would retain part of the revenues it collects and use it for different domestic purposes. The remaining part would be pooled globally to finance sustainable development. **What percentage of the global wealth tax revenue should be allocated to each category?**  **The total allocation must sum to 100%.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 | 55 | 60 | 65 | 70 | 75 | 80 | 85 | 90 | 95 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Domestic: Education and Research () |  |
| Domestic: Healthcare () |  |
| Domestic: Defense () |  |
| Domestic: Deficit reduction () |  |
| Domestic: Justice and Police () |  |
| Domestic: Retirement pensions () |  |
| Domestic: Social welfare programs () |  |
| Domestic: Infrastructure (public transport, water systems...) () |  |
| Domestic: Income tax reduction () |  |
| Global: Education and Healthcare in low-income countries () |  |
| Global: Renewable energy and infrastructure to cope with climate change () |  |
| Global: Loss and Damage Fund (to rebuild after climate disasters) () |  |
| Global: Forestation and biodiversity projects () |  |

revenue\_split\_many Stellen Sie sich vor, dass eine Vermögenssteuer für Haushalte mit einem Nettovermögen über [tax\_threshold: $5 million] weltweit in allen Ländern eingeführt wird. [tax\_country\_name: In the U.S.] würden die durch diese Steuer erhobenen Einnahmen [tax\_revenue: $514 billion] pro Jahr betragen (das entspricht [tax\_revenue\_gdp: 2]% des [tax\_country\_gdp: U.S. GDP]). In allen einkommensschwachen Ländern zusammen betrüge dies jedoch nur [LIC\_revenue: $1 billion] (700 Millionen Menschen leben in einem einkommensschwachen Land, die meisten davon in Afrika). Jedes Land würde einen Teil der dadurch generierten Einnahmen behalten und für verschiedene nationale Zwecke verwenden. Der verbleibende Teil würde weltweit zusammengeführt, um die nachhaltige Entwicklung zu finanzieren. **Welcher Prozentsatz der Einnahmen dieser weltweiten Vermögenssteuer sollte jeder Kategorie zugewiesen werden?  Die Gesamtverteilung muss zusammen 100 % ergeben.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 | 55 | 60 | 65 | 70 | 75 | 80 | 85 | 90 | 95 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| National: Bildung und Forschung () |  |
| National: Gesundheitssystem () |  |
| National: Verteidigung () |  |
| National: Abbau des Haushaltsdefizits (Staatsschuldenabbau) () |  |
| National: Justiz und Polizei () |  |
| National: Rentensysteme und Altersvorsorge () |  |
| National: Sozialhilfeprogramme () |  |
| National: Infrastruktur (öffentlicher Verkehr, Wasserversorgung …) () |  |
| National: Senkung der Einkommensteuer () |  |
| Global: Bildung und Gesundheitsversorgung in einkommensschwachen Ländern () |  |
| Global: Erneuerbare Energien und Infrastruktur zur Bewältigung des Klimawandels () |  |
| Global: Fonds für Verluste und Schäden (Wiederaufbau nach Klimakatastrophen) () |  |
| Global: Schutz von Wäldern und biologischer Vielfalt () |  |

revenue\_split\_many Imaginez un impôt sur la fortune s'appliquant aux ménages avec un patrimoine supérieur à 5 millions de livres sterling, mis en œuvre dans tous les pays. Aux États-Unis, les recettes collectées s'élèveraient à 430 milliards de dollars par an (soit 2 % du [tax\_country\_gdp: U.S. GDP]), alors qu'elles s'élèveraient à 1 milliard de dollars dans l'ensemble des pays à bas revenus (700 millions de personnes vivent dans un pays à bas revenus, la plupart en Afrique). Chaque pays conserverait une partie des recettes qu’il collecte et l’utiliserait à différentes fins nationales. La partie restante serait mise en commun au niveau mondial pour financer le développement durable. Quel pourcentage des recettes mondiales de l’impôt sur la fortune devrait être alloué à chaque catégorie ? Le total doit faire 100 %.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 | 55 | 60 | 65 | 70 | 75 | 80 | 85 | 90 | 95 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| National : Éducation et recherche () |  |
| National : Santé  () |  |
| National : Défense () |  |
| National : Réduction du déficit () |  |
| National : Justice et police () |  |
| National : Pensions de retraite () |  |
| National : Protection sociale (RSA, allocations familiales, crèches, minimum vieillesse, AAH...) () |  |
| National : Infrastructures (transports publics, assainissement...) () |  |
| National : Réduction de l'impôt sur le revenu () |  |
| Mondial : Éducation et santé dans les pays à bas revenus () |  |
| Mondial : Énergies renouvelables et infrastructures pour faire face au changement climatique () |  |
| Mondial : Fonds pour les pertes et préjudices (pour reconstruire après les catastrophes climatiques) () |  |
| Mondial : Projets de reforestation et pour la biodiversité () |  |

revenue\_split\_many Immaginiamo un'imposta patrimoniale applicata ai nuclei familiari con patrimonio netto superiore a [tax\_threshold: $5 million], implementata in ogni Paese del mondo. [tax\_country\_name: In the U.S.], il gettito fiscale riscosso sarebbe pari a [tax\_revenue: $514 billion] all'anno (che rappresenta il [tax\_revenue\_gdp: 2]% del [tax\_country\_gdp: U.S. GDP]), mentre sarebbe pari a [LIC\_revenue: $1 billion] nei Paesi a basso reddito presi collettivamente (700 milioni di persone vivono nei Paesi a basso reddito, la maggior parte dei quali si trovano in Africa). Ogni Paese tratterrebbe una parte del gettito riscosso, che destinerebbe a diversi scopi riferiti alla nazione. La parte rimanente sarebbe messa in un fondo comune a livello globale per finanziare lo sviluppo sostenibile nei Paesi a basso reddito. **Quale percentuale del gettito fiscale globale della ricchezza dovrebbe essere assegnata a ciascuna categoria?**  **L'allocazione complessiva deve sommare al 100%.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 | 55 | 60 | 65 | 70 | 75 | 80 | 85 | 90 | 95 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Nazionale: Educazione e Ricerca () |  |
| Nazionale: Assistenza sanitaria () |  |
| Nazionale: Difesa () |  |
| Nazionale: Riduzione del deficit () |  |
| Nazionale: Giustizia e Forze dell'ordine () |  |
| Nazionale: Pensioni di anzianità () |  |
| Nazionale: Programmi di assistenza sociale () |  |
| Nazionale: Infrastrutture (trasporti pubblici, sistemi idrici...) () |  |
| Nazionale: Riduzione dell'imposta sul reddito () |  |
| Globale: Educazione e Assistenza sanitaria nei Paesi a basso reddito () |  |
| Globale: Energie rinnovabili ed infrastrutture per affrontare il cambiamento climatico () |  |
| Globale: Fondo per le perdite e i danni (per far fronte ai disastri climatici) () |  |
| Globale: Progetti di riforestazione e biodiversità () |  |

revenue\_split\_many Imagine a wealth tax applied to households with net worth above [tax\_threshold: $5 million], implemented in all countries around the world. [tax\_country\_name: In the U.S.], the tax revenues collected would be [tax\_revenue: $514 billion] per year (that is, [tax\_revenue\_gdp: 2]% of [tax\_country\_gdp: U.S. GDP]), while it would be [LIC\_revenue: $1 billion] in all low-income countries combined (700 million people live in a low-income country, most of them in Africa). Each country would retain part of the revenues it collects and use it for different domestic purposes. The remaining part would be pooled globally to finance sustainable development. **What percentage of the global wealth tax revenue should be allocated to each category?**  **The total allocation must sum to 100%.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 | 55 | 60 | 65 | 70 | 75 | 80 | 85 | 90 | 95 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Domestic: Education and Research () |  |
| Domestic: Healthcare () |  |
| Domestic: Defense () |  |
| Domestic: Deficit reduction () |  |
| Domestic: Justice and Police () |  |
| Domestic: Retirement pensions () |  |
| Domestic: Social welfare programs () |  |
| Domestic: Infrastructure (public transport, water systems...) () |  |
| Domestic: Income tax reduction () |  |
| Global: Education and Healthcare in low-income countries () |  |
| Global: Renewable energy and infrastructure to cope with climate change () |  |
| Global: Loss and Damage Fund (to rebuild after climate disasters) () |  |
| Global: Forestation and biodiversity projects () |  |

revenue\_split\_many Imagine a wealth tax applied to households with net worth above [tax\_threshold: $5 million], implemented in all countries around the world. [tax\_country\_name: In the U.S.], the tax revenues collected would be [tax\_revenue: $514 billion] per year (that is, [tax\_revenue\_gdp: 2]% of [tax\_country\_gdp: U.S. GDP]), while it would be [LIC\_revenue: $1 billion] in all low-income countries combined (700 million people live in a low-income country, most of them in Africa). Each country would retain part of the revenues it collects and use it for different domestic purposes. The remaining part would be pooled globally to finance sustainable development. **What percentage of the global wealth tax revenue should be allocated to each category?**  **The total allocation must sum to 100%.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 | 55 | 60 | 65 | 70 | 75 | 80 | 85 | 90 | 95 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Domestic: Education and Research () |  |
| Domestic: Healthcare () |  |
| Domestic: Defense () |  |
| Domestic: Deficit reduction () |  |
| Domestic: Justice and Police () |  |
| Domestic: Retirement pensions () |  |
| Domestic: Social welfare programs () |  |
| Domestic: Infrastructure (public transport, water systems...) () |  |
| Domestic: Income tax reduction () |  |
| Global: Education and Healthcare in low-income countries () |  |
| Global: Renewable energy and infrastructure to cope with climate change () |  |
| Global: Loss and Damage Fund (to rebuild after climate disasters) () |  |
| Global: Forestation and biodiversity projects () |  |

|  |
| --- |
|  |
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ncs\_support   
Do you agree with the following policy? **Climate Scheme:**  To meet the national climate target, a limited number of permits to emit greenhouse gases would be issued nationally. Polluting firms would be required to buy permits to cover their greenhouse gas emissions. Such a policy would **make fossil fuel companies pay** for their emissions and gradually raise the price of fossil fuels. **Higher prices would encourage people and companies to use less fossil fuels, reducing greenhouse gas emissions.** The revenues generated by the sale of permits would finance an equal cash transfer.Each [country\_adjective: American] would receive [amount\_expenses: $115][periodicity: per month], thereby offsetting price increases for the average [country\_adjective: American]. **Do you support the Climate Scheme?**

* Yes (1)
* No (2)

ncs\_support   
Do you agree with the following policy? **Climate Scheme:**  To meet the national climate target, a limited number of permits to emit greenhouse gases would be issued nationally. Polluting firms would be required to buy permits to cover their greenhouse gas emissions. Such a policy would **make fossil fuel companies pay** for their emissions and gradually raise the price of fossil fuels. **Higher prices would encourage people and companies to use less fossil fuels, reducing greenhouse gas emissions.** The revenues generated by the sale of permits would finance an equal cash transfer.Each [country\_adjective: American] would receive [amount\_expenses: $115][periodicity: per month], thereby offsetting price increases for the average [country\_adjective: American]. **Do you support the Climate Scheme?**

* Yes (1)
* No (2)

ncs\_support   
Do you agree with the following policy? **Climate Scheme:**  To meet the national climate target, a limited number of permits to emit greenhouse gases would be issued nationally. Polluting firms would be required to buy permits to cover their greenhouse gas emissions. Such a policy would **make fossil fuel companies pay** for their emissions and gradually raise the price of fossil fuels. **Higher prices would encourage people and companies to use less fossil fuels, reducing greenhouse gas emissions.** The revenues generated by the sale of permits would finance an equal cash transfer.Each [country\_adjective: American] would receive [amount\_expenses: $115][periodicity: per month], thereby offsetting price increases for the average [country\_adjective: American]. **Do you support the Climate Scheme?**

* Yes (1)
* No (2)

ncs\_support   
Do you agree with the following policy? **Climate Scheme:**  To meet the national climate target, a limited number of permits to emit greenhouse gases would be issued nationally. Polluting firms would be required to buy permits to cover their greenhouse gas emissions. Such a policy would **make fossil fuel companies pay** for their emissions and gradually raise the price of fossil fuels. **Higher prices would encourage people and companies to use less fossil fuels, reducing greenhouse gas emissions.** The revenues generated by the sale of permits would finance an equal cash transfer.Each [country\_adjective: American] would receive [amount\_expenses: $115][periodicity: per month], thereby offsetting price increases for the average [country\_adjective: American]. **Do you support the Climate Scheme?**

* Yes (1)
* No (2)

ncs\_support   
Do you agree with the following policy? **Climate Scheme:**  To meet the national climate target, a limited number of permits to emit greenhouse gases would be issued nationally. Polluting firms would be required to buy permits to cover their greenhouse gas emissions. Such a policy would **make fossil fuel companies pay** for their emissions and gradually raise the price of fossil fuels. **Higher prices would encourage people and companies to use less fossil fuels, reducing greenhouse gas emissions.** The revenues generated by the sale of permits would finance an equal cash transfer.Each [country\_adjective: American] would receive [amount\_expenses: $115][periodicity: per month], thereby offsetting price increases for the average [country\_adjective: American]. **Do you support the Climate Scheme?**

* Yes (1)
* No (2)

هل تؤيد السياسية المناخية التالية؟ **المخطط المناخي:**  سيتم إصدار عدد محدود من التصاريح لانبعاث الغازات المسببة للاحتباس الحراري على مستوى الدولة، وذلك لتحقيق الأهداف الحكومية المتعلقة للمناخ. وستكون المصانع التي تتسبب في نشر الملوثات البيئية مُلزَمة بشراء تلك التصاريح لتغطية انبعاثاتها من الغازات المسببة للاحتباس الحراري. ومن شأن مثل هذه السياسة أن تساعد على الحد من الانبعاثات الضارة. **كما ستجبر شركات الوقود الأحفوري على تحمل تكلفة** نشر انبعاثاتهم الضارة مما سيسهم تدريجيًا في رفع أسعار الوقود الأحفوري **وسيعمل هذا الارتفاع في الأسعار على تشجيع الناس والشركات على استخدام كميات أقل من الوقود الأحفوري، مما يقلل من انبعاثات الغازات المُسببة للاحتباس الحراري.** وستؤدي العائدات الناتجة من بيع التصاريح إلى توفير فائض نقدي مُعادلسيسمح لكل [country\_adjective: American] بتوفير [amount\_expenses: $115][periodicity: per month]، مما يساعد على تعويض زيادة الأسعار لكل [country\_adjective: American]. **هل تؤيد السياسة المناخية المذكورة أعلاه؟** ncs\_support

* (1) نعم، أؤيد هذه السياسة
* (2) لا، أرفض هذه السياسة

ncs\_support   
Czy popiera Pan/Pani następującą inicjatywę? **Program Klimatyczny:** Aby osiągnąć cel klimatyczny danego kraju, można stworzyć ograniczoną liczbę zezwoleń na emisję gazów cieplarnianych na poziomie krajowym. Firmy zanieczyszczające środowisko musiałyby kupować zezwolenia na pokrycie emisji gazów cieplarnianych. Taka polityka **spowodowałaby, że przedsiębiorstwa działające w branży paliw kopalnych musiałyby płacić** za swoją emisję CO2 i stopniowo podnosić ceny paliw kopalnych. **Wyższe ceny zachęciłyby ludzi i firmy do stosowania mniejszej ilości paliw kopalnych, co zmniejszyłoby emisję gazów cieplarnianych.** Przychody uzyskane ze sprzedaży pozwoleń mogłyby finansować stabilny transfer gotówki. Każdy Polak otrzymywałby 40 EUR miesięcznie, co zrównoważyłoby wzrost cen, którego doświadczyłby przeciętny Polak. **Czy popiera Pan/Pani Program Klimatyczny?**

* Tak (1)
* Nie (2)

ncs\_support   
以下の政策に賛成ですか？ **気候対策制度:**  国の気候目標を達成するために、温室効果ガスの排出に対する許可証が国内で限られた数のみ発行されます。環境汚染を引き起こす企業は、自らの排出量をカバーするために許可証を購入する必要があります。このような政策により、 **化石燃料企業が排出の対価を支払い** 徐々に化石燃料の価格が上昇します。 **価格の上昇は、人々や企業に化石燃料の使用を抑えるよう促し、温室効果ガスの排出削減につながります。** 許可証の販売によって得られた収入は、均等な現金給付に充てられます。[country\_adjective: American]一人あたり[periodicity: per month][amount\_expenses: $115]が支給され、平均的な[country\_adjective: American]にとっては価格上昇の影響を相殺できます。 **この気候対策制度に賛成ですか？**

* はい (1)
* いいえ (2)

ncs\_support   
¿Está de acuerdo con la siguiente política? **Plan Climático:**  Para cumplir con el objetivo climático nacional, se emitiría un número limitado de permisos de emisión de gases de efecto invernadero a nivel nacional. Las empresas contaminantes estarían obligadas a adquirir permisos para cubrir sus emisiones de gases de efecto invernadero. Una política así**haría que las empresas de combustibles fósiles paguen** por sus emisiones y aumenten gradualmente el precio de los combustibles fósiles. **Precios más altos motivarían a las personas y a las empresas a utilizar menos combustibles fósiles, reduciendo las emisiones de gases de efecto invernadero.** Los ingresos generados por la venta de permisos financiarían una transferencia de efectivo igual.Cada [country\_adjective: American] recibiría [amount\_expenses: $115][periodicity: per month], compensando así el aumento de precios del promedio [country\_adjective: American]. **¿Apoya usted el Plan Climático?**

* Sí (1)
* No (2)

ncs\_support   
Do you agree with the following policy? **Climate Scheme:**  To meet the national climate target, a limited number of permits to emit greenhouse gases would be issued nationally. Polluting firms would be required to buy permits to cover their greenhouse gas emissions. Such a policy would **make fossil fuel companies pay** for their emissions and gradually raise the price of fossil fuels. **Higher prices would encourage people and companies to use less fossil fuels, reducing greenhouse gas emissions.** The revenues generated by the sale of permits would finance an equal cash transfer.Each [country\_adjective: American] would receive [amount\_expenses: $115][periodicity: per month], thereby offsetting price increases for the average [country\_adjective: American]. **Do you support the Climate Scheme?**

* Yes (1)
* No (2)

ncs\_support   
Stimmen Sie der folgenden Maßnahme zu? **Klimaprogramm:**  Um das nationale Klimaziel zu erreichen, soll eine begrenzte Anzahl an Emissionzertifikaten für Treibhausgase auf nationaler Ebene ausgegeben werden. Umweltverschmutzende Unternehmen müssten Zertifikate kaufen, um ihre Treibhausgasemissionen zu kompensieren. Dadurch würden fossile Brennstoffunternehmen für ihre CO₂-Emissionen zur Kasse gebeten, und der Preis fossiler Brennstoffe würde schrittweise steigen, was Verbraucher und Unternehmen dazu anregen würde, weniger fossile Brennstoffe zu nutzen und dadurch den CO₂-Ausstoß zu senken. Die Einnahmen aus dem Verkauf der Emissionszertifikate würden als direkte Zahlung an die Bevölkerung zurückfließen. Jeder [country\_adjective: American] würde [amount\_expenses: $115][periodicity: per month] erhalten, um die Preissteigerungen für den durchschnittliche [country\_adjective2: deutsche:n] Bürger auszugleichen. **Befürworten Sie dieses Klimaprogramm?**

* Ja (1)
* Nein (2)

ncs\_support   
Dans ce qui suit, nous décrivons une politique sur laquelle nous recueillerons votre opinion. **Plan climat :** Pour atteindre l’objectif climatique français, un nombre limité de permis d’émission de gaz à effet de serre pourraient être créés au niveau national. Les entreprises polluantes devraient acheter des permis pour couvrir leurs émissions de gaz à effet de serre. Une telle politique **obligerait les compagnies pétrolières à payer** pour leurs émissions et augmenterait progressivement le prix des combustibles fossiles. **Des prix plus élevés encourageraient les ménages et les entreprises à utiliser moins de combustibles fossiles, réduisant ainsi les émissions de gaz à effet de serre.** Les revenus générés par la vente des permis pourraient financer un transfert monétaire égal pour chaque personne. Chaque Américain recevrait 115 dollars par mois, compensant ainsi les augmentations de prix pour le Américain moyen. Soutenez-vous le Plan climat ?

* Oui (1)
* Non (2)

ncs\_support   
Sei d'accordo con il seguente intervento? **Programma per il Clima:**  Per raggiungere l'obiettivo nazionale per il clima, verrebbe rilasciato a livello nazionale un numero limitato di permessi a emettere gas serra. Le aziende inquinanti sarebbero obbligate ad acquistare dei permessi per coprire le loro emissioni. Una tale politica comporterebbe che **le aziende che producono combustibili paghino** per le loro emissioni e ciò determinerebbe un incremento graduale del prezzo dei combustibili fossili. **Prezzi più elevati incoraggerebbero le persone e le aziende ad usare in misura via via minore i combustibili fossili, riducendo le emissioni di gas serra.** I ricavi generati dalla vendita dei permessi finanzierebbero un uguale trasferimento di denaro.Ogni [country\_adjective: American] riceverebbe [amount\_expenses: $115][periodicity: per month], compensando così l'incremento dei prezzi per il cittadino [country\_adjective: American] medio. **Sei a favore di tale Programma per il Clima?**

* Sì (1)
* No (2)

ncs\_support   
Do you agree with the following policy? **Climate Scheme:**  To meet the national climate target, a limited number of permits to emit greenhouse gases would be issued nationally. Polluting firms would be required to buy permits to cover their greenhouse gas emissions. Such a policy would **make fossil fuel companies pay** for their emissions and gradually raise the price of fossil fuels. **Higher prices would encourage people and companies to use less fossil fuels, reducing greenhouse gas emissions.** The revenues generated by the sale of permits would finance an equal cash transfer.Each [country\_adjective: American] would receive [amount\_expenses: $115][periodicity: per month], thereby offsetting price increases for the average [country\_adjective: American]. **Do you support the Climate Scheme?**

* Yes (1)
* No (2)

ncs\_support   
Do you agree with the following policy? **Climate Scheme:**  To meet the national climate target, a limited number of permits to emit greenhouse gases would be issued nationally. Polluting firms would be required to buy permits to cover their greenhouse gas emissions. Such a policy would **make fossil fuel companies pay** for their emissions and gradually raise the price of fossil fuels. **Higher prices would encourage people and companies to use less fossil fuels, reducing greenhouse gas emissions.** The revenues generated by the sale of permits would finance an equal cash transfer.Each [country\_adjective: American] would receive [amount\_expenses: $115][periodicity: per month], thereby offsetting price increases for the average [country\_adjective: American]. **Do you support the Climate Scheme?**

* Yes (1)
* No (2)

Display this question:

If variant\_warm\_glow = 2

|  |
| --- |
|  |

donation By taking this survey, you will be automatically entered into a lottery to win up to [amount\_lottery: $100]. Should you be selected in the lottery, you will have the option to channel a part of this additional compensation to the charity *Just One Tree* to plant trees. **In case you win the lottery, what share of the [amount\_lottery: $100 prize] would you donate to plant trees?**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |
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بمجرد مشاركتك في هذا الاستطلاع، ستدخل سحبًا للفوز بجائزة تصل قيمتها إلى [amount\_lottery: $100]. إذا تمكنت من الحصول على الجائزة، سيكون لديك الفرصة لتخصيص جزء من قيمتها للمشاركة في مشروع *ازرع شجرة واحدة الخيري* لزراعة الأشجار. **في حال فوزك بالجائزة، ما هي النسبة التي ستخصصها من [amount\_lottery: $100 prize] للمساهمة في عملية التشجير؟** donation

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 100 | 90 | 80 | 70 | 60 | 50 | 40 | 30 | 20 | 10 | 0 |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | () النسبة التي ستمنحها من جائزتك للمساهمة في التشجير |

donation Wypełniając tę ankietę, automatycznie bierze Pan/Pani udział w loterii, w której można wygrać do 500 PLN. Jeśli zostanie Pan/Pani wybrany(-a), może Pan/Pani przekazać część swojego wynagrodzenia na sadzenie drzew. Przekażemy tę darowiznę na rzecz organizacji charytatywnej Just One Tree, która sadzi jedno drzewo za każde otrzymane 4 PLN. **Gdyby wygrał(a) Pan/Pani w loterii, jaką część ze swoich 500 PLN przeznaczył(a)by Pan/Pani na sadzenie drzew?**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Odsetek nagrody przeznaczony na sadzenie drzew () |  |

donation このアンケートにご回答いただくと、[amount\_lottery: $100]が当たる抽選に自動的に参加となります。 抽選で当選された場合、その賞金の一部を*Just One Tree*という団体に寄付し、植樹活動に役立てることも可能です。 **抽選で当選した場合、[amount\_lottery: $100 prize]うち、どのくらいを植樹のために寄付しますか？**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 植樹のために寄付する割合 () |  |

donation Al hacer esta encuesta, participará automáticamente en una lotería para ganar hasta [amount\_lottery: $100]. Si resulta seleccionado en la lotería, tendrá la opción de donar una parte de esta compensación adicional a la organización benéfica *Just One Tree* para sembrar árboles. **En caso de ganar la lotería, ¿qué parte del premio [amount\_lottery: $100 prize] donaría para sembrar árboles?**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Compartir para sembrar árboles () |  |

donation By taking this survey, you will be automatically entered into a lottery to win up to [amount\_lottery: $100]. Should you be selected in the lottery, you will have the option to channel a part of this additional compensation to the charity *Just One Tree* to plant trees. **In case you win the lottery, what share of the [amount\_lottery: $100 prize] would you donate to plant trees?**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Share to plant trees () |  |

donation Durch die Teilnahme an dieser Umfrage nehmen Sie automatisch an einer Verlosung teil, bei der Sie bis zu [amount\_lottery: $100] gewinnen können. Falls Sie ausgelost werden, haben Sie die Möglichkeit, einen Teil dieses Betrags an die Wohltätigkeitsorganisation Just One Tree zu spenden, um Bäume zu pflanzen. **Falls Sie die Verlosung gewinnen, welchen Anteil des [amount\_lottery: $100 prize] Preisgeldes würden Sie für Baumpflanzungen spenden?**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Anteil zum Pflanzen von Bäumen () |  |

donation En répondant à cette enquête, vous êtes automatiquement inscrit à une loterie pour gagner jusqu'à 100 $. Si vous êtes sélectionné⋅e au tirage au sort, vous pouvez reverser une partie de cette compensation supplémentaire pour planter des arbres. Nous transmettrons ce don à l'association caritative Just One Tree, qui plante un arbre pour [tree: every dollar donated]. **Si vous gagnez la loterie, quelle part des 100 $ donneriez-vous pour planter des arbres ?**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Part pour planter des arbres () |  |

donation Partecipando a questo sondaggio, verrai inserito automaticamente in una lotteria per vincere fino a [amount\_lottery: $100]. Se venissi selezionato come vincitore nella lotteria, avrai la possibilità di destinare una parte di questa ricompensa aggiuntiva all'organizzazione no-profit *Just One Tree* con l'obiettivo di piantare degli alberi. **Nel caso in cui vincessi la lotteria, quale quota del premio di [amount\_lottery: $100 prize] vorresti donare per piantare degli alberi?**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Condividi la tua risposta per piantare degli alberi () |  |

donation By taking this survey, you will be automatically entered into a lottery to win up to [amount\_lottery: $100]. Should you be selected in the lottery, you will have the option to channel a part of this additional compensation to the charity *Just One Tree* to plant trees. **In case you win the lottery, what share of the [amount\_lottery: $100 prize] would you donate to plant trees?**
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|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Share to plant trees () |  |

donation By taking this survey, you will be automatically entered into a lottery to win up to [amount\_lottery: $100]. Should you be selected in the lottery, you will have the option to channel a part of this additional compensation to the charity *Just One Tree* to plant trees. **In case you win the lottery, what share of the [amount\_lottery: $100 prize] would you donate to plant trees?**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
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End of Block: Warm glow - moral substitute

Start of Block: Cap & Share

|  |
| --- |
|  |

gcs\_support   
Do you support the following policy? To ensure that you have attentively read the description, **we will ask some comprehension questions later in the survey: those who get correct answers can win [amount\_lottery: $100]**. **Global Climate Scheme:**  In 2015, all countries agreed to contain global warming "well below +2 °C". To achieve this, **there is a maximum amount of greenhouse gases we can emit globally**.  To meet the climate target, a limited number of permits to emit greenhouse gases would be issued globally. Polluting firms would be required to buy permits to cover their greenhouse gas emissions. Such a policy would **make fossil fuel companies pay** for their emissions and gradually raise the price of fossil fuels. **Higher prices would encourage people and companies to use less fossil fuels, reducing greenhouse gas emissions.**  In accordance with the principle that each human has an equal right to pollute, the revenues generated by the sale of permits could finance a global basic income. **Every adult would receive [amount\_bi: $20][periodicity: per month]**, thereby lifting 600 million people who earn less than $2 a day out of extreme poverty. **The typical [national: American] would lose out financially [amount\_lost: $105][periodicity: per month]** (as he or she would face around [price\_increase: 2]% in price increases, which is higher than the [amount\_bi: $20][periodicity: per month] they would receive). The policy could be implemented as soon as 100 countries agree to it. Countries that would refuse to take part in the policy could face sanctions (like tariffs) from the rest of the world and would be excluded from the basic income program.  **Do you support the Global Climate Scheme?**

* Yes (4)
* No (5)
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هل تؤيد السياسة التالية؟ للتأكد من أنك قرأت الوصف أدناه بعناية، سنطرح في نهاية هذا الاستطلاع بعض أسئلة الفهم: سيربح من يجيب عليها بشكل صحيح [amount\_lottery: $100]. **برنامج المناخ العالمي:**  في عام 2015، وافقت جميع الدول على الحد من ظاهرة الاحتباس الحراري العالمي " والسيطرة على الارتفاع في درجات الحرارة بمعدل أقل من 2 درجة مئوية". ولتحقيق هذه الغاية، **تم تحديد حد أقصى لانبعاثات الغازات المسببة للاحتباس الحراري التي يمكننا إطلاقها في الغلاف الجوي** .  وللوفاء بمستهدف السياسة المناخية، سيتم إصدار عدد محدود من التصاريح لانبعاث الغازات المسببة للاحتباس الحراري على مستوى الدولة. وستكون المصانع التي تتسبب في نشر الملوثات البيئية مُلزَمة بشراء التصاريح لتغطية انبعاثاتها من الغازات المسببة للاحتباس الحراري. ومن شأن مثل هذه السياسة أن تساعد في الحد من الانبعاثات الضارة. **مما سيجبر شركات الوقود الأحفوري على تحمل تكلفة** نشر انبعاثاتهم الضارة مما سيسهم تدريجيًا في رفع أسعار الوقود الأحفوري **وسيعمل هذا الارتفاع في الأسعار على تشجيع الناس والشركات على استخدام كميات أقل من الوقود الأحفوري، مما يقلل من انبعاثات الغازات المُسببة للاحتباس الحراري.** وفقًا للمبدأ القائل بأن لكل فرد حق متساوٍ في التسبب في التلوث، يمكن تخصيص الإيرادات الناتجة عن بيع تصاريح الانبعاثات لتمويل برنامج عالمي للدخل الأساسي. **حيث سيكون نصيب كل شخص بالغ [amount\_bi: $20][periodicity: per month]**، وبالتالي، يمكن مساعدة 600 مليون شخص يكسبون أقل من دولارين يوميًا على الخروج من دائرة الفقر المدقع. **سيخسر [national: American] [amount\_lost: $105][periodicity: per month]** (وذلك بسبب ارتفاع الأسعار بنسبة [price\_increase: 2]% والتي ستتجاوز الزيادة التي سيحصل عليها والتي تبلغ [amount\_bi: $20][periodicity: per month]). يمكن تنفيذ هذه السياسة بمجرد موافقة 100 دولة عليها. وقد تتعرض الدول التي ترفض تبنيها إلى عقوبات من المجتمع الدولي (كفرض تعريفات جمركية)، وقد يتم استبعادها من برنامج الدخل الأساسي. **هل تدعم برنامج المناخ العالمي؟**    
  gcs\_support

* (4) نعم
* (5) لا

gcs\_support   
Czy popiera Pan/Pani następującą inicjatywę? Aby upewnić się, że uważnie przeczytał(a) Pan/Pani opis, **w dalszej części ankiety zadamy pytania sprawdzające jego zrozumienie; osoby, które udzielą poprawnych odpowiedzi, mogą wygrać 500 PLN**. **Globalny Program Klimatyczny:** W 2015 roku wszystkie kraje porozumiały się w zakresie zatrzymania globalnego ocieplenia na poziomie „znacznie poniżej +2°C”. Aby ograniczyć globalne ocieplenie do tego poziomu, **istnieje maksymalna ilość gazów cieplarnianych, które mogą być emitowane na świecie**. Aby osiągnąć założony cel klimatyczny, można stworzyć ograniczoną liczbę zezwoleń na emisję gazów cieplarnianych na poziomie globalnym. Firmy zanieczyszczające środowisko musiałyby kupować zezwolenia na pokrycie emisji gazów cieplarnianych. Taka polityka **spowodowałaby, że przedsiębiorstwa działające w branży paliw kopalnych musiałyby płacić** za swoją emisję CO2 i stopniowo podnosić ceny paliw kopalnych. **Wyższe ceny zachęciłyby ludzi i firmy do stosowania mniejszej ilości paliw kopalnych, co zmniejszyłoby emisję gazów cieplarnianych.** Zgodnie z zasadą, że każdy człowiek ma takie samo prawo do zanieczyszczania środowiska, przychody uzyskane ze sprzedaży pozwoleń mogłyby finansować globalny dochód podstawowy. **Każda osoba dorosła otrzymywałaby 90 PLN miesięcznie**, co pozwoliłoby na wyprowadzenie ze skrajnego ubóstwa 600 milionów ludzi, którzy zarabiają mniej niż 2 dolary dziennie. **Polacy traciliby średnio 160 PLN miesięcznie** (ponieważ mieliby do czynienia z podwyżkami cen rzędu 2%, co przewyższyłoby otrzymywane 90 PLN miesięcznie). Inicjatywa ta może zostać wcielona w życie, kiedy 100 krajów wyrazi na nią zgodę. Państwa, które odmówiłyby wdrożenia tej polityki, mogłyby zostać objęte sankcjami (takimi jak cła) przez resztę świata i zostałyby wyłączone z dochodu podstawowego. **Czy popiera Pan/Pani Globalny Program Klimatyczny?**

* Tak (4)
* Nie (5)

gcs\_support   
以下の政策を支持しますか？ この説明をきちんと読んでいただいたか確認するため、 **アンケートの後半で理解度を問う質問があります。正解した方の中から抽選で[amount\_lottery: $100]**が当たります。 **地球規模の気候対策制度:**  2015年、すべての国が「地球温暖化を+2℃未満に抑える」ことに合意しました。これを実現するには、 **全世界で排出できる温室効果ガスの上限量が存在します。**  この気候目標を達成するため、温室効果ガスの排出に対する許可証（排出枠）が世界的に限られた数で発行されます。環境汚染を引き起こす企業は、自らの排出量をカバーするために許可証を購入しなければなりません。このような政策により、 **化石燃料企業が排出の対価を支払い** 、徐々に化石燃料の価格が上昇していきます。 **価格の上昇により、人々や企業は化石燃料の使用を減らすようになり、温室効果ガスの排出削減につながります。** すべての人に平等に排出する権利があるという考え方に基づき、許可証の販売によって得られた収入は「世界共通のベーシックインカム」に活用されます。 **すべての成人に[periodicity: per month][amount\_bi: $20]が支給され**、1日2ドル未満で生活する6億人を極度の貧困から救う可能性があります。 **一方で、典型的な[national: American]は[periodicity: per month][amount\_lost: $105]の損失を被る** ことになります（物価が[price\_increase: 2]%上昇するため、支給される[periodicity: per month][amount\_bi: $20]よりも負担が大きくなります）。 この政策は、100か国が合意すればすぐに導入可能です。参加を拒否する国は、他国から関税などの制裁を受ける可能性があり、ベーシックインカム制度からも除外されます。 **この「地球規模の気候対策制度」を支持しますか？**

* はい (4)
* いいえ (5)

gcs\_support   
¿Apoya usted la siguiente política? Para asegurarnos de que ha leído atentamente la descripción,  **Haremos algunas preguntas de comprensión más adelante en la encuesta: aquellos que tengan las respuestas correctas pueden ganar [amount\_lottery: $100]**. **Plan Climático Global**  En 2015, todos los países acordaron contener el calentamiento global "muy por debajo de +2 °C". Para lograr esto,  **hay una cantidad máxima de gases de efecto invernadero que podemos emitir globalmente**.  Para cumplir el objetivo climático, se emitiría un número limitado de permisos para emitir gases de efecto invernadero a nivel mundial. Las empresas contaminantes estarían obligadas a adquirir permisos para cubrir sus emisiones de gases de efecto invernadero. Esta política **haría que las empresas de combustible paguen** por sus emisiones y aumenten gradualmente el precio de los combustibles fósiles. **Los precios más altos motivarían a las personas y a las empresas a utilizar menos combustibles fósiles, reduciendo las emisiones de gases de efecto invernadero.** De acuerdo con el principio de que cada ser humano tiene el mismo derecho a contaminar, los ingresos generados por la venta de permisos podrían financiar una renta básica global. **Cada adulto recibiría [amount\_bi: $20][periodicity: per month]**, sacando así de la pobreza extrema a 600 millones de personas que ganan menos de 2 dólares al día. **El típico [national: American] perdería financieramente [amount\_lost: $105][periodicity: per month]** (él o ella se enfrentaría a alrededor de [price\_increase: 2]% en aumentos de precios, que son superiores a los [amount\_bi: $20][periodicity: per month] que ellos recibirían). La política podría implementarse tan pronto como 100 países la aprueben. Los países que se nieguen a participar podrían enfrentar sanciones (como aranceles) del resto del mundo y quedarían excluidos del programa de renta básica. **¿Apoya usted el Plan Climático Global?**

* Sí (4)
* No (5)

gcs\_support   
Do you support the following policy? To ensure that you have attentively read the description, **we will ask some comprehension questions later in the survey: those who get correct answers can win [amount\_lottery: $100]**. **Global Climate Scheme:**  In 2015, all countries agreed to contain global warming "well below +2 °C". To achieve this, **there is a maximum amount of greenhouse gases we can emit globally**.  To meet the climate target, a limited number of permits to emit greenhouse gases would be issued globally. Polluting firms would be required to buy permits to cover their greenhouse gas emissions. Such a policy would **make fossil fuel companies pay** for their emissions and gradually raise the price of fossil fuels. **Higher prices would encourage people and companies to use less fossil fuels, reducing greenhouse gas emissions.**  In accordance with the principle that each human has an equal right to pollute, the revenues generated by the sale of permits could finance a global basic income. **Every adult would receive [amount\_bi: $20][periodicity: per month]**, thereby lifting 600 million people who earn less than $2 a day out of extreme poverty. **The typical [national: American] would lose out financially [amount\_lost: $105][periodicity: per month]** (as he or she would face around [price\_increase: 2]% in price increases, which is higher than the [amount\_bi: $20][periodicity: per month] they would receive). The policy could be implemented as soon as 100 countries agree to it. Countries that would refuse to take part in the policy could face sanctions (like tariffs) from the rest of the world and would be excluded from the basic income program.  **Do you support the Global Climate Scheme?**

* Yes (4)
* No (5)

gcs\_support   
Unterstützen Sie die folgende Maßnahme? Um sicherzustellen, dass Sie die Beschreibung aufmerksam gelesen haben, **werden wir später in der Umfrage einige Verständnisfragen stellen. Wer die richtigen Antworten gibt, kann [amount\_lottery: $100] gewinnen.** **Globales Klimaprogramm:**  2015 haben sich alle Länder darauf geeinigt, die globale Erderwärmung „deutlich unter +2 °C“ zu halten. Um dieses Ziel zu erreichen, **darf weltweit nur eine bestimmte Maximalmenge an Treibhausgasen ausgestoßen werden**. Um das Klimaziel einzuhalten, soll eine begrenzte Anzahl an Emissionzertifikaten für Treibhausgase weltweit ausgegeben werden. Umweltverschmutzende Unternehmen wären verpflichtet, Emissionszertifikate zu erwerben, um ihre Treibhausgasemissionen zu kompensieren. Dadurch würden **fossile Brennstoffunternehmen für ihre CO₂-Emissionen zur Kasse gebeten**, und der Preis fossiler Brennstoffe würde schrittweise steigen, **was Verbraucher und Unternehmen dazu anregen würde, weniger fossile Brennstoffe zu nutzen und dadurch den CO₂-Ausstoß zu senken.** Basierend auf der Annahme, dass jeder Mensch das gleiche Recht haben sollte, zu verschmutzen, könnten die Einnahmen aus dem Verkauf der Emissionszertifikate zur Finanzierung eines globalen Grundeinkommens verwendet werden. **Jede erwachsene Person würde [amount\_bi: $20][periodicity: per month] erhalten,** wodurch 600 Millionen Menschen mit einem Einkommen von weniger als $2 pro Tag aus der extremen Armut gehoben werden könnten. **Der durchschnittliche [national: American] würde finanziell [amount\_lost: $105][periodicity: per month]**verlieren, da er oder sie mit Preissteigerungen von etwa [price\_increase: 2]% konfrontiert wäre – ein Betrag, der höher ist als die [amount\_bi: $20], die er oder sie [periodicity: per month] erhalten würde. Die Maßnahme könnte eingeführt werden, sobald ihr 100 Länder zustimmen. Länder, die sich gegen eine Teilnahme an der Maßnahme entscheiden, könnten mit Sanktionen (wie Zöllen) von der restlichen Welt belegt werden und wären vom globalen Grundeinkommen ausgeschlossen. **Unterstützen Sie das globale Klimaprogramm?** ​​​​

* Ja (4)
* Nein (5)

gcs\_support   
Ci-dessous, nous décrivons une mesure politique, sur laquelle nous allons sonder votre opinion.   
Pour vérifier que vous avez bien lu la description, **nous vous poserons quelques questions de compréhension par la suite : les personnes ayant répondu correctement peuvent gagner [amount\_lottery: $100]**. **Plan mondial pour le climat :** En 2015, tous les pays se sont mis d'accord pour contenir le réchauffement climatique "bien en-dessous de +2 °C". Pour limiter le réchauffement climatique à ce niveau, **il existe une quantité maximale de gaz à effet de serre que nous pouvons émettre à l'échelle mondiale**. Pour respecter cet objectif climatique, un nombre limité de permis d'émission de gaz à effet de serre pourrait être créé à l'échelle mondiale. Les entreprises polluantes devraient acheter des permis pour couvrir leurs émissions. Une telle politique **obligerait les** **compagnies pétrolières à payer** pour leurs émissions et augmenterait progressivement le prix des combustibles fossiles. **Des prix plus élevés encourageraient les ménages et les entreprises à utiliser moins de combustibles fossiles, réduisant ainsi les émissions de gaz à effet de serre.** Conformément au principe selon lequel chaque être humain a un égal droit à polluer, les revenus générés par la vente de permis pourraient financer un revenu de base mondial. **Chaque adulte recevrait [amount\_bi: $20][periodicity: per month]**, sortant ainsi de l'extrême pauvreté 600 millions de personnes qui gagnent moins de 2$ par jour. **Le [national: American] moyen perdrait financièrement [amount\_lost: $105][periodicity: per month]** (car il ferait face à environ [price\_increase: 2]% d'augmentations de prix, ce qui est supérieur aux [amount\_bi: $20][periodicity: per month] qu'il recevrait). La mesure serait mise en place dès que 100 pays s'accordent dessus. Les pays qui refuseraient de participer à la mesure pourraient faire face à des sanctions (comme des droits de douane) du reste du monde et seraient exclus du revenu de base. Soutenez-vous le Plan mondial pour le climat ?

* Oui (4)
* Non (5)

gcs\_support   
Sostieni la seguente politica? Per assicurarci che hai letto attentamente la descrizione, **ti faremo delle domande di comprensione successivamente nel sondaggio: coloro i quali risponderanno correttamente potranno vincere [amount\_lottery: $100]**. **Programma Globale per il Clima:**  Nel 2015, tutti i Paesi hanno raggiunto un accordo per contenere il riscaldamento globale "ben al di sotto di +2 °C". Per raggiungere questo obiettivo, **vi è una quantità massima di gas serra che possiamo emettere a livello globale**.  Per raggiungere l'obiettivo climatico, verrebbe rilasciato un numero limitato di permessi a emettere gas serra a livello globale. Le aziende inquinanti sarebbero obbligate ad acquistare tali permessi per coprire le loro emissioni di gas serra. Una tale politica farebbe sì che **le aziende che producono combustibili fossili paghino** per le loro emissioni e di conseguenza il prezzo dei combustibili fossili aumenterebbe gradualmente. **Prezzi più elevati incoraggerebbero le persone e le aziende ad usare in misura via via minore i combustibili fossili, riducendo così le emissioni di gas serra.** In accordo con il principio secondo cui ogni essere umano ha lo stesso diritto di inquinare, i ricavi generati dalla vendita dei permessi potrebbero finanziare un reddito di base universale. **Ogni individuo adulto riceverebbe [amount\_bi: $20][periodicity: per month]**, risollevando dalla povertà estrema 600 milioni di persone che guadagnano meno di 2 dollari al giorno. **Il cittadino [national: American] medio perderebbe finanziariamente [amount\_lost: $105][periodicity: per month]** (dato che dovrebbe affrontare un aumento dei prezzi di circa l' [price\_increase: 2]%, che è più elevato dei [amount\_bi: $20][periodicity: per month] che riceverebbe). Tale politica potrebbe essere adottata non appena 100 Paesi ne siano a favore. I Paesi che si rifiuterebbero di prenderne parte potrebbero subire delle sanzioni (come ad esempio dei dazi) da parte del resto del mondo e sarebbero esclusi dal programma di reddito di base universale. **Sei a favore del Programma Globale per il Clima?**

* Sì (4)
* No (5)

gcs\_support   
Do you support the following policy? To ensure that you have attentively read the description, **we will ask some comprehension questions later in the survey: those who get correct answers can win [amount\_lottery: $100]**. **Global Climate Scheme:**  In 2015, all countries agreed to contain global warming "well below +2 °C". To achieve this, **there is a maximum amount of greenhouse gases we can emit globally**.  To meet the climate target, a limited number of permits to emit greenhouse gases would be issued globally. Polluting firms would be required to buy permits to cover their greenhouse gas emissions. Such a policy would **make fossil fuel companies pay** for their emissions and gradually raise the price of fossil fuels. **Higher prices would encourage people and companies to use less fossil fuels, reducing greenhouse gas emissions.**  In accordance with the principle that each human has an equal right to pollute, the revenues generated by the sale of permits could finance a global basic income. **Every adult would receive [amount\_bi: $20][periodicity: per month]**, thereby lifting 600 million people who earn less than $2 a day out of extreme poverty. **The typical [national: American] would lose out financially [amount\_lost: $105][periodicity: per month]** (as he or she would face around [price\_increase: 2]% in price increases, which is higher than the [amount\_bi: $20][periodicity: per month] they would receive). The policy could be implemented as soon as 100 countries agree to it. Countries that would refuse to take part in the policy could face sanctions (like tariffs) from the rest of the world and would be excluded from the basic income program.  **Do you support the Global Climate Scheme?**

* Yes (4)
* No (5)

gcs\_support   
Do you support the following policy? To ensure that you have attentively read the description, **we will ask some comprehension questions later in the survey: those who get correct answers can win [amount\_lottery: $100]**. **Global Climate Scheme:**  In 2015, all countries agreed to contain global warming "well below +2 °C". To achieve this, **there is a maximum amount of greenhouse gases we can emit globally**.  To meet the climate target, a limited number of permits to emit greenhouse gases would be issued globally. Polluting firms would be required to buy permits to cover their greenhouse gas emissions. Such a policy would **make fossil fuel companies pay** for their emissions and gradually raise the price of fossil fuels. **Higher prices would encourage people and companies to use less fossil fuels, reducing greenhouse gas emissions.**  In accordance with the principle that each human has an equal right to pollute, the revenues generated by the sale of permits could finance a global basic income. **Every adult would receive [amount\_bi: $20][periodicity: per month]**, thereby lifting 600 million people who earn less than $2 a day out of extreme poverty. **The typical [national: American] would lose out financially [amount\_lost: $105][periodicity: per month]** (as he or she would face around [price\_increase: 2]% in price increases, which is higher than the [amount\_bi: $20][periodicity: per month] they would receive). The policy could be implemented as soon as 100 countries agree to it. Countries that would refuse to take part in the policy could face sanctions (like tariffs) from the rest of the world and would be excluded from the basic income program.  **Do you support the Global Climate Scheme?**

* Yes (4)
* No (5)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Page Break |  |

Display this question:

If variant\_belief = 1

|  |
| --- |
|  |

gcs\_belief\_us According to you, **what percentage of *[belief\_nationality: Americans]* would answer *Yes* to the previous question** (considering that typical [belief\_nationality: Americans] would lose [belief\_loss: $140] per month from the Global Climate Scheme)**?** The respondent who is closest to the correct value will get [amount\_lottery: $100].

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Percentage of [belief\_nationality: Americans] in favor of Global Climate Scheme () |  |

gcs\_belief\_us According to you, **what percentage of *[belief\_nationality: Americans]* would answer *Yes* to the previous question** (considering that typical [belief\_nationality: Americans] would lose [belief\_loss: $140] per month from the Global Climate Scheme)**?** The respondent who is closest to the correct value will get [amount\_lottery: $100].

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Percentage of [belief\_nationality: Americans] in favor of Global Climate Scheme () |  |

gcs\_belief\_us According to you, **what percentage of *[belief\_nationality: Americans]* would answer *Yes* to the previous question** (considering that typical [belief\_nationality: Americans] would lose [belief\_loss: $140] per month from the Global Climate Scheme)**?** The respondent who is closest to the correct value will get [amount\_lottery: $100].

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Percentage of [belief\_nationality: Americans] in favor of Global Climate Scheme () |  |

gcs\_belief\_us According to you, **what percentage of *[belief\_nationality: Americans]* would answer *Yes* to the previous question** (considering that typical [belief\_nationality: Americans] would lose [belief\_loss: $140] per month from the Global Climate Scheme)**?** The respondent who is closest to the correct value will get [amount\_lottery: $100].

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Percentage of [belief\_nationality: Americans] in favor of Global Climate Scheme () |  |

gcs\_belief\_us According to you, **what percentage of *[belief\_nationality: Americans]* would answer *Yes* to the previous question** (considering that typical [belief\_nationality: Americans] would lose [belief\_loss: $140] per month from the Global Climate Scheme)**?** The respondent who is closest to the correct value will get [amount\_lottery: $100].

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Percentage of [belief\_nationality: Americans] in favor of Global Climate Scheme () |  |

من وجهة نظرك، **ما هي نسبة *[belief\_nationality: Americans]* الذين *سيجيبون بالموافقة* على السؤال السابق** (يُرجى الأخذ في الاعتبار أن [belief\_nationality: Americans] سيخسرون [belief\_loss: $140] شهريًا نتيجة تطبيق برنامج المناخ العالمي)**؟** سيفوز من يقدم إجابة تقترب من الإجابة الصحيحة بجائزة تقدر قيمتها ب [amount\_lottery: $100]. gcs\_belief\_us

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 100 | 90 | 80 | 70 | 60 | 50 | 40 | 30 | 20 | 10 | 0 |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | () نسبة الأمريكيون المؤيدون لبرنامج المناخ العالمي |

gcs\_belief\_us Według Pana/Pani, **jaki odsetek *Amerykanów* odpowiedział *„Tak”* na poprzednie pytanie** (biorąc pod uwagę, że większość Amerykanów straciłaby na udziale w Globalnym Programie Klimatycznym średnio 140 USD miesięcznie)**?** Jeden z respondentów, który będzie najbliższy rzeczywistej wartości, otrzyma 500 PLN.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Odsetek Amerykanów popierających Globalny Program Klimatyczny () |  |

gcs\_belief\_us あなたの考えでは、***[belief\_nationality: Americans]* のうち、前の質問に*「はい」*と答える人の割合は何パーセントだと思いますか？** (典型的な[belief\_nationality: Americans]は、この「地球規模の気候対策制度」によって月に[belief\_loss: $140]の損失を被ると仮定) 最も正解に近い回答をした方には、[amount\_lottery: $100]が当たります。

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 地球規模の気候対策制度に賛成するAmericansの割合 () |  |

gcs\_belief\_us Según usted, **¿qué porcentaje de *[belief\_nationality: Americans]* respondería *Sí* a la pregunta anterior** (considerando que los [belief\_nationality: Americans] típicos perderían [belief\_loss: $140] al mes por el Plan Climático Global)**?** El/la encuestado/a que esté más cerca del valor correcto obtendrá [amount\_lottery: $100].

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Porcentaje de [belief\_nationality: estadounidenses] en favor del Plan Climático Global. () |  |

gcs\_belief\_us According to you, **what percentage of *[belief\_nationality: Americans]* would answer *Yes* to the previous question** (considering that typical [belief\_nationality: Americans] would lose [belief\_loss: $140] per month from the Global Climate Scheme)**?** The respondent who is closest to the correct value will get [amount\_lottery: $100].

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Percentage of [belief\_nationality: Americans] in favor of Global Climate Scheme () |  |

gcs\_belief\_us Was glauben Sie, **wie viel Prozent der [belief\_nationality: Americans] würden die vorherige Frage mit „Ja“ beantworten** (wenn man bedenkt, dass der durschnittliche [belief\_nationality: Americans] durch das globale Klimaprogramm [belief\_loss: $140] pro Monat verlieren würde)? Wer dem tatsächlichen Wert am nächsten liegt, gewinnt [amount\_lottery: $100].

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Prozentsatz der [belief\_nationality: Americans], die das globale Klimaprogramm befürworten würden. () |  |

gcs\_belief\_us Selon vous, **quel pourcentage d'Américains répondent *Oui* à la question précédente** (sachant que les Américains perdraient en moyenne 140 $ par mois suite au Plan mondial pour le climat) **?** La personne la plus proche de la vraie valeur recevra 100 $.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Pourcentage d'Américains favorables au Plan mondial pour le climat () |  |

gcs\_belief\_us Secondo te, **quale percentuale di *[belief\_nationality: Americans]* risponderebbe *Sì* alla domanda precedente** (considerando che gli [belief\_nationality: Americans] medi perderebbero [belief\_loss: $140] al mese per il Programma Globale per il Clima)**?** L'intervistato che si avvicina di più al valore corretto otterrà [amount\_lottery: $100].

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Percentuale di Americans a favore del Programma Globale per il Clima () |  |

gcs\_belief\_us According to you, **what percentage of *[belief\_nationality: Americans]* would answer *Yes* to the previous question** (considering that typical [belief\_nationality: Americans] would lose [belief\_loss: $140] per month from the Global Climate Scheme)**?** The respondent who is closest to the correct value will get [amount\_lottery: $100].

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Percentage of [belief\_nationality: Americans] in favor of Global Climate Scheme () |  |

gcs\_belief\_us According to you, **what percentage of *[belief\_nationality: Americans]* would answer *Yes* to the previous question** (considering that typical [belief\_nationality: Americans] would lose [belief\_loss: $140] per month from the Global Climate Scheme)**?** The respondent who is closest to the correct value will get [amount\_lottery: $100].

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Percentage of [belief\_nationality: Americans] in favor of Global Climate Scheme () |  |

Display this question:

If variant\_belief = 0

|  |
| --- |
|  |

gcs\_belief\_own According to you, **what percentage of *[nationality: fellow citizens]* would answer *Yes* to the previous question?** The respondent who is closest to the correct value will get [amount\_lottery: $100].

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Percentage of [nationality: fellow citizens] in favor of Global Climate Scheme () |  |

gcs\_belief\_own According to you, **what percentage of *[nationality: fellow citizens]* would answer *Yes* to the previous question?** The respondent who is closest to the correct value will get [amount\_lottery: $100].

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Percentage of [nationality: fellow citizens] in favor of Global Climate Scheme () |  |

gcs\_belief\_own According to you, **what percentage of *[nationality: fellow citizens]* would answer *Yes* to the previous question?** The respondent who is closest to the correct value will get [amount\_lottery: $100].

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Percentage of [nationality: fellow citizens] in favor of Global Climate Scheme () |  |

gcs\_belief\_own Secondo te, **quale percentuale di *Svizzeri* risponderebbe *Sì* alla domanda precedente?** L'intervistato che si avvicina di più al valore corretto otterrà [amount\_lottery: $100].

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Percentuale di [nationality: fellow citizens] a favore del Programma Globale per il Clima () |  |

gcs\_belief\_own According to you, **what percentage of *[nationality: fellow citizens]* would answer *Yes* to the previous question?** The respondent who is closest to the correct value will get [amount\_lottery: $100].

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Percentage of [nationality: fellow citizens] in favor of Global Climate Scheme () |  |

من وجهة نظرك، **ما هي نسبة *[nationality: Saudis]* الذين *سيجيبون بالموافقة* على السؤال السابق؟** سيفوز من يقدم إجابة تقترب من الإجابة الصحيحة بجائزة تقدر قيمتها ب [amount\_lottery: $100]. gcs\_belief\_own

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 100 | 90 | 80 | 70 | 60 | 50 | 40 | 30 | 20 | 10 | 0 |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | () نسبة الأمريكيون المؤيدين لبرنامج المناخ العالمي |

gcs\_belief\_own Według Pana/Pani, **jaki odsetek *Polaków* odpowiedział *„Tak”* na poprzednie pytanie?** Jeden z respondentów, który będzie najbliższy rzeczywistej wartości, otrzyma 500 PLN.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Odsetek Polaków popierających Globalny Program Klimatyczny () |  |

gcs\_belief\_own あなたの考えでは、***[nationality: fellow citizens]*のうち、前の質問に*「はい」*と答える人は何パーセントだと思いますか？** 最も正解に近い回答をした方には、[amount\_lottery: $100]が当たります。

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 地球規模の気候対策制度Americansの割合 () |  |

gcs\_belief\_own Según usted, **¿qué porcentaje de *[nationality: fellow citizens]*respondería *Sí* a la pregunta anterior?** El/la encuestado/a que esté más cerca del valor correcto obtendrá [amount\_lottery: $100].

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Porcentaje de [nationality: fellow citizens] en favor del Plan Climático Global. () |  |

gcs\_belief\_own According to you, **what percentage of *[nationality: fellow citizens]* would answer *Yes* to the previous question?** The respondent who is closest to the correct value will get [amount\_lottery: $100].

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Percentage of [nationality: fellow citizens] in favor of Global Climate Scheme () |  |

gcs\_belief\_own Was glauben Sie, **wie viel Prozent der [nationality: British people] würden die vorherige Frage mit „Ja“ beantworten**? Wer dem tatsächlichen Wert am nächsten liegt, gewinnt [amount\_lottery: $100].

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Prozentsatz der [nationality: British people], die das globale Klimaprogramm befürworten würden. () |  |

gcs\_belief\_own Selon vous, **quel pourcentage de Français répondent *Oui* à la question précédente ?** La personne la plus proche de la vraie valeur recevra 100 $.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Pourcentage de Français favorables au Plan mondial pour le climat () |  |

gcs\_belief\_own Secondo te, **quale percentuale di *Italiani* risponderebbe *Sì* alla domanda precedente?** L'intervistato che si avvicina di più al valore corretto otterrà [amount\_lottery: $100].

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Percentuale di Italiani a favore del Programma Globale per il Clima () |  |

gcs\_belief\_own According to you, **what percentage of *[nationality: fellow citizens]* would answer *Yes* to the previous question?** The respondent who is closest to the correct value will get [amount\_lottery: $100].

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Percentage of [nationality: fellow citizens] in favor of Global Climate Scheme () |  |

gcs\_belief\_own According to you, **what percentage of *[nationality: fellow citizens]* would answer *Yes* to the previous question?** The respondent who is closest to the correct value will get [amount\_lottery: $100].

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Percentage of [nationality: fellow citizens] in favor of Global Climate Scheme () |  |

End of Block: Cap & Share

Start of Block: Cap & Share non-universal

|  |
| --- |
|  |

gcs\_mid Below is a map showing a possible set of countries that would participate in the Global Climate Scheme previously described. These countries include China, India, as well as all Africa, Latin America, South-Asia and South-East Asia. Collectively, these 119 countries account for 56% of global emissions (if [ics\_country: the U.S.] joined them, [emissions\_mid\_with: 70]% of global emissions would be covered).

gcs\_mid Below is a map showing a possible set of countries that would participate in the Global Climate Scheme previously described. These countries include China, India, as well as all Africa, Latin America, South-Asia and South-East Asia. Collectively, these 119 countries account for 56% of global emissions (if [ics\_country: the U.S.] joined them, [emissions\_mid\_with: 70]% of global emissions would be covered).

gcs\_mid Below is a map showing a possible set of countries that would participate in the Global Climate Scheme previously described. These countries include China, India, as well as all Africa, Latin America, South-Asia and South-East Asia. Collectively, these 119 countries account for 56% of global emissions (if [ics\_country: the U.S.] joined them, [emissions\_mid\_with: 70]% of global emissions would be covered).

gcs\_mid Below is a map showing a possible set of countries that would participate in the Global Climate Scheme previously described. These countries include China, India, as well as all Africa, Latin America, South-Asia and South-East Asia. Collectively, these 119 countries account for 56% of global emissions (if [ics\_country: the U.S.] joined them, [emissions\_mid\_with: 70]% of global emissions would be covered).

gcs\_mid Below is a map showing a possible set of countries that would participate in the Global Climate Scheme previously described. These countries include China, India, as well as all Africa, Latin America, South-Asia and South-East Asia. Collectively, these 119 countries account for 56% of global emissions (if [ics\_country: the U.S.] joined them, [emissions\_mid\_with: 70]% of global emissions would be covered).

فيما يلي خريطة توضح مجموعة محتملة من الدول التي قد تشارك في برنامج المناخ العالمي الذي تم تناوله سابقًا. وتشمل تلك الدول الصين والهند وكافة دول افريقيا وأمريكا اللاتينية وجنوب آسيا وجنوب شرق آسيا. وتمثل هذه البلدان الـ 119 مجتمعة 56% من الانبعاثات العالمية (وفي حال انضمام [ics\_country: the U.S.] إليها، فسيتم تغطية [emissions\_mid\_with: 70]% من تلك الانبعاثات). gcs\_mid

gcs\_mid Poniżej znajduje się mapa przedstawiająca hipotetyczny zbiór krajów, które uczestniczyłyby w opisanym wcześniej Globalnym Programie Klimatycznym. Zbiór ten obejmuje Chiny, Indie, a także całą Afrykę, Amerykę Łacińską, Azję Południową i Azję Południowo-Wschodnią. Łącznie tych 119 krajów odpowiada za 56% światowej emisji CO2 (gdyby doliczyć udział Unii Europejskiej, pokryłoby to 63% światowej emisji).

gcs\_mid 以下の地図は、前述の「地球規模の気候対策制度」に参加する可能性のある国々を示しています。 この国々には、中国、インドのほか、アフリカ全土、ラテンアメリカ、南アジア、東南アジアのすべての国が含まれます。 これら119か国を合わせると、世界全体の温室効果ガス排出量の56%を占めています ([ics\_country: the U.S.]が参加した場合は、[emissions\_mid\_with: 70]%の排出量が対象となります)。

gcs\_mid A continuación se muestra un mapa que muestra un posible conjunto de países que participarían en el Plan Climático Global descrito anteriormente. Estos países incluyen a China, India, así como toda Africa, América Latina, el Sur de Asia y Sudeste Asiático. En conjunto, estos 119 países representan el 56% de las emisiones globales (si [ics\_country: the U.S.] se uniera a ellos, [emissions\_mid\_with: 70]% de las emisiones globales estaría cubierto).

gcs\_mid Below is a map showing a possible set of countries that would participate in the Global Climate Scheme previously described. These countries include China, India, as well as all Africa, Latin America, South-Asia and South-East Asia. Collectively, these 119 countries account for 56% of global emissions (if [ics\_country: the U.S.] joined them, [emissions\_mid\_with: 70]% of global emissions would be covered).

gcs\_mid Die folgende Karte zeigt eine mögliche Gruppe von Ländern, die sich am zuvor erläuterten globalen Klimaprogramm beteiligen könnten. Diese Länder umfassen China, Indien sowie ganz Afrika, Lateinamerika, Südasien und Südostasien. Zusammen sind diese 119 Länder für 56% der globalen Emissionen verantwortlich (falls [ics\_country: the U.S.] sich anschließen würde, wären [emissions\_mid\_with: 70]% der weltweiten Emissionen abgedeckt).

gcs\_mid Vous trouverez ci-dessous une carte montrant un ensemble possible de pays qui participeraient au Plan mondial pour le climat décrit précédemment. Ces pays comprennent la Chine, l’Inde, ainsi que toute l’Afrique, l’Amérique latine, l’Asie du Sud et l’Asie du Sud-Est. Ensemble, ces 119 pays totalisent 56 % des émissions mondiales (si les États-Unis les rejoignait, 70 % des émissions mondiales seraient couvertes).

gcs\_mid Di seguito è riportata una mappa di possibili Paesi che potrebbero aderire al Programma Globale per il Clima precedentemente illustrato. Questo insieme di Paesi include la Cina, l'India, così come l'Africa, l'America Latina, l'Asia del Sud e l'Asia del Sud-Est. Collettivamente, questi 119 Paesi sono responsabili del 56% delle emissioni globali (se [ics\_country: the U.S.] ne prendesse parte, sarebbe coperto il [emissions\_mid\_with: 70]% delle emissioni globali).

gcs\_mid Below is a map showing a possible set of countries that would participate in the Global Climate Scheme previously described. These countries include China, India, as well as all Africa, Latin America, South-Asia and South-East Asia. Collectively, these 119 countries account for 56% of global emissions (if [ics\_country: the U.S.] joined them, [emissions\_mid\_with: 70]% of global emissions would be covered).

gcs\_mid Below is a map showing a possible set of countries that would participate in the Global Climate Scheme previously described. These countries include China, India, as well as all Africa, Latin America, South-Asia and South-East Asia. Collectively, these 119 countries account for 56% of global emissions (if [ics\_country: the U.S.] joined them, [emissions\_mid\_with: 70]% of global emissions would be covered).

|  |
| --- |
|  |

gcs\_low Below is a map showing a possible set of countries that would participate in the Global Climate Scheme previously described. These countries include India, the European Union, as well as all Africa, Latin America, South-Asia and South-East Asia. Collectively, these [nb\_countries\_low: 145] countries account for [emissions\_low\_without: 40]% of global emissions (if [ics\_country: the U.S.] joined them, [emissions\_low\_with: 40]% of global emissions would be covered).

gcs\_low Below is a map showing a possible set of countries that would participate in the Global Climate Scheme previously described. These countries include India, the European Union, as well as all Africa, Latin America, South-Asia and South-East Asia. Collectively, these [nb\_countries\_low: 145] countries account for [emissions\_low\_without: 40]% of global emissions (if [ics\_country: the U.S.] joined them, [emissions\_low\_with: 40]% of global emissions would be covered).

gcs\_low Below is a map showing a possible set of countries that would participate in the Global Climate Scheme previously described. These countries include India, the European Union, as well as all Africa, Latin America, South-Asia and South-East Asia. Collectively, these [nb\_countries\_low: 145] countries account for [emissions\_low\_without: 40]% of global emissions (if [ics\_country: the U.S.] joined them, [emissions\_low\_with: 40]% of global emissions would be covered).

gcs\_low Below is a map showing a possible set of countries that would participate in the Global Climate Scheme previously described. These countries include India, the European Union, as well as all Africa, Latin America, South-Asia and South-East Asia. Collectively, these [nb\_countries\_low: 145] countries account for [emissions\_low\_without: 40]% of global emissions (if [ics\_country: the U.S.] joined them, [emissions\_low\_with: 40]% of global emissions would be covered).

gcs\_low Below is a map showing a possible set of countries that would participate in the Global Climate Scheme previously described. These countries include India, the European Union, as well as all Africa, Latin America, South-Asia and South-East Asia. Collectively, these [nb\_countries\_low: 145] countries account for [emissions\_low\_without: 40]% of global emissions (if [ics\_country: the U.S.] joined them, [emissions\_low\_with: 40]% of global emissions would be covered).

فيما يلي خريطة توضح مجموعة محتملة من الدول التي قد تشارك في برنامج المناخ العالمي الذي تم التحدث عنه سابقًا. وتشمل تلك الدول الهند، والاتحاد الأوروبي، وكافة دول افريقيا وأمريكا اللاتينية وجنوب آسيا وجنوب شرق آسيا وتمثل هذه البلدان ال [nb\_countries\_low: 145] مجتمعة [emissions\_low\_without: 40]% من إجمالي الانبعاثات العالمية (وفي حال انضمام [ics\_country: the U.S.] إليها، فسيتم تغطية [emissions\_low\_with: 40]% من تلك الانبعاثات). gcs\_low

gcs\_low Poniżej znajduje się mapa przedstawiająca hipotetyczny zbiór krajów, które uczestniczyłyby w opisanym wcześniej Globalnym Programie Klimatycznym. Zbiór ten obejmuje Indie, Unię Europejską, a także całą Afrykę, Amerykę Łacińską, Azję Południową i Azję Południowo-Wschodnią. Łącznie tych 145 krajów odpowiada za 25% światowej emisji CO2 (gdyby doliczyć udział Unii Europejskiej, pokryłoby to 40% światowej emisji).

gcs\_low 以下の地図は、前述の「地球規模の気候対策制度」に参加する可能性のある国々を示しています。 これらの国々には、インド、欧州連合（EU）、さらにアフリカ全土、ラテンアメリカ、南アジア、東南アジアのすべての国が含まれています。 これら[nb\_countries\_low: 145]か国を合わせると、世界全体の温室効果ガス排出量の[emissions\_low\_without: 40]%を占めています ([ics\_country: the U.S.]が参加した場合は、世界全体の[emissions\_low\_with: 40]%が対象となります)。

gcs\_low A continuación se muestra un mapa que muestra un posible conjunto de países que participarían en el Plan Climático Global descrito anteriormente. Estos países incluyen a la India, la Unión Europea, así como toda África, Latinoamérica, el Sur de Asia y Sudeste Asiático. En conjunto estos [nb\_countries\_low: 145] países representan el [emissions\_low\_without: 40]% de las emisiones globales (si [ics\_country: the U.S.] se uniera a ellos, [emissions\_low\_with: 40]% de las emisiones globales estaría cubierto).

gcs\_low Below is a map showing a possible set of countries that would participate in the Global Climate Scheme previously described. These countries include India, the European Union, as well as all Africa, Latin America, South-Asia and South-East Asia. Collectively, these [nb\_countries\_low: 145] countries account for [emissions\_low\_without: 40]% of global emissions (if [ics\_country: the U.S.] joined them, [emissions\_low\_with: 40]% of global emissions would be covered).

gcs\_low Die folgende Karte zeigt eine mögliche Gruppe von Ländern, die sich am zuvor erläuterten globalen Klimaprogramm beteiligen könnten. Diese Länder umfassen Indien, die Europäische Union, sowie ganz Afrika, Lateinamerika, Südasien und Südostasien. Zusammen sind diese [nb\_countries\_low: 145] Länder für [emissions\_low\_without: 40]% der globalen Emissionen verantwortlich (falls [ics\_country: the U.S.] sich anschließen würde, wären [emissions\_low\_with: 40]% der weltweiten Emissionen abgedeckt).

gcs\_low Vous trouverez ci-dessous une carte montrant un ensemble possible de pays qui participeraient au Plan mondial pour le climat décrit précédemment. Ces pays comprennent l'Inde, ainsi que toute l'Afrique, l'Amérique latine, l'Asie du Sud et l'Asie du Sud-Est. Ensemble, ces 145 pays totalisent 40 % des émissions mondiales (si les États-Unis les rejoignait, 40 % des émissions mondiales seraient couvertes).

gcs\_low Di seguito è riportata una mappa di possibili Paesi che potrebbero aderire al Programma Globale per il Clima precedentemente illustrato. Tali Paesi includono l'India, l'Unione Europea, così come l'Africa, l'America Latina, l'Asia del Sud e del Sud-Est. Collettivamente, questi [nb\_countries\_low: 145] Paesi sono responsabili del [emissions\_low\_without: 40]% delle emissioni globali (se [ics\_country: the U.S.] ne prendesse parte, sarebbe coperto il [emissions\_low\_with: 40]% delle emissioni globali).

gcs\_low Below is a map showing a possible set of countries that would participate in the Global Climate Scheme previously described. These countries include India, the European Union, as well as all Africa, Latin America, South-Asia and South-East Asia. Collectively, these [nb\_countries\_low: 145] countries account for [emissions\_low\_without: 40]% of global emissions (if [ics\_country: the U.S.] joined them, [emissions\_low\_with: 40]% of global emissions would be covered).

gcs\_low Below is a map showing a possible set of countries that would participate in the Global Climate Scheme previously described. These countries include India, the European Union, as well as all Africa, Latin America, South-Asia and South-East Asia. Collectively, these [nb\_countries\_low: 145] countries account for [emissions\_low\_without: 40]% of global emissions (if [ics\_country: the U.S.] joined them, [emissions\_low\_with: 40]% of global emissions would be covered).

|  |
| --- |
|  |

gcs\_high\_color Below is a map showing a possible set of countries that would participate in the Global Climate Scheme previously described. These countries include China, India, [text\_countries\_high: the European Union, Japan, the United Kingdom], Canada, South Korea, as well as all Africa, Latin America, South-Asia and South-East Asia. Collectively, these [nb\_countries\_high: 153] countries account for [emissions\_high\_without: 72]% of global emissions (if [ics\_country: the U.S.] joined them, [emissions\_high\_with: 86]% of global emissions would be covered). Note that a provision would prevent the Global Climate Scheme from harming low- and middle-income countries: this is why countries like China, Mexico, or Egypt are in white on the map (they would neither win nor lose financially).

gcs\_high\_color Below is a map showing a possible set of countries that would participate in the Global Climate Scheme previously described. These countries include China, India, [text\_countries\_high: the European Union, Japan, the United Kingdom], Canada, South Korea, as well as all Africa, Latin America, South-Asia and South-East Asia. Collectively, these [nb\_countries\_high: 153] countries account for [emissions\_high\_without: 72]% of global emissions (if [ics\_country: the U.S.] joined them, [emissions\_high\_with: 86]% of global emissions would be covered). Note that a provision would prevent the Global Climate Scheme from harming low- and middle-income countries: this is why countries like China, Mexico, or Egypt are in white on the map (they would neither win nor lose financially).

gcs\_high\_color Below is a map showing a possible set of countries that would participate in the Global Climate Scheme previously described. These countries include China, India, [text\_countries\_high: the European Union, Japan, the United Kingdom], Canada, South Korea, as well as all Africa, Latin America, South-Asia and South-East Asia. Collectively, these [nb\_countries\_high: 153] countries account for [emissions\_high\_without: 72]% of global emissions (if [ics\_country: the U.S.] joined them, [emissions\_high\_with: 86]% of global emissions would be covered). Note that a provision would prevent the Global Climate Scheme from harming low- and middle-income countries: this is why countries like China, Mexico, or Egypt are in white on the map (they would neither win nor lose financially).

gcs\_high\_color Below is a map showing a possible set of countries that would participate in the Global Climate Scheme previously described. These countries include China, India, [text\_countries\_high: the European Union, Japan, the United Kingdom], Canada, South Korea, as well as all Africa, Latin America, South-Asia and South-East Asia. Collectively, these [nb\_countries\_high: 153] countries account for [emissions\_high\_without: 72]% of global emissions (if [ics\_country: the U.S.] joined them, [emissions\_high\_with: 86]% of global emissions would be covered). Note that a provision would prevent the Global Climate Scheme from harming low- and middle-income countries: this is why countries like China, Mexico, or Egypt are in white on the map (they would neither win nor lose financially).

gcs\_high\_color Below is a map showing a possible set of countries that would participate in the Global Climate Scheme previously described. These countries include China, India, [text\_countries\_high: the European Union, Japan, the United Kingdom], Canada, South Korea, as well as all Africa, Latin America, South-Asia and South-East Asia. Collectively, these [nb\_countries\_high: 153] countries account for [emissions\_high\_without: 72]% of global emissions (if [ics\_country: the U.S.] joined them, [emissions\_high\_with: 86]% of global emissions would be covered). Note that a provision would prevent the Global Climate Scheme from harming low- and middle-income countries: this is why countries like China, Mexico, or Egypt are in white on the map (they would neither win nor lose financially).

فيما يلي خريطة توضح مجموعة محتملة من الدول التي قد تشارك في برنامج المناخ العالمي الذي تم التطرق إليه سابقًا. وتشمل تلك الدول الصين والهند و[text\_countries\_high: the European Union, Japan, the United Kingdom] وكندا وكوريا الجنوبية، وكافة دول افريقيا وأمريكا اللاتينية وجنوب آسيا وجنوب شرق آسيا وتمثل هذه البلدان ال [nb\_countries\_high: 153] مجتمعة [emissions\_high\_without: 72]% من إجمالي الانبعاثات العالمية (وفي حال انضمام [ics\_country: the U.S.] إليها، فسيتم تغطية [emissions\_high\_with: 86]% من تلك الانبعاثات). يُرجى ملاحظة أن هذا البند يهدف إلى حماية الدول ذات الدخل المنخفض والمتوسط من الآثار السلبية لمخطط المناخ العالمي، ولهذا تظهر دول كالصين والمكسيك ومصر باللون الأبيض على الخريطة، لأنها لن تتأثر ماليًا (لن تربح أو تخسر). gcs\_high\_color

gcs\_high\_color Poniżej znajduje się mapa przedstawiająca hipotetyczny zbiór krajów, które uczestniczyłyby w opisanym wcześniej Globalnym Programie Klimatycznym. Zbiór ten obejmuje Chiny, Indie, Japonię, Wielką Brytanię, Kanadę, Koreę Południową, a także całą Afrykę, Amerykę Łacińską, Azję Południową i Azję Południowo-Wschodnią. Łącznie tych 153 krajów odpowiada za 86% światowej emisji CO2 (gdyby doliczyć udział Unii Europejskiej, pokryłoby to 86% światowej emisji). Należy zauważyć, że odpowiedni przepis uniemożliwiłby Globalnemu Programowi Klimatycznemu wyrządzenie szkody krajom o niskim i średnim dochodzie; dlatego kraje takie, jak Chiny, Meksyk, czy Egipt, są na mapie oznaczone na biało (nie zyskałyby, ani też nie straciłyby finansowo).

gcs\_high\_color 以下の地図は、前述の「地球規模の気候対策制度」に参加する可能性のある国々を示しています。 これらの国々には、中国、インド、[text\_countries\_high: the European Union, Japan, the United Kingdom]、カナダ、韓国のほか、アフリカ全土、ラテンアメリカ、南アジア、東南アジアのすべての国々が含まれています。 これら[nb\_countries\_high: 153]か国を合わせると、世界全体の温室効果ガス排出量の[emissions\_high\_without: 72]%を占めています ([ics\_country: the U.S.]が参加した場合は、世界全体の[emissions\_high\_with: 86]%が対象となります)。 なお、低所得国および中所得国に不利益が生じないよう、特別な配慮が制度に組み込まれています。たとえば、中国、メキシコ、エジプトなどが地図上で白く表示されているのは、これらの国が制度によって損も得もしない（経済的に中立な）立場にあるためです。

gcs\_high\_color A continuación se muestra un mapa que muestra un posible conjunto de países que participarían en el Plan Climático Global descrito anteriormente. Estos países incluyen China, India, [text\_countries\_high: the European Union, Japan, the United Kingdom], Canadá, Corea del Sur, así como toda Africa, América Latina, y el Sur de Asia y Sudeste Asiático. En conjunto, estos [nb\_countries\_high: 153] países representan el [emissions\_high\_without: 72]% del total de emisiones globales (si [ics\_country: the U.S.] se uniera a ellos, [emissions\_high\_with: 86]% de las emisiones globales estaría cubierto). Cabe señalar que una provisión impediría que el Plan Climático Global perjudicara a los países de ingresos bajos y medios: es por eso que países como China, México o Egipto están en blanco en el mapa (no ganarían ni perderían financieramente).

gcs\_high\_color Below is a map showing a possible set of countries that would participate in the Global Climate Scheme previously described. These countries include China, India, [text\_countries\_high: the European Union, Japan, the United Kingdom], Canada, South Korea, as well as all Africa, Latin America, South-Asia and South-East Asia. Collectively, these [nb\_countries\_high: 153] countries account for [emissions\_high\_without: 72]% of global emissions (if [ics\_country: the U.S.] joined them, [emissions\_high\_with: 86]% of global emissions would be covered). Note that a provision would prevent the Global Climate Scheme from harming low- and middle-income countries: this is why countries like China, Mexico, or Egypt are in white on the map (they would neither win nor lose financially).

gcs\_high\_color Die folgende Karte zeigt eine mögliche Gruppe von Ländern, die sich am zuvor erläuterten globalen Klimaprogramm beteiligen könnten. Diese Länder umfassen China, Indien, [text\_countries\_high: the European Union, Japan, the United Kingdom], Kanada, Südkorea, sowie ganz Afrika, Lateinamerika, Südasien und Südostasien. Zusammen sind diese [nb\_countries\_high: 153] Länder für [emissions\_high\_without: 72]% der globalen Emissionen verantwortlich (falls [ics\_country: the U.S.] sich anschließen würde, wären [emissions\_high\_with: 86]% der weltweiten Emissionen abgedeckt). Beachten Sie, dass eine Regelung verhindern würde, dass das globale Klimaprogramm Länder mit niedrigem und mittlerem Einkommen benachteiligt. Deshalb sind Länder wie China, Mexiko oder Ägypten auf der Karte weiß dargestellt – sie würden finanziell weder gewinnen noch verlieren.

gcs\_high\_color Vous trouverez ci-dessous une carte montrant un ensemble possible de pays qui participeraient au Plan mondial pour le climat décrit précédemment. Ces pays comprennent la Chine, l’Inde, le Japon, le Canada, la Corée du Sud, ainsi que toute l’Afrique, l’Amérique latine, l’Asie du Sud et l’Asie du Sud-Est. Ensemble, ces 153 pays totalisent 72 % des émissions mondiales (si les États-Unis les rejoignait, 86 % des émissions mondiales seraient couvertes). Notez qu’une disposition empêcherait le Plan mondial pour le climat de nuire aux pays à revenus bas ou intermédiaires : c’est pourquoi des pays comme la Chine, le Mexique ou l’Égypte sont en blanc sur la carte (ils ne seraient ni gagnant ni perdant financièrement).

gcs\_high\_color Di seguito è riportata una mappa di possibili Paesi che potrebbero aderire al Programma Globale per il Clima precedentemente illustrato. Tali Paesi includono la Cina, l'India, [text\_countries\_high: the European Union, Japan, the United Kingdom], il Canada, la Corea del Sud, così come l'Africa, l'America Latina, l'Asia del Sud e del Sud-est. Complessivamente, questi [nb\_countries\_high: 153] Paesi sono responsabili del [emissions\_high\_without: 72]% delle emissioni globali (se [ics\_country: the U.S.] ne prendesse parte, sarebbe coperto il [emissions\_high\_with: 86]% delle emissioni globali). Si noti che una disposizione impedirebbe che il Programma Globale per il Clima danneggi i Paesi a medio e basso reddito: per questo motivo, Paesi come la Cina, il Messico o l'Egitto sono di colore bianco nella mappa (non otterrebbero vantaggi né li perderebbero da un punto di vista finanziario).

gcs\_high\_color Below is a map showing a possible set of countries that would participate in the Global Climate Scheme previously described. These countries include China, India, [text\_countries\_high: the European Union, Japan, the United Kingdom], Canada, South Korea, as well as all Africa, Latin America, South-Asia and South-East Asia. Collectively, these [nb\_countries\_high: 153] countries account for [emissions\_high\_without: 72]% of global emissions (if [ics\_country: the U.S.] joined them, [emissions\_high\_with: 86]% of global emissions would be covered). Note that a provision would prevent the Global Climate Scheme from harming low- and middle-income countries: this is why countries like China, Mexico, or Egypt are in white on the map (they would neither win nor lose financially).
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فيما يلي خريطة توضح مجموعة محتملة من الدول التي قد تشارك في برنامج المناخ العالمي الذي تم التطرق إليه سابقًا. وتشمل تلك الدول الصين والهند و[text\_countries\_high: the European Union, Japan, the United Kingdom] وكندا وكوريا الجنوبية، وكافة دول افريقيا وأمريكا اللاتينية وجنوب آسيا وجنوب شرق آسيا وتمثل هذه البلدان ال [nb\_countries\_high: 153] مجتمعة [emissions\_high\_without: 71]% من إجمالي الانبعاثات العالمية (وفي حال انضمام [ics\_country: the U.S.] إليها، فسيتم تغطية [emissions\_high\_with: 86]% من تلك الانبعاثات). gcs\_high

gcs\_high Poniżej znajduje się mapa przedstawiająca hipotetyczny zbiór krajów, które uczestniczyłyby w opisanym wcześniej Globalnym Programie Klimatycznym. Zbiór ten obejmuje Chiny, Indie, Japonię, Wielką Brytanię, Kanadę, Koreę Południową, a także całą Afrykę, Amerykę Łacińską, Azję Południową i Azję Południowo-Wschodnią. Łącznie tych 153 krajów odpowiada za 71% światowej emisji CO2 (gdyby doliczyć udział Unii Europejskiej, pokryłoby to 86% światowej emisji).

gcs\_high 以下の地図は、前述の「地球規模の気候対策制度」に参加する可能性のある国々を示しています。 これらの国々には、中国、インド、[text\_countries\_high: the European Union, Japan, the United Kingdom]、カナダ、韓国のほか、アフリカ全土、ラテンアメリカ、南アジア、東南アジアのすべての国が含まれています。  これら[nb\_countries\_high: 153]か国を合わせると、世界全体の温室効果ガス排出量の[emissions\_high\_without: 71]%を占めています ([ics\_country: the U.S.]が参加した場合は、世界全体の[emissions\_high\_with: 86]%が対象となります).

gcs\_high A continuación se muestra un mapa que muestra un posible conjunto de países que participarían en el Plan Climático Global descrito anteriormente. Estos países incluyen China, India, [text\_countries\_high: the European Union, Japan, the United Kingdom], Canada, Corea del Sur, así como toda Africa, América Latina, y el Sur de Asia y Sudeste Asiático.  En conjunto, estos [nb\_countries\_high: 153] países representan el [emissions\_high\_without: 71]% de las emisiones globales (si [ics\_country: the U.S.] se uniera a ellos, [emissions\_high\_with: 86]% de las emisiones globales estaría cubierto).

gcs\_high Below is a map showing a possible set of countries that would participate in the Global Climate Scheme previously described. These countries include China, India, [text\_countries\_high: the European Union, Japan, the United Kingdom], Canada, South Korea, as well as all Africa, Latin America, South-Asia and South-East Asia.  Collectively, these [nb\_countries\_high: 153] countries account for [emissions\_high\_without: 71]% of global emissions (if [ics\_country: the U.S.] joined them, [emissions\_high\_with: 86]% of global emissions would be covered).

gcs\_high Die folgende Karte zeigt eine mögliche Gruppe von Ländern, die sich am zuvor erläuterten globalen Klimaprogramm beteiligen könnten. Diese Länder umfassen China, Indien, [text\_countries\_high: the European Union, Japan, the United Kingdom], Kanada, Südkorea, sowie ganz Afrika, Lateinamerika, Südasien und Südostasien.  Zusammen sind diese [nb\_countries\_high: 153] Länder für [emissions\_high\_without: 71]% der globalen Emissionen verantwortlich (falls [ics\_country: the U.S.] sich anschließen würde, wären [emissions\_high\_with: 86]% der weltweiten Emissionen abgedeckt).

gcs\_high Vous trouverez ci-dessous une carte montrant un ensemble possible de pays qui participeraient au Plan mondial pour le climat décrit précédemment. Ces pays comprennent la Chine, l’Inde, le Japon, le Canada, la Corée du Sud, ainsi que toute l’Afrique, l’Amérique latine, l’Asie du Sud et l’Asie du Sud-Est. Ensemble, ces 153 pays totalisent 70 % des émissions mondiales (si les États-Unis les rejoignaient, 86 % des émissions mondiales seraient couvertes).

gcs\_high Di seguito è riportata una mappa di possibili Paesi che potrebbero aderire al Programma Globale per il Clima precedentemente illustrato. Questi Paesi includono la Cina, l'India, [text\_countries\_high: the European Union, Japan, the United Kingdom], il Canada, la Corea del Sud, così come l'Africa, l'America Latina, l'Asia del Sud e del Sud-est.  Complessivamente, questi [nb\_countries\_high: 153] Paesi sono responsabili del [emissions\_high\_without: 71]% delle emissioni globali (se [ics\_country: the U.S.] ne prendesse parte, sarebbe coperto il [emissions\_high\_with: 86]% delle emissioni globali).

gcs\_high Below is a map showing a possible set of countries that would participate in the Global Climate Scheme previously described. These countries include China, India, [text\_countries\_high: the European Union, Japan, the United Kingdom], Canada, South Korea, as well as all Africa, Latin America, South-Asia and South-East Asia.  Collectively, these [nb\_countries\_high: 153] countries account for [emissions\_high\_without: 71]% of global emissions (if [ics\_country: the U.S.] joined them, [emissions\_high\_with: 86]% of global emissions would be covered).
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ics\_support Do you support [ics\_country: the U.S.] joining the Global Climate Scheme, in case it is adopted by the above countries?

* Yes (1)
* No (2)

ics\_support Do you support [ics\_country: the U.S.] joining the Global Climate Scheme, in case it is adopted by the above countries?

* Yes (1)
* No (2)

ics\_support Do you support [ics\_country: the U.S.] joining the Global Climate Scheme, in case it is adopted by the above countries?

* Yes (1)
* No (2)

ics\_support Do you support [ics\_country: the U.S.] joining the Global Climate Scheme, in case it is adopted by the above countries?

* Yes (1)
* No (2)

ics\_support Do you support [ics\_country: the U.S.] joining the Global Climate Scheme, in case it is adopted by the above countries?

* Yes (1)
* No (2)

هل تؤيد انضمام [ics\_country: the U.S.] إلى برنامج المناخ العالمي، في حال تم تبنيه من قبل الدول المذكورة أعلاه؟ ics\_support

* (1) نعم
* (2) لا

ics\_support Czy poparł(a)by Pan/Pani przystąpienie Unii Europejskiej do Globalnego Programu Klimatycznego, gdyby został on przyjęty przez wymienione kraje?

* Tak (1)
* Nie (2)

ics\_support [ics\_country: the U.S.]が、上記の国々によって採用された「地球規模の気候対策制度」に参加することを、あなたは支持しますか？

* はい (1)
* いいえ (2)

ics\_support ¿Apoya usted que [ics\_country: the U.S.] se una al Plan Climático Global, en caso de que sea adoptado por los países mencionados arriba?

* Sí (1)
* No (2)

ics\_support Do you support [ics\_country: the U.S.] joining the Global Climate Scheme, in case it is adopted by the above countries?

* Yes (1)
* No (2)

ics\_support Befürworten Sie es, dass [ics\_country: the U.S.] dem globalen Klimaprogramm beitritt, wenn die oben genannten Länder es einführen?

* Ja (1)
* Nein (2)

ics\_support Soutenez-vous l’adhésion de l'UE au Plan mondial pour le climat, dans l'éventualité où il serait adopté par les pays ci-dessus ?

* Oui (1)
* Non (2)

ics\_support Sei a favore che [ics\_country: the U.S.] aderisca al Programma Globale per il Clima, qualora sia adottato da uno dei Paesi precedentemente menzionati?

* Sì (1)
* No (2)

ics\_support Do you support [ics\_country: the U.S.] joining the Global Climate Scheme, in case it is adopted by the above countries?

* Yes (1)
* No (2)

ics\_support Do you support [ics\_country: the U.S.] joining the Global Climate Scheme, in case it is adopted by the above countries?

* Yes (1)
* No (2)

End of Block: Cap & Share non-universal

Start of Block: Warm glow - realism

Display this question:

If variant\_realism = 1

And long > 0.42
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info\_solidarity To ensure that you have attentively read the description below, we will ask some comprehension questions later in the survey: those who get correct answers can win $100. In several international organizations, **countries have agreed to demonstrate some degree of solidarity in addressing global challenges**. Negotiations are ongoing to implement specific mechanisms for sustainable development. Here are a few examples: 🚢 **The International Maritime Organization plans to reduce carbon emissions** from shipping and may **adopt a levy** on such emissions in 2025, **that could partly finance low-income countries**. 📦 Since 1970, **developed countries have agreed to contribute 0.7% of their GDP in foreign aid** and development assistance. 🌱 In international climate negotiations, **developed countries have committed to finance climate action in developing countries**. In 2009, they committed to provide $100 billion per year by 2020. In 2023, all countries agreed to set up a fund to help vulnerable countries cope with loss and damage from climate change. In 2024, the $100 billion goal was increased to $300 billion per year by 2035. 📈 In 2021, 136 countries adopted a minimum tax rate of 15% on multinational profits. 💎 In 2024, under the leadership of Brazil, **the G20 considered the introduction of a global tax** of 2% **on** the wealth of **billionaires**. 🌐 In 2024, the UN General Assembly adopted the Pact for the Future, which foresees a reform of the UN Security Council to limit the power of its five permanent member and expand it to new members. 🔄 Led by the Prime Minister of Barbados and supported by the UN Secretary General, the Bridgetown initiative seeks a new financial system that would drive financial resources towards climate action and sustainable development.
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للتأكد من أنك قرأت الوصف أدناه بعناية، سنطرح في نهاية هذا الاستطلاع بعض الأسئلة الشاملة: سيربح من يجيب عليها بشكل صحيح مبلغ 100 دولار . اتفقت الدول في العديد من المؤسسات الدولية **على إظهار قدر معين من التضامن في معالجة التحديات العالمية.** وتجري المفاوضات حاليًا لتطبيق آليات محددة للتنمية المستدامة. وفيما يلي بعض الأمثلة: 🚢 **تخطط المنظمة البحرية الدولية لخفض انبعاثات الكربون** الناجمة عن عمليات الشحن البحري، **وقد** **تتبنى فرض ضريبة** على هذه الانبعاثات بحلول عام 2025، **وهو ما قد يساعد جزئيًا في تمويل البلدان منخفضة الدخل**. 📦 منذ عام 1970، **وافقت الدول المتقدمة على المساهمة بنسبة 0.7% من ناتجها المحلي الإجمالي في المساعدات الخارجية** ومساعدات التنمية. 🌱 وفي المفاوضات الدولية المتعلقة بالمناخ، **التزمت الدول المتقدمة بتمويل العمل المناخي في الدول النامية**. وفي عام 2009، التزمت الدول بتوفير 100 مليار دولار سنويًا بحلول عام 2020. ووافقت كافة الدول في عام 2023 على إنشاء صندوق لمساعدة الدول النامية على التعامل مع الخسائر والأضرار الناجمة عن التغيرات المناخية. بينما في عام 2024، **تم زيادة التمويل المُستهدف** من 100 مليار دولار إلى 300 مليار دولار سنويًا بحلول عام 2035. 📈 في عام 2021، اعتمدت 136 دولة ضريبة بحد أدنى 15% على أرباح الشركات متعددة الجنسيات. 💎 بينما في عام 2024، **درست الدول الأعضاء في مجموعة العشرين بقيادة البرازيل تطبيق ضريبة عالمية** بنسبة 2% **على** ثروات **المليارديرات**. 🌐 وفي نفس العام، اعتمدت الجمعية العامة للأمم المتحدة ميثاق المستقبل، الذي يهدف إلى إصلاح مجلس الأمن التابع للأمم المتحدة للحد من سلطة أعضائه الخمسة الدائمين وتوسيعه لكي يشمل أعضاء جدد. 🔄 تسعى مبادرة بريدج تاون - بقيادة رئيس وزراء بربادوس وبدعم من الأمين العام للأمم المتحدة - إلى تدشين نظام مالي جديد من شأنه أن يوفر الموارد المالية من أجل الحد من المخاطر الناجمة عن التغيرات المناخية والتنمية المستدامة. info\_solidarity

info\_solidarity Aby upewnić się, że uważnie przeczytał(a) Pan/Pani poniższy opis, w dalszej części ankiety zadamy pytania sprawdzające jego zrozumienie; osoby, które udzielą poprawnych odpowiedzi, mogą wygrać 500 PLN. Część krajów, **wchodząc w skład różnych organizacji międzynarodowych, ustaliła wspólne stanowisko w zakresie rozwiązywania globalnych problemów**. Obecnie trwają negocjacje na temat wdrażania konkretnych mechanizmów zrównoważonego rozwoju. Oto kilka przykładów: 🚢 **Międzynarodowa Organizacja Morska planuje zmniejszenie ilości dwutlenku węgla emitowanego przez żeglugę i** jest skłonna w 2025 r. **obciążyć taką emisję opłatą, która częściowo mogłaby finansować kraje o niskim dochodzie**. 📦 Od 1970 r. **kraje rozwinięte zgodziły się przeznaczyć 0,7% swojego PKB na pomoc zagraniczną** i rozwojową. 🌱 W międzynarodowych negocjacjach klimatycznych **kraje rozwinięte zobowiązały się do finansowania działań w zakresie klimatu w krajach rozwijających się**. W 2009 r. zapowiedziały, że będą rocznie przeznaczać na ten cel 100 miliardów USD do 2020 roku. W 2023 r. wszystkie kraje zgodziły się na utworzenie funduszu, który ma pomóc krajom znajdującym się w trudnej sytuacji w radzeniu sobie ze stratami i szkodami wynikającymi ze zmian klimatycznych. W 2024 r. docelowa kwota została zwiększona do 300 miliardów USD przekazywanych rocznie do 2035 r. 📈 W 2021 r. 136 krajów przyjęło minimalną stawkę podatku od osób prawnych w wysokości 15%. 💎 W 2024 r., pod przewodnictwem Brazylii, grupa **G20 rozważała wprowadzenie globalnego podatku w wysokości 2% od majątku miliarderów**. 🌐 W 2024 r. Zgromadzenie Ogólne ONZ przyjęło „Pakt dla przyszłości”, który przewiduje reformę Rady Bezpieczeństwa ONZ mającą na celu ograniczenie uprawnień jej pięciu stałych członków i rozszerzenie ich na nowych członków. 🔄 Inicjatywa Bridgetown, prowadzona przez premiera Barbadosu i wspierana przez Sekretarza Generalnego ONZ, dąży do stworzenia nowego systemu finansowego, który służyłby kierowaniu zasobów finansowych na działania na rzecz klimatu i zrównoważonego rozwoju.

info\_solidarity 以下の説明を注意深く読んでいただいたか確認するため、アンケートの後半で理解度に関する質問があります。 正解された方の中から抽選で$100が当たります。 複数の国際機関において、**各国は地球規模の課題に連帯して取り組むことに一定の合意を示しています**. 持続可能な開発に向けた具体的な制度を導入するための交渉が進められています。 以下はいくつかの具体例です: 🚢 **国際海事機関（IMO）は、海運業からの炭素排出の削減を計画しています**。2025年には排出量に対する**賦課金を導入する**可能性があり、**その収益の一部が低所得国の財政支援に充てられる**可能性があります。 📦 1970年以降、**先進国は自国のGDPの0.7％を対外援助および開発支援に拠出することに合意しています。** 🌱 国際的な気候交渉の中で、**先進国は開発途上国の気候変動対策を資金面で支援することを約束しています**。2009年には「2020年までに年間1,000億ドルを拠出する」と誓約し、2023年にはすべての国が、気候変動による損失と損害に対応するための基金設立に合意しました。2024年には、その目標額が「2035年までに年間3,000億ドル」に引き上げられました。 📈 2021年には、136か国が多国籍企業の利益に対する最低税率15％の導入に合意しました。 💎 2024年には、ブラジルの主導のもと、**G20は**、**億万長者の資産に対する**2%の**グローバル課税の導入を検討しました**。 🌐 同年、国連総会は「未来のための協定（Pact for the Future）」を採択し、国連安全保障理事会の改革（常任理事国5か国の権限を制限し、新たな加盟国を加える）を盛り込みました。 🔄 バルバドスの首相の主導と国連事務総長の支援のもと、ブリッジタウン構想（Bridgetown Initiative）は、気候変動対策と持続可能な開発に資金を向ける新たな国際金融システムの構築を目指しています。

info\_solidarity Para asegurarnos de que ha leído atentamente la descripción a continuación, le haremos algunas preguntas de comprensión más adelante en la encuesta: aquellos que respondan correctamente pueden ganar $100. En varias organizaciones internacionales  **los países han acordado demostrar cierto grado de solidaridad para abordar los desafíos globales.** Se están llevando a cabo negociaciones con el fin de implémentar mecanismos específicos para el desarrollo sostenible. A continuación se muestran algunos ejemplos: 🚢  **La Organización Marítima Internacional planea reducir las emisiones de carbono**  desde el transporte marítimo y puede **adoptar un impuesto** sobre dichas emisiones en 2025,  **que podrían financiar parcialmente a los países de bajos ingresos.**  📦 Desde 1970, **los países desarrollados acordaron contribuir con el 0,7% de su PIB en ayuda exterior** y asistencia al desarrollo. 🌱 En las negociaciones internacionales sobre el clima,  **los países desarrollados se han comprometido a financiar la acción climática en los países en desarrollo**. En 2009, se comprometieron a aportar 100.000 millones de dólares anuales para 2020. En 2023, todos los países acordaron establecer un fondo para ayudar a los países vulnerables a afrontar las pérdidas y los daños causados ​​por el cambio climático. En 2024, la meta de 100.000 millones de dólares se incrementó a 300.000 millones de dólares anuales para 2035. 📈 En 2021, 136 países adoptaron una tasa impositiva mínima del 15% sobre las ganancias de las multinacionales. 💎 En 2024, bajo el liderazgo de Brasil, **el G20 consideró la introducción de un impuesto global** del 2% **sobre** la riqueza **multimillonaria**. 🌐  En 2024, la Asamblea General de la ONU adoptó el Pacto para el Futuro, que prevé una reforma del Consejo de Seguridad de la ONU para limitar el poder de sus cinco miembros permanentes y ampliarlo a nuevos miembros. 🔄 Liderada por el Primer Ministro de Barbados y apoyada por el Secretario General de la ONU, la iniciativa de Bridgetown busca un nuevo sistema financiero que dirija los recursos financieros hacia la acción climática y el desarrollo sostenible.

info\_solidarity To ensure that you have attentively read the description below, we will ask some comprehension questions later in the survey: those who get correct answers can win $100. In several international organizations, **countries have agreed to demonstrate some degree of solidarity in addressing global challenges**. Negotiations are ongoing to implement specific mechanisms for sustainable development. Here are a few examples: 🚢 **The International Maritime Organization plans to reduce carbon emissions** from shipping and may **adopt a levy** on such emissions in 2025, **that could partly finance low-income countries**. 📦 Since 1970, **developed countries have agreed to contribute 0.7% of their GDP in foreign aid** and development assistance. 🌱 In international climate negotiations, **developed countries have committed to finance climate action in developing countries**. In 2009, they committed to provide $100 billion per year by 2020. In 2023, all countries agreed to set up a fund to help vulnerable countries cope with loss and damage from climate change. In 2024, the $100 billion goal was increased to $300 billion per year by 2035. 📈 In 2021, 136 countries adopted a minimum tax rate of 15% on multinational profits. 💎 In 2024, under the leadership of Brazil, **the G20 considered the introduction of a global tax** of 2% **on** the wealth of **billionaires**. 🌐 In 2024, the UN General Assembly adopted the Pact for the Future, which foresees a reform of the UN Security Council to limit the power of its five permanent member and expand it to new members. 🔄 Led by the Prime Minister of Barbados and supported by the UN Secretary General, the Bridgetown initiative seeks a new financial system that would drive financial resources towards climate action and sustainable development.

info\_solidarity Um sicherzustellen, dass Sie die nachfolgende Beschreibung aufmerksam gelesen haben, werden später in der Umfrage einige Verständnisfragen gestellt. Wer die richtigen Antworten gibt, kann $100 gewinnen. In mehreren internationalen Organisationen haben sich **Länder darauf geeinigt, Solidarität für die Bewältigung globaler Herausforderungen zu zeigen.** Die Verhandlungen zur Umsetzung spezifischer Mechanismen für nachhaltige Entwicklung sind im Gange. Hier sind einige Beispiele: 🚢 **Die Internationale Seeschifffahrtsorganisation (IMO) plant, die CO₂-Emissionen der Schifffahrt zu reduzieren** und erwägt 2025 eine **Abgabe** auf diese Emissionen einzuführen, **die teilweise einkommensschwache Länder finanziell unterstüzten könnte.** 📦 Seit 1970 haben sich **entwickelte Länder dazu verpflichtet, 0,7 % ihres BIPs für Auslandshilfe** und Entwicklungszusammenarbeit bereitzustellen. 🌱 In internationalen Klimaverhandlungen haben **sich entwickelte Länder verpflichtet, Klimaschutzmaßnahmen in Entwicklungsländern mitzufinanzieren**. Bereits 2009 wurde das Ziel formuliert, bis 2020 jährlich 100 Milliarden US-Dollar bereitzustellen. 2023 einigten sich alle Länder auf die Einrichtung eines Fonds, um gefährdete Länder bei der Bewältigung von durch Klimawandel verursachten Verlusten und Schäden zu unterstützen. 2024 wurde das jährliche Finanzierungsziel von 100 Milliarden US-Dollar auf 300 Milliarden US-Dollar bis 2035 erhöht. 📈 Im Jahr 2021 beschlossen 136 Staaten eine Mindeststeuer von 15 % auf die Gewinne multinationaler Konzerne. 💎 Im Jahr 2024 **erwog die G20** unter der Führung Brasiliens die **Einführung einer globalen Steuer** von 2 % **auf das Vermögen von Milliardären.** 🌐 Im Jahr 2024 verabschiedete die UN-Generalversammlung den „Pakt für die Zukunft“ (*Pact for the Future*), der eine Reform des UN-Sicherheitsrats vorsieht. Diese Reform soll die Macht der fünf ständigen Mitglieder begrenzen und den Rat um neue Mitglieder erweitern. 🔄 Unter der Führung der Premierministerin von Barbados und mit Unterstützung des UN-Generalsekretärs strebt die „Bridgetown-Initiative“ ein neues Finanzsystem an, das Finanzmittel verstärkt auf Klimaschutz und nachhaltige Entwicklung ausrichtet.

info\_solidarity Pour vérifier que vous avez lu attentivement la description ci-dessous, nous poserons quelques questions de compréhension plus tard dans l'enquête : ceux qui répondent correctement peuvent gagner $100. Dans plusieurs organisations internationales, les pays ont convenu d’une certaine solidarité pour traiter des défis mondiaux. Des négociations sont en cours pour mettre en œuvre des mécanismes spécifiques de développement durable. Voici quelques exemples : 🚢 **L'Organisation maritime internationale prévoit de réduire les émissions de carbone** liées au transport maritime et pourrait **adopter un prélèvement** sur ces émissions en 2025, **qui devrait financer en partie les pays à bas revenus**. 📦 Depuis 1970, **les pays développés se sont engagés à contribuer 0,7% de leur PIB à l'aide au développement**. 🌱 Dans les négociations internationales sur le climat, **les pays développés se sont engagés à financer l'action climatique dans les pays en développement**. En 2009, ils se sont engagés à fournir 100 milliards de dollars par an d’ici 2020. En 2023, tous les pays ont convenu de créer un fonds pour aider les pays vulnérables à faire face aux pertes et préjudices causés par le changement climatique. En 2024, l’objectif de 100 milliards de dollars a été porté à 300 milliards de dollars par an d’ici 2035. 📈 En 2021, 136 pays ont adopté un taux minimum de 15 % sur les profits des multinationales. 💎 En 2024, sous l'impulsion du Brésil, **le G20 a étudié l'instauration d'une taxe mondiale** de 2% **sur la fortune des milliardaires**. 🌐 En 2024, l'Assemblée générale de l'ONU a adopté le Pacte pour l'avenir, qui prévoit une réforme du Conseil de sécurité de l'ONU pour limiter le pouvoir de ses cinq membres permanents et l'élargir à de nouveaux membres. 🔄 Menée par la Première ministre de la Barbade et soutenue par le Secrétaire général de l'ONU, l'initiative Bridgetown vise un nouveau système financier qui orienterait les ressources financières vers l'action climatique et le développement durable.

info\_solidarity Per essere certi che tu abbia letto con attenzione la descrizione che segue, ti faremo delle domande di comprensione in seguito nel sondaggio: coloro i quali risponderanno correttamente potranno vincere $100. In diverse organizzazioni internazionali, **i Paesi hanno raggiunto un accordo per dimostrare un certo grado di solidarietà nell'affrontare sfide globali**. Sono in atto trattative per implementare meccanismi specifici per lo sviluppo sostenibile. Ecco alcuni esempi: 🚢 **L'organizzazione Marittima Internazionale ha in programma la riduzione delle emissioni di carbonio** dovute alla navigazione e potrebbe **introdurre un'imposta** su tali emissioni nel 2025, **che potrebbe parzialmente finanziare i Paesi a basso reddito**. 📦 A partire dal 1970, **i Paesi sviluppati hanno concordato di contribuire con lo 0.7% del loro PIL agli aiuti all'estero** e all'assistenza allo sviluppo. 🌱 Nei negoziati internazionali sul clima, **i Paesi sviluppati si sono impegnati a finanziare l'azione per il clima nei Paesi in via di sviluppo**. Nel 2009, hanno preso l'impegno di fornire $100 miliardi all'anno entro il 2020. Nel 2023, tutti i Paesi hanno raggiunto un accordo per creare un fondo per aiutare i Paesi vulnerabili nell'affrontare le perdite e i danni causati dal cambiamento climatico. Nel 2024, l'obiettivo dei $100 miliardi è stato incrementato a $300 miliardi all'anno entro il 2035. 📈 Nel 2021, 136 Paesi hanno adottato un’aliquota minima di imposta del 15% sui profitti delle multinazionali. 💎 Nel 2024, sotto la guida del Brasile, **il G20 ha considerato l'introduzione di un'imposta globale** del 2% **sulla** ricchezza dei **miliardari**. 🌐 Nel 2024, l'Assemblea Generale delle Nazioni Unite ha approvato il Patto per il Futuro, che prevede una riforma del Consiglio di Sicurezza delle Nazioni Unite per limitare il potere dei suoi cinque membri permanenti ed espandere tale potere ai nuovi membri. 🔄 Guidata dal Primo Ministro delle Barbados e sostenuta dal Segretario Generale delle Nazioni Unite, l'iniziativa Bridgetown mira a un nuovo sistema finanziario che indirizzi le risorse finanziarie verso azioni per il clima e uno sviluppo sostenibile.

info\_solidarity To ensure that you have attentively read the description below, we will ask some comprehension questions later in the survey: those who get correct answers can win $100. In several international organizations, **countries have agreed to demonstrate some degree of solidarity in addressing global challenges**. Negotiations are ongoing to implement specific mechanisms for sustainable development. Here are a few examples: 🚢 **The International Maritime Organization plans to reduce carbon emissions** from shipping and may **adopt a levy** on such emissions in 2025, **that could partly finance low-income countries**. 📦 Since 1970, **developed countries have agreed to contribute 0.7% of their GDP in foreign aid** and development assistance. 🌱 In international climate negotiations, **developed countries have committed to finance climate action in developing countries**. In 2009, they committed to provide $100 billion per year by 2020. In 2023, all countries agreed to set up a fund to help vulnerable countries cope with loss and damage from climate change. In 2024, the $100 billion goal was increased to $300 billion per year by 2035. 📈 In 2021, 136 countries adopted a minimum tax rate of 15% on multinational profits. 💎 In 2024, under the leadership of Brazil, **the G20 considered the introduction of a global tax** of 2% **on** the wealth of **billionaires**. 🌐 In 2024, the UN General Assembly adopted the Pact for the Future, which foresees a reform of the UN Security Council to limit the power of its five permanent member and expand it to new members. 🔄 Led by the Prime Minister of Barbados and supported by the UN Secretary General, the Bridgetown initiative seeks a new financial system that would drive financial resources towards climate action and sustainable development.

info\_solidarity To ensure that you have attentively read the description below, we will ask some comprehension questions later in the survey: those who get correct answers can win $100. In several international organizations, **countries have agreed to demonstrate some degree of solidarity in addressing global challenges**. Negotiations are ongoing to implement specific mechanisms for sustainable development. Here are a few examples: 🚢 **The International Maritime Organization plans to reduce carbon emissions** from shipping and may **adopt a levy** on such emissions in 2025, **that could partly finance low-income countries**. 📦 Since 1970, **developed countries have agreed to contribute 0.7% of their GDP in foreign aid** and development assistance. 🌱 In international climate negotiations, **developed countries have committed to finance climate action in developing countries**. In 2009, they committed to provide $100 billion per year by 2020. In 2023, all countries agreed to set up a fund to help vulnerable countries cope with loss and damage from climate change. In 2024, the $100 billion goal was increased to $300 billion per year by 2035. 📈 In 2021, 136 countries adopted a minimum tax rate of 15% on multinational profits. 💎 In 2024, under the leadership of Brazil, **the G20 considered the introduction of a global tax** of 2% **on** the wealth of **billionaires**. 🌐 In 2024, the UN General Assembly adopted the Pact for the Future, which foresees a reform of the UN Security Council to limit the power of its five permanent member and expand it to new members. 🔄 Led by the Prime Minister of Barbados and supported by the UN Secretary General, the Bridgetown initiative seeks a new financial system that would drive financial resources towards climate action and sustainable development.
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likely\_solidarity According to you, how likely is it that international policies involving significant transfers from high-income countries to low-income countries will be introduced in the next 15 years?

* Very unlikely (1)
* Unlikely (2)
* Likely (3)
* Very likely (4)

likely\_solidarity According to you, how likely is it that international policies involving significant transfers from high-income countries to low-income countries will be introduced in the next 15 years?

* Very unlikely (1)
* Unlikely (2)
* Likely (3)
* Very likely (4)

likely\_solidarity According to you, how likely is it that international policies involving significant transfers from high-income countries to low-income countries will be introduced in the next 15 years?

* Very unlikely (1)
* Unlikely (2)
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likely\_solidarity According to you, how likely is it that international policies involving significant transfers from high-income countries to low-income countries will be introduced in the next 15 years?

* Very unlikely (1)
* Unlikely (2)
* Likely (3)
* Very likely (4)

likely\_solidarity According to you, how likely is it that international policies involving significant transfers from high-income countries to low-income countries will be introduced in the next 15 years?

* Very unlikely (1)
* Unlikely (2)
* Likely (3)
* Very likely (4)

برأيك، ما مدى احتمالية تبني سياسات دولية تؤدي إلى زيادة ملحوظة في المنح والهبات المُقدمة من الدول الغنية إلى الدول ذات الدخل المنخفض خلال الأعوام الخمسة عشر المقبلة؟ likely\_solidarity

* (1) أستبعد حدوث ذلك
* (2) من غير المحتمل حدوث ذلك
* (3) من المحتمل حدوث ذلك
* (4) من المرجح للغاية حدوث ذلك

likely\_solidarity Na ile jest Pana/Pani zdaniem prawdopodobne, że w ciągu najbliższych 15 lat zostanie wprowadzona międzynarodowa polityka obejmująca znaczne transfery środków z krajów o wysokim dochodzie do krajów o niskim dochodzie?

* Bardzo mało prawdopodobne (1)
* Mało prawdopodobne (2)
* Prawdopodobne (3)
* Bardzo prawdopodobne (4)

likely\_solidarity あなたの考えでは、今後15年のうちに、高所得国から低所得国への大規模な資金移転を伴う国際政策が導入される可能性はどの程度あると思いますか？

* 非常に低い (1)
* 低い (2)
* 高い (3)
* 非常に高い (4)

likely\_solidarity En su opinión, ¿qué probabilidad hay de que en los próximos 15 años se introduzcan políticas internacionales que impliquen transferencias significativas de países de altos ingresos a países de bajos ingresos?

* Muy Improbable (1)
* Improbable (2)
* Probable (3)
* Muy probable (4)

likely\_solidarity According to you, how likely is it that international policies involving significant transfers from high-income countries to low-income countries will be introduced in the next 15 years?

* Very unlikely (1)
* Unlikely (2)
* Likely (3)
* Very likely (4)

likely\_solidarity Für wie wahrscheinlich halten Sie es, dass in den nächsten 15 Jahren internationale politische Maßnahmen eingeführt werden, die erhebliche Finanztransfers von wohlhabenden an einkommensschwache Länder vorsehen?

* Sehr unwahrscheinlich (1)
* Unwahrscheinlich (2)
* Wahrscheinlich (3)
* Sehr wahrscheinlich (4)

likely\_solidarity Selon vous, est-il probable ou non que des politiques internationales impliquant des transferts significatifs des pays à hauts revenus vers les pays à bas revenus soient mises en œuvre dans les 15 prochaines années ?

* Très peu probable (1)
* Peu probable (2)
* Probable (3)
* Très probable (4)

likely\_solidarity Secondo te, quanto è probabile che nei prossimi 15 anni vengano introdotte politiche internazionali che prevedano trasferimenti significativi dai Paesi a elevato reddito a quelli a basso reddito?

* Molto improbabile (1)
* Improbabile (2)
* Probabile (3)
* Molto probabile (4)

likely\_solidarity According to you, how likely is it that international policies involving significant transfers from high-income countries to low-income countries will be introduced in the next 15 years?

* Very unlikely (1)
* Unlikely (2)
* Likely (3)
* Very likely (4)

likely\_solidarity According to you, how likely is it that international policies involving significant transfers from high-income countries to low-income countries will be introduced in the next 15 years?

* Very unlikely (1)
* Unlikely (2)
* Likely (3)
* Very likely (4)

Display this question:

If long > 0.42

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |

solidarity\_support Do you support or oppose the following policies? [developed\_note\_long: (As some items refer to "developed countries", note that we consider Saudi Arabia to be a developed country in this question.)]

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Strongly oppose (1) | Somewhat oppose (2) | Indifferent (3) | Somewhat support (4) | Strongly support (5) |
| A minimum tax of 2% on the wealth of billionaires, in voluntary countries (11) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Raising the globally agreed minimum tax rate on profits of multinational firms from 15% to 35%, closing loopholes and allocating revenues to countries where sales are made (5) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Expanding the UN Security Council (in charge of peacekeeping) to new permanent members such as India, Brazil, and the African Union, and restricting the use of the veto (4) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Developed countries contributing at least 0.7% of their GDP in foreign aid and development assistance (10) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt relief for vulnerable countries by suspending repayments until they are better able to repay, promoting their development (6) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Institutions like the World Bank investing in many more sustainable projects in lower-income countries, and offering lower interest rates (the Bridgetown initiative) (1) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Developed countries financing a fund to help vulnerable countries cope with loss and damage from climate change (2) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Developed countries providing $300 billion a year (0.4% of their GDP) to finance climate action in developing countries (9) |  |  |  |  |  |
| An international levy on carbon emissions from shipping, funding national budgets in proportion to population (7) |  |  |  |  |  |
| An international levy on carbon emissions from aviation, raising ticket prices by 30% and funding national budgets in proportion to population (8) |  |  |  |  |  |

solidarity\_support Do you support or oppose the following policies? [developed\_note\_long: (As some items refer to "developed countries", note that we consider Saudi Arabia to be a developed country in this question.)]

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Strongly oppose (1) | Somewhat oppose (2) | Indifferent (3) | Somewhat support (4) | Strongly support (5) |
| A minimum tax of 2% on the wealth of billionaires, in voluntary countries (11) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Raising the globally agreed minimum tax rate on profits of multinational firms from 15% to 35%, closing loopholes and allocating revenues to countries where sales are made (5) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Expanding the UN Security Council (in charge of peacekeeping) to new permanent members such as India, Brazil, and the African Union, and restricting the use of the veto (4) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Developed countries contributing at least 0.7% of their GDP in foreign aid and development assistance (10) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt relief for vulnerable countries by suspending repayments until they are better able to repay, promoting their development (6) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Institutions like the World Bank investing in many more sustainable projects in lower-income countries, and offering lower interest rates (the Bridgetown initiative) (1) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Developed countries financing a fund to help vulnerable countries cope with loss and damage from climate change (2) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Developed countries providing $300 billion a year (0.4% of their GDP) to finance climate action in developing countries (9) |  |  |  |  |  |
| An international levy on carbon emissions from shipping, funding national budgets in proportion to population (7) |  |  |  |  |  |
| An international levy on carbon emissions from aviation, raising ticket prices by 30% and funding national budgets in proportion to population (8) |  |  |  |  |  |

solidarity\_support Do you support or oppose the following policies? [developed\_note\_long: (As some items refer to "developed countries", note that we consider Saudi Arabia to be a developed country in this question.)]

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Strongly oppose (1) | Somewhat oppose (2) | Indifferent (3) | Somewhat support (4) | Strongly support (5) |
| A minimum tax of 2% on the wealth of billionaires, in voluntary countries (11) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Raising the globally agreed minimum tax rate on profits of multinational firms from 15% to 35%, closing loopholes and allocating revenues to countries where sales are made (5) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Expanding the UN Security Council (in charge of peacekeeping) to new permanent members such as India, Brazil, and the African Union, and restricting the use of the veto (4) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Developed countries contributing at least 0.7% of their GDP in foreign aid and development assistance (10) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt relief for vulnerable countries by suspending repayments until they are better able to repay, promoting their development (6) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Institutions like the World Bank investing in many more sustainable projects in lower-income countries, and offering lower interest rates (the Bridgetown initiative) (1) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Developed countries financing a fund to help vulnerable countries cope with loss and damage from climate change (2) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Developed countries providing $300 billion a year (0.4% of their GDP) to finance climate action in developing countries (9) |  |  |  |  |  |
| An international levy on carbon emissions from shipping, funding national budgets in proportion to population (7) |  |  |  |  |  |
| An international levy on carbon emissions from aviation, raising ticket prices by 30% and funding national budgets in proportion to population (8) |  |  |  |  |  |

solidarity\_support Do you support or oppose the following policies? [developed\_note\_long: (As some items refer to "developed countries", note that we consider Saudi Arabia to be a developed country in this question.)]

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Strongly oppose (1) | Somewhat oppose (2) | Indifferent (3) | Somewhat support (4) | Strongly support (5) |
| A minimum tax of 2% on the wealth of billionaires, in voluntary countries (11) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Raising the globally agreed minimum tax rate on profits of multinational firms from 15% to 35%, closing loopholes and allocating revenues to countries where sales are made (5) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Expanding the UN Security Council (in charge of peacekeeping) to new permanent members such as India, Brazil, and the African Union, and restricting the use of the veto (4) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Developed countries contributing at least 0.7% of their GDP in foreign aid and development assistance (10) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt relief for vulnerable countries by suspending repayments until they are better able to repay, promoting their development (6) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Institutions like the World Bank investing in many more sustainable projects in lower-income countries, and offering lower interest rates (the Bridgetown initiative) (1) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Developed countries financing a fund to help vulnerable countries cope with loss and damage from climate change (2) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Developed countries providing $300 billion a year (0.4% of their GDP) to finance climate action in developing countries (9) |  |  |  |  |  |
| An international levy on carbon emissions from shipping, funding national budgets in proportion to population (7) |  |  |  |  |  |
| An international levy on carbon emissions from aviation, raising ticket prices by 30% and funding national budgets in proportion to population (8) |  |  |  |  |  |

solidarity\_support Do you support or oppose the following policies? [developed\_note\_long: (As some items refer to "developed countries", note that we consider Saudi Arabia to be a developed country in this question.)]

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Strongly oppose (1) | Somewhat oppose (2) | Indifferent (3) | Somewhat support (4) | Strongly support (5) |
| A minimum tax of 2% on the wealth of billionaires, in voluntary countries (11) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Raising the globally agreed minimum tax rate on profits of multinational firms from 15% to 35%, closing loopholes and allocating revenues to countries where sales are made (5) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Expanding the UN Security Council (in charge of peacekeeping) to new permanent members such as India, Brazil, and the African Union, and restricting the use of the veto (4) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Developed countries contributing at least 0.7% of their GDP in foreign aid and development assistance (10) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt relief for vulnerable countries by suspending repayments until they are better able to repay, promoting their development (6) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Institutions like the World Bank investing in many more sustainable projects in lower-income countries, and offering lower interest rates (the Bridgetown initiative) (1) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Developed countries financing a fund to help vulnerable countries cope with loss and damage from climate change (2) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Developed countries providing $300 billion a year (0.4% of their GDP) to finance climate action in developing countries (9) |  |  |  |  |  |
| An international levy on carbon emissions from shipping, funding national budgets in proportion to population (7) |  |  |  |  |  |
| An international levy on carbon emissions from aviation, raising ticket prices by 30% and funding national budgets in proportion to population (8) |  |  |  |  |  |

هل أنت مع أم ضد السياسات التالية؟ [developed\_note\_long: (As some items refer to "developed countries", note that we consider Saudi Arabia to be a developed country in this question.)] solidarity\_support

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| (5) أدعم بشدة | (4) أدعم إلى حد ما | (3) غير مهتم | (2) أعارض إلى حد ما | (1) أعارض بشدة |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | (11) فرض ضريبة لا تقل عن 2% على ثروات المليارديرات في الدول التي تقبل تطبيقها طوعيًا |
|  |  |  |  |  | (5) رفع الحد الأدنى المتفق عليه عالميًا لضريبة أرباح الشركات متعددة الجنسيات من 15% إلى 35%، وسد الثغرات القانونية وتخصيص العائدات لصالح الدول التي تبيع فيها تلك الشركات منتجاتها. |
|  |  |  |  |  | (4) زيادة عدد أعضاء مجلس الأمن التابع للأمم المتحدة (المسؤول عن حفظ السلام) ليشمل أعضاء دائمين جدد كالهند والبرازيل والاتحاد الافريقي، وتقييد استخدام حق النقض الفيتو من قِبل أعضائه |
|  |  |  |  |  | (10) تخصيص الدول المتقدمة لنسبة 0.7% من إجمالي ناتجها القومي للمساهمة في برامج المساعدات والتنمية الخارجية |
|  |  |  |  |  | (6) تخفيف أعباء الديون على الدول الفقيرة عن طريق تعليق سداد الديون حتى تصبح تلك الدول أكثر قدرة على الوفاء بالتزاماتها، وتعزيز تنميتها |
|  |  |  |  |  | (1) استثمار مؤسسات مثل البنك الدولي في العديد من المشاريع الأكثر استدامة في البلدان ذات الدخل المنخفض، وتقديم أسعار فائدة منخفضة (مبادرة بريدج تاون) |
|  |  |  |  |  | (2) تمويل الدول المتقدمة لصندوق يهدف إلى مساعدة الدول الفقيرة على مواجهة الخسائر والأضرار الناجمة عن التغيرات المناخية |
|  |  |  |  |  | (9) التزام الدول المتقدمة بتوفير 300 مليار دولار أمريكي سنويًا (0.4% من ناتجها المحلي الإجمالي) لتمويل إجراءات الحد من مخاطر التغيرات المناخية في الدول النامية |
|  |  |  |  |  | (7) فرض ضريبة دولية على انبعاثات الكربون الناجمة عن عمليات الشحن البحري، وتمويل ميزانيات الدول بما يتناسب مع عدد سكانها |
|  |  |  |  |  | (8) فرض ضريبة دولية على انبعاثات الكربون الناتجة عن قطاع الطيران، وزيادة أسعار الرحلات الجوية بنسبة 30%، وتمويل ميزانيات الدول بما يتناسب مع عدد سكانها |

solidarity\_support Czy popiera Pan/Pani następujące inicjatywy, czy też jest Pan/Pani im przeciwny(-a)? (Ponieważ niektóre pozycje odnoszą się do „krajów rozwiniętych”, proszę wziąć pod uwagę, że Polska należy do krajów rozwiniętych).

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Jestem zdecydowanie przeciw (1) | Jestem raczej przeciw (2) | Nie mam zdania (3) | Jestem raczej za (4) | Jestem zdecydowanie za (5) |
| Minimalny podatek w wysokości 2% od majątku miliarderów w uczestniczących krajach (11) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Podniesienie globalnie uzgodnionej minimalnej stawki podatku od zysków międzynarodowych firm z 15% do 35%, zlikwidowanie luk prawnych i przekazywanie przychodów do krajów, w których dokonywana jest sprzedaż (5) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rozszerzenie Rady Bezpieczeństwa ONZ na nowych stałych członków, takich jak Indie, Brazylia i Unia Afrykańska, a także ograniczenie stosowania weta (4) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zobowiązanie krajów rozwiniętych do przekazywania 0,7% swojego PKB na pomoc zagraniczną i rozwojową (10) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wsparcie dla rozwoju krajów znajdujących się w trudnej sytuacji poprzez redukcję ich zadłużenia w formie zawieszenia spłat do czasu, gdy spłata długu będzie możliwa (6) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Globalne instytucje finansowe inwestujące w wiele bardziej zrównoważonych projektów w krajach o niższych dochodach i oferujące niższe stopy procentowe (inicjatywa Bridgetown) (1) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fundusz finansowany przez kraje rozwinięte, mający na celu niesienie pomocy krajom znajdującym się w trudnej sytuacji w radzeniu sobie ze stratami i szkodami wynikającymi ze zmian klimatycznych (2) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Przekazywanie 300 miliardów USD (0,4% PKB) rocznie przez kraje rozwinięte na finansowanie działań na rzecz klimatu w krajach rozwijających się (9) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Międzynarodowa opłata za emisję dwutlenku węgla pochodzącą z żeglugi, dofinansowująca budżety państw proporcjonalnie do liczby ludności (7) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Międzynarodowa opłata za emisję dwutlenku węgla pochodzącą z lotnictwa, podwyższająca ceny biletów lotniczych o 30% i dofinansowująca budżety krajowe proporcjonalnie do liczby ludności (8) |  |  |  |  |  |

solidarity\_support 以下の政策について、あなたは賛成ですか、反対ですか？ [developed\_note\_long: (As some items refer to "developed countries", note that we consider Saudi Arabia to be a developed country in this question.)]

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 強く反対 (1) | やや反対 (2) | どちらともいえない (3) | やや賛成 (4) | 強く賛成 (5) |
| 自発的に参加する国では億万長者の資産に最低2%の税金を課す (11) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 多国籍企業の利益に対する国際的な最低法人税率を15%から35%に引き上げ、抜け道をふさぎ、売上が発生した国に税収を配分する (5) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 国連安全保障理事会（平和維持活動を担当）に、インド、ブラジル、アフリカ連合などを新たな常任理事国として加え、拒否権の行使を制限する (4) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 先進国は、自国のGDPの少なくとも0.7%を対外援助および開発支援として拠出する (10) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 脆弱な国々の債務を軽減し、返済能力が向上するまで返済を一時停止し、その発展を促進する。 (6) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 世界銀行などの国際機関は、低所得国においてより多くの持続可能なプロジェクトに投資し、低金利で融資を行う（ブリッジタウン構想） (1) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 先進国は気候変動による損失と被害に対処する脆弱国を支援する基金に資金を提供する (2) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 先進国が、開発途上国の気候対策を支援するために年間3,000億ドル（自国のGDPの0.4％）を拠出する (9) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 船舶からの炭素排出に対して国際的な課金を行い、収益を人口に応じて各国の国家予算に配分する (7) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 航空からの炭素排出に対して国際的な課金を行い、航空券価格を30%引き上げ、収益を人口に応じて各国の国家予算に配分する (8) |  |  |  |  |  |

solidarity\_support ¿Está a favor o en contra de las siguientes políticas? [developed\_note\_long: (As some items refer to "developed countries", note that we consider Saudi Arabia to be a developed country in this question.)]

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Totalmente en contra (1) | Levemente en contra (2) | Indiferente o no sabe (3) | Levemente a favor (4) | Totalmente a favor (5) |
| Un impuesto mínimo del 2% sobre la riqueza de los multimillonarios, en los países voluntarios. (11) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aumentar el impuesto mínimo acordado en todo el mundo sobre los beneficios de las empresas multinacionales del 15% al 35%, cerrando las brechas y asignando los ingresos a los países donde se realizan las ventas. (5) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ampliar el Consejo de Seguridad de la ONU (encargado del mantenimiento de la paz) a nuevos miembros permanentes como India, Brasil y la Unión Africana, y restringir el uso del veto. (4) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Países desarrollados que aportan al menos el 0,7% de su PIB en ayuda exterior y asistencia para el desarrollo. (10) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alivio de la deuda para los países vulnerables mediante la suspensión de los pagos hasta que tengan mayor capacidad de pago, promoviendo así su desarrollo. (6) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Instituciones como el Banco Mundial invierten en muchos más proyectos sostenibles en países de bajos ingresos y ofrecen tasas de interés más bajas (la iniciativa de Bridgetown) (1) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Los países desarrollados financian un fondo para ayudar a los países vulnerables a afrontar las pérdidas y los daños causados ​​por el cambio climático. (2) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Los países desarrollados aportan 300.000 millones de dólares al año (0,4% de su PIB) para financiar la acción climática en los países en desarrollo. (9) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Un impuesto internacional sobre las emisiones de carbono del transporte marítimo, que financiaría los presupuestos de los países en proporción a su población. (7) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Un impuesto internacional sobre las emisiones de carbono de la aviación, que aumente el precio de los vuelos en un 30% y financie los presupuestos de los países en proporción a su población. (8) |  |  |  |  |  |

solidarity\_support Do you support or oppose the following policies? [developed\_note\_long: (As some items refer to "developed countries", note that we consider Saudi Arabia to be a developed country in this question.)]

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Strongly oppose (1) | Somewhat oppose (2) | Indifferent (3) | Somewhat support (4) | Strongly support (5) |
| A minimum tax of 2% on the wealth of billionaires, in voluntary countries (11) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Raising the globally agreed minimum tax rate on profits of multinational firms from 15% to 35%, closing loopholes and allocating revenues to countries where sales are made (5) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Expanding the UN Security Council (in charge of peacekeeping) to new permanent members such as India, Brazil, and the African Union, and restricting the use of the veto (4) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Developed countries contributing at least 0.7% of their GDP in foreign aid and development assistance (10) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt relief for vulnerable countries by suspending repayments until they are better able to repay, promoting their development (6) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Institutions like the World Bank investing in many more sustainable projects in lower-income countries, and offering lower interest rates (the Bridgetown initiative) (1) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Developed countries financing a fund to help vulnerable countries cope with loss and damage from climate change (2) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Developed countries providing $300 billion a year (0.4% of their GDP) to finance climate action in developing countries (9) |  |  |  |  |  |
| An international levy on carbon emissions from shipping, funding national budgets in proportion to population (7) |  |  |  |  |  |
| An international levy on carbon emissions from aviation, raising ticket prices by 30% and funding national budgets in proportion to population (8) |  |  |  |  |  |

solidarity\_support Befürworten oder lehnen Sie die folgenden Maßnahmen ab? [developed\_note\_long: (As some items refer to "developed countries", note that we consider Saudi Arabia to be a developed country in this question.)]

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Sehr dagegen (1) | Eher dagegen (2) | Keine Meinung (3) | Eher dafür (4) | Sehr dafür (5) |
| Eine 2% Mindestvermögensteuer für Milliardäre in freiwillig teilnehmenden Ländern (11) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Anhebung des global vereinbarten Mindeststeuersatzes auf Unternehmensgewinne multinationaler Konzerne von 15 % auf 35 %, so dass Steuerschlupflöcher geschlossen und Einnahmen an die Länder verteilt werden, wo die Verkäufe stattfanden. (5) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ausweitung des UN-Sicherheitsrats (zuständig für Friedenssicherung) um neue ständige Mitglieder wie Indien, Brasilien und die Afrikanische Union, sowie eine Begrenzung des Vetorechts der Mitglieder (4) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Entwickelte Länder stellen mindestens 0,7 % ihres BIPs für Auslandshilfe und Entwicklungszusammenarbeit bereit (10) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Schuldenerlass für vulnerable Länder durch Aussetzung der Rückzahlungen, bis diese finanziell stabiler sind, um ihre Entwicklung zu fördern (6) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Institutionen wie die Weltbank investieren verstärkt in nachhaltige Projekte in einkommensschwachen Ländern und bieten niedrigere Zinssätze an (Bridgetown-Initiative) (1) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Entwickelte Länder finanzieren einen Fonds, um vulnerable Länder bei der Bewältigung der von Klimawandel verursachten Verlusten und Schäden zu unterstützen (2) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Entwickelte Länder stellen jährlich 300 Milliarden US-Dollar (0,4 % ihres BIP) zur Finanzierung von Klimaschutzmaßnahmen in Entwicklungsländern bereit (9) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eine globale Abgabe auf CO₂-Emissionen aus dem Seegüterverkehr, deren Einnahmen proportional zur Bevölkerungszahl in nationale Budgets fließen (7) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eine globale Abgabe auf CO₂-Emissionen aus dem Luftverkehr, die Ticketpreise um 30 % erhöht und deren Einnahmen proportional zur Bevölkerungszahl in nationale Budgets fließen (8) |  |  |  |  |  |

solidarity\_support Êtes-vous favorable ou opposé⋅e aux mesures politiques suivantes ?

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Très opposé⋅e (1) | Plutôt opposé (2) | Indifférent⋅e ou ne sais pas (3) | Plutôt favorable (4) | Très favorable (5) |
| Un impôt minimum de 2% sur la fortune des milliardaires, dans les pays volontaires (11) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Augmenter de 15% à 35% le taux minimum d'imposition des profits des multinationales adopté au niveau mondial, éliminer les lacunes juridiques et allouer les recettes aux pays où les ventes sont réalisées (5) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Élargir le Conseil de sécurité de l'ONU (chargé du maintien de la paix) à de nouveaux membres permanents tels que l'Inde, le Brésil et l'Union africaine, et y restreindre l'usage du droit de veto (4) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Que les pays développés contribuent au moins 0,7% de leur PIB à l’aide au développement des pays à bas revenus (10) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alléger la dette des pays vulnérables en suspendant les remboursements jusqu'à ce qu'ils soient davantage en mesure de rembourser, favorisant ainsi leur développement (6) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Des investissements d'institutions comme la Banque mondiale dans bien plus de projets de développement durables dans les pays à bas revenus, et à des taux d'intérêt plus bas (l'initiative de Bridgetown) (1) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Le financement par les pays développés d'un fonds pour aider les pays vulnérables à faire face aux pertes et préjudices causés par le changement climatique (2) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Que les pays développés fournissent 300 milliards de dollars par an (0,4% de leur PIB) pour financer l'action climatique dans les pays en développement (9) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Un prélèvement sur les émissions de CO2 du transport maritime, finançant les budgets des pays en proportion de leur population (7) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Un prélèvement sur les émissions de CO2 de l'aviation, augmentant le prix des vols de 30 % et finançant les budgets des pays en proportion de leur population (8) |  |  |  |  |  |

solidarity\_support Sei a favore o ti opponi alle seguenti politiche? [developed\_note\_long: (Poiché alcune voci fanno riferimento ai "paesi sviluppati", si noti che in questa domanda consideriamo l'Arabia Saudita un paese sviluppato.)]

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Fortemente contrario (1) | In parte contrario (2) | Indifferente (3) | In parte d'accordo (4) | Fortemente d'accordo (5) |
| Un'imposta minima del 2% sulla ricchezza dei miliardari, nei Paesi che aderiscono su base volontaria (11) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aumentare l’aliquota fiscale minima concordata a livello globale sui profitti delle multinazionali dal 15% al ​​35%, eliminando le scappatoie fiscali e ripartendo le entrate fiscali ai Paesi nei quali sono avvenute le vendite (5) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ampliare il Consiglio di Sicurezza delle Nazioni Unite (responsabile del mantenimento della pace) a nuovi membri permanenti come l'India, il Brasile e l'Unione Africana, e limitare l'uso del potere di veto (4) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Un contributo da parte dei Paesi sviluppati di almeno lo 0,7% del loro PIL da destinare agli aiuti all'estero e all'assistenza allo sviluppo (10) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alleggerimento del debito per i Paesi vulnerabili attraverso la sospensione dei rimborsi dovuti fino a quando non saranno maggiormente in grado di ripagarli, promuovendo il loro sviluppo (6) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Istituzioni come la Banca Mondiale che investano in molti più progetti sostenibili nei Paesi a basso reddito e offrano tassi di interesse più bassi (l'iniziativa Bridgetown) (1) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Finanziamento da parte dei Paesi sviluppati di un fondo per aiutare i Paesi vulnerabili a far fronte alle perdite e ai danni causati dal cambiamento climatico (2) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fornitura da parte dei Paesi sviluppati di 300 miliardi di dollari all’anno (lo 0,4% del loro PIL) per finanziare l’azione per il clima nei Paesi in via di sviluppo (9) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Un'imposta internazionale sulle emissioni di carbonio derivanti dal trasporto marittimo, che finanzi i bilanci nazionali in proporzione alla popolazione (7) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Un'imposta internazionale sulle emissioni di carbonio derivanti dal trasporto aereo, che aumenterebbe il prezzo dei biglietti del 30% e finanzierebbe i bilanci nazionali in proporzione alla popolazione (8) |  |  |  |  |  |

solidarity\_support Do you support or oppose the following policies? [developed\_note\_long: (As some items refer to "developed countries", note that we consider Saudi Arabia to be a developed country in this question.)]

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Strongly oppose (1) | Somewhat oppose (2) | Indifferent (3) | Somewhat support (4) | Strongly support (5) |
| A minimum tax of 2% on the wealth of billionaires, in voluntary countries (11) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Raising the globally agreed minimum tax rate on profits of multinational firms from 15% to 35%, closing loopholes and allocating revenues to countries where sales are made (5) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Expanding the UN Security Council (in charge of peacekeeping) to new permanent members such as India, Brazil, and the African Union, and restricting the use of the veto (4) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Developed countries contributing at least 0.7% of their GDP in foreign aid and development assistance (10) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt relief for vulnerable countries by suspending repayments until they are better able to repay, promoting their development (6) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Institutions like the World Bank investing in many more sustainable projects in lower-income countries, and offering lower interest rates (the Bridgetown initiative) (1) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Developed countries financing a fund to help vulnerable countries cope with loss and damage from climate change (2) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Developed countries providing $300 billion a year (0.4% of their GDP) to finance climate action in developing countries (9) |  |  |  |  |  |
| An international levy on carbon emissions from shipping, funding national budgets in proportion to population (7) |  |  |  |  |  |
| An international levy on carbon emissions from aviation, raising ticket prices by 30% and funding national budgets in proportion to population (8) |  |  |  |  |  |

solidarity\_support Do you support or oppose the following policies? [developed\_note\_long: (As some items refer to "developed countries", note that we consider Saudi Arabia to be a developed country in this question.)]

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Strongly oppose (1) | Somewhat oppose (2) | Indifferent (3) | Somewhat support (4) | Strongly support (5) |
| A minimum tax of 2% on the wealth of billionaires, in voluntary countries (11) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Raising the globally agreed minimum tax rate on profits of multinational firms from 15% to 35%, closing loopholes and allocating revenues to countries where sales are made (5) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Expanding the UN Security Council (in charge of peacekeeping) to new permanent members such as India, Brazil, and the African Union, and restricting the use of the veto (4) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Developed countries contributing at least 0.7% of their GDP in foreign aid and development assistance (10) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt relief for vulnerable countries by suspending repayments until they are better able to repay, promoting their development (6) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Institutions like the World Bank investing in many more sustainable projects in lower-income countries, and offering lower interest rates (the Bridgetown initiative) (1) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Developed countries financing a fund to help vulnerable countries cope with loss and damage from climate change (2) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Developed countries providing $300 billion a year (0.4% of their GDP) to finance climate action in developing countries (9) |  |  |  |  |  |
| An international levy on carbon emissions from shipping, funding national budgets in proportion to population (7) |  |  |  |  |  |
| An international levy on carbon emissions from aviation, raising ticket prices by 30% and funding national budgets in proportion to population (8) |  |  |  |  |  |

End of Block: Warm glow - realism

Start of Block: NCQG

Display this question:

If variant\_ncqg\_maritime = 0

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |

maritime\_split This year, to meet the global climate targets, the International Maritime Organization is designing a global levy on shipping carbon emissions. **According to you, what percentage of the revenue from a maritime fuel levy should be allocated to each category below?** The total must be 100%.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Fostering sustainable transition in the least developed countries and small islands states () |  |
| Return revenues to shipping companies to prevent increases in shipping costs () |  |
| Finance research, development and deployment for zero-emission fuels and ships () |  |

maritime\_split This year, to meet the global climate targets, the International Maritime Organization is designing a global levy on shipping carbon emissions. **According to you, what percentage of the revenue from a maritime fuel levy should be allocated to each category below?** The total must be 100%.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Fostering sustainable transition in the least developed countries and small islands states () |  |
| Return revenues to shipping companies to prevent increases in shipping costs () |  |
| Finance research, development and deployment for zero-emission fuels and ships () |  |

maritime\_split This year, to meet the global climate targets, the International Maritime Organization is designing a global levy on shipping carbon emissions. **According to you, what percentage of the revenue from a maritime fuel levy should be allocated to each category below?** The total must be 100%.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Fostering sustainable transition in the least developed countries and small islands states () |  |
| Return revenues to shipping companies to prevent increases in shipping costs () |  |
| Finance research, development and deployment for zero-emission fuels and ships () |  |

maritime\_split This year, to meet the global climate targets, the International Maritime Organization is designing a global levy on shipping carbon emissions. **According to you, what percentage of the revenue from a maritime fuel levy should be allocated to each category below?** The total must be 100%.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Fostering sustainable transition in the least developed countries and small islands states () |  |
| Return revenues to shipping companies to prevent increases in shipping costs () |  |
| Finance research, development and deployment for zero-emission fuels and ships () |  |

maritime\_split This year, to meet the global climate targets, the International Maritime Organization is designing a global levy on shipping carbon emissions. **According to you, what percentage of the revenue from a maritime fuel levy should be allocated to each category below?** The total must be 100%.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Fostering sustainable transition in the least developed countries and small islands states () |  |
| Return revenues to shipping companies to prevent increases in shipping costs () |  |
| Finance research, development and deployment for zero-emission fuels and ships () |  |

تخطط المؤسسة البحرية الدولية هذا العام لتطبيق ضريبة عالمية على انبعاثات الكربون الناجمة عن عمليات الشحن البحري، وذلك لتحقيق الأهداف المناخية الدولية. **من وجهة نظرك، ما هي النسبة التي ينبغي تخصيصها من عائدات ضريبة الوقود البحري لكل هدف من الأهداف التالية؟** يجب أن يكون المجموع الإجمالي 100%. maritime\_split

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 100 | 90 | 80 | 70 | 60 | 50 | 40 | 30 | 20 | 10 | 0 |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | () تعزيز التحول المستدام في البلدان الأقل نموًا والجزر الصغيرة |
|  | () إعادة العائدات الضريبية لشركات الشحن لمنع زيادة تكاليف الشحن |
|  | () تمويل وتطوير الأبحاث وتشجيع استخدام الوقود والسفن الخالية من الانبعاثات الضارة |

maritime\_split Aby spełnić międzynarodowe cele klimatyczne, w tym roku Międzynarodowa Organizacja Morska zamierza wprowadzić globalny podatek od emisji dwutlenku węgla w żegludze. Obecnie kraje negocjują projekt tej opłaty i sposób podziału dochodów z opłaty między trzy kategorie opisane poniżej. **Jaki odsetek dochodów z opłaty za paliwo morskie powinien być według Pana/Pani przydzielony do każdej kategorii?** Suma musi wynosić 100.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Wspieranie zrównoważonej transformacji w krajach najsłabiej rozwiniętych i małych państwach wyspiarskich () |  |
| Zwracanie przychodów firmom spedycyjnym w celu zapobieżenia wzrostowi kosztów transportu () |  |
| Finansowanie badań, rozwoju i wdrażania paliw i statków o zerowej emisji () |  |

maritime\_split 今年、国際海事機関（IMO）は、地球規模の気候目標を達成するために、船舶の炭素排出量に対する世界的な課税を設計しています。 **あなたの考えでは、海運燃料課税によって得られる収益は、以下の各カテゴリにどのような割合で配分されるべきだと思いますか？**合計が100％になるように配分してください。

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 後発開発途上国および小島嶼国における持続可能な移行の促進 () |  |
| 海運コストの上昇を防ぐために、収益を海運会社に還元する () |  |
| ゼロエミッション燃料および船舶の研究・開発・導入を支援する () |  |

maritime\_split Este año, para cumplir con los objetivos climáticos internacionales, la Organización Marítima Internacional está diseñando un impuesto global sobre las emisiones de carbono del transporte marítimo. **En su opinión, ¿qué porcentaje de los ingresos procedentes del impuesto sobre el combustible marítimo debería asignarse a cada una de las siguientes categorías?** El total debe ser 100%.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Fomento de la transición sostenible en los países menos adelantados y los pequeños estados insulares () |  |
| Devolver ingresos a las compañías navieras para evitar aumentos en los costos de envío () |  |
| Financiar la investigación, el desarrollo y la implementación de combustibles y buques de cero emisiones () |  |

maritime\_split This year, to meet the global climate targets, the International Maritime Organization is designing a global levy on shipping carbon emissions. **According to you, what percentage of the revenue from a maritime fuel levy should be allocated to each category below?** The total must be 100%.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Fostering sustainable transition in the least developed countries and small islands states () |  |
| Return revenues to shipping companies to prevent increases in shipping costs () |  |
| Finance research, development and deployment for zero-emission fuels and ships () |  |

maritime\_split   
Um die internationalen Klimaziele zu erfüllen, arbeitet die Internationale Seeschifffahrtsorganisation (IMO) dieses Jahr an einer globalen Abgabe auf CO₂-Emissionen aus dem Schiffsverkehr.   
  **Wie sollte Ihrer Meinung nach die Einnahmen aus dieser Abgabe prozentual auf die folgenden Kategorien verteilt werden?** Die Gesamtverteilung muss 100 % betragen.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Förderung eines nachhaltigen Wandels in den am wenigsten entwickelten Ländern und kleinen Inselstaaten () |  |
| Rückführung der Einnahmen an Schifffahrtsunternehmen, um steigende Frachtkosten zu vermeiden () |  |
| Finanzierung von Forschung, Entwicklung und Einsatz emissionsfreier Treibstoffe und Schiffe () |  |

maritime\_split Cette année, pour atteindre les objectifs climatiques mondiaux, l’Organisation maritime internationale prévoit un prélèvement mondial sur les émissions de CO2 du transport maritime.  **Selon vous, quel pourcentage des recettes d'une taxe sur les carburants maritimes devrait être alloué à chaque catégorie ci-dessous ?** Le total doit faire 100%.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Favoriser la transition écologique dans les pays les moins développés et les petits États insulaires () |  |
| Reverser les revenus aux compagnies maritimes pour éviter l’augmentation des coûts de transport () |  |
| Financer la recherche, le développement et le déploiement de carburants et de navires zéro émission () |  |

maritime\_split Quest'anno, per far fronte agli obiettivi internazionali sul clima, l'Organizzazione Marittima Internazionale sta progettando una tassa globale sulle emissioni di carbonio derivanti dalla navigazione. **Secondo te, quale percentuale delle entrate fiscali derivanti da un'imposta sul carburante delle navi dovrebbe essere allocata ad ogni categoria sottostante?** Il totale deve sommare al 100%.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Promozione della transizione sostenibile nei Paesi meno sviluppati e nei piccoli Stati insulari () |  |
| Restituzione delle entrate alle compagnie di navigazione per impedire l'aumento dei costi di navigazione () |  |
| Finanziamento della ricerca, dello sviluppo e della diffusione di carburanti e navi a zero emissioni () |  |

maritime\_split This year, to meet the global climate targets, the International Maritime Organization is designing a global levy on shipping carbon emissions. **According to you, what percentage of the revenue from a maritime fuel levy should be allocated to each category below?** The total must be 100%.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Fostering sustainable transition in the least developed countries and small islands states () |  |
| Return revenues to shipping companies to prevent increases in shipping costs () |  |
| Finance research, development and deployment for zero-emission fuels and ships () |  |

maritime\_split This year, to meet the global climate targets, the International Maritime Organization is designing a global levy on shipping carbon emissions. **According to you, what percentage of the revenue from a maritime fuel levy should be allocated to each category below?** The total must be 100%.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Fostering sustainable transition in the least developed countries and small islands states () |  |
| Return revenues to shipping companies to prevent increases in shipping costs () |  |
| Finance research, development and deployment for zero-emission fuels and ships () |  |

Display this question:

If variant\_ncqg\_maritime = 2

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |

ncqg\_full **At international climate negotiations, developing countries call for larger provision of "climate finance": the financing of climate action from developed countries in developing countries.** [developed\_note: (Note that we consider Saudi Arabia to be a developed country in this question.)] **There are two kinds of climate finance: grants (that is, donations) and loans. In 2022, $26 billion was provided as grants and the rest as loans, for a total of $116 billion.** In 2009, developed countries agreed to mobilize $100 billion per year in climate finance by 2020. In 2024, they committed to raise this goal to $300 billion by 2035. None of the goals specify which share should be provided as grants. Below are different positions on the amount of climate finance that should be provided in 2035, all expressed in grant-equivalent terms (that is, not counting loans): -        $0: There should be no contributions from developed countries to climate action in developing countries. -      $26 billion (0.04% of developed countries' GDP): The current amount, consistent with the old (2020) goal. -    $100 billion (0.14% of GDP): The old (2020) goal, if all climate finance were provided as grants. -    $300 billion (0.43% of GDP): The new (2035) goal, if all climate finance were provided as grants. -    $600 billion (0.86% of GDP): The goal called for by India, a position shared by most developing countries. - $1,000 billion (1.43% of GDP): The goal called for by Climate Action Network (a network of NGOs including Greenpeace, Oxfam, and WWF). - $5,000 billion (7.14% of GDP): The goal called for by Demand Climate Justice (a network of NGOs including 350.org and the World Council of Churches) **If you could choose the amount of climate finance provided by developed countries to developing countries in 2035, what amount would you choose (in grant-equivalent terms)?**

* $0 (1)
* $26 billion (4)
* $100 billion (5)
* $300 billion (2)
* $600 billion (3)
* $1,000 billion (6)
* $5,000 billion (7)

ncqg\_full **At international climate negotiations, developing countries call for larger provision of "climate finance": the financing of climate action from developed countries in developing countries.** [developed\_note: (Note that we consider Saudi Arabia to be a developed country in this question.)] **There are two kinds of climate finance: grants (that is, donations) and loans. In 2022, $26 billion was provided as grants and the rest as loans, for a total of $116 billion.** In 2009, developed countries agreed to mobilize $100 billion per year in climate finance by 2020. In 2024, they committed to raise this goal to $300 billion by 2035. None of the goals specify which share should be provided as grants. Below are different positions on the amount of climate finance that should be provided in 2035, all expressed in grant-equivalent terms (that is, not counting loans): -        $0: There should be no contributions from developed countries to climate action in developing countries. -      $26 billion (0.04% of developed countries' GDP): The current amount, consistent with the old (2020) goal. -    $100 billion (0.14% of GDP): The old (2020) goal, if all climate finance were provided as grants. -    $300 billion (0.43% of GDP): The new (2035) goal, if all climate finance were provided as grants. -    $600 billion (0.86% of GDP): The goal called for by India, a position shared by most developing countries. - $1,000 billion (1.43% of GDP): The goal called for by Climate Action Network (a network of NGOs including Greenpeace, Oxfam, and WWF). - $5,000 billion (7.14% of GDP): The goal called for by Demand Climate Justice (a network of NGOs including 350.org and the World Council of Churches) **If you could choose the amount of climate finance provided by developed countries to developing countries in 2035, what amount would you choose (in grant-equivalent terms)?**

* $0 (1)
* $26 billion (4)
* $100 billion (5)
* $300 billion (2)
* $600 billion (3)
* $1,000 billion (6)
* $5,000 billion (7)

ncqg\_full **At international climate negotiations, developing countries call for larger provision of "climate finance": the financing of climate action from developed countries in developing countries.** [developed\_note: (Note that we consider Saudi Arabia to be a developed country in this question.)] **There are two kinds of climate finance: grants (that is, donations) and loans. In 2022, $26 billion was provided as grants and the rest as loans, for a total of $116 billion.** In 2009, developed countries agreed to mobilize $100 billion per year in climate finance by 2020. In 2024, they committed to raise this goal to $300 billion by 2035. None of the goals specify which share should be provided as grants. Below are different positions on the amount of climate finance that should be provided in 2035, all expressed in grant-equivalent terms (that is, not counting loans): -        $0: There should be no contributions from developed countries to climate action in developing countries. -      $26 billion (0.04% of developed countries' GDP): The current amount, consistent with the old (2020) goal. -    $100 billion (0.14% of GDP): The old (2020) goal, if all climate finance were provided as grants. -    $300 billion (0.43% of GDP): The new (2035) goal, if all climate finance were provided as grants. -    $600 billion (0.86% of GDP): The goal called for by India, a position shared by most developing countries. - $1,000 billion (1.43% of GDP): The goal called for by Climate Action Network (a network of NGOs including Greenpeace, Oxfam, and WWF). - $5,000 billion (7.14% of GDP): The goal called for by Demand Climate Justice (a network of NGOs including 350.org and the World Council of Churches) **If you could choose the amount of climate finance provided by developed countries to developing countries in 2035, what amount would you choose (in grant-equivalent terms)?**

* $0 (1)
* $26 billion (4)
* $100 billion (5)
* $300 billion (2)
* $600 billion (3)
* $1,000 billion (6)
* $5,000 billion (7)

ncqg\_full **At international climate negotiations, developing countries call for larger provision of "climate finance": the financing of climate action from developed countries in developing countries.** [developed\_note: (Note that we consider Saudi Arabia to be a developed country in this question.)] **There are two kinds of climate finance: grants (that is, donations) and loans. In 2022, $26 billion was provided as grants and the rest as loans, for a total of $116 billion.** In 2009, developed countries agreed to mobilize $100 billion per year in climate finance by 2020. In 2024, they committed to raise this goal to $300 billion by 2035. None of the goals specify which share should be provided as grants. Below are different positions on the amount of climate finance that should be provided in 2035, all expressed in grant-equivalent terms (that is, not counting loans): -        $0: There should be no contributions from developed countries to climate action in developing countries. -      $26 billion (0.04% of developed countries' GDP): The current amount, consistent with the old (2020) goal. -    $100 billion (0.14% of GDP): The old (2020) goal, if all climate finance were provided as grants. -    $300 billion (0.43% of GDP): The new (2035) goal, if all climate finance were provided as grants. -    $600 billion (0.86% of GDP): The goal called for by India, a position shared by most developing countries. - $1,000 billion (1.43% of GDP): The goal called for by Climate Action Network (a network of NGOs including Greenpeace, Oxfam, and WWF). - $5,000 billion (7.14% of GDP): The goal called for by Demand Climate Justice (a network of NGOs including 350.org and the World Council of Churches) **If you could choose the amount of climate finance provided by developed countries to developing countries in 2035, what amount would you choose (in grant-equivalent terms)?**

* $0 (1)
* $26 billion (4)
* $100 billion (5)
* $300 billion (2)
* $600 billion (3)
* $1,000 billion (6)
* $5,000 billion (7)

ncqg\_full **At international climate negotiations, developing countries call for larger provision of "climate finance": the financing of climate action from developed countries in developing countries.** [developed\_note: (Note that we consider Saudi Arabia to be a developed country in this question.)] **There are two kinds of climate finance: grants (that is, donations) and loans. In 2022, $26 billion was provided as grants and the rest as loans, for a total of $116 billion.** In 2009, developed countries agreed to mobilize $100 billion per year in climate finance by 2020. In 2024, they committed to raise this goal to $300 billion by 2035. None of the goals specify which share should be provided as grants. Below are different positions on the amount of climate finance that should be provided in 2035, all expressed in grant-equivalent terms (that is, not counting loans): -        $0: There should be no contributions from developed countries to climate action in developing countries. -      $26 billion (0.04% of developed countries' GDP): The current amount, consistent with the old (2020) goal. -    $100 billion (0.14% of GDP): The old (2020) goal, if all climate finance were provided as grants. -    $300 billion (0.43% of GDP): The new (2035) goal, if all climate finance were provided as grants. -    $600 billion (0.86% of GDP): The goal called for by India, a position shared by most developing countries. - $1,000 billion (1.43% of GDP): The goal called for by Climate Action Network (a network of NGOs including Greenpeace, Oxfam, and WWF). - $5,000 billion (7.14% of GDP): The goal called for by Demand Climate Justice (a network of NGOs including 350.org and the World Council of Churches) **If you could choose the amount of climate finance provided by developed countries to developing countries in 2035, what amount would you choose (in grant-equivalent terms)?**

* $0 (1)
* $26 billion (4)
* $100 billion (5)
* $300 billion (2)
* $600 billion (3)
* $1,000 billion (6)
* $5,000 billion (7)

**تطالب البلدان النامية في المفاوضات الدولية المتعلقة بقضايا المناخ بمخصصات أكبر "للتمويل المناخي" : عمليات تمويل العمل المناخي المُقدَمة من الدول المتقدمة إلى الدول النامية.** [developed\_note: (Note that we consider Saudi Arabia to be a developed country in this question.)] **يجدر الإشارة إلى أن التمويل المناخي ينقسم إلى نوعين رئيسيين: منح (وهي عبارة عن هبات لا تُرد) وقروض. يُرجى العلم أنه في عام 2022، تم تقديم 26 مليار دولار في صورة منح، وتم توفير بقية التمويل في صورة قروض، بإجمالي تمويل بلغ 116 مليار دولار.**  في عام 2009، وافقت الدول المتقدمة على توفير 100 مليار دولار سنويًا للتمويل المناخي. وفي عام 2024، التزمت تلك الدول برفع هذا التمويل إلى 300 مليار دولار بحلول عام 2035. ولم تحدد أي من الأهداف الحصص التي ينبغي أن تُقدم في صورة منح. فيما يلي تصورات مختلفة بشأن حجم التمويل المناخي الذي ينبغي تقديمه بحلول عام 2035، يجدر الإشارة إلى أنه تم التعبير عن تلك التصورات كمنح (أي دون احتساب القروض): -        لا يوجد تمويل: لا ينبغي تخصيص أي تمويل من الدول المتقدمة لصالح الدول النامية من أجل العمل المناخي في الدول النامية. -      26 مليار دولار (أي ما يُعادل 0.04% من الناتج المحلي الإجمالي للدول المتقدمة): التمويل الحالي، وهو ما يتماشى مع المستهدف القديم. -    100 مليار دولار (وهو ما يُعادل 0.14% من الناتج المحلي الإجمالي): يُعادل المستهدف القديم، إذا تم تقديم كل التمويل المناخي على صورة منح. -    300 مليار دولار (أي ما يُعادل 0.43% من الناتج المحلي الإجمالي): وهو المستهدف الجديد، إذا تم تقديم كل التمويل المناخي على صورة منح. -    600 مليار دولار (أي ما يُعادل 0.86% من الناتج المحلي الإجمالي): وهذا هو المستهدف الذي طرحته الهند، وهو الموقف الذي تتبناه معظم البلدان النامية. - 1,000 مليار دولار (أي ما يُعادل 1.43% من الناتج المحلي الإجمالي): وهذا هو المستهدف الذي دعت إليه شبكة العمل المناخي (وهي مجموعة من المؤسسات غير الحكومية بما في ذلك منظمة السلام الأخضر، وأوكسفام، والصندوق العالمي للطبيعة). - 5,000 مليار دولار (أي ما يُعادل 7.14% من الناتج المحلي الإجمالي): وهو المستهدف الذي دعت إليه مجموعة المطالبة بالعدالة المناخية (وهي عبارة عن شبكة من المؤسسات غير الحكومية بما في ذلك منظمة "350 دوت org" ومجلس الكنائس العالمي) **إذا كان لديك الخيار لتحديد حجم التمويل المناخي الذي تقدمه الدول المتقدمة للدول النامية بحلول عام 2035 (على شكل منح)، فما المبلغ الذي ستحدده؟**  ncqg\_full

* (1) لا يوجد تمويل
* (4) 26 مليار دولار
* (5) 100 مليار دولار
* (2) 300 مليار دولار
* (3) 600 مليار دولار
* (6) 1,000 مليار دولار
* (7) 5,000 مليار دولار

ncqg\_full **Podczas międzynarodowych negocjacji klimatycznych kraje rozwijające się domagają się zapewnienia większego „finansowania klimatycznego”: finansowania działań na rzecz klimatu ze strony krajów rozwiniętych.** (Proszę wziąć pod uwagę, że Polska jest klasyfikowana jako kraj rozwinięty). **Istnieją dwa rodzaje finansowania klimatycznego: dotacje (czyli darowizny) i pożyczki. W 2022 r. przekazano łącznie 116 miliardów USD, z czego 26 miliardów stanowiły dotacje, a resztę - pożyczki.** W 2009 r. kraje rozwinięte zgodziły się na uruchomienie do 2020 roku 100 miliardów USD rocznie na finansowanie działań na rzecz klimatu. W 2024 roku zobowiązały się do podniesienia tego celu do 300 miliardów USD do roku 2035. Żaden z celów nie określa, jaki udział powinien być przyznawany w formie dotacji. Poniżej przedstawiono różne stanowiska dotyczące kwoty finansowania klimatycznego, która powinna zostać przekazana w 2035 r., wszystkie wyrażone w ekwiwalentach dotacji (tzn. nie licząc pożyczek): - 0 USD: kraje rozwinięte nie powinny wnosić żadnego wkładu w działania na rzecz klimatu w krajach rozwijających się. - 26 miliardów USD (0,04% PKB krajów rozwiniętych): aktualna kwota, zgodna ze starym celem (na 2020 r.). - 100 miliardów USD (0,14% PKB): stary cel (na 2020 r.), jeśli całe finansowanie klimatyczne byłoby zapewniane w formie dotacji. - 300 miliardów USD (0,43% PKB): nowy cel (na 2035 r.), jeśli całe finansowanie klimatyczne byłoby zapewniane w formie dotacji. - 600 miliardów USD (0,86% PKB): cel, którego domagają się Indie; stanowisko podzielane przez większość krajów rozwijających się. - 1000 miliardów USD (1,43% PKB): cel, którego domaga się Climate Action Network (sieć organizacji pozarządowych, zrzeszająca m.in. Greenpeace, Oxfam i WWF). - 5000 miliardów USD (7,14% PKB): cel, którego domaga się Demand Climate Justice (sieć organizacji pozarządowych, zrzeszająca m.in. 350.org i Światową Radę Kościołów) **Gdyby od Pana/Pani zależał wybór kwoty finansowania klimatycznego zapewnianego krajom rozwijającym się w 2035 r. przez kraje rozwinięte, jaką kwotę by Pan/Pani wybrał(a) (w przeliczeniu na ekwiwalent dotacji)?**

* 0 USD (1)
* 26 mld USD (4)
* 100 mld USD (5)
* 300 mld USD (2)
* 600 mld USD (3)
* 1000 mld USD (6)
* 5000 mld USD (7)

ncqg\_full **国際的な気候変動交渉の場では、開発途上国が「気候資金」のさらなる拠出を先進国に求めています。気候資金とは、先進国が開発途上国に対して実施する気候変動対策への資金支援のことです。** [developed\_note: (Note that we consider Saudi Arabia to be a developed country in this question.)] **気候資金には2種類あります：無償の「補助金（寄付）」と、有償の「融資」です。2022年には、全体で1,160億ドルのうち、260億ドルが補助金として提供されました。** 2009年、先進国は「2020年までに年間1,000億ドルの気候資金を動員する」と約束しました。2024年にはその目標が「2035年までに年間3,000億ドル」に引き上げられました。ただし、いずれの目標も補助金として提供すべき割合までは定められていません。 以下は、2035年に提供されるべき気候資金の金額に関するさまざまな立場を、すべて「補助金換算額（＝融資を含まない金額）」で示したものです: -        $0: 先進国は開発途上国の気候対策に資金を提供すべきではない -      $260億（先進国のGDPの0.04％）：現在の額で、旧（2020年）の目標と一致しています -    $1,000億（GDPの0.14％）：旧（2020年）の目標で、すべての気候資金が補助金として提供された場合です -    $3,000億（GDPの0.43％）：新（2035年）の目標で、すべての気候資金が補助金として提供された場合です -    $6,000億（GDPの0.86％）：インドが求めている目標で、ほとんどの開発途上国が賛同しています - $1兆（GDPの1.43％）：気候行動ネットワーク（グリーンピース、オックスファム、WWFなどのNGOのネットワーク）が求めている目標です - $5兆（GDPの7.14％）：Demand Climate Justice（350.orgや世界教会協議会を含むNGOネットワーク）が求めている目標です **もしあなたが、2035年に先進国が開発途上国に提供する気候資金（補助金換算ベース）の金額を決められるとしたら、いくらにしますか？**

* $0 (1)
* $260億 (4)
* $1,000億 (5)
* $3,000億 (2)
* $6,000億 (3)
* $1兆 (6)
* $5兆 (7)

ncqg\_full **En las negociaciones internacionales sobre el clima, los países en desarrollo piden una mayor provisión de "financiamiento climático": el financiamiento de la acción climática por parte de los países desarrollados a los países en desarrollo.** [developed\_note: (Tenga en cuenta que consideramos a Arabia Saudita como un país desarrollado en esta pregunta).] **Existen dos tipos de financiamiento climático: Subvenciones (es decir, donaciones) y préstamos. En 2022, se otorgaron 26.000 millones de dólares en subvenciones y el resto en préstamos, sumando un total de 116.000 millones de dólares.** En 2009, los países desarrollados acordaron movilizar 100.000 millones de dólares al año en financiamiento climático para 2020. En 2024, se comprometieron a aumentar este objetivo a 300.000 millones de dólares para 2035. Ninguno de los objetivos especifica qué proporción debería proporcionarse como subvenciones. A continuación se presentan diferentes posiciones sobre la cantidad de financiamiento climático que debería proporcionarse en 2035, todas expresadas en términos de equivalente de subvención (es decir, sin contar los préstamos): -        $0: No debería haber contribuciones de los países desarrollados a la acción climática en los países en desarrollo. -      $26 mil millones (0.04% del PIB de los países desarrollados): El importe actual, en consonancia con el objetivo anterior (para 2020). -    $100 mil millones de dólares (0,14% del PIB): El objetivo anterior (para 2020), si todo el financiamiento climático se proporcionara en forma de subvenciones. -    $300 mil millones de dólares (0,43% del PIB): El nuevo objetivo (para 2035), si todo el financiamiento climático se proporcionara en forma de subvenciones. -    $600 mil millones de dólares (0,86% del PIB): El objetivo solicitado por la India, una posición compartida por la mayoría de los países en desarrollo. - $1 billón de dólares (1,43% del PIB): El objetivo que reclama "Climate Action Network" (una red de ONG que incluye a Greenpeace, Oxfam y WWF). - $5 billones de dólares (7,14% del PIB): El objetivo que reclama "Demand Climate Justice" (una red de ONG que incluye a 350 Org y al Consejo Mundial de Iglesias) **¿Si pudiera elegir la cantidad de financiamiento climático proporcionado por los países desarrollados a los países en desarrollo en 2035, ¿qué monto elegiría (en términos de equivalente de subvención)?**

* $0 (1)
* $26 mil millones (4)
* $100 mil millones (5)
* $300 mil millones (2)
* $600 mil millones (3)
* $1.000 mil millones (6)
* $5.000 mil millones (7)

ncqg\_full **At international climate negotiations, developing countries call for larger provision of "climate finance": the financing of climate action from developed countries in developing countries.** [developed\_note: (Note that we consider Saudi Arabia to be a developed country in this question.)] **There are two kinds of climate finance: grants (that is, donations) and loans. In 2022, $26 billion was provided as grants and the rest as loans, for a total of $116 billion.** In 2009, developed countries agreed to mobilize $100 billion per year in climate finance by 2020. In 2024, they committed to raise this goal to $300 billion by 2035. None of the goals specify which share should be provided as grants. Below are different positions on the amount of climate finance that should be provided in 2035, all expressed in grant-equivalent terms (that is, not counting loans): -        $0: There should be no contributions from developed countries to climate action in developing countries. -      $26 billion (0.04% of developed countries' GDP): The current amount, consistent with the old (2020) goal. -    $100 billion (0.14% of GDP): The old (2020) goal, if all climate finance were provided as grants. -    $300 billion (0.43% of GDP): The new (2035) goal, if all climate finance were provided as grants. -    $600 billion (0.86% of GDP): The goal called for by India, a position shared by most developing countries. - $1,000 billion (1.43% of GDP): The goal called for by Climate Action Network (a network of NGOs including Greenpeace, Oxfam, and WWF). - $5,000 billion (7.14% of GDP): The goal called for by Demand Climate Justice (a network of NGOs including 350.org and the World Council of Churches) **If you could choose the amount of climate finance provided by developed countries to developing countries in 2035, what amount would you choose (in grant-equivalent terms)?**

* $0 (1)
* $26 billion (4)
* $100 billion (5)
* $300 billion (2)
* $600 billion (3)
* $1,000 billion (6)
* $5,000 billion (7)

ncqg\_full **Bei internationalen Klimaverhandlungen fordern Entwicklungsländer eine stärkere Bereitstellung von „Klimafinanzierung“ – also die Finanzierung von Klimaschutzmaßnahmen durch entwickelte Länder in Entwicklungsländern.** [developed\_note: (Note that we consider Saudi Arabia to be a developed country in this question.)] **Es gibt zwei Arten von Klimafinanzierung: Zuschüsse (also "Grants" oder Spenden) und Kredite. 2022 wurden 26 Milliarden US-Dollar in Form von Zuschüssen bereitgestellt, während der Rest der insgesamt 116 Milliarden US-Dollar als Kredite vergeben wurden.** Im Jahr 2009 verpflichteten sich entwickelte Länder, bis 2020 jährlich 100 Milliarden US-Dollar an Klimafinanzierung zu mobilisieren. 2024 sagten sie zu, dieses Ziel bis 2035 auf 300 Milliarden US-Dollar anzuheben. Keine der Vereinbarungen legt fest, welcher Anteil davon als Zuschüsse bereitgestellt werden soll. Nachfolgend finden Sie verschiedene Haltungen zur Höhe der Klimafinanzierung, die bis 2035 bereitgestellt werden soll. Alle Beträge sind in Zuschuss-Äquivalenten angegeben (d. h. Kredite sind nicht berücksichtigt): $0:  Entwickelte Länder sollten keinen Beitrag zur Klimafinanzierung in Entwicklungsländern leisten. $26 Milliarden (0,04 % des BIPs aller entwickelten Länder): Beibehaltung des aktuellen Niveaus, das dem ursprünglichen Ziel (für 2020) entspricht. $100 Milliarden (0,14 % des BIPs): Das bisherigen Ziel (für 2020), falls die gesamte Klimafinanzierung als Zuschüsse gewährt würde. $300 Milliarden (0,43 % des BIPs): Das neue Ziel (für 2035), falls die gesamte Klimafinanzierung als Zuschüsse gewährt würde. $600 Milliarden (0,86 % des BIPs): Das Ziel, das von Indien gefordert wird und von den meisten Entwicklungsländern unterstützt wird. $1'000 Milliarden (1,43 % des BIPs): Das Ziel, das vom Climate Action Network (einem Netzwerk von NGOs, darunter Greenpeace, Oxfam und WWF) gefordert wird. $5'000 Milliarden (7,14 % des BIPs): Das Ziel, das von *Demand Climate Justice* (einem Netzwerk von NGOs, darunter 350.org und der Weltkirchenrat) gefordert wird. **Wenn Sie die Höhe der Klimafinanzierung für 2035 festlegen könnten, welchen Betrag würden Sie wählen (in Zuschuss-Äquivalenten)**

* $0 (1)
* $26 Milliarden (4)
* $100 Milliarden (5)
* $300 Milliarden (2)
* $600 Milliarden (3)
* $1'000 Milliarden (6)
* $5'000 Milliarden (7)

ncqg\_full Lors des négociations internationales sur le climat, les pays en développement appellent à davantage de « finance climat » : le financement de l’action climatique par les pays développés dans les pays en développement. [developed\_note : (Notez que nous considérons l’Arabie saoudite comme un pays développé dans cette question.)] **Il existe deux types de finance climat : les subventions (c’est-à-dire les dons) et les prêts. En 2022, 26 milliards de dollars ont été accordés sous forme de subventions et le reste sous forme de prêts, pour un total de 116 milliards de dollars.** **En 2009, les pays développés ont convenu de mobiliser 100 milliards de dollars par an en finance climat d’ici 2020. En 2024, ils se sont engagés à porter cet objectif à 300 milliards de dollars d’ici 2035. Aucun de ces objectifs ne précise quelle part devrait être versée sous forme de subventions.** Vous trouverez ci-dessous différentes positions sur le montant de finance climat qui devrait être fourni en 2035, toutes exprimées en termes d'équivalent-subvention (c'est-à-dire sans compter les prêts) : - 0 : Les pays développés ne devraient pas contribuer à l’action climatique dans les pays en développement. - 26 milliards de dollars (0,04 % du PIB des pays développés) : le montant actuel, cohérent avec l’ancien objectif (pour 2020). - 100 milliards de dollars (0,14 % du PIB) : l’ancien objectif (pour 2020), si toute la finance climat était fournie sous forme de subventions. - 300 milliards de dollars (0,43 % du PIB) : le nouvel objectif (pour 2035), si toute la finance climat était fournie sous forme de subventions. - 600 milliards de dollars (0,86 % du PIB) : l’objectif défendu par l’Inde, une position partagée par la plupart des pays en développement. - 1 000 milliards de dollars (1,43 % du PIB) : l’objectif défendu par le Climate Action Network (un réseau d’ONG comprenant Greenpeace, Oxfam et WWF). - 5 000 milliards de dollars (7,14 % du PIB) : l'objectif défendu par Demand Climate Justice (un réseau d'ONG comprenant 350.org et le Conseil œcuménique des Églises) **Si vous pouviez choisir le montant de la finance climat fournie par les pays développés aux pays en développement en 2035, quel montant choisiriez-vous (en termes d’équivalent-subvention) ?**

* 0 $ (1)
* 26 milliards de dollars (4)
* 100 milliards de dollars (5)
* 300 milliards de dollars (2)
* 600 milliards de dollars (3)
* 1 000 milliards de dollars (6)
* 5 000 milliards de dollars (7)

ncqg\_full **Ai negoziati internazionali per il clima, i Paesi in via di sviluppo chiedono un'erogazione in termini maggiori dei "finanziamenti per il clima": il finanziamento dell'azione climatica da parte dei Paesi sviluppati nei confronti dei Paesi in via di sviluppo.** [developed\_note: (Note that we consider Saudi Arabia to be a developed country in this question.)] **Vi sono due tipologie di finanziamenti per il clima: sovvenzioni (cioè, donazioni) e prestiti. Nel 2022, sono stati erogati $26 miliardi sotto forma di sovvenzioni e la restante parte sotto forma di prestiti, per un totale di $116 miliardi.** Nel 2009, i Paesi sviluppati hanno raggiunto un accordo per mobilitare $100 miliardi all'anno nei finanziamenti per il clima entro il 2020. Nel 2024, si sono impegnati ad innalzare questo obiettivo a $300 miliardi entro il 2035. In nessun obiettivo è specificata quanta parte dovrebbe essere erogata sotto forma di sovvenzioni. Di seguito sono riportate diverse posizioni riguardanti l'ammontare dei finanziamenti per il clima che dovrebbe essere erogato nel 2035, il tutto espresso in termini di sovvenzioni (ossia, senza considerare i prestiti): -        $0: Non dovrebbero esserci contributi da parte dei Paesi sviluppati nei confronti dei Paesi in via di sviluppo per l'azione climatica. -      $26 miliardi (lo 0.04% del PIL dei Paesi sviluppati): L'importo attuale, coerente con l'obiettivo precedente (per il 2020). -    $100 miliardi (0.14% del PIL): L'obiettivo precedente (per il 2020), se i finanziamenti per il clima fossero erogati interamente sotto forma di sovvenzioni. -    $300 miliardi (0.43% del PIL): Il nuovo obiettivo (per il 2035), se i finanziamenti per il clima fossero erogati interamente sotto forma di sovvenzioni. -    $600 miliardi (0.86% del PIL): L'obiettivo auspicato dall'India, una posizione condivisa dalla maggior parte dei Paesi in via di sviluppo. - $1,000 miliardi (1.43% del PIL): L'obiettivo auspicato dal Climate Action Network (una rete di organizzazioni non governative che comprende Greenpeace, Oxfam, ed il WWF). - $5,000 miliardi (7.14% del PIL): L'obiettivo auspicato dal Demand Climate Justice (una rete di organizzazioni non governative che include 350 organizzazioni ed il Consiglio ecumenico delle Chiese) **Se potessi scegliere l'ammontare di finanziamenti per il clima che i Paesi sviluppati dovrebbero fornire ai Paesi in via di sviluppo nel 2035, quale importo sceglieresti (in termini di sovvenzioni)?**

* $0 (1)
* $26 miliardi (4)
* $100 miliardi (5)
* $300 miliardi (2)
* $600 miliardi (3)
* $1,000 miliardi (6)
* $5,000 miliardi (7)

ncqg\_full **At international climate negotiations, developing countries call for larger provision of "climate finance": the financing of climate action from developed countries in developing countries.** [developed\_note: (Note that we consider Saudi Arabia to be a developed country in this question.)] **There are two kinds of climate finance: grants (that is, donations) and loans. In 2022, $26 billion was provided as grants and the rest as loans, for a total of $116 billion.** In 2009, developed countries agreed to mobilize $100 billion per year in climate finance by 2020. In 2024, they committed to raise this goal to $300 billion by 2035. None of the goals specify which share should be provided as grants. Below are different positions on the amount of climate finance that should be provided in 2035, all expressed in grant-equivalent terms (that is, not counting loans): -        $0: There should be no contributions from developed countries to climate action in developing countries. -      $26 billion (0.04% of developed countries' GDP): The current amount, consistent with the old (2020) goal. -    $100 billion (0.14% of GDP): The old (2020) goal, if all climate finance were provided as grants. -    $300 billion (0.43% of GDP): The new (2035) goal, if all climate finance were provided as grants. -    $600 billion (0.86% of GDP): The goal called for by India, a position shared by most developing countries. - $1,000 billion (1.43% of GDP): The goal called for by Climate Action Network (a network of NGOs including Greenpeace, Oxfam, and WWF). - $5,000 billion (7.14% of GDP): The goal called for by Demand Climate Justice (a network of NGOs including 350.org and the World Council of Churches) **If you could choose the amount of climate finance provided by developed countries to developing countries in 2035, what amount would you choose (in grant-equivalent terms)?**

* $0 (1)
* $26 billion (4)
* $100 billion (5)
* $300 billion (2)
* $600 billion (3)
* $1,000 billion (6)
* $5,000 billion (7)

ncqg\_full **At international climate negotiations, developing countries call for larger provision of "climate finance": the financing of climate action from developed countries in developing countries.** [developed\_note: (Note that we consider Saudi Arabia to be a developed country in this question.)] **There are two kinds of climate finance: grants (that is, donations) and loans. In 2022, $26 billion was provided as grants and the rest as loans, for a total of $116 billion.** In 2009, developed countries agreed to mobilize $100 billion per year in climate finance by 2020. In 2024, they committed to raise this goal to $300 billion by 2035. None of the goals specify which share should be provided as grants. Below are different positions on the amount of climate finance that should be provided in 2035, all expressed in grant-equivalent terms (that is, not counting loans): -        $0: There should be no contributions from developed countries to climate action in developing countries. -      $26 billion (0.04% of developed countries' GDP): The current amount, consistent with the old (2020) goal. -    $100 billion (0.14% of GDP): The old (2020) goal, if all climate finance were provided as grants. -    $300 billion (0.43% of GDP): The new (2035) goal, if all climate finance were provided as grants. -    $600 billion (0.86% of GDP): The goal called for by India, a position shared by most developing countries. - $1,000 billion (1.43% of GDP): The goal called for by Climate Action Network (a network of NGOs including Greenpeace, Oxfam, and WWF). - $5,000 billion (7.14% of GDP): The goal called for by Demand Climate Justice (a network of NGOs including 350.org and the World Council of Churches) **If you could choose the amount of climate finance provided by developed countries to developing countries in 2035, what amount would you choose (in grant-equivalent terms)?**

* $0 (1)
* $26 billion (4)
* $100 billion (5)
* $300 billion (2)
* $600 billion (3)
* $1,000 billion (6)
* $5,000 billion (7)

Display this question:

If variant\_ncqg\_maritime = 1

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |

ncqg **"Climate finance" designates the financing of climate action from developed countries in developing countries.** [developed\_note: (Note that we consider Saudi Arabia to be a developed country in this question.)] **There are two kinds of climate finance: grants (that is, donations) and loans. The large majority is currently provided as loans.** In 2009, developed countries agreed to mobilize $100 billion per year in climate finance. In 2024, they committed to triple this goal by 2035. None of the goals specify which share should be provided as grants.  At international climate negotiations, developing countries call for larger provision of climate finance, particularly in the form of grants. **If you could choose the level of climate finance provided by developed countries to developing countries in 2035, what would you choose?**

* **Stop** all provision of climate finance. (1)
* **Reduce** the provision of climate finance. (4)
* **Maintain current contributions** ($26 billion per year in grants, that is 0.04% of developed countries' GDP, and $80 billion in loans, or 0.1% of GDP). (5)
* **Meet the newly agreed goal** by tripling grants and loans ($100 billion in grants, or 0.15% of GDP). (2)
* **Increase climate finance to a level between what developed countries have agreed and what developing countries are asking for** ($300 billion in grants, or 0.45% of GDP). (3)
* **Increase climate finance to match what developing countries are asking for** ($600 billion in grants, or 0.9% of GDP). (6)
* **Increase climate finance to match what NGOs are asking for** (at least $1,000 billion per year in grants, that is 1.4% of GDP, is what Greenpeace, Oxfam, WWF, and the World Council of Churches ask for). (7)

ncqg **"Climate finance" designates the financing of climate action from developed countries in developing countries.** [developed\_note: (Note that we consider Saudi Arabia to be a developed country in this question.)] **There are two kinds of climate finance: grants (that is, donations) and loans. The large majority is currently provided as loans.** In 2009, developed countries agreed to mobilize $100 billion per year in climate finance. In 2024, they committed to triple this goal by 2035. None of the goals specify which share should be provided as grants.  At international climate negotiations, developing countries call for larger provision of climate finance, particularly in the form of grants. **If you could choose the level of climate finance provided by developed countries to developing countries in 2035, what would you choose?**

* **Stop** all provision of climate finance. (1)
* **Reduce** the provision of climate finance. (4)
* **Maintain current contributions** ($26 billion per year in grants, that is 0.04% of developed countries' GDP, and $80 billion in loans, or 0.1% of GDP). (5)
* **Meet the newly agreed goal** by tripling grants and loans ($100 billion in grants, or 0.15% of GDP). (2)
* **Increase climate finance to a level between what developed countries have agreed and what developing countries are asking for** ($300 billion in grants, or 0.45% of GDP). (3)
* **Increase climate finance to match what developing countries are asking for** ($600 billion in grants, or 0.9% of GDP). (6)
* **Increase climate finance to match what NGOs are asking for** (at least $1,000 billion per year in grants, that is 1.4% of GDP, is what Greenpeace, Oxfam, WWF, and the World Council of Churches ask for). (7)

ncqg **"Climate finance" designates the financing of climate action from developed countries in developing countries.** [developed\_note: (Note that we consider Saudi Arabia to be a developed country in this question.)] **There are two kinds of climate finance: grants (that is, donations) and loans. The large majority is currently provided as loans.** In 2009, developed countries agreed to mobilize $100 billion per year in climate finance. In 2024, they committed to triple this goal by 2035. None of the goals specify which share should be provided as grants.  At international climate negotiations, developing countries call for larger provision of climate finance, particularly in the form of grants. **If you could choose the level of climate finance provided by developed countries to developing countries in 2035, what would you choose?**

* **Stop** all provision of climate finance. (1)
* **Reduce** the provision of climate finance. (4)
* **Maintain current contributions** ($26 billion per year in grants, that is 0.04% of developed countries' GDP, and $80 billion in loans, or 0.1% of GDP). (5)
* **Meet the newly agreed goal** by tripling grants and loans ($100 billion in grants, or 0.15% of GDP). (2)
* **Increase climate finance to a level between what developed countries have agreed and what developing countries are asking for** ($300 billion in grants, or 0.45% of GDP). (3)
* **Increase climate finance to match what developing countries are asking for** ($600 billion in grants, or 0.9% of GDP). (6)
* **Increase climate finance to match what NGOs are asking for** (at least $1,000 billion per year in grants, that is 1.4% of GDP, is what Greenpeace, Oxfam, WWF, and the World Council of Churches ask for). (7)

ncqg **"Climate finance" designates the financing of climate action from developed countries in developing countries.** [developed\_note: (Note that we consider Saudi Arabia to be a developed country in this question.)] **There are two kinds of climate finance: grants (that is, donations) and loans. The large majority is currently provided as loans.** In 2009, developed countries agreed to mobilize $100 billion per year in climate finance. In 2024, they committed to triple this goal by 2035. None of the goals specify which share should be provided as grants.  At international climate negotiations, developing countries call for larger provision of climate finance, particularly in the form of grants. **If you could choose the level of climate finance provided by developed countries to developing countries in 2035, what would you choose?**

* **Stop** all provision of climate finance. (1)
* **Reduce** the provision of climate finance. (4)
* **Maintain current contributions** ($26 billion per year in grants, that is 0.04% of developed countries' GDP, and $80 billion in loans, or 0.1% of GDP). (5)
* **Meet the newly agreed goal** by tripling grants and loans ($100 billion in grants, or 0.15% of GDP). (2)
* **Increase climate finance to a level between what developed countries have agreed and what developing countries are asking for** ($300 billion in grants, or 0.45% of GDP). (3)
* **Increase climate finance to match what developing countries are asking for** ($600 billion in grants, or 0.9% of GDP). (6)
* **Increase climate finance to match what NGOs are asking for** (at least $1,000 billion per year in grants, that is 1.4% of GDP, is what Greenpeace, Oxfam, WWF, and the World Council of Churches ask for). (7)

ncqg **"Climate finance" designates the financing of climate action from developed countries in developing countries.** [developed\_note: (Note that we consider Saudi Arabia to be a developed country in this question.)] **There are two kinds of climate finance: grants (that is, donations) and loans. The large majority is currently provided as loans.** In 2009, developed countries agreed to mobilize $100 billion per year in climate finance. In 2024, they committed to triple this goal by 2035. None of the goals specify which share should be provided as grants.  At international climate negotiations, developing countries call for larger provision of climate finance, particularly in the form of grants. **If you could choose the level of climate finance provided by developed countries to developing countries in 2035, what would you choose?**

* **Stop** all provision of climate finance. (1)
* **Reduce** the provision of climate finance. (4)
* **Maintain current contributions** ($26 billion per year in grants, that is 0.04% of developed countries' GDP, and $80 billion in loans, or 0.1% of GDP). (5)
* **Meet the newly agreed goal** by tripling grants and loans ($100 billion in grants, or 0.15% of GDP). (2)
* **Increase climate finance to a level between what developed countries have agreed and what developing countries are asking for** ($300 billion in grants, or 0.45% of GDP). (3)
* **Increase climate finance to match what developing countries are asking for** ($600 billion in grants, or 0.9% of GDP). (6)
* **Increase climate finance to match what NGOs are asking for** (at least $1,000 billion per year in grants, that is 1.4% of GDP, is what Greenpeace, Oxfam, WWF, and the World Council of Churches ask for). (7)

**يشير "التمويل المناخي" إلى عمليات تمويل العمل المناخي المُقدَمة من الدول المتقدمة إلى الدول النامية** [developed\_note: (Note that we consider Saudi Arabia to be a developed country in this question.)] **ينقسم التمويل المناخي إلى نوعين رئيسيين: منح (وهي عبارة عن هبات لا تُرد) وقروض. يُرجى العلم أن الجزء الأكبر من التمويل المُقدم في الوقت الحالي عبارة عن قروض.**  في عام 2009، وافقت الدول المتقدمة على توفير 100 مليار دولار سنويًا للتمويل المناخي. وفي عام 2024، التزمت تلك الدول برفع هذا التمويل ثلاثة أضعاف بحلول عام 2035. ولم تحدد أي من الأهداف الحصص التي ينبغي أن تُقدم في صورة منح.  تطالب البلدان النامية في المفاوضات الدولية المتعلقة بقضايا المناخ بمخصصات أكبر للتمويل المناخي، في صورة منح على وجه الخصوص **إذا كان لديك الخيار لتحديد حجم التمويل المناخي الذي تقدمه الدول المتقدمة للدول النامية بحلول عام 2035، فما المبلغ الذي ستحدده؟**  ncqg

* (1) **إيقاف** كافة مخصصات التمويل المناخي.
* (4) **تخفيض** مخصصات التمويل المناخي.
* (5) **الحفاظ**  على المساهمات الحالية بقيمة (26 مليار دولار سنويًا في صورة منح، أي ما يُعادل 0.04% من الناتج المحلي الإجمالي للدول المتقدمة، و80 مليار دولار في صورة قروض، أي حوالي 0.1% من الناتج المحلي الإجمالي).
* (2) **تحقيق المستهدف الجديد المتفق عليه** عن طريق زيادة المنح والقروض إلى ثلاثة أضعاف (100 مليار دولار في صورة منح، أو 0.15% من الناتج المحلي الإجمالي).
* (3) **زيادة التمويل المناخي إلى مستوى وسطي بين ما وافقت عليه البلدان المتقدمة وما تطلبه البلدان النامية** (300 مليار دولار في صورة منح، أي ما يُعادل 0.45% من الناتج المحلي الإجمالي).
* (6) **زيادة التمويل المناخي بما يتناسب مع متطلبات الدول النامية** (600 مليار دولار في صورة منح، أو ما يُعادل 0.9% من الناتج المحلي الإجمالي).
* (7) **زيادة التمويل المناخي بما يتناسب مع متطلبات المنظمات غير الحكومية** (تقديم تمويل لا يقل عن 1000 مليار دولار سنويًا في صورة منح، أي ما يُعادل 1.4% من الناتج المحلي الإجمالي، وهو ما يطالب به كل من منظمة السلام الأخضر، وأوكسفام، والصندوق العالمي للطبيعة، ومجلس الكنائس العالمي).

ncqg **„Finansowanie klimatyczne” oznacza finansowanie działań na rzecz klimatu w krajach rozwijających się przez kraje rozwinięte.** (Proszę wziąć pod uwagę, że Polska jest klasyfikowana jako kraj rozwinięty). **Istnieją dwa rodzaje finansowania klimatycznego: dotacje (czyli darowizny) oraz pożyczki. Obecnie znacząca większość finansowania odbywa się w formie pożyczek.** W 2009 r. kraje rozwinięte zgodziły się przeznaczać 100 miliardów USD rocznie na finansowanie działań na rzecz klimatu. W 2024 roku zobowiązały się do potrojenia docelowej kwoty do roku 2035. Żaden z celów nie określa, jaki udział powinien być przyznawany w formie dotacji. Podczas międzynarodowych negocjacji klimatycznych kraje rozwijające się apelują o zwiększenie finansowania działań klimatycznych, zwłaszcza w formie dotacji. **Gdyby od Pana/Pani zależał wybór poziomu finansowania klimatycznego zapewnianego krajom rozwijającym się w 2035 r. przez kraje rozwinięte, co by Pan/Pani wybrał(a)?**

* Całkowite **wstrzymanie** finansowania klimatycznego. (1)
* **Zmniejszenie** kwoty finansowania klimatycznego. (4)
* **Utrzymanie** obecnego poziomu finansowania (26 mld USD w postaci dotacji, tj. 0,04% PKB krajów rozwiniętych, oraz 80 mld USD w postaci pożyczek, tj. 0,1% PKB). (5)
* **Osiągnięcie nowo uzgodnionego celu** poprzez potrojenie dotacji i pożyczek (100 mld USD, tj. 0,15% PKB, w formie dotacji). (2)
* **Zwiększenie kwoty finansowania klimatycznego do poziomu pomiędzy tym, co uzgodniły kraje rozwinięte, a tym, czego domagają się kraje rozwijające się** (300 mld USD, tj. 0,45% PKB, w formie dotacji). (3)
* **Zwiększenie kwoty finansowania klimatycznego do poziomu, o który proszą kraje rozwijające się** (600 mld USD, tj. 0,9% PKB, w dotacjach). (6)
* **Zwiększenie finansowania klimatycznego do poziomu**, o który proszą organizacje pozarządowe (przynajmniej 1000 mld USD w dotacjach, tj. 1,4% PKB, jak żądają Greenpeace, Oxfam, WWF i Światowa Rada Kościołów). (7)

ncqg **「気候資金」とは、先進国が開発途上国で実施する気候変動対策に対して提供する資金のことを指します。** [developed\_note: (Note that we consider Saudi Arabia to be a developed country in this question.)] **気候資金には2種類あります：補助金（すなわち寄付）と融資です。現在のところ、その大部分は融資として提供されています。** 2009年、先進国は気候資金として年間1,000億ドルを動員することで合意しました。2024年には、この目標を2035年までに3倍に引き上げることを約束しました。ただし、これらの目標はいずれも、どの程度を補助金として提供するかは明記していません。  国際的な気候変動交渉の場では、開発途上国が特に補助金の形での気候資金の増額を求めています。 **もしあなたが、2035年に先進国が開発途上国に提供する気候資金の水準を決められるとしたら、どの金額を選びますか？**

* 気候資金の提供をすべて**停止**する (1)
* 気候資金の提供を**削減**する (4)
* **現在の拠出水準を維持する**（補助金として年間260億ドル、先進国のGDPの0.04％、融資として800億ドル、GDPの0.1％） (5)
* 補助金と融資を3倍に増やし（助成金1,000億ドル、GDPの0.15%）**新たに合意された目標を達成する** (2)
* **先進国が合意した水準と、開発途上国が求める水準の中間まで気候資金を増やす**（補助金として3,000億ドル、GDPの0.45％） (3)
* **開発途上国が求める水準に合わせて気候資金を増やす** （補助金として6,000億ドル、GDPの0.9％） (6)
* **NGOが求める水準に合わせて気候資金を増やす** （補助金として年間少なくとも1兆ドル、GDPの1.4％。これはGreenpeace、Oxfam、WWF、世界教会協議会などの要請によるもの） (7)

ncqg **El término "financiamiento climático" se refiere a la financiación de la acción climática por parte de los países desarrollados a los países en desarrollo.** [developed\_note: (Cabe destacar que en esta pregunta consideramos a Arabia Saudita como un país desarrollado.)] **Existen dos tipos de financiamiento climático: Subvenciones (es decir, donaciones) y préstamos. La gran mayoría se proporciona actualmente en forma de préstamos.** En 2009, los países desarrollados acordaron movilizar 100.000 millones de dólares anuales en financiamiento climático. En 2024, se comprometieron a triplicar este objetivo para 2035. Ninguno de los objetivos especifica qué proporción debe proporcionarse en forma de subvenciones.  En las negociaciones internacionales sobre el clima, los países en desarrollo exigen una mayor provisión de financiamiento climático, especialmente en forma de subvenciones. **Si pudiera elegir el nivel de financiamiento climático proporcionado por los países desarrollados a los países en desarrollo en 2035, ¿cuál elegiría?**

* **Detener** toda provisión de financiamiento climático. (1)
* **Reducir** la provisión de financiamiento climático. (4)
* **Mantener** contribuciones actuales (26.000 millones de dólares al año en donaciones, es decir, el 0,04% del PIB de los países desarrollados, y 80.000 millones de dólares en préstamos, o el 0,1% del PIB). (5)
* Para alcanzar el objetivo recientemente acordado se deben **triplicar las subvenciones y los préstamos** (100.000 millones de dólares en subvenciones, o el 0,15% del PIB). (2)
* Aumentar el financiamiento climático a **un nivel entre lo que los países desarrollados han acordado y lo que los países en desarrollo están pidiendo**($300 billion in grants, or 0.45% of GDP). (3)
* **Aumentar el financiamiento climático para que coincida con lo que piden los países en desarrollo** (600.000 millones de dólares en subvenciones, o el 0,9% del PIB). (6)
* **Aumentar el financiamiento climático para que coincida con lo que piden las ONG** (al menos un billón de dólares por año en subvenciones, es decir el 1,4% del PIB, es lo que piden Greenpeace, Oxfam, WWF y el Consejo Mundial de Iglesias). (7)

ncqg **"Climate finance" designates the financing of climate action from developed countries in developing countries.** [developed\_note: (Note that we consider Saudi Arabia to be a developed country in this question.)] **There are two kinds of climate finance: grants (that is, donations) and loans. The large majority is currently provided as loans.** In 2009, developed countries agreed to mobilize $100 billion per year in climate finance. In 2024, they committed to triple this goal by 2035. None of the goals specify which share should be provided as grants.  At international climate negotiations, developing countries call for larger provision of climate finance, particularly in the form of grants. **If you could choose the level of climate finance provided by developed countries to developing countries in 2035, what would you choose?**

* **Stop** all provision of climate finance. (1)
* **Reduce** the provision of climate finance. (4)
* **Maintain current contributions** ($26 billion per year in grants, that is 0.04% of developed countries' GDP, and $80 billion in loans, or 0.1% of GDP). (5)
* **Meet the newly agreed goal** by tripling grants and loans ($100 billion in grants, or 0.15% of GDP). (2)
* **Increase climate finance to a level between what developed countries have agreed and what developing countries are asking for** ($300 billion in grants, or 0.45% of GDP). (3)
* **Increase climate finance to match what developing countries are asking for** ($600 billion in grants, or 0.9% of GDP). (6)
* **Increase climate finance to match what NGOs are asking for** (at least $1,000 billion per year in grants, that is 1.4% of GDP, is what Greenpeace, Oxfam, WWF, and the World Council of Churches ask for). (7)

ncqg **„Klimafinanzierung“ bezeichnet die Bereitstellung finanzieller Mittel durch entwickelte Länder zur Unterstützung von Klimaschutzmaßnahmen in Entwicklungsländern.**[developed\_note: (Note that we consider Saudi Arabia to be a developed country in this question.)] **Es gibt zwei Arten von Klimafinanzierung: Zuschüsse (also "Grants" oder Spenden) und Kredite. Derzeit wird der Großteil der Klimafinanzierung in Form von Krediten bereitgestellt.** Im Jahr 2009 verpflichteten sich entwickelte Länder, bis 2020 jährlich 100 Milliarden US-Dollar an Klimafinanzierung zu mobilisieren. 2024 sagten sie zu, dieses Ziel bis 2035 auf das dreifache zu erhöhen. Keine der Vereinbarungen legt fest, welcher Anteil davon als Zuschüsse bereitgestellt werden soll. Bei internationalen Klimaverhandlungen setzen sich Entwicklungsländer für eine deutliche Erhöhung der Klimafinanzierung ein – insbesondere in Form von Zuschüssen anstelle von Krediten. **Falls Sie die Höhe der Klimafinanzierung durch entwickelte Länder für Entwicklungsländer im Jahr 2035 bestimmen könnten, wie hoch sollte sie sein?**

* Jegliche Klimafinanzierung **einstellen**. (1)
* Die Klimafinanzierung **verringern** (4)
* Aktuelle Beiträge **beibehalten** (26 Milliarden USD pro Jahr als Zuschüsse, das entspricht 0,04 % des BIPs der entwickelten Länder, sowie 80 Milliarden USD als Kredite, bzw. 0,1 % des BIP). (5)
* **Das neu vereinbarte Ziel** soll durch eine Verdreifachung von Zuschüssen und Krediten erreicht werden (100 Milliarden USD an Zuschüssen, bzw. 0,15 % des BIPs). (2)
* **Klimafinanzierung auf ein Niveau zwischen dem von Industrieländern zugesagten und dem von Entwicklungsländern geforderten erhöhen** (300 Milliarden USD in Zuschüssen, bzw. 0,45 % des BIPs). (3)
* **Die Klimafinanzierung auf das von Entwicklungsländern geforderte Niveau erhöhen** (600 Milliarden USD in Zuschüssen, bzw. 0,9 % des BIPs). (6)
* **Die Klimafinanzierung auf das von NGOs geforderte Niveau anheben** (mindestens 1.000 Milliarden USD pro Jahr als Zuschüsse, das entspricht 1,4 % des BIPs – gefordert von Greenpeace, Oxfam, WWF und dem Weltkirchenrat). (7)

ncqg **La « finance climat » désigne le financement de l’action climatique dans les pays en développement par les pays développés.** **Il existe deux types de finance climat : les subventions (c’est-à-dire les dons) et les prêts. La grande majorité est actuellement fournie sous forme de prêts.** En 2009, les pays développés ont convenu de mobiliser 100 milliards de dollars par an en finance climat. En 2024, ils se sont engagés à tripler cet objectif d’ici 2035. Aucun des objectifs ne précise quelle part devrait être versée sous forme de subventions. Lors des négociations internationales sur le climat, les pays en développement réclament davantage de finance climat, notamment sous forme de subventions. **Si vous pouviez choisir le niveau de finance climat fourni par les pays développés aux pays en développement en 2035, que choisiriez-vous ?**

* **Arrêter** toute contribution à la finance climat. (1)
* **Réduire** les contributions à la finance climat. (4)
* **Maintenir les contributions actuelles** (26 milliards de dollars de subventions, soit 0,04 % du PIB des pays développés, et 80 milliards de dollars de prêts, soit 0,1 % du PIB). (5)
* **Atteindre le nouvel objectif fixé** en triplant les subventions et les prêts (100 milliards de dollars de subventions, soit 0,15 % du PIB). (2)
* **Augmenter la finance climat à un niveau compris entre ce que les pays développés ont accepté et ce que les pays en développement demandent** (300 milliards de dollars de subventions, soit 0,45 % du PIB). (3)
* **Augmenter la finance climat à hauteur des demandes des pays en développement** (600 milliards de dollars par an en subventions, soit 0,9 % du PIB). (6)
* **Augmenter la finance climat à hauteur de ce que demandent les ONG** (au moins 1 000 milliards de dollars de subventions, soit 1,4 % du PIB, comme demandé par Greenpeace, Oxfam, le WWF et le Conseil œcuménique des Églises). (7)

ncqg **I "finanziamenti per il clima" designano il finanziamento dell'azione climatica da parte dei Paesi sviluppati nei confronti dei Paesi in via di sviluppo.** [developed\_note: (Note that we consider Saudi Arabia to be a developed country in this question.)] **All'interno dei finanziamenti per il clima si distinguono: sovvenzioni (ossia, donazioni) e prestiti. La maggior parte di essi è, attualmente, elargita sotto forma di prestiti.** Nel 2009, i Paesi sviluppati hanno concordato di mobilitare nei finanziamenti per il clima $100 miliardi all'anno. Nel 2024, si sono impegnati a triplicare questo obiettivo entro il 2035. In nessuno degli obiettivi è specificata la quota da elargire sotto forma di sovvenzioni.  Alle negoziazioni internazionali per il clima, i Paesi in via di sviluppo chiedono maggiori finanziamenti per il clima, in particolare sotto forma di sovvenzioni. **Se potessi scegliere il livello di finanziamenti per il clima che i Paesi sviluppati dovrebbero elargire nei confronti dei Paesi in via di sviluppo nel 2035, quale alternativa sceglieresti?**

* **Bloccare** tutta la fornitura di finanziamenti per il clima. (1)
* **Ridurre** la fornitura di finanziamenti per il clima. (4)
* **Mantenere le attuali contribuzioni**  ($26 miliardi all'anno sotto forma di sovvenzioni, ossia lo 0.04% del PIL dei Paesi sviluppati, e $80 miliardi sotto forma di prestiti, o lo 0.1% del PIL). (5)
* **Raggiungere l'obiettivo concordato di recente**  triplicando sovvenzioni e prestiti ($100 miliardi sotto forma di sovvenzioni, corrispondenti allo 0,15% del PIL). (2)
* **Aumentare i finanziamenti per il clima ad un livello compreso tra quanto i Paesi sviluppati hanno concordato e quanto i Paesi in via di sviluppo stanno chiedendo** ($300 miliardi sotto forma di sovvenzioni, corrispondenti allo 0.45% del PIL). (3)
* **Aumentare i finanziamenti per il clima in modo da soddisfare quanto richiedono i Paesi in via di sviluppo** ($600 miliardi in sovvenzioni, o lo 0.9% del PIL). (6)
* **Incrementare i finanziamenti per il clima in modo da soddisfare le richieste avanzate dalle organizzazioni non governative** (almeno $1,000 miliardi all'anno sotto forma di sovvenzioni, ossia l'1.4% del PIL, che è quanto richiedono Greenpeace, Oxfam, WWF, ed il Consiglio ecumenico delle Chiese). (7)

ncqg **"Climate finance" designates the financing of climate action from developed countries in developing countries.** [developed\_note: (Note that we consider Saudi Arabia to be a developed country in this question.)] **There are two kinds of climate finance: grants (that is, donations) and loans. The large majority is currently provided as loans.** In 2009, developed countries agreed to mobilize $100 billion per year in climate finance. In 2024, they committed to triple this goal by 2035. None of the goals specify which share should be provided as grants.  At international climate negotiations, developing countries call for larger provision of climate finance, particularly in the form of grants. **If you could choose the level of climate finance provided by developed countries to developing countries in 2035, what would you choose?**

* **Stop** all provision of climate finance. (1)
* **Reduce** the provision of climate finance. (4)
* **Maintain current contributions** ($26 billion per year in grants, that is 0.04% of developed countries' GDP, and $80 billion in loans, or 0.1% of GDP). (5)
* **Meet the newly agreed goal** by tripling grants and loans ($100 billion in grants, or 0.15% of GDP). (2)
* **Increase climate finance to a level between what developed countries have agreed and what developing countries are asking for** ($300 billion in grants, or 0.45% of GDP). (3)
* **Increase climate finance to match what developing countries are asking for** ($600 billion in grants, or 0.9% of GDP). (6)
* **Increase climate finance to match what NGOs are asking for** (at least $1,000 billion per year in grants, that is 1.4% of GDP, is what Greenpeace, Oxfam, WWF, and the World Council of Churches ask for). (7)

ncqg **"Climate finance" designates the financing of climate action from developed countries in developing countries.** [developed\_note: (Note that we consider Saudi Arabia to be a developed country in this question.)] **There are two kinds of climate finance: grants (that is, donations) and loans. The large majority is currently provided as loans.** In 2009, developed countries agreed to mobilize $100 billion per year in climate finance. In 2024, they committed to triple this goal by 2035. None of the goals specify which share should be provided as grants.  At international climate negotiations, developing countries call for larger provision of climate finance, particularly in the form of grants. **If you could choose the level of climate finance provided by developed countries to developing countries in 2035, what would you choose?**

* **Stop** all provision of climate finance. (1)
* **Reduce** the provision of climate finance. (4)
* **Maintain current contributions** ($26 billion per year in grants, that is 0.04% of developed countries' GDP, and $80 billion in loans, or 0.1% of GDP). (5)
* **Meet the newly agreed goal** by tripling grants and loans ($100 billion in grants, or 0.15% of GDP). (2)
* **Increase climate finance to a level between what developed countries have agreed and what developing countries are asking for** ($300 billion in grants, or 0.45% of GDP). (3)
* **Increase climate finance to match what developing countries are asking for** ($600 billion in grants, or 0.9% of GDP). (6)
* **Increase climate finance to match what NGOs are asking for** (at least $1,000 billion per year in grants, that is 1.4% of GDP, is what Greenpeace, Oxfam, WWF, and the World Council of Churches ask for). (7)

End of Block: NCQG

Start of Block: Wealth tax depending on sets of countries

|  |
| --- |
|  |

global\_tax\_support **Imagine an international tax on individuals with net worth above [wealth\_threshold: $1 million].** Only wealth above [wealth\_threshold: $1 million] would be taxed, at a rate of 2%. Each country would retain 70% of the revenues it collects, while 30% would be pooled at the global level to finance public services in low-income countries (in particular, access to drinking water, healthcare, and education in Africa). Say we are in 2030. **Imagine that all other countries in the world adopt this policy. Do you support [country\_name: the United States] adopting this international tax on millionaires?**

* Yes (1)
* No (2)

global\_tax\_support **Imagine an international tax on individuals with net worth above [wealth\_threshold: $1 million].** Only wealth above [wealth\_threshold: $1 million] would be taxed, at a rate of 2%. Each country would retain 70% of the revenues it collects, while 30% would be pooled at the global level to finance public services in low-income countries (in particular, access to drinking water, healthcare, and education in Africa). Say we are in 2030. **Imagine that all other countries in the world adopt this policy. Do you support [country\_name: the United States] adopting this international tax on millionaires?**

* Yes (1)
* No (2)

global\_tax\_support **Imaginez un impôt international sur les millionnaires.** Seule la fortune au-delà de 1 million de francs suisses serait taxée, au taux de 2 %. Chaque pays conserverait 70 % des revenus qu’il collecte, tandis que 30 % seraient mis en commun au niveau mondial pour financer les services publics dans les pays à bas revenus (notamment l’accès à l’eau potable, la santé et l’éducation en Afrique). Disons que nous sommes en 2030. **Imaginez que tous les autres pays du monde adoptent cette mesure. Soutenez-vous l’adoption par les États-Unis de cette taxe internationale sur les millionnaires ?**

* Yes (1)
* No (2)

global\_tax\_support **Imagine an international tax on individuals with net worth above [wealth\_threshold: $1 million].** Only wealth above [wealth\_threshold: $1 million] would be taxed, at a rate of 2%. Each country would retain 70% of the revenues it collects, while 30% would be pooled at the global level to finance public services in low-income countries (in particular, access to drinking water, healthcare, and education in Africa). Say we are in 2030. **Imagine that all other countries in the world adopt this policy. Do you support [country\_name: the United States] adopting this international tax on millionaires?**

* Yes (1)
* No (2)

global\_tax\_support **Imagine an international tax on individuals with net worth above [wealth\_threshold: $1 million].** Only wealth above [wealth\_threshold: $1 million] would be taxed, at a rate of 2%. Each country would retain 70% of the revenues it collects, while 30% would be pooled at the global level to finance public services in low-income countries (in particular, access to drinking water, healthcare, and education in Africa). Say we are in 2030. **Imagine that all other countries in the world adopt this policy. Do you support [country\_name: the United States] adopting this international tax on millionaires?**

* Yes (1)
* No (2)

**تخيل تطبيق ضريبة عالمية تُفرض على الأفراد الذين يتجاوز صافي ثرواتهم [wealth\_threshold: $1 million].** سيتم فرض تلك الضريبة على الثروات التي تتجاوز [wealth\_threshold: $1 million] فحسب، إن هذا النهج من شأنه أن يفرض ضريبة نسبتها 2% على تلك الثروات، وستحتفظ كل دولة بما يُقدر ب 70% من إجمالي العائدات التي تحصّلها، في حين يتم ضخ 30% من إجمالي تلك العائدات في صندوق عالمي من أجل تمويل الخدمات العامة في البلدان منخفضة الدخل (والتي سيتم توجيهها بشكل خاص إلى مشاريع توفير مياه الشرب والرعاية الصحية والتعليم في افريقيا). دعنا نفترض أننا في العام 2030 **وتخيل أن جميع دول العالم تبنت هذه السياسة بحلول هذا الوقت هل تؤيد فرض [country\_name: the United States] ضريبة دولية على الأثرياء؟** global\_tax\_support

* (1) مؤيد
* (2) معارض

global\_tax\_support **Proszę sobie teraz wyobrazić, że został wprowadzony międzynarodowy podatek nakładany na osoby fizyczne, których majątek netto przekracza 4 mln PLN.** Tylko kwoty powyżej 4 mln PLN byłyby obłożone podatkiem w wysokości 2%. Każdy kraj zachowałby 70% przychodów z tego podatku, podczas gdy 30% zostałoby zgromadzone na poziomie globalnym w celu finansowania usług publicznych w krajach o niskim dochodzie (w szczególności dostępu do wody pitnej, opieki zdrowotnej i edukacji w Afryce). Powiedzmy, że jest rok 2030. **Proszę sobie wyobrazić, że wszystkie inne kraje na świecie przyjęły tę politykę. Czy był(a)by Pan/Pani za tym, aby Polska nałożyła ten międzynarodowy podatek od milionerów na swoich obywateli?**

* Tak (1)
* Nie (2)

global\_tax\_support **純資産が[wealth\_threshold: $1 million]を超える個人に対する国際的な課税制度を想像してください。** [wealth\_threshold: $1 million]を超える資産に対してのみ、2％の税率で課税されます。各国は自国で集めた税収のうち70％を保持し、残りの30％は国際的にプールされ、低所得国（特にアフリカにおける飲料水・医療・教育へのアクセス）での公共サービスに充てられます。 今は2030年だとします。世界の他のすべての国**がこの制度を採用していると想像してください。 このとき、[country\_name: the United States] がこの「億万長者への国際課税制度」を導入することを、あなたは支持しますか？**

* はい (1)
* いいえ (2)

global\_tax\_support **Imagine un impuesto internacional sobre las personas con un patrimonio neto superior [wealth\_threshold: $1 million].** Sólo riqueza arriba de [wealth\_threshold: $1 million] se gravaría con una tasa del 2%. Cada país retendría el 70% de los ingresos que recauda, ​​mientras que el 30% restante se reuniría a nivel mundial para financiar servicios públicos en países de bajos ingresos (en particular, el acceso al agua potable, la atención médica y la educación en África). Digamos que estamos en el 2030. **Imagine que todos los demás países del mundo adoptasen esta política. ¿Apoya usted que [country\_name: the United States] adopte este impuesto internacional para los millonarios?**

* Sí (1)
* No (2)

global\_tax\_support **Imagine an international tax on individuals with net worth above [wealth\_threshold: $1 million].** Only wealth above [wealth\_threshold: $1 million] would be taxed, at a rate of 2%. Each country would retain 70% of the revenues it collects, while 30% would be pooled at the global level to finance public services in low-income countries (in particular, access to drinking water, healthcare, and education in Africa). Say we are in 2030. **Imagine that all other countries in the world adopt this policy. Do you support [country\_name: the United States] adopting this international tax on millionaires?**

* Yes (1)
* No (2)

global\_tax\_support **Stellen Sie sich eine internationale Steuer auf Einzelpersonen mit einem Nettovermögen von über [wealth\_threshold: $1 million] vor.** Nur Vermögen oberhalb von [wealth\_threshold: $1 million] würde mit einem Satz von 2 % besteuert. Jedes Land würde 70 % der erhobenen Einnahmen behalten, während 30 % auf globaler Ebene gebündelt würden, um öffentliche Dienstleistungen in einkommensschwachen Ländern zu finanzieren (insbesondere der Zugang zu Trinkwasser, Gesundheitsversorgung und Bildung in Afrika). Angenommen, wir befinden uns im Jahr 2030 und **alle anderen Länder der Welt haben diese Steuer eingeführt.** **Würden Sie es befürworten, dass [country\_name: the United States] diese internationale Steuer für Millionäre ebenfalls einführt?**

* Ja (1)
* Nein (2)

global\_tax\_support **Imaginez un impôt international sur les millionnaires.** Seule la fortune au-delà de 1 million d'euros serait taxée, au taux de 2 %. Chaque pays conserverait 70 % des revenus qu’il collecte, tandis que 30 % seraient mis en commun au niveau mondial pour financer les services publics dans les pays à bas revenus (notamment l’accès à l’eau potable, la santé et l’éducation en Afrique). Disons que nous sommes en 2030. **Imaginez que tous les autres pays du monde adoptent cette mesure. Soutenez-vous l’adoption par les États-Unis de cette taxe internationale sur les millionnaires ?**

* Oui (1)
* Non (2)

global\_tax\_support **Immaginiamo un'imposta internazionale sulle persone con un patrimonio netto superiore a [wealth\_threshold: $1 million] .** Verrebbe tassata solo la ricchezza superiore a [wealth\_threshold: $1 million], con un'aliquota del 2%. Ogni Paese tratterrebbe il 70% delle entrate fiscali riscosse, mentre il 30% verrebbe messo in un fondo comune a livello globale per finanziare i servizi pubblici nei Paesi a basso reddito (in particolare, l'accesso all'acqua potabile, all'assistenza sanitaria e all'istruzione in Africa). Supponiamo di trovarci nel 2030. **Immaginiamo che tutti gli altri Paesi del mondo adottino questa politica. Sostieni l'applicazione da parte della nazione [country\_name: the United States] di questa tassa internazionale sui milionari?**

* Sì (1)
* No (2)

global\_tax\_support **Imagine an international tax on individuals with net worth above [wealth\_threshold: $1 million].** Only wealth above [wealth\_threshold: $1 million] would be taxed, at a rate of 2%. Each country would retain 70% of the revenues it collects, while 30% would be pooled at the global level to finance public services in low-income countries (in particular, access to drinking water, healthcare, and education in Africa). Say we are in 2030. **Imagine that all other countries in the world adopt this policy. Do you support [country\_name: the United States] adopting this international tax on millionaires?**

* Yes (1)
* No (2)

global\_tax\_support **Imagine an international tax on individuals with net worth above [wealth\_threshold: $1 million].** Only wealth above [wealth\_threshold: $1 million] would be taxed, at a rate of 2%. Each country would retain 70% of the revenues it collects, while 30% would be pooled at the global level to finance public services in low-income countries (in particular, access to drinking water, healthcare, and education in Africa). Say we are in 2030. **Imagine that all other countries in the world adopt this policy. Do you support [country\_name: the United States] adopting this international tax on millionaires?**

* Yes (1)
* No (2)

|  |
| --- |
|  |

hic\_tax\_support **Imagine an international tax on individuals with net worth above [wealth\_threshold: $1 million].** Only wealth above [wealth\_threshold: $1 million] would be taxed, at a rate of 2%. Each country would retain 70% of the revenues it collects, while 30% would be pooled at the global level to finance public services in low-income countries (in particular, access to drinking water, healthcare, and education in Africa). Say we are in 2030. **[hic\_tax: Imagine that all other high-income countries (such as the European Union, Japan, and Canada) adopt this policy and some middle-income countries (such as China) do not.]  Do you support [country\_name: the United States] adopting this international tax on millionaires?**

* Yes (1)
* No (2)

hic\_tax\_support **Imagine an international tax on individuals with net worth above [wealth\_threshold: $1 million].** Only wealth above [wealth\_threshold: $1 million] would be taxed, at a rate of 2%. Each country would retain 70% of the revenues it collects, while 30% would be pooled at the global level to finance public services in low-income countries (in particular, access to drinking water, healthcare, and education in Africa). Say we are in 2030. **[hic\_tax: Imagine that all other high-income countries (such as the European Union, Japan, and Canada) adopt this policy and some middle-income countries (such as China) do not.]  Do you support [country\_name: the United States] adopting this international tax on millionaires?**

* Yes (1)
* No (2)

hic\_tax\_support **Imaginez un impôt international sur les millionnaires.** Seule la fortune au-delà de 1 million de francs suisses serait taxée, au taux de 2 %. Chaque pays conserverait 70 % des revenus qu’il collecte, tandis que 30 % seraient mis en commun au niveau mondial pour financer les services publics dans les pays à bas revenus (notamment l’accès à l’eau potable, la santé et l’éducation en Afrique). Disons que nous sommes en 2030. **Imaginez que tous les autres pays à hauts revenus (comme l’Union européenne, le Japon et le Canada) adoptent cette mesure et que certains pays à revenu intermédiaire (comme la Chine) ne le font pas. Soutenez-vous l’adoption par les États-Unis de cette taxe internationale sur les millionnaires ?**

* Yes (1)
* No (2)

hic\_tax\_support **Imagine an international tax on individuals with net worth above [wealth\_threshold: $1 million].** Only wealth above [wealth\_threshold: $1 million] would be taxed, at a rate of 2%. Each country would retain 70% of the revenues it collects, while 30% would be pooled at the global level to finance public services in low-income countries (in particular, access to drinking water, healthcare, and education in Africa). Say we are in 2030. **[hic\_tax: Imagine that all other high-income countries (such as the European Union, Japan, and Canada) adopt this policy and some middle-income countries (such as China) do not.]  Do you support [country\_name: the United States] adopting this international tax on millionaires?**

* Yes (1)
* No (2)

hic\_tax\_support **Imagine an international tax on individuals with net worth above [wealth\_threshold: $1 million].** Only wealth above [wealth\_threshold: $1 million] would be taxed, at a rate of 2%. Each country would retain 70% of the revenues it collects, while 30% would be pooled at the global level to finance public services in low-income countries (in particular, access to drinking water, healthcare, and education in Africa). Say we are in 2030. **[hic\_tax: Imagine that all other high-income countries (such as the European Union, Japan, and Canada) adopt this policy and some middle-income countries (such as China) do not.]  Do you support [country\_name: the United States] adopting this international tax on millionaires?**

* Yes (1)
* No (2)

**تخيل تطبيق ضريبة عالمية تُفرض على الأفراد الذين يتجاوز صافي ثرواتهم [wealth\_threshold: $1 million].** سيتم فرض تلك الضريبة على الثروات التي تتجاوز [wealth\_threshold: $1 million] فحسب، إن هذا النهج من شأنه أن يفرض ضريبة نسبتها 2% على تلك الثروات، وستحتفظ كل دولة بما يُقدر ب 70% من إجمالي العائدات التي تحصّلها، في حين يتم ضخ 30% من إجمالي تلك العائدات في صندوق عالمي من أجل تمويل الخدمات العامة في البلدان منخفضة الدخل (والتي سيتم توجيهها بشكل خاص إلى مشاريع توفير مياه الشرب والرعاية الصحية والتعليم في افريقيا). دعنا نفترض أننا في العام 2030 **[hic\_tax: Imagine that all other high-income countries (such as the European Union, Japan, and Canada) adopt this policy and some middle-income countries (such as China) do not.]  هل تؤيد فرض [country\_name: the United States] لضريبة دولية على الأثرياء؟** hic\_tax\_support

* (1) مؤيد
* (2) معارض

hic\_tax\_support **Proszę sobie teraz wyobrazić, że został wprowadzony międzynarodowy podatek nakładany na osoby fizyczne, których majątek netto przekracza 4 mln PLN.** Tylko kwoty powyżej 4 mln PLN byłyby obłożone podatkiem w wysokości 2%. Każdy kraj zachowałby 70% przychodów z tego podatku, podczas gdy 30% zostałoby zgromadzone na poziomie globalnym w celu finansowania usług publicznych w krajach o niskim dochodzie (w szczególności dostępu do wody pitnej, opieki zdrowotnej i edukacji w Afryce). Powiedzmy, że jest rok 2030. **Proszę sobie wyobrazić, że wszystkie inne kraje o wysokim dochodzie (takie jak Stany Zjednoczone, Japonia, Niemcy, Francja, Wielka Brytania...) przyjęły tę politykę, a niektóre kraje o średnich dochodach (takie jak Chiny) tego nie zrobiły. Czy był(a)by Pan/Pani za tym, aby Polska nałożyła ten międzynarodowy podatek od milionerów na swoich obywateli?**

* Tak (1)
* Nie (2)

hic\_tax\_support **純資産が[wealth\_threshold: $1 million]を超える個人に対する国際的な課税制度を想像してください。** [wealth\_threshold: $1 million]を超える資産に対してのみ、2％の税率で課税されます。各国は自国で集めた税収のうち70％を保持し、残りの30％は国際的にプールされ、低所得国（特にアフリカにおける飲料水・医療・教育へのアクセス）での公共サービスに充てられます。 今は2030年だとします。**[hic\_tax: Imagine that all other high-income countries (such as the European Union, Japan, and Canada) adopt this policy and some middle-income countries (such as China) do not.]  このとき、[country\_name: the United States]がこの「億万長者への国際課税制度」を導入することを、あなたは支持しますか？**

* はい (1)
* いいえ (2)

hic\_tax\_support **Imagine un impuesto internacional sobre las personas con un patrimonio neto superior [wealth\_threshold: $1 million].** Sólo riqueza arriba de [wealth\_threshold: $1 million] se gravaría con una tasa del 2%. Cada país retendría el 70% de los ingresos que recauda, ​​mientras que el 30% restante se reuniría a nivel mundial para financiar servicios públicos en países de bajos ingresos (en particular, el acceso al agua potable, la atención médica y la educación en África). Digamos que estamos en 2030. **[hic\_tax: Imagine que todos los países de altos ingresos (como la Unión Europea, Japón, y Canadá) adoptasen esta política y algunos países de ingreso medio (como China) no lo hacen.]  ¿Apoya usted que [country\_name: the United States] adopte este impuesto internacional para los millonarios?**

* Sí (1)
* No (2)

hic\_tax\_support **Imagine an international tax on individuals with net worth above [wealth\_threshold: $1 million].** Only wealth above [wealth\_threshold: $1 million] would be taxed, at a rate of 2%. Each country would retain 70% of the revenues it collects, while 30% would be pooled at the global level to finance public services in low-income countries (in particular, access to drinking water, healthcare, and education in Africa). Say we are in 2030. **[hic\_tax: Imagine that all other high-income countries (such as the European Union, Japan, and Canada) adopt this policy and some middle-income countries (such as China) do not.]  Do you support [country\_name: the United States] adopting this international tax on millionaires?**

* Yes (1)
* No (2)

hic\_tax\_support **Stellen Sie sich eine internationale Steuer auf Einzelpersonen mit einem Nettovermögen von über [wealth\_threshold: $1 million] vor.** Nur Vermögen oberhalb von [wealth\_threshold: $1 million] würde mit einem Satz von 2 % besteuert. Jedes Land würde 70 % der erhobenen Einnahmen behalten, während 30 % auf globaler Ebene gebündelt würden, um öffentliche Dienstleistungen in einkommensschwachen Ländern zu finanzieren (insbesondere der Zugang zu Trinkwasser, Gesundheitsversorgung und Bildung in Afrika). Angenommen, wir befinden uns im Jahr 2030. **[hic\_tax: Imagine that all other high-income countries (such as the European Union, Japan, and Canada) adopt this policy and some middle-income countries (such as China) do not.]  Würden Sie es befürworten, dass [country\_name: the United States] diese internationale Steuer für Millionäre ebenfalls einführt?**

* Ja (1)
* Nein (2)

hic\_tax\_support **Imaginez un impôt international sur les millionnaires.** Seule la fortune au-delà de 1 million d'euros serait taxée, au taux de 2 %. Chaque pays conserverait 70 % des revenus qu’il collecte, tandis que 30 % seraient mis en commun au niveau mondial pour financer les services publics dans les pays à bas revenus (notamment l’accès à l’eau potable, la santé et l’éducation en Afrique). Disons que nous sommes en 2030. **Imaginez que tous les autres pays à hauts revenus (comme l’Union européenne, le Japon et le Canada) adoptent cette mesure et que certains pays à revenu intermédiaire (comme la Chine) ne le font pas. Soutenez-vous l’adoption par les États-Unis de cette taxe internationale sur les millionnaires ?**

* Oui (1)
* Non (2)

hic\_tax\_support **Immaginiamo un'imposta internazionale sulle persone con un patrimonio netto superiore a [wealth\_threshold: $1 million] .** Verrebbe tassata solo la ricchezza superiore a [wealth\_threshold: $1 million] , con un'aliquota del 2%. Ogni Paese tratterrebbe il 70% delle entrate fiscali riscosse, mentre il 30% verrebbe messo in un fondo comune a livello globale per finanziare i servizi pubblici nei Paesi a basso reddito (in particolare, l'accesso all'acqua potabile, all'assistenza sanitaria e all'istruzione in Africa). Supponiamo di trovarci nel 2030. **[hic\_tax: Imagine that all other high-income countries (such as the European Union, Japan, and Canada) adopt this policy and some middle-income countries (such as China) do not.]** **Sostieni l'adozione da parte della nazione [country\_name: the United States] di questa tassa internazionale sui milionari?**

* Sì (1)
* No (2)

hic\_tax\_support **Imagine an international tax on individuals with net worth above [wealth\_threshold: $1 million].** Only wealth above [wealth\_threshold: $1 million] would be taxed, at a rate of 2%. Each country would retain 70% of the revenues it collects, while 30% would be pooled at the global level to finance public services in low-income countries (in particular, access to drinking water, healthcare, and education in Africa). Say we are in 2030. **[hic\_tax: Imagine that all other high-income countries (such as the European Union, Japan, and Canada) adopt this policy and some middle-income countries (such as China) do not.]  Do you support [country\_name: the United States] adopting this international tax on millionaires?**

* Yes (1)
* No (2)

hic\_tax\_support **Imagine an international tax on individuals with net worth above [wealth\_threshold: $1 million].** Only wealth above [wealth\_threshold: $1 million] would be taxed, at a rate of 2%. Each country would retain 70% of the revenues it collects, while 30% would be pooled at the global level to finance public services in low-income countries (in particular, access to drinking water, healthcare, and education in Africa). Say we are in 2030. **[hic\_tax: Imagine that all other high-income countries (such as the European Union, Japan, and Canada) adopt this policy and some middle-income countries (such as China) do not.]  Do you support [country\_name: the United States] adopting this international tax on millionaires?**

* Yes (1)
* No (2)

|  |
| --- |
|  |

intl\_tax\_support **Imagine an international tax on individuals with net worth above [wealth\_threshold: $1 million].** Only wealth above [wealth\_threshold: $1 million] would be taxed, at a rate of 2%. Each country would retain 70% of the revenues it collects, while 30% would be pooled at the global level to finance public services in low-income countries (in particular, access to drinking water, healthcare, and education in Africa). Say we are in 2030. **[intl\_tax: Imagine that some countries (such as the European Union) adopt this policy and others (such as Japan, Canada, and China) do not.] Do you support [country\_name: the United States] adopting this international tax on millionaires?**

* Yes (1)
* No (2)

intl\_tax\_support **Imagine an international tax on individuals with net worth above [wealth\_threshold: $1 million].** Only wealth above [wealth\_threshold: $1 million] would be taxed, at a rate of 2%. Each country would retain 70% of the revenues it collects, while 30% would be pooled at the global level to finance public services in low-income countries (in particular, access to drinking water, healthcare, and education in Africa). Say we are in 2030. **[intl\_tax: Imagine that some countries (such as the European Union) adopt this policy and others (such as Japan, Canada, and China) do not.] Do you support [country\_name: the United States] adopting this international tax on millionaires?**

* Yes (1)
* No (2)

intl\_tax\_support **Imaginez un impôt international sur les millionnaires.** Seule la fortune au-delà de 1 million de francs suisses serait taxée, au taux de 2 %. Chaque pays conserverait 70 % des revenus qu’il collecte, tandis que 30 % seraient mutualisés au niveau mondial pour financer les services publics des pays à bas revenus (notamment l’accès à l’eau potable, la santé et l’éducation en Afrique). Disons que nous sommes en 2030. **Imaginez que certains pays (comme l’Union européenne) adoptent cette politique et que d’autres (comme le Japon, le Canada et la Chine) ne le feront pas. Soutenez-vous l’adoption par les États-Unis de cette taxe internationale sur les millionnaires ?**

* Yes (1)
* No (2)

intl\_tax\_support **Imagine an international tax on individuals with net worth above [wealth\_threshold: $1 million].** Only wealth above [wealth\_threshold: $1 million] would be taxed, at a rate of 2%. Each country would retain 70% of the revenues it collects, while 30% would be pooled at the global level to finance public services in low-income countries (in particular, access to drinking water, healthcare, and education in Africa). Say we are in 2030. **[intl\_tax: Imagine that some countries (such as the European Union) adopt this policy and others (such as Japan, Canada, and China) do not.] Do you support [country\_name: the United States] adopting this international tax on millionaires?**

* Yes (1)
* No (2)

intl\_tax\_support **Imagine an international tax on individuals with net worth above [wealth\_threshold: $1 million].** Only wealth above [wealth\_threshold: $1 million] would be taxed, at a rate of 2%. Each country would retain 70% of the revenues it collects, while 30% would be pooled at the global level to finance public services in low-income countries (in particular, access to drinking water, healthcare, and education in Africa). Say we are in 2030. **[intl\_tax: Imagine that some countries (such as the European Union) adopt this policy and others (such as Japan, Canada, and China) do not.] Do you support [country\_name: the United States] adopting this international tax on millionaires?**

* Yes (1)
* No (2)

**تخيل تطبيق ضريبة عالمية تُفرض على الأفراد الذين يتجاوز صافي ثرواتهم [wealth\_threshold: $1 million].** سيتم فرض تلك الضريبة على الثروات التي تتجاوز [wealth\_threshold: $1 million] فحسب، إن هذا النهج من شأنه أن يفرض ضريبة نسبتها 2% على تلك الثروات، وستحتفظ كل دولة بما يُقدر ب 70% من إجمالي العائدات التي تحصّلها، في حين يتم ضخ 30% من إجمالي تلك العائدات في صندوق عالمي من أجل تمويل الخدمات العامة في البلدان منخفضة الدخل (والتي سيتم توجيهها بشكل خاص إلى مشاريع توفير مياه الشرب والرعاية الصحية والتعليم في افريقيا). دعنا نفترض أننا في العام 2030 **[intl\_tax: Imagine that some countries (such as the European Union) adopt this policy and others (such as Japan, Canada, and China) do not.]** **هل تؤيد فرض [country\_name: the United States] لضريبة دولية على الأثرياء؟** intl\_tax\_support

* (1) مؤيد
* (2) معارض

intl\_tax\_support **Proszę sobie teraz wyobrazić, że został wprowadzony międzynarodowy podatek nakładany na osoby fizyczne, których majątek netto przekracza 4 mln PLN.** Tylko kwoty powyżej 4 mln PLN byłyby obłożone podatkiem w wysokości 2%. Każdy kraj zachowałby 70% przychodów z tego podatku, podczas gdy 30% zostałoby zgromadzone na poziomie globalnym w celu finansowania usług publicznych w krajach o niskim dochodzie (w szczególności dostępu do wody pitnej, opieki zdrowotnej i edukacji w Afryce). Powiedzmy, że jest rok 2030. **Proszę sobie wyobrazić, że niektóre kraje (takie jak Niemcy, Francja, Hiszpania, Wielka Brytania, Brazylia...) przyjęły tę politykę, a inne (takie jak Stany Zjednoczone i Chiny) tego nie zrobiły. Czy był(a)by Pan/Pani za tym, aby Polska nałożyła ten międzynarodowy podatek od milionerów na swoich obywateli?**

* Tak (1)
* Nie (2)

intl\_tax\_support **純資産が[wealth\_threshold: $1 million]を超える個人に対する国際的な課税制度を想像してください。** [wealth\_threshold: $1 million]を超える資産に対してのみ、2％の税率で課税されます。各国は自国で集めた税収の70％を保持し、残りの30％は国際的にプールされ、低所得国における公共サービス（特にアフリカにおける飲料水、医療、教育へのアクセス）に充てられます。 今は2030年だとします。 **[intl\_tax: Imagine that some countries (such as the European Union) adopt this policy and others (such as Japan, Canada, and China) do not.] このとき、[country\_name: the United States]がこの「億万長者への国際課税制度」を導入することを、あなたは支持しますか？**

* はい (1)
* いいえ (2)

intl\_tax\_support **Imagine un impuesto internacional sobre las personas con un patrimonio neto superior [wealth\_threshold: $1 million].** Sólo riqueza arriba de [wealth\_threshold: $1 million] se gravaría con una tasa del 2%. Cada país retendría el 70% de los ingresos que recauda, ​​mientras que el 30% restante se reuniría a nivel mundial para financiar servicios públicos en países de bajos ingresos (en particular, el acceso al agua potable, la atención médica y la educación en África). Digamos que estamos en el 2030. **[intl\_tax: Imagine que algunos países (como la Unión Europea) adoptan esta política y otros (como Japón, Canadá y China) no lo hacen.] ¿Apoya usted que [country\_name: the United States] adopte este impuesto internacional para los millonarios?**

* Sí (1)
* No (2)

intl\_tax\_support **Imagine an international tax on individuals with net worth above [wealth\_threshold: $1 million].** Only wealth above [wealth\_threshold: $1 million] would be taxed, at a rate of 2%. Each country would retain 70% of the revenues it collects, while 30% would be pooled at the global level to finance public services in low-income countries (in particular, access to drinking water, healthcare, and education in Africa). Say we are in 2030. **[intl\_tax: Imagine that some countries (such as the European Union) adopt this policy and others (such as Japan, Canada, and China) do not.] Do you support [country\_name: the United States] adopting this international tax on millionaires?**

* Yes (1)
* No (2)

intl\_tax\_support **Stellen Sie sich eine internationale Steuer auf Einzelpersonen mit einem Nettovermögen von über [wealth\_threshold: $1 million] vor.** Nur Vermögen oberhalb von [wealth\_threshold: $1 million] würde mit einem Satz von 2 % besteuert. Jedes Land würde 70 % der erhobenen Einnahmen behalten, während 30 % auf globaler Ebene gebündelt würden, um öffentliche Dienstleistungen in einkommensschwachen Ländern zu finanzieren (insbesondere der Zugang zu Trinkwasser, Gesundheitsversorgung und Bildung in Afrika).   Angenommen, wir befinden uns im Jahr 2030.  **[intl\_tax: Imagine that some countries (such as the European Union) adopt this policy and others (such as Japan, Canada, and China) do not.] Würden Sie es befürworten, dass [country\_name: the United States] diese internationale Steuer für Millionäre ebenfalls einführt?**

* Ja (1)
* Nein (2)

intl\_tax\_support **Imaginez un impôt international sur les millionnaires.** Seule la fortune au-delà de 1 million d'euros serait taxée, au taux de 2 %. Chaque pays conserverait 70 % des revenus qu’il collecte, tandis que 30 % seraient mutualisés au niveau mondial pour financer les services publics des pays à bas revenus (notamment l’accès à l’eau potable, la santé et l’éducation en Afrique). Disons que nous sommes en 2030. **Imaginez que certains pays (comme l’Union européenne) adoptent cette politique et que d’autres (comme le Japon, le Canada et la Chine) ne le feront pas. Soutenez-vous l’adoption par les États-Unis de cette taxe internationale sur les millionnaires ?**

* Oui (1)
* Non (2)

intl\_tax\_support **Immaginiamo un'imposta internazionale sulle persone con un patrimonio netto superiore a [wealth\_threshold: $1 million] .** Verrebbe tassata solo la ricchezza superiore a [wealth\_threshold: $1 million], con un'aliquota del 2%. Ogni Paese tratterrebbe il 70% delle entrate fiscali riscosse, mentre il 30% verrebbe messo in un fondo comune a livello globale per finanziare i servizi pubblici nei Paesi a basso reddito (in particolare, l'accesso all'acqua potabile, all'assistenza sanitaria e all'istruzione in Africa). Supponiamo di trovarci nel 2030. **[intl\_tax: Imagine that some countries (such as the European Union) adopt this policy and others (such as Japan, Canada, and China) do not.] Sostieni l'adozione da parte della nazione [country\_name: the United States] di questa tassa internazionale sui milionari?**

* Sì (1)
* No (2)

intl\_tax\_support **Imagine an international tax on individuals with net worth above [wealth\_threshold: $1 million].** Only wealth above [wealth\_threshold: $1 million] would be taxed, at a rate of 2%. Each country would retain 70% of the revenues it collects, while 30% would be pooled at the global level to finance public services in low-income countries (in particular, access to drinking water, healthcare, and education in Africa). Say we are in 2030. **[intl\_tax: Imagine that some countries (such as the European Union) adopt this policy and others (such as Japan, Canada, and China) do not.] Do you support [country\_name: the United States] adopting this international tax on millionaires?**

* Yes (1)
* No (2)

intl\_tax\_support **Imagine an international tax on individuals with net worth above [wealth\_threshold: $1 million].** Only wealth above [wealth\_threshold: $1 million] would be taxed, at a rate of 2%. Each country would retain 70% of the revenues it collects, while 30% would be pooled at the global level to finance public services in low-income countries (in particular, access to drinking water, healthcare, and education in Africa). Say we are in 2030. **[intl\_tax: Imagine that some countries (such as the European Union) adopt this policy and others (such as Japan, Canada, and China) do not.] Do you support [country\_name: the United States] adopting this international tax on millionaires?**

* Yes (1)
* No (2)

End of Block: Wealth tax depending on sets of countries

Start of Block: Scenarios & radical tax

Display this question:

If variant\_radical\_redistr = 1

|  |
| --- |
|  |

sustainable\_future\_a **Consider two possible scenarios for the world for the next 20 years.  Scenario A**: Most countries implement coordinated policies to limit global warming to +2°C and reduce inequality. The world greatly reduces greenhouse gas emissions and is on track to meet its climate target. Taxes on millionaires fund the installation of heat pumps, the thermal insulation of buildings, and improved public transportation. Yachts and private jets are phased out worldwide. Cars are all electric by 2045, and they are about the same price as internal combustion cars nowadays. By 2045, environmental regulations gradually double the price heating fuel or gas, air travel, and beef. As a result, people fly half as much, eat half as much meat, and use more public transportation in 2045 than they did in 2025. Despite higher prices for polluting goods, the overall purchasing power is preserved, thanks to a decrease in sales tax that reduces the prices of non-polluting goods. **Scenario B**: Since 2025, no additional policies are implemented to address climate change or inequality. People maintain the same lifestyles as in 2025. For example, most people continue to drive cars with internal combustion engines. Greenhouse gas emissions are stable. Global warming is expected to reach +3°C by 2100 and higher levels beyond that date. A warmer climate will cause more frequent and more severe droughts, heatwaves, wildfires, and floodings. Apart from the elements described, the two scenarios are the same (for example, in terms of unemployment or crime). **Which scenario do you prefer for the future?**

* Scenario A (1)
* Scenario B (2)

sustainable\_future\_a **Consider two possible scenarios for the world for the next 20 years.  Scenario A**: Most countries implement coordinated policies to limit global warming to +2°C and reduce inequality. The world greatly reduces greenhouse gas emissions and is on track to meet its climate target. Taxes on millionaires fund the installation of heat pumps, the thermal insulation of buildings, and improved public transportation. Yachts and private jets are phased out worldwide. Cars are all electric by 2045, and they are about the same price as internal combustion cars nowadays. By 2045, environmental regulations gradually double the price heating fuel or gas, air travel, and beef. As a result, people fly half as much, eat half as much meat, and use more public transportation in 2045 than they did in 2025. Despite higher prices for polluting goods, the overall purchasing power is preserved, thanks to a decrease in sales tax that reduces the prices of non-polluting goods. **Scenario B**: Since 2025, no additional policies are implemented to address climate change or inequality. People maintain the same lifestyles as in 2025. For example, most people continue to drive cars with internal combustion engines. Greenhouse gas emissions are stable. Global warming is expected to reach +3°C by 2100 and higher levels beyond that date. A warmer climate will cause more frequent and more severe droughts, heatwaves, wildfires, and floodings. Apart from the elements described, the two scenarios are the same (for example, in terms of unemployment or crime). **Which scenario do you prefer for the future?**

* Scenario A (1)
* Scenario B (2)

sustainable\_future\_a **Consider two possible scenarios for the world for the next 20 years.  Scenario A**: Most countries implement coordinated policies to limit global warming to +2°C and reduce inequality. The world greatly reduces greenhouse gas emissions and is on track to meet its climate target. Taxes on millionaires fund the installation of heat pumps, the thermal insulation of buildings, and improved public transportation. Yachts and private jets are phased out worldwide. Cars are all electric by 2045, and they are about the same price as internal combustion cars nowadays. By 2045, environmental regulations gradually double the price heating fuel or gas, air travel, and beef. As a result, people fly half as much, eat half as much meat, and use more public transportation in 2045 than they did in 2025. Despite higher prices for polluting goods, the overall purchasing power is preserved, thanks to a decrease in sales tax that reduces the prices of non-polluting goods. **Scenario B**: Since 2025, no additional policies are implemented to address climate change or inequality. People maintain the same lifestyles as in 2025. For example, most people continue to drive cars with internal combustion engines. Greenhouse gas emissions are stable. Global warming is expected to reach +3°C by 2100 and higher levels beyond that date. A warmer climate will cause more frequent and more severe droughts, heatwaves, wildfires, and floodings. Apart from the elements described, the two scenarios are the same (for example, in terms of unemployment or crime). **Which scenario do you prefer for the future?**

* Scenario A (1)
* Scenario B (2)

sustainable\_future\_a **Consider two possible scenarios for the world for the next 20 years.  Scenario A**: Most countries implement coordinated policies to limit global warming to +2°C and reduce inequality. The world greatly reduces greenhouse gas emissions and is on track to meet its climate target. Taxes on millionaires fund the installation of heat pumps, the thermal insulation of buildings, and improved public transportation. Yachts and private jets are phased out worldwide. Cars are all electric by 2045, and they are about the same price as internal combustion cars nowadays. By 2045, environmental regulations gradually double the price heating fuel or gas, air travel, and beef. As a result, people fly half as much, eat half as much meat, and use more public transportation in 2045 than they did in 2025. Despite higher prices for polluting goods, the overall purchasing power is preserved, thanks to a decrease in sales tax that reduces the prices of non-polluting goods. **Scenario B**: Since 2025, no additional policies are implemented to address climate change or inequality. People maintain the same lifestyles as in 2025. For example, most people continue to drive cars with internal combustion engines. Greenhouse gas emissions are stable. Global warming is expected to reach +3°C by 2100 and higher levels beyond that date. A warmer climate will cause more frequent and more severe droughts, heatwaves, wildfires, and floodings. Apart from the elements described, the two scenarios are the same (for example, in terms of unemployment or crime). **Which scenario do you prefer for the future?**

* Scenario A (1)
* Scenario B (2)

sustainable\_future\_a **Consider two possible scenarios for the world for the next 20 years.  Scenario A**: Most countries implement coordinated policies to limit global warming to +2°C and reduce inequality. The world greatly reduces greenhouse gas emissions and is on track to meet its climate target. Taxes on millionaires fund the installation of heat pumps, the thermal insulation of buildings, and improved public transportation. Yachts and private jets are phased out worldwide. Cars are all electric by 2045, and they are about the same price as internal combustion cars nowadays. By 2045, environmental regulations gradually double the price heating fuel or gas, air travel, and beef. As a result, people fly half as much, eat half as much meat, and use more public transportation in 2045 than they did in 2025. Despite higher prices for polluting goods, the overall purchasing power is preserved, thanks to a decrease in sales tax that reduces the prices of non-polluting goods. **Scenario B**: Since 2025, no additional policies are implemented to address climate change or inequality. People maintain the same lifestyles as in 2025. For example, most people continue to drive cars with internal combustion engines. Greenhouse gas emissions are stable. Global warming is expected to reach +3°C by 2100 and higher levels beyond that date. A warmer climate will cause more frequent and more severe droughts, heatwaves, wildfires, and floodings. Apart from the elements described, the two scenarios are the same (for example, in terms of unemployment or crime). **Which scenario do you prefer for the future?**

* Scenario A (1)
* Scenario B (2)

**يُرجى تخيل سيناريوهين محتملين للعالم خلال العشرين عامًا القادمة. السيناريو أ** : تتضافر جهود أغلب الدول في تطبيق سياسات موحدة للحد من ظاهرة الاحتباس الحراري العالمي والسيطرة على معدلات ارتفاع درجات الحرارة في حدود 2 درجة مئوية، فضلًا عن معالجة قضايا عدم المساواة. سيبذل العالم جهودًا كبيرة لخفض انبعاثات الغازات المسببة للاحتباس الحراري وسيسير على الطريق الصحيح لتحقيق المستهدف المناخي المطلوب. ستُستخدم الضرائب المفروضة على الأثرياء في تمويل تركيب المضخات الحرارية، وتطبيق العزل الحراري للمباني، وتحسين وسائل النقل العام. كما سيتم تدريجيًا تقليل الاعتماد على اليخوت والطائرات الخاصة في كافة أنحاء العالم. كما ستعمل كافة السيارات بالطاقة الكهربائية بحلول عام 2045، وستقترب تكلفتها من تكلفة شراء سيارات الاحتراق الداخلي التقليدية الحالية. أما بحلول عام 2045، ستؤدي الاشتراطات البيئية إلى مضاعفة أسعار وقود التدفئة أو الغاز الطبيعي، وكذلك السفر الجوي، ولحوم البقر تدريجيًا. ونتيجة لتطبيق تلك السياسات، سينخفض ​​عدد المسافرين جوًا إلى النصف، وسيتناول البشر نصف كمية اللحوم التي اعتادوا على تناولها، كما سيزداد استخدامهم وسائل النقل العام في عام 2045 مقارنة بما كانوا يفعلونه سابقًا في عام 2025. وعلى الرغم من ارتفاع أسعار السلع الملوَثة، ستظل القدرة الشرائية الإجمالية محفوظة، ويرجع ذلك إلى انخفاض ضريبة القيمة المُضافة التي تقلل من أسعار السلع غير الملوَثة. **السيناريو ب** : منذ عام 2025، لم يتم تنفيذ أي سياسات إضافية لمعالجة التغيرات المناخية أو عدم المساواة. بالتالي استمر الناس بعيش نفس أنماط الحياة اليومية كما في عام 2025. فعلى سبيل المثال، استمر معظم الناس في استخدام السيارات التي تعمل بمحركات الاحتراق الداخلي. وأدي ذلك إلى استقرار انبعاثات الغازات المسببة للاحتباس الحراري. فأصبح من المتوقع أن يتسبب الاحتباس الحراري العالمي في رفع درجة حرارة الكوكب بأكمله بمعدل 3 درجة مئوية بحلول عام 2100 وصولًا لمستويات أعلى بعد ذلك التاريخ. وبدوره سيؤدي المناخ الأكثر دفئًا إلى حدوث موجات جفاف أكثر تواترًا وشدة، فضلًا عن موجات الحر، وحرائق الغابات، والفيضانات التي ستضرب المناطق الساحلية. وبخلاف العوامل السابق ذكرها أعلاه، فإن السيناريوهين متماثلين (على سبيل المثال، فيما يتعلق بنسب البطالة أو معدلات الجريمة). **ما هو السيناريو الذي تفضل حدوثه في المستقبل؟** sustainable\_future\_a

* (1) السيناريو أ
* (2) السيناريو ب

sustainable\_future\_a **Rozważmy teraz dwa możliwe scenariusze dla sytuacji na świecie w najbliższych 20 latach.** **Scenariusz A:** Większość krajów wdraża skoordynowaną politykę w celu ograniczenia globalnego ocieplenia do +2°C i zmniejszenia nierówności. Świat znacznie zmniejsza emisję gazów cieplarnianych i jest na dobrej drodze do osiągnięcia celu klimatycznego. Podatki od milionerów finansują instalację pomp ciepła, izolację cieplną budynków i poprawę transportu publicznego. Jachty i prywatne odrzutowce są stopniowo wycofywane na całym świecie. Samochody są całkowicie elektryczne do 2045 roku i kosztują tyle samo co samochody spalinowe. Do roku 2045 przepisy ochrony środowiska stopniowo powodują, że ceny wołowiny, paliwa grzewczego lub gazu, a także cena podróży lotniczych, wzrastają dwukrotnie. W rezultacie w 2045 r. ludzie latają o połowę mniej, jedzą o połowę mniej mięsa i częściej korzystają z transportu publicznego niż w 2025. Pomimo wyższych cen za towary generujące zanieczyszczenia, ogólna siła nabywcza jest zachowana dzięki zmniejszeniu podatku VAT, który obniża ceny towarów niezanieczyszczających środowiska. **Scenariusz B:** Od 2025 r. nie wdrożono żadnych dodatkowych inicjatyw w celu przeciwdziałania zmianom klimatycznym ani nierównościom. Ludzie zachowują ten sam styl życia, co w 2025 roku. Na przykład, większość ludzi nadal prowadzi samochody z silnikami spalinowymi. Emisja gazów cieplarnianych jest stabilna. Oczekuje się, że globalne ocieplenie osiągnie +3°C do 2100 r. i wyższe poziomy po tej dacie. Cieplejszy klimat powoduje częstsze i poważniejsze susze, fale upałów, pożary i powodzie w strefach przybrzeżnych. Poza opisanymi elementami oba scenariusze są takie same (na przykład pod względem bezrobocia lub przestępczości). **Który scenariusz bardziej Panu/Pani odpowiada?**

* Scenariusz A (1)
* Scenariusz B (2)

sustainable\_future\_a **今後20年間の世界について、2つのシナリオを考えてみましょう。  シナリオA**: 多くの国が協力して、地球温暖化を+2℃以内に抑え、不平等の解消にも取り組みます。温室効果ガスの排出は大きく減り、気候目標の達成に向かって順調に進みます。富裕層への課税によって、ヒートポンプの設置や建物の断熱、公共交通の整備が進められます。ヨットとプライベート ジェットは世界中で段階的に廃止されます。2045年にはすべての車が電気自動車になり、価格も今のガソリン車と同じくらいになります。2045年には、環境規制により、暖房用の燃料やガス、飛行機、牛肉の価格は徐々に2倍になります。その結果、人々が飛行機に乗る回数は半分になり、肉の摂取量は半分になり、公共交通の利用が増えます。汚染物質を排出する商品の価格は上昇しますが、消費税の引き下げにより非汚染物質商品の価格が下がるため、全体的な購買力は維持されます。 **シナリオB**: 2025年以降、気候変動や不平等に対する新たな政策は導入されません。人々は2025年と同じライフスタイルを維持します。たとえば、ほとんどの人が引き続きガソリン車を運転し続けます。温室効果ガスの排出量は横ばいで推移します。地球温暖化は2100年までに+3℃に達し、その後さらに進行する見込みです。気温の上昇により、干ばつ、熱波、山火事、洪水といった自然災害がより頻繁かつ深刻になります。 ここで記述されている内容以外の点（失業率や犯罪率など）は、両シナリオとも同じとします。 **あなたは将来、どちらのシナリオを望みますか？**

* シナリオA (1)
* シナリオB (2)

sustainable\_future\_a **Consideremos dos posibles escenarios para el mundo durante los próximos 20 años.  Escenario A**: La mayoría de los países implementan políticas coordinadas para limitar el calentamiento global a 2 °C y reducir la desigualdad. El mundo reduce considerablemente las emisiones de gases de efecto invernadero y va por buen camino para cumplir su objetivo climático. Los impuestos a los millonarios financian la instalación de bombas de calor, el aislamiento térmico de los edificios y la mejora del transporte público. Los yates y aviones privados se eliminan gradualmente en todo el mundo. Para 2045, todos los coches serán eléctricos y su precio es similar al de los coches de combustión interna actuales. Para 2045, las regulaciones ambientales duplicarán gradualmente el precio del combustible para calefacción o el gas, los viajes en avión y la carne de vacuno. Como resultado, en 2045 la gente volará la mitad, comerá la mitad de carne y usará más el transporte público que en 2025. A pesar del aumento de los precios de los productos contaminantes, el poder adquisitivo general se mantiene gracias a una disminución del impuesto sobre las ventas que reduce los precios de los productos no contaminantes. **Escenario B**: Desde 2025, no se implementan políticas adicionales para abordar el cambio climático ni la desigualdad. Las personas mantienen el mismo estilo de vida que en 2025. Por ejemplo, la mayoría de las personas siguen conduciendo automóviles con motores de combustión interna. Las emisiones de gases de efecto invernadero se mantienen estables. Se prevé que el calentamiento global alcance los 3 °C para 2100 y niveles superiores después de esa fecha. Un clima más cálido provocará sequías, olas de calor, incendios forestales e inundaciones costeras más frecuentes y graves. Aparte de los elementos descritos, ambos escenarios son iguales (por ejemplo, en términos de desempleo o delincuencia). **¿Qué escenario prefiere para el futuro?**

* Escenario A (1)
* Escenario B (2)

sustainable\_future\_a **Consider two possible scenarios for the world for the next 20 years.  Scenario A**: Most countries implement coordinated policies to limit global warming to +2°C and reduce inequality. The world greatly reduces greenhouse gas emissions and is on track to meet its climate target. Taxes on millionaires fund the installation of heat pumps, the thermal insulation of buildings, and improved public transportation. Yachts and private jets are phased out worldwide. Cars are all electric by 2045, and they are about the same price as internal combustion cars nowadays. By 2045, environmental regulations gradually double the price heating fuel or gas, air travel, and beef. As a result, people fly half as much, eat half as much meat, and use more public transportation in 2045 than they did in 2025. Despite higher prices for polluting goods, the overall purchasing power is preserved, thanks to a decrease in sales tax that reduces the prices of non-polluting goods. **Scenario B**: Since 2025, no additional policies are implemented to address climate change or inequality. People maintain the same lifestyles as in 2025. For example, most people continue to drive cars with internal combustion engines. Greenhouse gas emissions are stable. Global warming is expected to reach +3°C by 2100 and higher levels beyond that date. A warmer climate will cause more frequent and more severe droughts, heatwaves, wildfires, and floodings. Apart from the elements described, the two scenarios are the same (for example, in terms of unemployment or crime). **Which scenario do you prefer for the future?**

* Scenario A (1)
* Scenario B (2)

sustainable\_future\_a **Stellen Sie sich zwei mögliche Zukunftsszenarien für die nächsten 20 Jahre vor.** **Szenario A**: Die meisten Länder ergreifen koordinierte Maßnahmen, um die Erderwärmung auf 2°C zu begrenzen und Ungleichheit zu verringern. Die Treibhausgasemissionen sinken deutlich und das Klimaziel wird erreicht werden. Die Besteuerung von Millionären finanziert den Einbau von Wärmepumpen, bessere Gebäudedämmung und den Ausbau des öffentlichen Nahverkehrs. Yachten und Privatjets werden weltweit abgeschafft. Autos sind bis 2045 ausschließlich elektrisch und kosten etwa so viel wie heutige Autos mit Verbrennungsmotoren. Durch strengere Umweltvorschriften verdoppeln sich bis 2045 schrittweise die Preise für Heizöl, Gas, Flugreisen und Rindfleisch. Dadurch verringert sich die Anzahl der Flugreisen und der Fleischkonsum um die Hälfte, während die Nutzung öffentlicher Verkehrsmittel steigt. Trotz höherer Preise für umweltschädliche Produkte bleibt die Kaufkraft stabil, da eine Senkung der Mehrwertsteuer die Preise für umweltfreundliche Produkte reduziert. **Szenario B**: Seit 2025 werden keine zusätzlichen Maßnahmen gegen den Klimawandel oder gegen Ungleichheit ergriffen. Die Menschen behalten ihren Lebensstil aus dem Jahr 2025 weitgehend bei. Die meisten fahren weiterhin Autos mit Verbrennungsmotor und die globalen Treibhausgasemissionen bleiben konstant. Die Erderwärmung wird bis 2100 +3°C erreichen und danach weiter ansteigen. Ein wärmeres Klima führt zu häufigeren und stärkeren Dürren, Hitzewellen, Waldbränden und Küstenüberschwemmungen. Abgesehen von diesen Faktoren sind die beiden Szenarien in anderen Bereichen, wie Arbeitsmarkt und Kriminalitätsraten, identisch. **Welches Szenario bevorzugen Sie für die Zukunft?**

* Szenario A (1)
* Szenario B (2)

sustainable\_future\_a **Considérez deux scénarios possibles pour le monde dans les 20 prochaines années. Scénario A** : La plupart des pays mettent en œuvre des politiques coordonnées pour limiter le réchauffement climatique à +2°C et réduire les inégalités. Le monde réduit considérablement les émissions de gaz à effet de serre et est en bonne voie pour atteindre l'objectif climatique. Des impôts sur les millionnaires financent l’installation de pompes à chaleur, l’isolation thermique des bâtiments et l’amélioration des transports publics. Les yachts et les jets privés sont progressivement supprimés dans le monde entier. Les voitures sont entièrement électriques d’ici 2045 et coûtent à peu près le même prix que les voitures thermiques d’aujourd’hui. D’ici 2045, les réglementations environnementales multiplient progressivement par deux le prix du bœuf, du fioul ou du gaz de chauffage, et du transport aérien. En conséquence, les gens prennent l’avion deux fois moins souvent, mangent deux fois moins de viande et utilisent davantage les transports en commun en 2045 qu’en 2025. Malgré des prix plus élevés pour les biens polluants, le pouvoir d’achat général est préservé, grâce à une baisse de la TVA qui réduit les prix des biens non polluants. **Scénario B** : Depuis 2025, aucune mesure supplémentaire n’est mise en œuvre pour lutter contre le changement climatique ou les inégalités. Les gens maintiennent le même mode de vie qu’en 2025. Par exemple, la plupart des gens continuent de conduire des voitures thermiques. Les émissions de gaz à effet de serre sont stables. Le réchauffement climatique devrait atteindre +3°C d’ici 2100 et des niveaux plus élevés au-delà de cette date. Le changement climatique entraîne des sécheresses, des vagues de chaleur, des incendies de forêt et des inondations côtières plus fréquents et plus graves. Hormis les éléments décrits, les deux scénarios sont identiques (par exemple, en termes de chômage ou de criminalité). **Quel scénario préférez-vous pour l’avenir ?**

* Scénario A (1)
* Scénario B (2)

sustainable\_future\_a **Consideriamo due possibili scenari per il mondo nei prossimi 20 anni. Scenario A** : La maggior parte dei Paesi attua politiche coordinate per limitare il riscaldamento globale a +2°C e ridurre le disuguaglianze. Il mondo sta riducendo notevolmente le emissioni di gas serra ed è sulla buona strada per raggiungere l'obiettivo climatico. Le tasse sui milionari finanziano l'installazione di pompe di calore, l'isolamento termico degli edifici ed il miglioramento del trasporto pubblico. Yacht e jet privati ​​stanno scomparendo in tutto il mondo. Entro il 2045 tutte le auto saranno elettriche e costeranno più o meno quanto le attuali auto a combustione interna. Entro il 2045, le normative ambientali comporteranno che il prezzo del combustibile per il riscaldamento o del gas, dei viaggi aerei e della carne bovina raddoppi gradualmente. Di conseguenza, nel 2045 le persone voleranno la metà, mangeranno la metà della carne e useranno più trasporti pubblici rispetto al 2025. Nonostante i prezzi più elevati per i beni inquinanti, il potere d'acquisto complessivo è preservato, grazie a una diminuzione dell'imposta sul valore aggiunto (I.V.A.) dei beni non inquinanti che ne riduce i prezzi. **Scenario B** : Dal 2025 non sono state attuate ulteriori politiche per affrontare il cambiamento climatico o le disuguaglianze. Le persone mantengono lo stesso stile di vita del 2025. Ad esempio, la maggior parte delle persone continua a guidare auto con motori a combustione interna. Le emissioni di gas serra sono stabili. Si prevede che il riscaldamento globale raggiungerà i +3°C entro il 2100 e livelli ancora più elevati oltre tale data. Un clima più caldo causerà siccità, ondate di calore, incendi boschivi e inondazioni costiere più frequenti e gravi. A parte gli elementi descritti, i due scenari sono identici (ad esempio, in termini di disoccupazione o criminalità). **Quale scenario preferisci per il futuro?**

* Scenario A (1)
* Scenario B (2)

sustainable\_future\_a **Consider two possible scenarios for the world for the next 20 years.  Scenario A**: Most countries implement coordinated policies to limit global warming to +2°C and reduce inequality. The world greatly reduces greenhouse gas emissions and is on track to meet its climate target. Taxes on millionaires fund the installation of heat pumps, the thermal insulation of buildings, and improved public transportation. Yachts and private jets are phased out worldwide. Cars are all electric by 2045, and they are about the same price as internal combustion cars nowadays. By 2045, environmental regulations gradually double the price heating fuel or gas, air travel, and beef. As a result, people fly half as much, eat half as much meat, and use more public transportation in 2045 than they did in 2025. Despite higher prices for polluting goods, the overall purchasing power is preserved, thanks to a decrease in sales tax that reduces the prices of non-polluting goods. **Scenario B**: Since 2025, no additional policies are implemented to address climate change or inequality. People maintain the same lifestyles as in 2025. For example, most people continue to drive cars with internal combustion engines. Greenhouse gas emissions are stable. Global warming is expected to reach +3°C by 2100 and higher levels beyond that date. A warmer climate will cause more frequent and more severe droughts, heatwaves, wildfires, and floodings. Apart from the elements described, the two scenarios are the same (for example, in terms of unemployment or crime). **Which scenario do you prefer for the future?**

* Scenario A (1)
* Scenario B (2)

sustainable\_future\_a **Consider two possible scenarios for the world for the next 20 years.  Scenario A**: Most countries implement coordinated policies to limit global warming to +2°C and reduce inequality. The world greatly reduces greenhouse gas emissions and is on track to meet its climate target. Taxes on millionaires fund the installation of heat pumps, the thermal insulation of buildings, and improved public transportation. Yachts and private jets are phased out worldwide. Cars are all electric by 2045, and they are about the same price as internal combustion cars nowadays. By 2045, environmental regulations gradually double the price heating fuel or gas, air travel, and beef. As a result, people fly half as much, eat half as much meat, and use more public transportation in 2045 than they did in 2025. Despite higher prices for polluting goods, the overall purchasing power is preserved, thanks to a decrease in sales tax that reduces the prices of non-polluting goods. **Scenario B**: Since 2025, no additional policies are implemented to address climate change or inequality. People maintain the same lifestyles as in 2025. For example, most people continue to drive cars with internal combustion engines. Greenhouse gas emissions are stable. Global warming is expected to reach +3°C by 2100 and higher levels beyond that date. A warmer climate will cause more frequent and more severe droughts, heatwaves, wildfires, and floodings. Apart from the elements described, the two scenarios are the same (for example, in terms of unemployment or crime). **Which scenario do you prefer for the future?**

* Scenario A (1)
* Scenario B (2)

Display this question:

If variant\_radical\_redistr = 0

|  |
| --- |
|  |

sustainable\_future\_b **Consider two possible scenarios for the world for the next 20 years.  Scenario A**: Since 2025, no additional policies are implemented to address climate change or inequality. People maintain the same lifestyles as in 2025. For example, most people continue to drive cars with internal combustion engines. Greenhouse gas emissions are stable. Global warming is expected to reach +3°C by 2100 and higher levels beyond that date. A warmer climate will cause more frequent and more severe droughts, heatwaves, wildfires, and floodings. **Scenario B**: Most countries implement coordinated policies to limit global warming to +2°C and reduce inequality. The world greatly reduces greenhouse gas emissions and is on track to meet its climate target. Taxes on millionaires fund the installation of heat pumps, the thermal insulation of buildings, and improved public transportation. Yachts and private jets are phased out worldwide. Cars are all electric by 2045, and they are about the same price as internal combustion cars nowadays. By 2045, environmental regulations gradually double the price of heating fuel or gas, air travel, and beef. As a result, people fly half as much, eat half as much meat, and use more public transportation in 2045 than they did in 2025. Despite higher prices for polluting goods, the overall purchasing power is preserved, thanks to a decrease in sales tax that reduces the prices of non-polluting goods. Apart from the elements described, the two scenarios are the same (for example, in terms of unemployment or crime). **Which scenario do you prefer for the future?**

* Scenario A (1)
* Scenario B (2)

sustainable\_future\_b **Consider two possible scenarios for the world for the next 20 years.  Scenario A**: Since 2025, no additional policies are implemented to address climate change or inequality. People maintain the same lifestyles as in 2025. For example, most people continue to drive cars with internal combustion engines. Greenhouse gas emissions are stable. Global warming is expected to reach +3°C by 2100 and higher levels beyond that date. A warmer climate will cause more frequent and more severe droughts, heatwaves, wildfires, and floodings. **Scenario B**: Most countries implement coordinated policies to limit global warming to +2°C and reduce inequality. The world greatly reduces greenhouse gas emissions and is on track to meet its climate target. Taxes on millionaires fund the installation of heat pumps, the thermal insulation of buildings, and improved public transportation. Yachts and private jets are phased out worldwide. Cars are all electric by 2045, and they are about the same price as internal combustion cars nowadays. By 2045, environmental regulations gradually double the price of heating fuel or gas, air travel, and beef. As a result, people fly half as much, eat half as much meat, and use more public transportation in 2045 than they did in 2025. Despite higher prices for polluting goods, the overall purchasing power is preserved, thanks to a decrease in sales tax that reduces the prices of non-polluting goods. Apart from the elements described, the two scenarios are the same (for example, in terms of unemployment or crime). **Which scenario do you prefer for the future?**

* Scenario A (1)
* Scenario B (2)

sustainable\_future\_b **Consider two possible scenarios for the world for the next 20 years.  Scenario A**: Since 2025, no additional policies are implemented to address climate change or inequality. People maintain the same lifestyles as in 2025. For example, most people continue to drive cars with internal combustion engines. Greenhouse gas emissions are stable. Global warming is expected to reach +3°C by 2100 and higher levels beyond that date. A warmer climate will cause more frequent and more severe droughts, heatwaves, wildfires, and floodings. **Scenario B**: Most countries implement coordinated policies to limit global warming to +2°C and reduce inequality. The world greatly reduces greenhouse gas emissions and is on track to meet its climate target. Taxes on millionaires fund the installation of heat pumps, the thermal insulation of buildings, and improved public transportation. Yachts and private jets are phased out worldwide. Cars are all electric by 2045, and they are about the same price as internal combustion cars nowadays. By 2045, environmental regulations gradually double the price of heating fuel or gas, air travel, and beef. As a result, people fly half as much, eat half as much meat, and use more public transportation in 2045 than they did in 2025. Despite higher prices for polluting goods, the overall purchasing power is preserved, thanks to a decrease in sales tax that reduces the prices of non-polluting goods. Apart from the elements described, the two scenarios are the same (for example, in terms of unemployment or crime). **Which scenario do you prefer for the future?**

* Scenario A (1)
* Scenario B (2)

sustainable\_future\_b **Consider two possible scenarios for the world for the next 20 years.  Scenario A**: Since 2025, no additional policies are implemented to address climate change or inequality. People maintain the same lifestyles as in 2025. For example, most people continue to drive cars with internal combustion engines. Greenhouse gas emissions are stable. Global warming is expected to reach +3°C by 2100 and higher levels beyond that date. A warmer climate will cause more frequent and more severe droughts, heatwaves, wildfires, and floodings. **Scenario B**: Most countries implement coordinated policies to limit global warming to +2°C and reduce inequality. The world greatly reduces greenhouse gas emissions and is on track to meet its climate target. Taxes on millionaires fund the installation of heat pumps, the thermal insulation of buildings, and improved public transportation. Yachts and private jets are phased out worldwide. Cars are all electric by 2045, and they are about the same price as internal combustion cars nowadays. By 2045, environmental regulations gradually double the price of heating fuel or gas, air travel, and beef. As a result, people fly half as much, eat half as much meat, and use more public transportation in 2045 than they did in 2025. Despite higher prices for polluting goods, the overall purchasing power is preserved, thanks to a decrease in sales tax that reduces the prices of non-polluting goods. Apart from the elements described, the two scenarios are the same (for example, in terms of unemployment or crime). **Which scenario do you prefer for the future?**

* Scenario A (1)
* Scenario B (2)

sustainable\_future\_b **Consider two possible scenarios for the world for the next 20 years.  Scenario A**: Since 2025, no additional policies are implemented to address climate change or inequality. People maintain the same lifestyles as in 2025. For example, most people continue to drive cars with internal combustion engines. Greenhouse gas emissions are stable. Global warming is expected to reach +3°C by 2100 and higher levels beyond that date. A warmer climate will cause more frequent and more severe droughts, heatwaves, wildfires, and floodings. **Scenario B**: Most countries implement coordinated policies to limit global warming to +2°C and reduce inequality. The world greatly reduces greenhouse gas emissions and is on track to meet its climate target. Taxes on millionaires fund the installation of heat pumps, the thermal insulation of buildings, and improved public transportation. Yachts and private jets are phased out worldwide. Cars are all electric by 2045, and they are about the same price as internal combustion cars nowadays. By 2045, environmental regulations gradually double the price of heating fuel or gas, air travel, and beef. As a result, people fly half as much, eat half as much meat, and use more public transportation in 2045 than they did in 2025. Despite higher prices for polluting goods, the overall purchasing power is preserved, thanks to a decrease in sales tax that reduces the prices of non-polluting goods. Apart from the elements described, the two scenarios are the same (for example, in terms of unemployment or crime). **Which scenario do you prefer for the future?**

* Scenario A (1)
* Scenario B (2)

**يُرجى تخيل سيناريوهين محتملين للعالم خلال العشرين عامًا القادمة.  سيناريو أ**: منذ عام 2025، لم يتم تنفيذ أي سياسات إضافية لمعالجة التغيرات المناخية أو عدم المساواة. بالتالي استمر الناس بعيش نفس أنماط الحياة اليومية كما في عام 2025. فعلى سبيل المثال، استمر معظم الناس في استخدام السيارات التي تعمل بمحركات الاحتراق الداخلي. وأدى ذلك إلى استقرار انبعاثات الغازات المسببة للاحتباس الحراري. لذلك من المتوقع أن يتسبب الاحتباس الحراري العالمي في رفع درجة حرارة الكوكب بأكمله بمعدل 3 درجة مئوية بحلول عام 2100 وصولًا لمستويات أعلى بعد ذلك التاريخ. وبالتالي سيؤدي المناخ الأكثر دفئًا إلى حدوث موجات جفاف أكثر تواترًا وشدة، وموجات حر، وحرائق غابات، وفيضانات تضرب المناطق الساحلية. **سيناريو ب**: تضافر جهود أغلب الدول في تطبيق سياسات موحدة للحد من ظاهرة الاحتباس الحراري العالمي والحفاظ على معدلات ارتفاع درجات الحرارة في حدود 2 درجة مئوية، فضلًا عن معالجة قضايا عدم المساواة. يبذل العالم جهودًا كبيرة لخفض انبعاثات الغازات المسببة للاحتباس الحراري ويسير على الطريق الصحيح لتحقيق المستهدف المناخي المطلوب. تُستخدم الضرائب المفروضة على الأثرياء في تمويل تركيب المضخات الحرارية، وتطبيق العزل الحراري للمباني، وتحسين وسائل النقل العام. كما يتم تدريجيًا تقليل الاعتماد على اليخوت والطائرات الخاصة في كافة أنحاء العالم. ستكون جميع السيارات كهربائية بحلول عام 2045، وستقترب تكلفتها من تكلفة شراء سيارات الاحتراق الداخلي التقليدية الحالية. أما بحلول عام 2045، ستؤدي الاشتراطات البيئية إلى مضاعفة أسعار وقود التدفئة أو الغاز الطبيعي، وكذلك السفر الجوي، ولحوم البقر تدريجيًا. ونتيجة لتطبيق تلك السياسات، سينخفض ​​عدد المسافرين جوًا إلى النصف، وسيتناول البشر نصف كمية اللحوم التي اعتادوا على تناولها، كما سيستخدمون وسائل النقل العام أكثر في عام 2045 مقارنة بما كانوا يفعلونه سابقًا في عام 2025. وعلى الرغم من ارتفاع أسعار السلع الملوَثة، ستظل القدرة الشرائية الإجمالية محفوظة، ويرجع ذلك إلى انخفاض ضريبة القيمة المُضافة التي تقلل من أسعار السلع غير الملوَثة. بخلاف العوامل السابق ذكرها أعلاه، فإن السيناريوهين متماثلان (على سبيل المثال، فيما يتعلق بنسب البطالة أو معدلات الجريمة). **أي سيناريو ستفضل حدوثه في المستقبل؟** sustainable\_future\_b

* (1) سيناريو أ
* (2) سيناريو ب

sustainable\_future\_b **Rozważmy teraz dwa możliwe scenariusze dla sytuacji na świecie w najbliższych 20 latach.** **Scenariusz A:** Od 2025 r. nie wdrożono żadnych dodatkowych inicjatyw w celu przeciwdziałania zmianom klimatycznym ani nierównościom. Ludzie zachowują ten sam styl życia, co w 2025 roku. Na przykład, większość ludzi nadal prowadzi samochody z silnikami spalinowymi. Emisja gazów cieplarnianych jest stabilna. Oczekuje się, że globalne ocieplenie osiągnie +3°C do 2100 r. i wyższe poziomy po tej dacie. Cieplejszy klimat powoduje częstsze i poważniejsze susze, fale upałów, pożary i powodzie w strefach przybrzeżnych. **Scenariusz B:** Większość krajów wdraża skoordynowaną politykę w celu ograniczenia globalnego ocieplenia do +2°C i zmniejszenia nierówności. Świat znacznie zmniejsza emisję gazów cieplarnianych i jest na dobrej drodze do osiągnięcia celu klimatycznego. Podatki od milionerów finansują instalację pomp ciepła, izolację cieplną budynków i poprawę transportu publicznego. Jachty i prywatne odrzutowce są stopniowo wycofywane na całym świecie. Samochody są całkowicie elektryczne do 2045 roku i kosztują tyle samo co samochody spalinowe. Do roku 2045 przepisy ochrony środowiska stopniowo powodują, że ceny wołowiny, paliwa grzewczego lub gazu, a także cena podróży lotniczych, wzrastają dwukrotnie. W rezultacie w 2045 r. ludzie latają o połowę mniej, jedzą o połowę mniej mięsa i częściej korzystają z transportu publicznego niż w 2025. Pomimo wyższych cen za towary generujące zanieczyszczenia, ogólna siła nabywcza jest zachowana dzięki zmniejszeniu podatku VAT, który obniża ceny towarów niezanieczyszczających środowiska. Poza opisanymi elementami oba scenariusze są takie same (na przykład pod względem bezrobocia lub przestępczości). **Który scenariusz bardziej Panu/Pani odpowiada?**

* Scenariusz A (1)
* Scenariusz B (2)

sustainable\_future\_b **今後20年間の世界について、2つのシナリオを考えてみましょう。  シナリオA**: 2025年以降、気候変動や不平等に対する新たな政策は導入されません。人々は2025年と同じライフスタイルを維持します。たとえば、ほとんどの人が引き続きガソリン車を運転し続けます。温室効果ガスの排出量は横ばいで推移します。地球温暖化は2100年までに+3℃に達し、その後さらに進行する見込みです。気温の上昇により、干ばつ、熱波、山火事、洪水といった自然災害がより頻繁かつ深刻になります。 **シナリオB**: 多くの国が協力して、地球温暖化を+2℃以内に抑え、不平等の解消にも取り組みます。温室効果ガスの排出は大きく減り、気候目標の達成に向かって順調に進みます。富裕層への課税によって、ヒートポンプの設置や建物の断熱、公共交通の整備が進められます。ヨットとプライベート ジェットは世界中で段階的に廃止されます。2045年にはすべての車が電気自動車になり、価格も今のガソリン車と同じくらいになります。2045年には、環境規制により、暖房用の燃料やガス、飛行機、牛肉の価格は徐々に2倍になります。その結果、人々が飛行機に乗る回数は半分になり、肉の摂取量は半分になり、公共交通の利用が増えます。汚染物質を排出する商品の価格は上昇しますが、消費税の引き下げにより非汚染物質商品の価格が下がるため、全体的な購買力は維持されます。 ここで記述されている内容以外の点（失業率や犯罪率など）は、両シナリオとも同じとします。 **あなたは将来、どちらのシナリオを望みますか？**

* シナリオA (1)
* シナリオB (2)

sustainable\_future\_b **Considere dos posibles escenarios para el mundo durante los próximos 20 años.  Escenario A**: Desde 2025, no se implementan políticas adicionales para abordar el cambio climático ni la desigualdad. Las personas mantienen el mismo estilo de vida que en 2025. Por ejemplo, la mayoría de las personas continúan conduciendo automóviles con motores de combustión interna. Las emisiones de gases de efecto invernadero se mantienen estables. Se prevé que el calentamiento global alcance los 3 °C para 2100 y niveles superiores después de esa fecha. Un clima más cálido provocará sequías, olas de calor, incendios forestales e inundaciones costeras más frecuentes y graves. **Escenario B**: La mayoría de los países implementan políticas coordinadas para limitar el calentamiento global a 2 °C y reducir la desigualdad. El mundo reduce considerablemente las emisiones de gases de efecto invernadero y está en vías de cumplir su objetivo climático. Los impuestos a los millonarios financian la instalación de bombas de calor, el aislamiento térmico de los edificios y la mejora del transporte público. Los yates y aviones privados se eliminan gradualmente en todo el mundo. Todos los automóviles serán eléctricos para 2045 y su precio será similar al de los automóviles de combustión interna actuales. Para 2045, las regulaciones ambientales duplicarán gradualmente el precio del combustible o el gas para calefacción, los viajes aéreos y la carne de res. Como resultado, la gente volará la mitad, comerá la mitad de carne y usará más el transporte público en 2045 que en 2025. A pesar del aumento de los precios de los bienes contaminantes, el poder adquisitivo general se mantiene gracias a una disminución del impuesto sobre las ventas que reduce los precios de los bienes no contaminantes. Salvo los elementos descritos, ambos escenarios son iguales (por ejemplo, en términos de desempleo o delincuencia). **¿Qué escenario prefiere para el futuro?**

* Escenario A (1)
* Escenario B (2)

sustainable\_future\_b **Consider two possible scenarios for the world for the next 20 years.  Scenario A**: Since 2025, no additional policies are implemented to address climate change or inequality. People maintain the same lifestyles as in 2025. For example, most people continue to drive cars with internal combustion engines. Greenhouse gas emissions are stable. Global warming is expected to reach +3°C by 2100 and higher levels beyond that date. A warmer climate will cause more frequent and more severe droughts, heatwaves, wildfires, and floodings. **Scenario B**: Most countries implement coordinated policies to limit global warming to +2°C and reduce inequality. The world greatly reduces greenhouse gas emissions and is on track to meet its climate target. Taxes on millionaires fund the installation of heat pumps, the thermal insulation of buildings, and improved public transportation. Yachts and private jets are phased out worldwide. Cars are all electric by 2045, and they are about the same price as internal combustion cars nowadays. By 2045, environmental regulations gradually double the price of heating fuel or gas, air travel, and beef. As a result, people fly half as much, eat half as much meat, and use more public transportation in 2045 than they did in 2025. Despite higher prices for polluting goods, the overall purchasing power is preserved, thanks to a decrease in sales tax that reduces the prices of non-polluting goods. Apart from the elements described, the two scenarios are the same (for example, in terms of unemployment or crime). **Which scenario do you prefer for the future?**

* Scenario A (1)
* Scenario B (2)

sustainable\_future\_b **Welches Szenario bevorzugen Sie für die nächsten 20 Jahre?** **Szenario A**: Seit 2025 werden keine zusätzlichen Maßnahmen gegen den Klimawandel oder gegen Ungleichheit ergriffen. Die Menschen behalten ihren Lebensstil aus dem Jahr 2025 weitgehend bei. Die meisten fahren weiterhin Autos mit Verbrennungsmotor und die globalen Treibhausgasemissionen bleiben konstant. Die Erderwärmung wird bis 2100 +3°C erreichen und danach weiter ansteigen. Ein wärmeres Klima führt zu häufigeren und stärkeren Dürren, Hitzewellen, Waldbränden und Küstenüberschwemmungen. **Szenario B**: Die meisten Länder ergreifen koordinierte Maßnahmen, um die Erderwärmung auf 2°C zu begrenzen und Ungleichheit zu verringern. Die Treibhausgasemissionen sinken deutlich und das Klimaziel wird erreicht werden. Die Besteuerung von Millionären finanziert den Einbau von Wärmepumpen, bessere Gebäudedämmung und den Ausbau des öffentlichen Nahverkehrs. Yachten und Privatjets werden weltweit abgeschafft. Autos sind bis 2045 ausschließlich elektrisch und kosten etwa so viel wie heutige Autos mit Verbrennungsmotoren. Durch strengere Umweltvorschriften verdoppeln sich bis 2045 schrittweise die Preise für Heizöl, Gas, Flugreisen und Rindfleisch. Dadurch verringert sich die Anzahl der Flugreisen und der Fleischkonsum um die Hälfte, während die Nutzung öffentlicher Verkehrsmittel steigt. Trotz höherer Preise für umweltschädliche Produkte bleibt die Kaufkraft stabil, da eine Senkung der Mehrwertsteuer die Preise für umweltfreundliche Produkte reduziert. Abgesehen von diesen Faktoren sind die beiden Szenarien in anderen Bereichen, wie Arbeitsmarkt und Kriminalitätsraten, identisch. **Welches Szenario bevorzugen Sie für die Zukunft?**

* Szenario A (1)
* Szenario B (2)

sustainable\_future\_b **Considérons deux scénarios possibles pour le monde pour les 20 prochaines années. Scénario A** : Depuis 2025, aucune mesure supplémentaire n’est mise en œuvre pour lutter contre le changement climatique ou les inégalités. Les gens maintiennent le même mode de vie qu’en 2025. Par exemple, la plupart des gens continuent de conduire des voitures thermiques. Les émissions de gaz à effet de serre sont stables. Le réchauffement climatique devrait atteindre +3°C d’ici 2100 et des niveaux plus élevés au-delà de cette date. Le changement climatique entraîne des sécheresses, des vagues de chaleur, des feux de forêt et des inondations plus fréquents et plus graves. **Scénario B** : La plupart des pays mettent en œuvre des politiques coordonnées pour limiter le réchauffement climatique à +2°C et réduire les inégalités. Le monde réduit considérablement les émissions de gaz à effet de serre et est en bonne voie pour atteindre l'objectif climatique. Des impôts sur les millionnaires financent l’installation de pompes à chaleur, l’isolation thermique des bâtiments et l’amélioration des transports publics. Les yachts et les jets privés sont progressivement supprimés dans le monde entier. Les voitures sont entièrement électriques d’ici 2045 et coûtent à peu près le même prix que les voitures thermiques d’aujourd’hui. D’ici 2045, les réglementations environnementales multiplient progressivement par deux les prix du gaz ou du fioul domestique, du transport aérien et du bœuf. En conséquence, les gens prennent deux fois moins l’avion, mangent deux fois moins de viande et utilisent davantage les transports en commun en 2045 qu’en 2025. Malgré des prix plus élevés pour les biens polluants, le pouvoir d’achat général est préservé, grâce à une baisse de la TVA qui réduit les prix des biens non polluants. Hormis les éléments décrits, les deux scénarios sont identiques (par exemple en termes de chômage ou de criminalité). **Quel scénario préférez-vous pour l’avenir ?**

* Scénario A (1)
* Scénario B (2)

sustainable\_future\_b **Consideriamo due possibili scenari per il mondo nei prossimi 20 anni. Scenario A** : Dal 2025 non sono state attuate ulteriori politiche per affrontare il cambiamento climatico o le disuguaglianze. Le persone mantengono lo stesso stile di vita del 2025. Ad esempio, la maggior parte delle persone continua a guidare auto con motori a combustione interna. Le emissioni di gas serra sono stabili. Si prevede che il riscaldamento globale raggiungerà i +3°C entro il 2100 e livelli ancora più elevati oltre tale data. Un clima più caldo causerà siccità, ondate di calore, incendi boschivi e inondazioni costiere più frequenti e gravi. **Scenario B** : La maggior parte dei Paesi attua politiche coordinate per limitare il riscaldamento globale a +2°C e ridurre le disuguaglianze. Il mondo sta riducendo notevolmente le emissioni di gas serra ed è sulla buona strada per raggiungere l'obiettivo per il clima. Le tasse sui milionari finanziano l'installazione di pompe di calore, l'isolamento termico degli edifici ed il miglioramento del trasporto pubblico. Yacht e jet privati ​​stanno scomparendo in tutto il mondo. Entro il 2045 tutte le auto saranno elettriche e costeranno più o meno quanto le attuali auto a combustione interna. Entro il 2045, le normative ambientali determineranno che il prezzo del combustibile per il riscaldamento o del gas, dei viaggi aerei e della carne bovina raddoppi gradualmente. Di conseguenza, nel 2045 le persone voleranno la metà, mangeranno la metà della carne e useranno più trasporti pubblici rispetto al 2025. Nonostante i prezzi più alti per i beni inquinanti, il potere d'acquisto complessivo è preservato, grazie a una diminuzione dell'imposta sul valore aggiunto (I.V.A.) dei beni non inquinanti che ne riduce i prezzi. A parte gli elementi descritti, i due scenari sono identici (ad esempio, in termini di disoccupazione o criminalità). **Quale scenario preferisci per il futuro?**

* Scenario A (1)
* Scenario B (2)

sustainable\_future\_b **Consider two possible scenarios for the world for the next 20 years.  Scenario A**: Since 2025, no additional policies are implemented to address climate change or inequality. People maintain the same lifestyles as in 2025. For example, most people continue to drive cars with internal combustion engines. Greenhouse gas emissions are stable. Global warming is expected to reach +3°C by 2100 and higher levels beyond that date. A warmer climate will cause more frequent and more severe droughts, heatwaves, wildfires, and floodings. **Scenario B**: Most countries implement coordinated policies to limit global warming to +2°C and reduce inequality. The world greatly reduces greenhouse gas emissions and is on track to meet its climate target. Taxes on millionaires fund the installation of heat pumps, the thermal insulation of buildings, and improved public transportation. Yachts and private jets are phased out worldwide. Cars are all electric by 2045, and they are about the same price as internal combustion cars nowadays. By 2045, environmental regulations gradually double the price of heating fuel or gas, air travel, and beef. As a result, people fly half as much, eat half as much meat, and use more public transportation in 2045 than they did in 2025. Despite higher prices for polluting goods, the overall purchasing power is preserved, thanks to a decrease in sales tax that reduces the prices of non-polluting goods. Apart from the elements described, the two scenarios are the same (for example, in terms of unemployment or crime). **Which scenario do you prefer for the future?**

* Scenario A (1)
* Scenario B (2)

sustainable\_future\_b **Consider two possible scenarios for the world for the next 20 years.  Scenario A**: Since 2025, no additional policies are implemented to address climate change or inequality. People maintain the same lifestyles as in 2025. For example, most people continue to drive cars with internal combustion engines. Greenhouse gas emissions are stable. Global warming is expected to reach +3°C by 2100 and higher levels beyond that date. A warmer climate will cause more frequent and more severe droughts, heatwaves, wildfires, and floodings. **Scenario B**: Most countries implement coordinated policies to limit global warming to +2°C and reduce inequality. The world greatly reduces greenhouse gas emissions and is on track to meet its climate target. Taxes on millionaires fund the installation of heat pumps, the thermal insulation of buildings, and improved public transportation. Yachts and private jets are phased out worldwide. Cars are all electric by 2045, and they are about the same price as internal combustion cars nowadays. By 2045, environmental regulations gradually double the price of heating fuel or gas, air travel, and beef. As a result, people fly half as much, eat half as much meat, and use more public transportation in 2045 than they did in 2025. Despite higher prices for polluting goods, the overall purchasing power is preserved, thanks to a decrease in sales tax that reduces the prices of non-polluting goods. Apart from the elements described, the two scenarios are the same (for example, in terms of unemployment or crime). **Which scenario do you prefer for the future?**

* Scenario A (1)
* Scenario B (2)
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top1\_tax\_support Currently, 2 billion people live in acute poverty, with less than [lcu\_250: $250][periodicity: per month]. The Sustainable Development Goals, adopted by all countries in 2015, aim to alleviate poverty and give access to healthcare, education, drinking water, and sanitation for all by 2030. Due to lack of funding, the world is not on track to meet these poverty reduction goals. **Poverty reduction could be funded by a global tax on individual income above [lcu\_120k: $120,000][periodicity\_tax: per year].  The tax rate would be 15% for every [currency: dollar] over [lcu\_120k: $120,000] of income** after existing taxes.  For example, a single person earning [lcu\_130k: $130,000][periodicity\_tax: per year] after taxes would pay [lcu\_1500: $1,500] in additional taxes, or 15% of [lcu\_10k: $10,000] = [lcu\_130k: $130,000] – [lcu\_120k: $120,000]. Meanwhile, a married couple earning [lcu\_200k: $200,000][periodicity\_tax: per year], [lcu\_100k: $100,000] for each of them, would go untaxed. This tax would apply to the richest 1% of the world's population. [tax\_country\_name: In the United States], it would affect the richest [affected\_top1: 8]% and redistribute [transfer\_top1: 3]% of GDP to lower-income countries. **Do you support or oppose such a global tax on the richest people to finance global poverty reduction?**

* Strongly oppose (1)
* Somewhat oppose (4)
* Indifferent (5)
* Somewhat support (2)
* Strongly support (3)
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top1\_tax\_support Currently, 2 billion people live in acute poverty, with less than [lcu\_250: $250][periodicity: per month]. The Sustainable Development Goals, adopted by all countries in 2015, aim to alleviate poverty and give access to healthcare, education, drinking water, and sanitation for all by 2030. Due to lack of funding, the world is not on track to meet these poverty reduction goals. **Poverty reduction could be funded by a global tax on individual income above [lcu\_120k: $120,000][periodicity\_tax: per year].  The tax rate would be 15% for every [currency: dollar] over [lcu\_120k: $120,000] of income** after existing taxes.  For example, a single person earning [lcu\_130k: $130,000][periodicity\_tax: per year] after taxes would pay [lcu\_1500: $1,500] in additional taxes, or 15% of [lcu\_10k: $10,000] = [lcu\_130k: $130,000] – [lcu\_120k: $120,000]. Meanwhile, a married couple earning [lcu\_200k: $200,000][periodicity\_tax: per year], [lcu\_100k: $100,000] for each of them, would go untaxed. This tax would apply to the richest 1% of the world's population. [tax\_country\_name: In the United States], it would affect the richest [affected\_top1: 8]% and redistribute [transfer\_top1: 3]% of GDP to lower-income countries. **Do you support or oppose such a global tax on the richest people to finance global poverty reduction?**

* Strongly oppose (1)
* Somewhat oppose (4)
* Indifferent (5)
* Somewhat support (2)
* Strongly support (3)

top1\_tax\_support Currently, 2 billion people live in acute poverty, with less than [lcu\_250: $250][periodicity: per month]. The Sustainable Development Goals, adopted by all countries in 2015, aim to alleviate poverty and give access to healthcare, education, drinking water, and sanitation for all by 2030. Due to lack of funding, the world is not on track to meet these poverty reduction goals. **Poverty reduction could be funded by a global tax on individual income above [lcu\_120k: $120,000][periodicity\_tax: per year].  The tax rate would be 15% for every [currency: dollar] over [lcu\_120k: $120,000] of income** after existing taxes.  For example, a single person earning [lcu\_130k: $130,000][periodicity\_tax: per year] after taxes would pay [lcu\_1500: $1,500] in additional taxes, or 15% of [lcu\_10k: $10,000] = [lcu\_130k: $130,000] – [lcu\_120k: $120,000]. Meanwhile, a married couple earning [lcu\_200k: $200,000][periodicity\_tax: per year], [lcu\_100k: $100,000] for each of them, would go untaxed. This tax would apply to the richest 1% of the world's population. [tax\_country\_name: In the United States], it would affect the richest [affected\_top1: 8]% and redistribute [transfer\_top1: 3]% of GDP to lower-income countries. **Do you support or oppose such a global tax on the richest people to finance global poverty reduction?**

* Strongly oppose (1)
* Somewhat oppose (4)
* Indifferent (5)
* Somewhat support (2)
* Strongly support (3)

في الوقت الراهن، يعيش قرابة ال 2 مليار شخص في فقر مدقع، ولا يتجاوز دخلهم [lcu\_250: $250][periodicity: per month]. تهدف أهداف التنمية المستدامة، التي اعتمدتها جميع الدول في عام 2015، إلى التخفيف من وطأة الفقر وإتاحة الوصول إلى الرعاية الصحية والتعليم ومياه الشرب والصرف الصحي للجميع بحلول عام 2030. ولكن للأسف تخلف العالم عن تحقيق تلك الأهداف نظرًا لنقص التمويل. **يمكن خفض معدلات الفقر الحالية عن طريق تطبيق ضريبة عالمية تُفرض على الأفراد الذين يتجاوز دخلهم [lcu\_120k: $120,000][periodicity\_tax: per year].  ستبلغ قيمة الضريبة المفروضة 15% لكل [currency: dollar] يزيد عن الدخل البالغ [lcu\_120k: $120,000]**  وذلك بعد خصم الضرائب.  فإذا كان هناك شخص ما يبلغ دخله [lcu\_130k: $130,000][periodicity\_tax: per year] سيتم بعد خصم الضرائب اقتطاع مبلغ [lcu\_1500: $1,500] وذلك بالإضافة إلى الضرائب، أو ما يُعادل 15%، وذلك وفقًا للمعادلة التالية: [lcu\_130k: $130,000] – [lcu\_120k: $120,000] = [lcu\_10k: $10,000]. بينما إذا كان هناك زوجان يبلغ مجموع دخلهما [lcu\_200k: $200,000][periodicity\_tax: per year]، وبواقع [lcu\_100k: $100,000] لكل منهما، لن يتم فرض ضرائب على أي منهما. يُجدر الإشارة إلى أنه سيتم فرض تلك الضريبة على 1% من الأشخاص الأكثر ثراءً في العالم. بينما [tax\_country\_name: In the United States]، ستؤثر على نسبة [affected\_top1: 8]% من الأشخاص الأكثر ثراءً وستعمل على إعادة توزيع [transfer\_top1: 3]% من الناتج المحلي الإجمالي لصالح الدول الفقيرة **هل أنت مع أم ضد فرض ضريبة عالمية على أثرياء العالم من أجل مكافحة الفقر العالمي؟** top1\_tax\_support

* (1) أعارض بشدة
* (4) أعارض إلى حد ما
* (5) لا أكترث
* (2) أؤ إلى حد ما
* (3) أؤيد بشدة

top1\_tax\_support Obecnie 2 miliardy ludzi żyją w skrajnym ubóstwie, zarabiając mniej niż 500 PLN miesięcznie. Cele Zrównoważonego Rozwoju, przyjęte przez wszystkie kraje w 2015 r., mają na celu zmniejszenie ubóstwa i zapewnienie dostępu do opieki zdrowotnej, edukacji, wody pitnej i urządzeń sanitarnych wszystkim ludziom do 2030 r. Świat nie jest obecnie na drodze do osiągnięcia tych celów redukcji ubóstwa z powodu braku funduszy. **Zmniejszenie ubóstwa mogłoby być finansowane przez globalny podatek od dochodu indywidualnego przekraczającego 40 000 PLN miesięcznie. Stawka podatku wyniosłaby 15% za każdą złotówkę powyżej 40 000 PLN dochodu, po odliczeniu istniejących podatków.** Na przykład jedna osoba zarabiająca 50 000 PLN miesięcznie po opodatkowaniu zapłaciłaby 1500 PLN dodatkowego podatku, czyli 15% z 10 000 PLN = 50 000 – 40 000 PLN. Tymczasem małżonkowie zarabiający 70 000 PLN miesięcznie, po 35 000 PLN na osobę, nie byliby opodatkowani. Podatek ten miałby zastosowanie do najbogatszego 1 procenta ludności świata. W Polsce dotknęłoby to nabogatsze 2% populacji i spowodowałoby redystrybucję 1% PKB do krajów o niższych dochodach. **Czy poparł(a)by Pan/Pani taki globalny podatek dla najbogatszych w celu finansowania redukcji ubóstwa na świecie, czy był(a)by mu Pan/Pani przeciwny(-a)?**

* Jestem zdecydowanie przeciw (1)
* Jestem raczej przeciw (4)
* Nie mam zdania (5)
* Jestem raczej za (2)
* Jestem zdecydowanie za (3)

top1\_tax\_support 現在、20億人が[periodicity: per month][lcu\_250: $250]未満で暮らす深刻な貧困状態にあります。 2015年にすべての国が採択した持続可能な開発目標（SDGs）では、2030年までに貧困を軽減し、すべての人々が医療、教育、飲料水、衛生環境を利用できるようにすることを目指しています。しかし、資金不足のため、世界はこの貧困削減目標の達成に向けて順調とはいえません。 **この貧困削減のための資金を、[periodicity\_tax: per year][lcu\_120k: $120,000]を超える個人所得に対する国際課税でまかなうことができます。**  **税率は、既存の税金を差し引いた所得の[lcu\_120k: $120,000]を超えるすべての[currency: dollar]に対して15%の税率を適用する**というものです。  たとえば、税引き後[periodicity\_tax: per year][lcu\_130k: $130,000]を稼ぐ独身者は、[lcu\_1500: $1,500]の追加税金、つまり[lcu\_10k: $10,000]の 15% = [lcu\_130k: $130,000] – [lcu\_120k: $120,000]を支払うことになります。一方、[periodicity\_tax: per year][lcu\_200k: $200,000]、つまりそれぞれ[lcu\_100k: $100,000]を稼ぐ夫婦には課税されません。 この税金は、世界の人口の最も裕福な 1% に適用されます。[tax\_country\_name: In the United States]、最も裕福な[affected\_top1: 8]%に影響し、GDP の[transfer\_top1: 3] % が低所得国に再分配されます。 **あなたは、世界的な貧困削減の資金を調達するために、最も裕福な人々にこのような世界的な税金を課すことに賛成ですか、反対ですか?**

* 強く反対 (1)
* やや反対 (4)
* どちらともいえない (5)
* やや賛成 (2)
* 強く賛成 (3)

top1\_tax\_support Actualmente, 2 mil millones de personas viven en pobreza extrema, con menos de [lcu\_250: $250][periodicity: per month]. Los Objetivos de Desarrollo Sostenible, adoptados por todos los países en 2015, buscan aliviar la pobreza y brindar acceso a la atención médica, la educación, el agua potable y el saneamiento para todos para 2030. El mundo no está en vías de alcanzar estos objetivos de reducción de la pobreza debido a la falta de financiación. **La reducción de la pobreza podría financiarse mediante un impuesto global sobre los ingresos individuales superiores a [lcu\_120k: $120,000][periodicity\_tax: per year].  La tasa impositiva sería del 15% por cada [currency: dollar] sobre [lcu\_120k: $120,000] de ingresos** después de los impuestos vigentes.  Por ejemplo, una persona soltera que gana [lcu\_130k: $130,000][periodicity\_tax: per year] después de impuestos pagaría [lcu\_1500: $1,500] en impuestos adicionales, o el 15% de [lcu\_10k: $10,000] = [lcu\_130k: $130,000] – [lcu\_120k: $120,000]. Mientras tanto, una pareja casada que gana [lcu\_200k: $200,000][periodicity\_tax: per year], [lcu\_100k: $100,000] para cada uno, no pagaría este impuesto. Este impuesto se aplicaría al 1% más rico de la población mundial. [tax\_country\_name: En los Estados Unidos], afectaría al más rico [affected\_top1: 8]% y redistribuiría el [transfer\_top1: 3]% del PIB a los países de bajos ingresos. **¿Está a favor o en contra de un impuesto global de este tipo para los más ricos para financiar la reducción de la pobreza mundial?**

* Totalmente en contra (1)
* Levemente en contra (4)
* Indiferente o no sabe (5)
* Levemente a favor (2)
* Totalmente a favor (3)

top1\_tax\_support Currently, 2 billion people live in acute poverty, with less than [lcu\_250: $250][periodicity: per month]. The Sustainable Development Goals, adopted by all countries in 2015, aim to alleviate poverty and give access to healthcare, education, drinking water, and sanitation for all by 2030. Due to lack of funding, the world is not on track to meet these poverty reduction goals. **Poverty reduction could be funded by a global tax on individual income above [lcu\_120k: $120,000][periodicity\_tax: per year].  The tax rate would be 15% for every [currency: dollar] over [lcu\_120k: $120,000] of income** after existing taxes.  For example, a single person earning [lcu\_130k: $130,000][periodicity\_tax: per year] after taxes would pay [lcu\_1500: $1,500] in additional taxes, or 15% of [lcu\_10k: $10,000] = [lcu\_130k: $130,000] – [lcu\_120k: $120,000]. Meanwhile, a married couple earning [lcu\_200k: $200,000][periodicity\_tax: per year], [lcu\_100k: $100,000] for each of them, would go untaxed. This tax would apply to the richest 1% of the world's population. [tax\_country\_name: In the United States], it would affect the richest [affected\_top1: 8]% and redistribute [transfer\_top1: 3]% of GDP to lower-income countries. **Do you support or oppose such a global tax on the richest people to finance global poverty reduction?**

* Strongly oppose (1)
* Somewhat oppose (4)
* Indifferent (5)
* Somewhat support (2)
* Strongly support (3)

top1\_tax\_support Derzeit leben 2 Milliarden Menschen in extremer Armut mit einem Einkommen unter [lcu\_250: $250][periodicity: per month]. Die im Jahr 2015 von allen Ländern verabschiedeten Nachhaltigen Entwicklungsziele ("Sustainable Development Goals" oder SDGs) setzen sich zum Ziel, Armut zu verringern und allen Menschen bis 2030 Zugang zu Gesundheitsversorgung, Bildung, Trinkwasser und sanitären Einrichtungen zu ermöglichen. Aufgrund unzureichender finanzieller Mittel droht die Welt diese Ziele zur Armutsbekämpfung zu verfehlen. **Eine globale Steuer auf individuelle Einkommen von über [lcu\_120k: $120,000][periodicity\_tax: per year] könnte zur Finanzierung der Armutsbekämpfung beitragen.  Der Steuersatz läge bei 15 % auf jeden [currency: dollar] oberhalb von [lcu\_120k: $120,000], nach steuerlichen Abgaben.  Zum Beispiel: Eine Einzelperson mit einem Einkommen von [lcu\_130k: $130,000][periodicity\_tax: per year] nach steuerlichen Abgaben würde zusätzlich [lcu\_1500: $1,500] an Steuern zahlen, also 15 % von [lcu\_10k: $10,000] = [lcu\_130k: $130,000] – [lcu\_120k: $120,000]. Ein verheiratetes Paar mit einem gemeinsamen Einkommen von [lcu\_200k: $200,000][periodicity\_tax: per year] (also jeweils [lcu\_100k: $100,000] pro Person) würde jedoch nicht besteuert. Diese Steuer würde das reichste 1 % der Weltbevölkerung betreffen. [tax\_country\_name: In the United States] würde sie die reichsten [affected\_top1: 8]% betreffen und [transfer\_top1: 3]% des BIPs an einkommensschwächere Länder führen. Befürworten oder lehnen Sie eine solche globale Steuer auf die reichsten Menschen zur Finanzierung der weltweiten Armutsbekämpfung ab?**

* Sehr dagegen (1)
* Eher dagegen (4)
* Keine Meinung (5)
* Eher dafür (2)
* Sehr dafür (3)

top1\_tax\_support Actuellement, 2 milliards de personnes vivent en situation de pauvreté sévère, avec moins de [lcu\_250 : 250 $][périodicité : par mois]. Les Objectifs de développement durable, adoptés par tous les pays en 2015, visent à réduire la pauvreté et à assurer l’accès aux soins, à l’éducation, à l’eau potable et à l’assainissement pour tous d’ici 2030. En raison du manque de financement, e monde n’est pas sur la bonne voie pour atteindre ces objectifs de réduction de la pauvreté. **La réduction de la pauvreté pourrait être financée par un impôt mondial sur les revenus supérieurs à [lcu\_120k : 120 000 $][periodicity\_tax : par an]. Le taux d’imposition serait de 15 % pour chaque euro au-delà de [lcu\_120k : 120 000 $] de revenu après impôts existants**. Par exemple, une personne seule gagnant [lcu\_130k: 130 000 $][periodicity\_tax: par an] après impôts paierait [lcu\_1500: 1 500 $] d'impôts supplémentaires, soit 15 % de [lcu\_10k: 10 000 $] = [lcu\_130k: 130 000 $] - [lcu\_120k: 120 000 $]. En revanche, un couple marié gagnant [lcu\_200k : 200 000 $][périodicité\_taxe : par an], [lcu\_100k : 100 000 $] pour chacun d'eux, ne serait pas imposé. Cet impôt s’appliquerait au 1% le plus riche de la population mondiale. [tax\_country\_name: In the United States], cela affecterait les [affected\_top1: 8] % les plus riches et redistribuerait [transfer\_top1: 3] % du PIB vers les pays à bas revenus. **Êtes-vous favorable ou opposé⋅e à une telle taxe mondiale sur les personnes les plus riches pour financer la réduction de la pauvreté dans le monde ?**

* Très opposé⋅e (1)
* Plutôt opposé⋅e (4)
* Indifférent⋅e ou ne sais pas (5)
* Plutôt favorable (2)
* Très favorable (3)

top1\_tax\_support Attualmente, 2 miliardi di persone vivono in condizioni di povertà acuta, con meno di [lcu\_250: $250][periodicity: per month]. Gli Obiettivi per lo Sviluppo Sostenibile, condivisi da tutti i Paesi nel 2015, hanno il fine di mitigare la povertà e garantire a tutti l'accesso all'assistenza sanitaria, all'educazione, all'acqua potabile, e ai servizi igienico-sanitari entro il 2030. Il mondo non è sulla buona strada per fronteggiare questi obiettivi di riduzione della povertà a causa della mancanza di finanziamenti. **La riduzione della povertà potrebbe essere finanziata tramite una tassa a livello globale sui redditi individuali superiori a [lcu\_120k: $120,000][periodicity\_tax: per year].  L'aliquota fiscale sarebbe del 15% per ogni [currency: dollar] superiore a [lcu\_120k: $120,000] di reddito** al netto delle tasse esistenti.  Ad esempio, un individuo che guadagna [lcu\_130k: $130,000][periodicity\_tax: per year] al netto delle tasse pagherebbe [lcu\_1500: $1,500] in tasse aggiuntive, o il 15% di [lcu\_10k: $10,000] = [lcu\_130k: $130,000] – [lcu\_120k: $120,000]. Intanto, una coppia sposata che guadagna [lcu\_200k: $200,000][periodicity\_tax: per year], [lcu\_100k: $100,000] ciascuno, non sarebbe tassata. Questa tassa si applicherebbe all'1% più ricco della popolazione mondiale. [tax\_country\_name: In the United States], avrebbe effetto sul [affected\_top1: 8]% più ricco della popolazione e redistribuirebbe l' [transfer\_top1: 3]% del PIL ai Paesi a basso reddito. **Sei a favore o contrario a questa tassa globale sugli individui più ricchi per finanziare la riduzione della povertà nel mondo?**

* Fortemente contrario (1)
* In parte contrario (4)
* Indifferente (5)
* In parte d'accordo (2)
* Fortemente d'accordo (3)

top1\_tax\_support Currently, 2 billion people live in acute poverty, with less than [lcu\_250: $250][periodicity: per month]. The Sustainable Development Goals, adopted by all countries in 2015, aim to alleviate poverty and give access to healthcare, education, drinking water, and sanitation for all by 2030. Due to lack of funding, the world is not on track to meet these poverty reduction goals. **Poverty reduction could be funded by a global tax on individual income above [lcu\_120k: $120,000][periodicity\_tax: per year].  The tax rate would be 15% for every [currency: dollar] over [lcu\_120k: $120,000] of income** after existing taxes.  For example, a single person earning [lcu\_130k: $130,000][periodicity\_tax: per year] after taxes would pay [lcu\_1500: $1,500] in additional taxes, or 15% of [lcu\_10k: $10,000] = [lcu\_130k: $130,000] – [lcu\_120k: $120,000]. Meanwhile, a married couple earning [lcu\_200k: $200,000][periodicity\_tax: per year], [lcu\_100k: $100,000] for each of them, would go untaxed. This tax would apply to the richest 1% of the world's population. [tax\_country\_name: In the United States], it would affect the richest [affected\_top1: 8]% and redistribute [transfer\_top1: 3]% of GDP to lower-income countries. **Do you support or oppose such a global tax on the richest people to finance global poverty reduction?**

* Strongly oppose (1)
* Somewhat oppose (4)
* Indifferent (5)
* Somewhat support (2)
* Strongly support (3)

top1\_tax\_support Currently, 2 billion people live in acute poverty, with less than [lcu\_250: $250][periodicity: per month]. The Sustainable Development Goals, adopted by all countries in 2015, aim to alleviate poverty and give access to healthcare, education, drinking water, and sanitation for all by 2030. Due to lack of funding, the world is not on track to meet these poverty reduction goals. **Poverty reduction could be funded by a global tax on individual income above [lcu\_120k: $120,000][periodicity\_tax: per year].  The tax rate would be 15% for every [currency: dollar] over [lcu\_120k: $120,000] of income** after existing taxes.  For example, a single person earning [lcu\_130k: $130,000][periodicity\_tax: per year] after taxes would pay [lcu\_1500: $1,500] in additional taxes, or 15% of [lcu\_10k: $10,000] = [lcu\_130k: $130,000] – [lcu\_120k: $120,000]. Meanwhile, a married couple earning [lcu\_200k: $200,000][periodicity\_tax: per year], [lcu\_100k: $100,000] for each of them, would go untaxed. This tax would apply to the richest 1% of the world's population. [tax\_country\_name: In the United States], it would affect the richest [affected\_top1: 8]% and redistribute [transfer\_top1: 3]% of GDP to lower-income countries. **Do you support or oppose such a global tax on the richest people to finance global poverty reduction?**

* Strongly oppose (1)
* Somewhat oppose (4)
* Indifferent (5)
* Somewhat support (2)
* Strongly support (3)
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top3\_tax\_support Currently, 3 billion people live in deep poverty, with less than [lcu\_400: $400][periodicity: per month]. The Sustainable Development Goals, adopted by all countries in 2015, aim to alleviate poverty and achieve access to healthcare, education, drinking water, and sanitation for all by 2030. Due to lack of funding, the world is not on track to meet these poverty reduction goals. **Poverty reduction could be funded by a global tax on individual income above [lcu\_80k: $80,000][periodicity\_tax: per year].  The tax rate would be 15% for every [currency: dollar] over [lcu\_80k: $80,000] of income** after existing taxes, **30% over [lcu\_120k: $120,000], and 45% over [lcu\_1M: $1 million].** For example, a single person earning [lcu\_90k: $90,000][periodicity\_tax: per year] after taxes would pay [lcu\_1500\_top3: $1,500] in additional taxes, or 15% of [lcu\_10k\_top3: $10,000] = [lcu\_90k: $90,000] – [lcu\_80k: $80,000]. Meanwhile, a married couple earning [lcu\_150k: $150,000][periodicity\_tax: per year], [lcu\_75k: $75,000] for each of them, would go untaxed. This tax would apply to the richest 3% of the world's population. [tax\_country\_name: In the United States], it would affect the richest [affected\_top3: 18]% and redistribute [transfer\_top3: 8]% of GDP to lower-income countries. **Do you support or oppose such a global tax on the richest people to finance global poverty reduction?**

* Strongly oppose (1)
* Somewhat oppose (4)
* Indifferent (5)
* Somewhat support (2)
* Strongly support (3)

top3\_tax\_support Currently, 3 billion people live in deep poverty, with less than [lcu\_400: $400][periodicity: per month]. The Sustainable Development Goals, adopted by all countries in 2015, aim to alleviate poverty and achieve access to healthcare, education, drinking water, and sanitation for all by 2030. Due to lack of funding, the world is not on track to meet these poverty reduction goals. **Poverty reduction could be funded by a global tax on individual income above [lcu\_80k: $80,000][periodicity\_tax: per year].  The tax rate would be 15% for every [currency: dollar] over [lcu\_80k: $80,000] of income** after existing taxes, **30% over [lcu\_120k: $120,000], and 45% over [lcu\_1M: $1 million].** For example, a single person earning [lcu\_90k: $90,000][periodicity\_tax: per year] after taxes would pay [lcu\_1500\_top3: $1,500] in additional taxes, or 15% of [lcu\_10k\_top3: $10,000] = [lcu\_90k: $90,000] – [lcu\_80k: $80,000]. Meanwhile, a married couple earning [lcu\_150k: $150,000][periodicity\_tax: per year], [lcu\_75k: $75,000] for each of them, would go untaxed. This tax would apply to the richest 3% of the world's population. [tax\_country\_name: In the United States], it would affect the richest [affected\_top3: 18]% and redistribute [transfer\_top3: 8]% of GDP to lower-income countries. **Do you support or oppose such a global tax on the richest people to finance global poverty reduction?**

* Strongly oppose (1)
* Somewhat oppose (4)
* Indifferent (5)
* Somewhat support (2)
* Strongly support (3)

top3\_tax\_support Currently, 3 billion people live in deep poverty, with less than [lcu\_400: $400][periodicity: per month]. The Sustainable Development Goals, adopted by all countries in 2015, aim to alleviate poverty and achieve access to healthcare, education, drinking water, and sanitation for all by 2030. Due to lack of funding, the world is not on track to meet these poverty reduction goals. **Poverty reduction could be funded by a global tax on individual income above [lcu\_80k: $80,000][periodicity\_tax: per year].  The tax rate would be 15% for every [currency: dollar] over [lcu\_80k: $80,000] of income** after existing taxes, **30% over [lcu\_120k: $120,000], and 45% over [lcu\_1M: $1 million].** For example, a single person earning [lcu\_90k: $90,000][periodicity\_tax: per year] after taxes would pay [lcu\_1500\_top3: $1,500] in additional taxes, or 15% of [lcu\_10k\_top3: $10,000] = [lcu\_90k: $90,000] – [lcu\_80k: $80,000]. Meanwhile, a married couple earning [lcu\_150k: $150,000][periodicity\_tax: per year], [lcu\_75k: $75,000] for each of them, would go untaxed. This tax would apply to the richest 3% of the world's population. [tax\_country\_name: In the United States], it would affect the richest [affected\_top3: 18]% and redistribute [transfer\_top3: 8]% of GDP to lower-income countries. **Do you support or oppose such a global tax on the richest people to finance global poverty reduction?**

* Strongly oppose (1)
* Somewhat oppose (4)
* Indifferent (5)
* Somewhat support (2)
* Strongly support (3)

top3\_tax\_support Currently, 3 billion people live in deep poverty, with less than [lcu\_400: $400][periodicity: per month]. The Sustainable Development Goals, adopted by all countries in 2015, aim to alleviate poverty and achieve access to healthcare, education, drinking water, and sanitation for all by 2030. Due to lack of funding, the world is not on track to meet these poverty reduction goals. **Poverty reduction could be funded by a global tax on individual income above [lcu\_80k: $80,000][periodicity\_tax: per year].  The tax rate would be 15% for every [currency: dollar] over [lcu\_80k: $80,000] of income** after existing taxes, **30% over [lcu\_120k: $120,000], and 45% over [lcu\_1M: $1 million].** For example, a single person earning [lcu\_90k: $90,000][periodicity\_tax: per year] after taxes would pay [lcu\_1500\_top3: $1,500] in additional taxes, or 15% of [lcu\_10k\_top3: $10,000] = [lcu\_90k: $90,000] – [lcu\_80k: $80,000]. Meanwhile, a married couple earning [lcu\_150k: $150,000][periodicity\_tax: per year], [lcu\_75k: $75,000] for each of them, would go untaxed. This tax would apply to the richest 3% of the world's population. [tax\_country\_name: In the United States], it would affect the richest [affected\_top3: 18]% and redistribute [transfer\_top3: 8]% of GDP to lower-income countries. **Do you support or oppose such a global tax on the richest people to finance global poverty reduction?**

* Strongly oppose (1)
* Somewhat oppose (4)
* Indifferent (5)
* Somewhat support (2)
* Strongly support (3)

top3\_tax\_support Currently, 3 billion people live in deep poverty, with less than [lcu\_400: $400][periodicity: per month]. The Sustainable Development Goals, adopted by all countries in 2015, aim to alleviate poverty and achieve access to healthcare, education, drinking water, and sanitation for all by 2030. Due to lack of funding, the world is not on track to meet these poverty reduction goals. **Poverty reduction could be funded by a global tax on individual income above [lcu\_80k: $80,000][periodicity\_tax: per year].  The tax rate would be 15% for every [currency: dollar] over [lcu\_80k: $80,000] of income** after existing taxes, **30% over [lcu\_120k: $120,000], and 45% over [lcu\_1M: $1 million].** For example, a single person earning [lcu\_90k: $90,000][periodicity\_tax: per year] after taxes would pay [lcu\_1500\_top3: $1,500] in additional taxes, or 15% of [lcu\_10k\_top3: $10,000] = [lcu\_90k: $90,000] – [lcu\_80k: $80,000]. Meanwhile, a married couple earning [lcu\_150k: $150,000][periodicity\_tax: per year], [lcu\_75k: $75,000] for each of them, would go untaxed. This tax would apply to the richest 3% of the world's population. [tax\_country\_name: In the United States], it would affect the richest [affected\_top3: 18]% and redistribute [transfer\_top3: 8]% of GDP to lower-income countries. **Do you support or oppose such a global tax on the richest people to finance global poverty reduction?**

* Strongly oppose (1)
* Somewhat oppose (4)
* Indifferent (5)
* Somewhat support (2)
* Strongly support (3)

في الوقت الراهن، يعيش قرابة ال 3 مليار شخص في فقر مدقع، ولا يتجاوز دخلهم [lcu\_400: $400][periodicity: per month]. تخطط أهداف التنمية المستدامة، التي اعتمدتها جميع الدول في عام 2015، إلى التخفيف من وطأة الفقر وإتاحة الوصول إلى الرعاية الصحية والتعليم ومياه الشرب والصرف الصحي للجميع بحلول عام 2030. ولكن للأسف تخلف العالم عن تحقيق تلك الأهداف نظرًا لنقص التمويل. **يمكن خفض معدلات الفقر الحالية عن طريق تطبيق ضريبة عالمية تُفرض على الأفراد الذين يتجاوز دخلهم [lcu\_80k: $80,000][periodicity\_tax: per year].  ستبلغ قيمة الضريبة المفروضة 15% لكل [currency: dollar] يزيد عن الدخل البالغ [lcu\_80k: $80,000]، وذلك**بعد خصم الضرائب، **بينما تصل تلك النسبة إلى 30% لمن يتجاوز دخله [lcu\_120k: $120,000]، و45% لمن يتجاوز دخله [lcu\_1M: $1 million].** فإذا كان هناك شخص ما يبلغ دخله [lcu\_90k: $90,000][periodicity\_tax: per year] سيتم بعد خصم الضرائب اقتطاع مبلغ [lcu\_1500\_top3: $1,500] وذلك بالإضافة إلى الضرائب، أو ما يُعادل 15% وذلك وفقًا للمعادلة التالية: [lcu\_90k: $90,000] – [lcu\_80k: $80,000] = [lcu\_10k\_top3: $10,000]. بينما إذا كان هناك زوجان يبلغ مجموع دخلهما [lcu\_150k: $150,000][periodicity\_tax: per year]، وبواقع [lcu\_75k: $75,000] لكل منهما، لن يتم فرض ضرائب على أي منهما. يُجدر الإشارة إلى أنه سيتم فرض تلك الضريبة على 3% من الأشخاص الأكثر ثراءً في العالم. بينما  [tax\_country\_name: In the United States]، ستؤثر على نسبة [affected\_top3: 18]% من الأشخاص الأكثر ثراءً وستعمل على إعادة توزيع [transfer\_top3: 8]% من الناتج المحلي الإجمالي لصالح الدول الفقيرة **هل أنت مع أم ضد فرض ضريبة عالمية على أثرياء العالم من أجل مكافحة الفقر العالمي؟** top3\_tax\_support

* (1) أعارض بشدة
* (4) أعارض إلى حد ما
* (5) لا أكترث
* (2) أؤيد إلى حد ما
* (3) أؤيد بشدة

top3\_tax\_support Obecnie 3 miliardy ludzi żyją w skrajnym ubóstwie, zarabiając mniej niż 800 PLN miesięcznie. Cele Zrównoważonego Rozwoju, przyjęte przez wszystkie kraje w 2015 r., mają na celu zmniejszenie ubóstwa i zapewnienie dostępu do opieki zdrowotnej, edukacji, wody pitnej i urządzeń sanitarnych wszystkim ludziom do 2030 r. Świat nie jest obecnie na drodze do osiągnięcia tych celów redukcji ubóstwa z powodu braku funduszy. **Zmniejszenie ubóstwa mogłoby być finansowane przez globalny podatek od dochodu indywidualnego przekraczającego 13 000 PLN miesięcznie. Stawka podatku wyniosłaby 15% za każdą złotówkę powyżej 13 000 PLN dochodu, po odliczeniu istniejących podatków, 30% powyżej 20 000 PLN i 45% powyżej 150 000 PLN.** Na przykład, jedna osoba zarabiająca 14 000 PLN miesięcznie, po opodatkowaniu zapłaciłaby 150 PLN dodatkowego podatku, czyli 15% z 1 000 PLN = 14 000 – 13 000 PLN. Tymczasem małżonkowie zarabiający 20 000 PLN miesięcznie, po 10 000 PLN na osobę, nie byliby opodatkowani. Podatek ten miałby zastosowanie do najbogatszych 3% ludności świata. W Polsce dotknęłoby to najbogatsze 4% populacji i spowodowałoby redystrybucję 4% PKB do krajów o niższych dochodach. **Czy poparł(a)by Pan/Pani taki globalny podatek dla najbogatszych w celu finansowania redukcji ubóstwa na świecie, czy był(a)by mu Pan/Pani przeciwny(-a)?**

* Jestem zdecydowanie przeciw (1)
* Jestem raczej przeciw (4)
* Nie mam zdania (5)
* Jestem raczej za (2)
* Jestem zdecydowanie za (3)

top3\_tax\_support 現在、30億人が[periodicity: per month][lcu\_400: $400]未満で暮らす深刻な貧困状態にあります。 2015年にすべての国が採択した持続可能な開発目標（SDGs）では、2030年までに貧困を軽減し、すべての人々が医療、教育、飲料水、衛生環境を利用できるようにすることを目指しています。しかし、資金不足のため、世界はこの貧困削減目標の達成に向けて順調とはいえません。 **この貧困削減のための資金を、[periodicity\_tax: per year][lcu\_80k: $80,000]を超える個人所得に対する国際課税でまかなうことができます。**  **税率は、既存の税金を差し引いた所得の[lcu\_80k: $80,000]を超えるすべての[currency: dollar]に対して15%、[lcu\_120k: $120,000]を超える部分に対して30%、[lcu\_1M: $1 million]を超える部分に対して45%の税率を適用する**というものです。  たとえば、税引き後[periodicity\_tax: per year][lcu\_90k: $90,000]を稼ぐ独身者は、[lcu\_1500\_top3: $1,500]の追加課税、つまり[lcu\_90k: $90,000] – [lcu\_80k: $80,000] = [lcu\_10k\_top3: $10,000]の15%を支払うことになります。一方、[periodicity\_tax: per year][lcu\_150k: $150,000]、つまりそれぞれ[lcu\_75k: $75,000] を稼ぐ夫婦には課税されません。 この税金は、世界の人口の最も裕福な3%に適用されます。[tax\_country\_name: In the United States]、最も裕福な[affected\_top3: 18]%に影響し、GDPの[transfer\_top3: 8]%が低所得国に再分配されます。 **あなたは、世界的な貧困削減の資金を調達するために、最も裕福な人々にこのような世界的な税金を課すことに賛成ですか、反対ですか？**

* 強く反対 (1)
* やや反対 (4)
* どちらともいえない (5)
* やや賛成 (2)
* 強く賛成 (3)

top3\_tax\_support Actualmente, 3 mil millones de personas viven en extrema pobreza, con menos de [lcu\_400: $400][periodicity: per month]. Los Objetivos de Desarrollo Sostenible, adoptados por todos los países en 2015, buscan aliviar la pobreza y lograr el acceso a la atención médica, la educación, el agua potable y el saneamiento para todos para 2030. El mundo no está en vías de alcanzar estos objetivos de reducción de la pobreza debido a la falta de financiación. **La reducción de la pobreza podría financiarse mediante un impuesto global sobre los ingresos individuales superiores a [lcu\_80k: $80,000][periodicity\_tax: per year].  La tasa impositiva sería del 15% por cada [currency: dollar] que supere los [lcu\_80k: $80,000] de ingreso**  después de impuestos existentes, **30% sobre [lcu\_120k: $120,000], y 45% sobre [lcu\_1M: $1 million].** Por ejemplo, una persona soltera que gana [lcu\_90k: $90,000][periodicity\_tax: per year] después de impuestos pagaría [lcu\_1500\_top3: $1,500] en impuestos adicionales, o el 15% de [lcu\_10k\_top3: $10,000] = [lcu\_90k: $90,000] – [lcu\_80k: $80,000]. Mientras tanto, una pareja casada que gana [lcu\_150k: $150,000][periodicity\_tax: per year], [lcu\_75k: $75,000] cada uno, no pagaría este impuesto. Este impuesto se aplicaría al 3% más rico de la población mundial. [tax\_country\_name: En los Estados Unidos], afectaría al más rico [affected\_top3: 18]% y redistribuiría el [transfer\_top3: 8]% del PIB a los países de bajos ingresos. **¿Está a favor o en contra de un impuesto global de este tipo para los más ricos con el fin de financiar la reducción de la pobreza mundial?**

* Totalmente en contra (1)
* Levemente en contra (4)
* Indiferente o no sabe (5)
* Levemente a favor (2)
* Totalmente a favor (3)

top3\_tax\_support Currently, 3 billion people live in deep poverty, with less than [lcu\_400: $400][periodicity: per month]. The Sustainable Development Goals, adopted by all countries in 2015, aim to alleviate poverty and achieve access to healthcare, education, drinking water, and sanitation for all by 2030. Due to lack of funding, the world is not on track to meet these poverty reduction goals. **Poverty reduction could be funded by a global tax on individual income above [lcu\_80k: $80,000][periodicity\_tax: per year].  The tax rate would be 15% for every [currency: dollar] over [lcu\_80k: $80,000] of income** after existing taxes, **30% over [lcu\_120k: $120,000], and 45% over [lcu\_1M: $1 million].** For example, a single person earning [lcu\_90k: $90,000][periodicity\_tax: per year] after taxes would pay [lcu\_1500\_top3: $1,500] in additional taxes, or 15% of [lcu\_10k\_top3: $10,000] = [lcu\_90k: $90,000] – [lcu\_80k: $80,000]. Meanwhile, a married couple earning [lcu\_150k: $150,000][periodicity\_tax: per year], [lcu\_75k: $75,000] for each of them, would go untaxed. This tax would apply to the richest 3% of the world's population. [tax\_country\_name: In the United States], it would affect the richest [affected\_top3: 18]% and redistribute [transfer\_top3: 8]% of GDP to lower-income countries. **Do you support or oppose such a global tax on the richest people to finance global poverty reduction?**

* Strongly oppose (1)
* Somewhat oppose (4)
* Indifferent (5)
* Somewhat support (2)
* Strongly support (3)

top3\_tax\_support Derzeit leben 3 Milliarden Menschen in schwerer Armut mit einem Einkommen unter [lcu\_400: $400][periodicity: per month]. Die im Jahr 2015 von allen Ländern verabschiedeten Nachhaltigen Entwicklungsziele ("Sustainable Development Goals" oder SDGs) setzen sich zum Ziel, Armut zu verringern und allen Menschen bis 2030 Zugang zu Gesundheitsversorgung, Bildung, Trinkwasser und sanitären Einrichtungen zu ermöglichen. Aufgrund unzureichender finanzieller Mittel droht die Welt diese Ziele zur Armutsbekämpfung zu verfehlen. **Eine globale Steuer auf individuelle Einkommen von über [lcu\_80k: $80,000][periodicity\_tax: per year] könnte zur Finanzierung der Armutsbekämpfung beitragen.  Der Steuersatz läge bei 15 % auf jeden [currency: dollar] oberhalb von [lcu\_80k: $80,000]** nach steuerlichen Abgaben, **bei 30% auf über [lcu\_120k: $120,000], und bei 45% auf über [lcu\_1M: $1 million].** Zum Beispiel: Eine Einzelperson mit einem Einkommen von [lcu\_90k: $90,000][periodicity\_tax: per year], nach Abgaben, würde zusätzlich [lcu\_1500\_top3: $1,500] an Steuern zahlen, also 15 % von [lcu\_10k\_top3: $10,000] = [lcu\_90k: $90,000] – [lcu\_80k: $80,000]. Ein verheiratetes Paar mit einem gemeinsamen Einkommen von [lcu\_150k: $150,000][periodicity\_tax: per year] (also jeweils [lcu\_75k: $75,000] pro Person) würde jedoch nicht besteuert. Diese Steuer würde die reichsten 3 % der Weltbevölkerung betreffen. [tax\_country\_name: In the United States] würde sie die reichsten [affected\_top3: 18]% betreffen und [transfer\_top3: 8]% des BIPs an einkommensschwächere Länder führen. **Befürworten oder lehnen Sie eine solche globale Steuer auf die reichsten Menschen zur Finanzierung der weltweiten Armutsbekämpfung ab?**

* Sehr dagegen (1)
* Eher dagegen (4)
* Keine Meinung (5)
* Eher dafür (2)
* Sehr dafür (3)

top3\_tax\_support Actuellement, 3 milliards de personnes vivent en situation de pauvreté profonde, avec moins de [lcu\_400 : 400 $] [périodicité : par mois]. Les Objectifs de développement durable, adoptés par tous les pays en 2015, visent à réduire la pauvreté et à assurer l’accès aux soins, à l’éducation, à l’eau potable et à l’assainissement pour tous d’ici 2030. Le monde n’est pas sur la bonne voie pour atteindre ces objectifs de réduction de la pauvreté en raison du manque de financement. **La réduction de la pauvreté pourrait être financée par un impôt mondial sur les revenus supérieurs à [lcu\_80k : 80 000 $][periodicity\_tax : par an]. Le taux d'imposition serait de 15 % pour chaque dollar au-delà de [lcu\_80k: 80 000 $] de revenu après impôts existants, de 30 % au-delà de [lcu\_120k: 120 000 $] et de 45 % au-delà de [lcu\_1M: 1 million $].** Par exemple, une personne seule gagnant [lcu\_90k: 90 000 $][periodicity\_tax: par an] après impôts paierait [lcu\_1500\_top3: 1 500 $] d'impôts supplémentaires, soit 15 % de [lcu\_10k\_top3: 10 000 $] = [lcu\_90k: 90 000 $] - [lcu\_80k: 80 000 $]. En revanche, un couple marié gagnant [lcu\_150k : 150 000 $][periodicity\_tax : par an], [lcu\_75k : 75 000 $] pour chacun d'eux, ne serait pas imposé. Cet impôt s’appliquerait aux 3 % les plus riches de la population mondiale. [tax\_country\_name: Aux États-Unis], cela affecterait les [affected\_top3: 18] % les plus riches et redistribuerait [transfer\_top3: 8] % du PIB aux pays à bas revenus. **Êtes-vous favorable ou opposé⋅e à une telle taxe mondiale sur les personnes les plus riches pour financer la réduction de la pauvreté dans le monde ?**

* Très opposé⋅e (1)
* Plutôt opposé⋅e (4)
* Indifférent⋅e ou ne sais pas (5)
* Plutôt favorable (2)
* Très favorable (3)

top3\_tax\_support Attualmente, 3 miliardi di persone vivono in condizioni di profonda povertà, con meno di [lcu\_400: $400][periodicity: per month]. Gli Obiettivi per lo Sviluppo Sostenibile, condivisi da tutti i Paesi nel 2015, hanno il fine di mitigare la povertà e garantire l'accesso a tutti all'assistenza sanitaria, all'educazione, all'acqua potabile, e ai servizi igienico-sanitari entro il 2030. Il mondo non è sulla buona strada per raggiungere questi obiettivi di riduzione della povertà a causa della mancanza di finanziamenti. **La riduzione della povertà potrebbe essere finanziata tramite una tassa a livello globale sui redditi individuali superiori a [lcu\_80k: $80,000][periodicity\_tax: per year].  L'aliquota fiscale sarebbe del 15% per ogni [currency: dollar] superiore a [lcu\_80k: $80,000] di reddito** al netto delle tasse esistenti, del **30% per ogni euro superiore a [lcu\_120k: $120,000], e del 45% per ogni euro superiore a [lcu\_1M: $1 million].** Ad esempio, un individuo che guadagna [lcu\_90k: $90,000][periodicity\_tax: per year] al netto delle tasse pagherebbe [lcu\_1500\_top3: $1,500] in tasse aggiuntive, o il 15% di [lcu\_10k\_top3: $10,000] = [lcu\_90k: $90,000] – [lcu\_80k: $80,000]. Intanto, una coppia sposata che guadagna [lcu\_150k: $150,000][periodicity\_tax: per year], [lcu\_75k: $75,000] ciascuno, non sarebbe tassata. Questa tassa sarebbe applicata al 3% più ricco della popolazione mondiale. [tax\_country\_name: In the United States], avrebbe effetto sul [affected\_top3: 18]% più ricco della popolazione e redistribuirebbe il [transfer\_top3: 8]% del PIL ai Paesi a basso reddito. **Sei a favore o contrario a questa tassa a livello globale sulle persone più ricche per finanziare la riduzione della povertà nel mondo?**

* Fortemente contrario (1)
* In parte contrario (4)
* Indifferente (5)
* In parte d'accordo (2)
* Fortemente d'accordo (3)

top3\_tax\_support Currently, 3 billion people live in deep poverty, with less than [lcu\_400: $400][periodicity: per month]. The Sustainable Development Goals, adopted by all countries in 2015, aim to alleviate poverty and achieve access to healthcare, education, drinking water, and sanitation for all by 2030. Due to lack of funding, the world is not on track to meet these poverty reduction goals. **Poverty reduction could be funded by a global tax on individual income above [lcu\_80k: $80,000][periodicity\_tax: per year].  The tax rate would be 15% for every [currency: dollar] over [lcu\_80k: $80,000] of income** after existing taxes, **30% over [lcu\_120k: $120,000], and 45% over [lcu\_1M: $1 million].** For example, a single person earning [lcu\_90k: $90,000][periodicity\_tax: per year] after taxes would pay [lcu\_1500\_top3: $1,500] in additional taxes, or 15% of [lcu\_10k\_top3: $10,000] = [lcu\_90k: $90,000] – [lcu\_80k: $80,000]. Meanwhile, a married couple earning [lcu\_150k: $150,000][periodicity\_tax: per year], [lcu\_75k: $75,000] for each of them, would go untaxed. This tax would apply to the richest 3% of the world's population. [tax\_country\_name: In the United States], it would affect the richest [affected\_top3: 18]% and redistribute [transfer\_top3: 8]% of GDP to lower-income countries. **Do you support or oppose such a global tax on the richest people to finance global poverty reduction?**

* Strongly oppose (1)
* Somewhat oppose (4)
* Indifferent (5)
* Somewhat support (2)
* Strongly support (3)

top3\_tax\_support Currently, 3 billion people live in deep poverty, with less than [lcu\_400: $400][periodicity: per month]. The Sustainable Development Goals, adopted by all countries in 2015, aim to alleviate poverty and achieve access to healthcare, education, drinking water, and sanitation for all by 2030. Due to lack of funding, the world is not on track to meet these poverty reduction goals. **Poverty reduction could be funded by a global tax on individual income above [lcu\_80k: $80,000][periodicity\_tax: per year].  The tax rate would be 15% for every [currency: dollar] over [lcu\_80k: $80,000] of income** after existing taxes, **30% over [lcu\_120k: $120,000], and 45% over [lcu\_1M: $1 million].** For example, a single person earning [lcu\_90k: $90,000][periodicity\_tax: per year] after taxes would pay [lcu\_1500\_top3: $1,500] in additional taxes, or 15% of [lcu\_10k\_top3: $10,000] = [lcu\_90k: $90,000] – [lcu\_80k: $80,000]. Meanwhile, a married couple earning [lcu\_150k: $150,000][periodicity\_tax: per year], [lcu\_75k: $75,000] for each of them, would go untaxed. This tax would apply to the richest 3% of the world's population. [tax\_country\_name: In the United States], it would affect the richest [affected\_top3: 18]% and redistribute [transfer\_top3: 8]% of GDP to lower-income countries. **Do you support or oppose such a global tax on the richest people to finance global poverty reduction?**

* Strongly oppose (1)
* Somewhat oppose (4)
* Indifferent (5)
* Somewhat support (2)
* Strongly support (3)
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* A lot (3)
* A great deal (4)

attention\_test To show that you are attentive, please select "A little" in the following list:

* Not at all (1)
* A little (2)
* A lot (3)
* A great deal (4)

attention\_test To show that you are attentive, please select "A little" in the following list:

* Not at all (1)
* A little (2)
* A lot (3)
* A great deal (4)

attention\_test To show that you are attentive, please select "A little" in the following list:

* Not at all (1)
* A little (2)
* A lot (3)
* A great deal (4)

للتأكد من مدى انتباهك أثناء هذا القسم من الاستطلاع، يُرجى اختيار "قليلًا" من قائمة الخيارات التالية: attention\_test

* (1) لا شيء على الإطلاق
* (2) قليلًا
* (3) كثيرًا
* (4) كثيرًا للغاية

attention\_test Aby potwierdzić, że czyta Pan/Pani uważnie, proszę wybrać odpowiedź "Trochę" z poniższej listy:

* Wcale (1)
* Trochę (2)
* Bardzo (3)
* Ogromnie (4)

attention\_test 注意深く読んでいることを確認するため、以下の選択肢の中から「少し」を選んでください。

* まったくない (1)
* 少し (2)
* かなり (3)
* 非常に多く (4)

attention\_test Por favor, seleccione "Un poco" (es una prueba para ver si está prestando atención).

* Nada (1)
* Un poco (2)
* Lo suficiente (3)
* Mucho (4)

attention\_test To show that you are attentive, please select "A little" in the following list:

* Not at all (1)
* A little (2)
* A lot (3)
* A great deal (4)

attention\_test Bitte wählen Sie „Ein wenig“ aus der folgenden Liste aus (dies ist ein Test, um zu bestätigen, dass Sie aufmerksam sind.)

* Gar nicht (1)
* Ein wenig (2)
* Viel (3)
* Größtenteils (4)

attention\_test Merci de sélectionner "Un peu" (ceci est un test pour vérifier que vous êtes attentif/ve).

* Pas du tout (1)
* Un peu (2)
* Beaucoup (3)
* Complètement (4)

attention\_test Per dimostrare di essere attento, per favore seleziona "Un po'" dal seguente elenco:

* Per niente (1)
* Un po' (2)
* Molto (3)
* Moltissimo (4)

attention\_test To show that you are attentive, please select "A little" in the following list:

* Not at all (1)
* A little (2)
* A lot (3)
* A great deal (4)

attention\_test To show that you are attentive, please select "A little" in the following list:

* Not at all (1)
* A little (2)
* A lot (3)
* A great deal (4)

End of Block: Scenarios & radical tax

Start of Block: Preferred transfer means to LICs

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |

transfer\_how Below are different ways to transfer resources to help reduce poverty in a low-income country.  How do you evaluate each of these options?

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | A wrong way (1) | An acceptable way (4) | A right way (2) | The best way (3) |
| Transfers to public development aid agencies which then finance suitable projects (6) |  |  |  |  |
| Transfers to the national government conditioned on the use of funds for poverty reduction programs (3) |  |  |  |  |
| Unconditional transfers to the national government (2) |  |  |  |  |
| Unconditional transfers to local authorities (municipality, village chief...) (4) |  |  |  |  |
| Transfers to local NGOs with democratic decision-making processes (5) |  |  |  |  |
| Cash transfers to parents (child allowances), to the disabled and to the elderly (1) |  |  |  |  |
| Unconditional cash transfers to each household (7) |  |  |  |  |

transfer\_how Below are different ways to transfer resources to help reduce poverty in a low-income country.  How do you evaluate each of these options?

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | A wrong way (1) | An acceptable way (4) | A right way (2) | The best way (3) |
| Transfers to public development aid agencies which then finance suitable projects (6) |  |  |  |  |
| Transfers to the national government conditioned on the use of funds for poverty reduction programs (3) |  |  |  |  |
| Unconditional transfers to the national government (2) |  |  |  |  |
| Unconditional transfers to local authorities (municipality, village chief...) (4) |  |  |  |  |
| Transfers to local NGOs with democratic decision-making processes (5) |  |  |  |  |
| Cash transfers to parents (child allowances), to the disabled and to the elderly (1) |  |  |  |  |
| Unconditional cash transfers to each household (7) |  |  |  |  |

transfer\_how Below are different ways to transfer resources to help reduce poverty in a low-income country.  How do you evaluate each of these options?

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | A wrong way (1) | An acceptable way (4) | A right way (2) | The best way (3) |
| Transfers to public development aid agencies which then finance suitable projects (6) |  |  |  |  |
| Transfers to the national government conditioned on the use of funds for poverty reduction programs (3) |  |  |  |  |
| Unconditional transfers to the national government (2) |  |  |  |  |
| Unconditional transfers to local authorities (municipality, village chief...) (4) |  |  |  |  |
| Transfers to local NGOs with democratic decision-making processes (5) |  |  |  |  |
| Cash transfers to parents (child allowances), to the disabled and to the elderly (1) |  |  |  |  |
| Unconditional cash transfers to each household (7) |  |  |  |  |

transfer\_how Below are different ways to transfer resources to help reduce poverty in a low-income country.  How do you evaluate each of these options?

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | A wrong way (1) | An acceptable way (4) | A right way (2) | The best way (3) |
| Transfers to public development aid agencies which then finance suitable projects (6) |  |  |  |  |
| Transfers to the national government conditioned on the use of funds for poverty reduction programs (3) |  |  |  |  |
| Unconditional transfers to the national government (2) |  |  |  |  |
| Unconditional transfers to local authorities (municipality, village chief...) (4) |  |  |  |  |
| Transfers to local NGOs with democratic decision-making processes (5) |  |  |  |  |
| Cash transfers to parents (child allowances), to the disabled and to the elderly (1) |  |  |  |  |
| Unconditional cash transfers to each household (7) |  |  |  |  |

transfer\_how Below are different ways to transfer resources to help reduce poverty in a low-income country.  How do you evaluate each of these options?

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | A wrong way (1) | An acceptable way (4) | A right way (2) | The best way (3) |
| Transfers to public development aid agencies which then finance suitable projects (6) |  |  |  |  |
| Transfers to the national government conditioned on the use of funds for poverty reduction programs (3) |  |  |  |  |
| Unconditional transfers to the national government (2) |  |  |  |  |
| Unconditional transfers to local authorities (municipality, village chief...) (4) |  |  |  |  |
| Transfers to local NGOs with democratic decision-making processes (5) |  |  |  |  |
| Cash transfers to parents (child allowances), to the disabled and to the elderly (1) |  |  |  |  |
| Unconditional cash transfers to each household (7) |  |  |  |  |

نستعرض فيما يلي مجموعة متنوعة من وسائل إيصال الموارد اللازمة للمساعدة في التخفيف من وطأة الفقر في الدول ذات الدخل المنخفض.  ما هو تقييمك لكل وسيلة من الوسائل التالية؟ transfer\_how

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| (3) أفضل السبل | (2) وسيلة ملائمة | (4) وسيلة مقبولة | (1) وسيلة غير ملائمة |  |
|  |  |  |  | (6) تقديم الإعانات إلى وكالات المساعدة التنموية العامة التي تقوم بدورها بتمويل المشاريع المناسبة |
|  |  |  |  | (3) تقديم الإعانات إلى الحكومات الوطنية بشرط استخدام تلك الإعانات لتمويل برامج الحد من الفقر |
|  |  |  |  | (2) تقديم مساعدات غير مشروطة للحكومات الوطنية |
|  |  |  |  | (4) تقديم مساعدات غير مشروطة للسلطات المحلية (كالبلديات، ورؤساء القرى...) |
|  |  |  |  | (5) تقديم مساعدات للمنظمات المحلية غير الحكومية المعروفة بعمليات اتخاذ القرار بآلية ديموقراطية |
|  |  |  |  | (1) مساعدات نقدية للوالدين (مخصصات للأطفال)، لذوي الاحتياجات الخاصة وكبار السن |
|  |  |  |  | (7) تقديم إعانات غير مشروطة لكل أسرة |

transfer\_how Poniżej przedstawiono różne sposoby transferu środków w celu zmniejszenia ubóstwa w kraju o niskim dochodzie. Jak Pan/Pani ocenia każdą z tych opcji?

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Niewłaściwy sposób (1) | Akceptowalny sposób (4) | Właściwy sposób (2) | Najlepszy sposób (3) |
| Transfery do zagranicznych agencji pomocowych lub międzynarodowych funduszy rozwojowych, które następnie finansują odpowiednie projekty (6) |  |  |  |  |
| Przekazywanie środków rządom państw uzależnione od wykorzystania zasobów na programy ograniczania ubóstwa (3) |  |  |  |  |
| Bezwarunkowe transfery do rządów państw (2) |  |  |  |  |
| Bezwarunkowe transfery do władz lokalnych (gmina, sołtys...) (4) |  |  |  |  |
| Transfery do lokalnych organizacji pozarządowych działających w oparciu o demokratyczne procesy decyzyjne (5) |  |  |  |  |
| Bezpośrednie przelewy pieniężne na rzecz rodziców (zasiłki dla dzieci), osób niepełnosprawnych i osób starszych (1) |  |  |  |  |
| Bezwarunkowe przelewy pieniężne dla każdego gospodarstwa domowego (7) |  |  |  |  |

transfer\_how 以下は、低所得国の貧困を減らすために資源を移転するさまざまな方法です。  それぞれの選択肢について、あなたはどのように評価しますか？

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 好ましくない方法 (1) | 許容できる方法 (4) | 適切な方法 (2) | 最も望ましい方法 (3) |
| 公的な開発援助機関に資金を移し、適切なプロジェクトに資金を提供する (6) |  |  |  |  |
| 貧困削減プログラムへの資金の使用を条件とした、国の政府への資金移転 (3) |  |  |  |  |
| 国への無条件の資金移転 (2) |  |  |  |  |
| 地方自治体（市町村、村長など）への無条件の資金移転 (4) |  |  |  |  |
| 民主的な意思決定プロセスを持つ現地のNGOへの資金移転 (5) |  |  |  |  |
| 保護者（児童手当）、障害者、高齢者への現金給付 (1) |  |  |  |  |
| 各世帯への無条件の現金給付 (7) |  |  |  |  |

transfer\_how A continuación, se presentan diferentes maneras de transferir recursos para ayudar a reducir la pobreza en un país de bajos ingresos. ¿Cómo evalúa cada una de estas opciones?

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Una manera equivocada (1) | Una manera aceptable (4) | Una manera correcta (2) | La mejor manera (3) |
| Transferencias a agencias públicas de ayuda al desarrollo que luego financian proyectos adecuados (6) |  |  |  |  |
| Transferencias al gobierno nacional condicionadas al uso de fondos para programas de reducción de la pobreza (3) |  |  |  |  |
| Transferencias incondicionales al gobierno nacional (2) |  |  |  |  |
| Transferencias incondicionales a autoridades locales (municipio, jefe de aldea...) (4) |  |  |  |  |
| Transferencias a ONG locales con procesos democráticos de toma de decisiones (5) |  |  |  |  |
| Transferencias de efectivo a los padres (subsidios por hijo), a las personas con discapacidad y a las personas mayores (1) |  |  |  |  |
| Transferencias de efectivo incondicionales a cada hogar (7) |  |  |  |  |

transfer\_how Below are different ways to transfer resources to help reduce poverty in a low-income country.  How do you evaluate each of these options?

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | A wrong way (1) | An acceptable way (4) | A right way (2) | The best way (3) |
| Transfers to public development aid agencies which then finance suitable projects (6) |  |  |  |  |
| Transfers to the national government conditioned on the use of funds for poverty reduction programs (3) |  |  |  |  |
| Unconditional transfers to the national government (2) |  |  |  |  |
| Unconditional transfers to local authorities (municipality, village chief...) (4) |  |  |  |  |
| Transfers to local NGOs with democratic decision-making processes (5) |  |  |  |  |
| Cash transfers to parents (child allowances), to the disabled and to the elderly (1) |  |  |  |  |
| Unconditional cash transfers to each household (7) |  |  |  |  |

transfer\_how Nachfolgend sind verschiedene Möglichkeiten aufgeführt, Mittel zur Armutsbekämpfung an ein einkommensschwaches Land bereitzustellen.  
 Wie bewerten Sie jede dieser Optionen?

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Unsinnvoller Ansatz (1) | Vertretbarer Ansatz (4) | Sinnvoller Ansatz (2) | Der beste Ansatz (3) |
| Gelder an öffentliche Entwicklungsbehörden, die dann geeignete Projekte finanzieren. (6) |  |  |  |  |
| Gelder an die jeweilige nationale Regierung, zweckgebunden für Armutsbekämpfungsprogramme (3) |  |  |  |  |
| Gelder an die nationale Regierung ohne Zweckbindungen (2) |  |  |  |  |
| Gelder an lokale Behörden (Gemeinden, Dorfvorsteher, etc.) ohne Zweckbindungen (4) |  |  |  |  |
| Gelder an lokale Nichtregierungsorganisationen (NGOs) mit demokratischen Entscheidungsprozessen (5) |  |  |  |  |
| Direkte Geldüberweisungen an Eltern (Kindergeld), Menschen mit Behinderungen und ältere Menschen (1) |  |  |  |  |
| Direkte Geldüberweisungen an jeden Haushalt ohne Zweckbindungen (7) |  |  |  |  |

transfer\_how Vous trouverez ci-dessous différentes manières de transférer des ressources pour réduire la pauvreté dans un pays à bas revenus. Comment jugez-vous chacune de ces options ?

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Une mauvaise manière (1) | Une manière acceptable (4) | Une bonne manière (2) | La meilleure manière (3) |
| Transferts vers des agences publiques d'aide au développement qui financent des projets appropriés (6) |  |  |  |  |
| Transferts au gouvernement national conditionnés à l'utilisation des fonds pour des programmes de réduction de la pauvreté (3) |  |  |  |  |
| Transferts inconditionnels au gouvernement national (2) |  |  |  |  |
| Transferts inconditionnels aux autorités locales (commune, chef de village...) (4) |  |  |  |  |
| Transferts vers des ONG locales ayant des processus décisionnels démocratiques (5) |  |  |  |  |
| Transferts monétaires aux parents (allocations familiales), aux personnes handicapées et aux personnes âgées (1) |  |  |  |  |
| Transferts monétaires inconditionnels à chaque ménage (7) |  |  |  |  |

transfer\_how Di seguito sono riportati diversi modi per trasferire risorse al fine di contribuire alla riduzione della povertà in un Paese a basso reddito. Come valuteresti ciascuna delle seguenti opzioni?

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Sbagliato (1) | Accettabile (4) | Giusto (2) | Ottimo (3) |
| Trasferimenti ad agenzie pubbliche di aiuto allo sviluppo che finanzierebbero progetti ritenuti idonei (6) |  |  |  |  |
| Trasferimenti al governo nazionale condizionati all'utilizzo dei fondi per programmi di riduzione della povertà (3) |  |  |  |  |
| Trasferimenti incondizionati al governo nazionale (2) |  |  |  |  |
| Trasferimenti incondizionati alle autorità locali (comune, capo del villaggio...) (4) |  |  |  |  |
| Trasferimenti a ONG locali con processi decisionali democratici (5) |  |  |  |  |
| Trasferimenti in denaro ai genitori (assegni per i figli), ai disabili e agli anziani (1) |  |  |  |  |
| Trasferimenti incondizionati di denaro per ogni nucleo familiare (7) |  |  |  |  |

transfer\_how Below are different ways to transfer resources to help reduce poverty in a low-income country.  How do you evaluate each of these options?

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | A wrong way (1) | An acceptable way (4) | A right way (2) | The best way (3) |
| Transfers to public development aid agencies which then finance suitable projects (6) |  |  |  |  |
| Transfers to the national government conditioned on the use of funds for poverty reduction programs (3) |  |  |  |  |
| Unconditional transfers to the national government (2) |  |  |  |  |
| Unconditional transfers to local authorities (municipality, village chief...) (4) |  |  |  |  |
| Transfers to local NGOs with democratic decision-making processes (5) |  |  |  |  |
| Cash transfers to parents (child allowances), to the disabled and to the elderly (1) |  |  |  |  |
| Unconditional cash transfers to each household (7) |  |  |  |  |

transfer\_how Below are different ways to transfer resources to help reduce poverty in a low-income country.  How do you evaluate each of these options?

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | A wrong way (1) | An acceptable way (4) | A right way (2) | The best way (3) |
| Transfers to public development aid agencies which then finance suitable projects (6) |  |  |  |  |
| Transfers to the national government conditioned on the use of funds for poverty reduction programs (3) |  |  |  |  |
| Unconditional transfers to the national government (2) |  |  |  |  |
| Unconditional transfers to local authorities (municipality, village chief...) (4) |  |  |  |  |
| Transfers to local NGOs with democratic decision-making processes (5) |  |  |  |  |
| Cash transfers to parents (child allowances), to the disabled and to the elderly (1) |  |  |  |  |
| Unconditional cash transfers to each household (7) |  |  |  |  |

End of Block: Preferred transfer means to LICs

Start of Block: Radical redistribution

convergence\_support Should governments actively cooperate to have all countries converge in terms of GDP per capita by the end of the century?

* Yes (1)
* No (2)
* I prefer not to answer (3)

convergence\_support Should governments actively cooperate to have all countries converge in terms of GDP per capita by the end of the century?

* Yes (1)
* No (2)
* I prefer not to answer (3)

convergence\_support Should governments actively cooperate to have all countries converge in terms of GDP per capita by the end of the century?

* Yes (1)
* No (2)
* I prefer not to answer (3)

convergence\_support Should governments actively cooperate to have all countries converge in terms of GDP per capita by the end of the century?

* Yes (1)
* No (2)
* I prefer not to answer (3)

convergence\_support Should governments actively cooperate to have all countries converge in terms of GDP per capita by the end of the century?

* Yes (1)
* No (2)
* I prefer not to answer (3)

هل ينبغي على الحكومات التعاون بشكل فعّال لتحقيق تقارب بين جميع الدول فيما يتعلق بنصيب الفرد من الناتج المحلي الإجمالي بحلول نهاية القرن؟ convergence\_support

* (1) نعم
* (2)  لا
* (3)   أفضل عدم الإجابة على هذا السؤال.

convergence\_support Czy rządy państw powinny aktywnie ze sobą współpracować, aby do końca tego stulecia wszystkie kraje osiągnęły taki sam poziom PKB w przeliczeniu na mieszkańca?

* Tak (1)
* Nie (2)
* Wolę nie odpowiadać (3)

convergence\_support 各国政府は、今世紀末までにすべての国の1人あたりGDPが収束するよう、積極的に協力すべきだと思いますか？

* はい (1)
* いいえ (2)
* 回答しない (3)

convergence\_support ¿Deberían los gobiernos cooperar activamente para que todos los países converjan en términos de PIB per cápita para finales de siglo?

* Sí (1)
* No (2)
* Prefiero no responder (3)

convergence\_support Should governments actively cooperate to have all countries converge in terms of GDP per capita by the end of the century?

* Yes (1)
* No (2)
* I prefer not to answer (3)

convergence\_support Sollten Regierungen aktiv zusammenarbeiten, damit alle Länder bis Ende dieses Jahrhunderts ein ähnliches BIP pro Kopf erreichen?

* Ja (1)
* Nein (2)
* Ich möchte keine Antwort geben (3)

convergence\_support Les gouvernements devraient-ils coopérer activement pour que tous les pays convergent en termes de PIB par habitant d’ici la fin du siècle ?

* Oui (1)
* Non (2)
* Je préfère ne pas répondre. (3)

convergence\_support I governi dovrebbero cooperare attivamente tra loro per far sì che tutti i Paesi convergano in termini di PIL pro capite entro la fine del secolo?

* Sì (1)
* No (2)
* Preferisco non rispondere (3)

convergence\_support Should governments actively cooperate to have all countries converge in terms of GDP per capita by the end of the century?

* Yes (1)
* No (2)
* I prefer not to answer (3)

convergence\_support Should governments actively cooperate to have all countries converge in terms of GDP per capita by the end of the century?

* Yes (1)
* No (2)
* I prefer not to answer (3)

|  |
| --- |
|  |

global\_movement If there was a worldwide movement in favor of a global program to tackle climate change, implement taxes on millionaires and fund poverty reduction in low-income countries, to what extent would you be willing to be part of that movement? (Multiple answers possible)

* ⊗I would *not* support such a movement. (1)
* I could sign a petition and spread ideas. (2)
* I could attend a demonstration. (4)
* I could go on strike. (6)
* I could donate [amount\_lottery: $100] to a strike fund. (3)
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* ⊗I would *not* support such a movement. (1)
* I could sign a petition and spread ideas. (2)
* I could attend a demonstration. (4)
* I could go on strike. (6)
* I could donate [amount\_lottery: $100] to a strike fund. (3)

global\_movement If there was a worldwide movement in favor of a global program to tackle climate change, implement taxes on millionaires and fund poverty reduction in low-income countries, to what extent would you be willing to be part of that movement? (Multiple answers possible)

* ⊗I would *not* support such a movement. (1)
* I could sign a petition and spread ideas. (2)
* I could attend a demonstration. (4)
* I could go on strike. (6)
* I could donate [amount\_lottery: $100] to a strike fund. (3)

إذا كان هناك حركة عالمية نحو تدشين برنامج عالمي للحد من مخاطر التغيرات المناخية، وفرض ضرائب على الأثرياء من أصحاب الملايين، وتمويل مشاريع الحد من الفقر في البلدان الفقيرة، إلى أي مدى سترغب في المشاركة في هذه الحركة؟ (يمكنك اختيار أكثر من إجابة) global\_movement

* (1) *لن* أؤيد مثل هذه الحركة .⊗
* (2) يمكنني التوقيع على عريضة تدعم هذه الحركة وتساعد على نشر تلك الأفكار.
* (4) يمكنني المشاركة في مظاهرة مؤيدة لهذه الحركة.
* (6) قد أقوم بعمل إضراب من أجل دعم هذه الحركة.
* (3) يمكنني التبرع بمبلغ [amount\_lottery: $100] لصالح صندوق الإضراب.

global\_movement Gdyby istniał ogólnoświatowy ruch na rzecz globalnego programu walki ze zmianami klimatu, opodatkowania milionerów i finansowania zmniejszenia ubóstwa w krajach o niskim dochodzie, w jakim stopniu był(a)by Pan/Pani skłonny(-a) przyłączyć się do tego ruchu? (Możliwe wiele odpowiedzi)

* ⊗Nie poparłbym takiego ruchu. (1)
* Podpisał(a)bym petycję i rozpowszechniał(a)bym jego idee. (2)
* Wziąłbym/wzięłabym udział w demonstracji. (4)
* Wziąłbym/wzięłabym udział w strajku. (6)
* Wpłacił(a)bym 100 USD na fundusz strajkowy. (3)

global\_movement もし、気候変動への対策、富裕層への課税、低所得国の貧困削減のための資金支援を目的とした地球規模の取り組みが立ち上がった場合、あなたはどの程度その運動に参加したいと思いますか？（複数選択可）

* ⊗そのような運動を*支持しない* (1)
* 賛同の署名をしたり、考えを広めたりすることができる (2)
* デモに参加することができる (4)
* ストライキに参加することができる (6)
* ストライキ基金に[amount\_lottery: $100]を寄付することができる (3)

global\_movement Si existiera un movimiento mundial a favor de un programa global para combatir el cambio climático, implementar impuestos a los millonarios y financiar la reducción de la pobreza en países de bajos ingresos, ¿hasta qué punto estaría dispuesto a participar en ese movimiento? (Se permiten varias respuestas)

* ⊗Yo no apoyaría un movimiento así. (1)
* Podría firmar una petición y difundir ideas. (2)
* Podría asistir a una manifestación. (4)
* Podría hacer huelga. (6)
* Podría donar [amount\_lottery: $100] a un fondo de huelga. (3)

global\_movement If there was a worldwide movement in favor of a global program to tackle climate change, implement taxes on millionaires and fund poverty reduction in low-income countries, to what extent would you be willing to be part of that movement? (Multiple answers possible)

* ⊗I would *not* support such a movement. (1)
* I could sign a petition and spread ideas. (2)
* I could attend a demonstration. (4)
* I could go on strike. (6)
* I could donate [amount\_lottery: $100] to a strike fund. (3)

global\_movement Angenommen, es gäbe eine weltweite Bewegung, die sich für ein globales Programm zur Bekämpfung des Klimawandels, die Einführung von Steuern für Millionäre und die Finanzierung der Armutsbekämpfung in einkommensschwachen Ländern einsetzt –  inwiefern wären Sie bereit, sich dieser Bewegung anzuschließen? (Mehrfachantworten möglich)

* ⊗Ich würde eine solche Bewegung *nicht* unterstützen. (1)
* Ich wäre bereit, eine Petition zu unterschreiben und Ideen weiterzuverbreiten. (2)
* Ich wäre bereit, an einer Demonstration teilzunehmen. (4)
* Ich wäre bereit, mich einem Streik anzuschließen. (6)
* Ich wäre bereit, [amount\_lottery: $100] an einen Streitfond zu spenden. (3)

global\_movement S’il existait un mouvement mondial en faveur d’un programme mondial visant à lutter contre le changement climatique, à taxer les millionnaires et à financer la réduction de la pauvreté dans les pays à bas revenus, dans quelle mesure seriez-vous prêt à faire partie de ce mouvement ? (Plusieurs réponses possibles)

* ⊗Je *ne* soutiendrais *pas* un tel mouvement. (1)
* Je pourrais signer une pétition et diffuser des idées. (2)
* Je pourrais participer à une manifestation. (4)
* Je pourrais faire grève. (6)
* Je pourrais faire un don de [amount\_lottery: $100] à une caisse de grève. (3)

global\_movement Se esistesse un movimento mondiale a favore di un programma globale che contrasti il cambiamento climatico, implementi tasse ai milionari e finanzi la riduzione della povertà nei Paesi a basso reddito, in che misura saresti disposto a far parte di quel movimento? (Sono possibili risposte multiple)

* ⊗*Non* appoggerei un movimento del genere. (1)
* Potrei firmare una petizione e diffonderne le idee. (2)
* Potrei partecipare a una manifestazione. (4)
* Potrei partecipare a uno sciopero. (6)
* Potrei donare [amount\_lottery: $ 100] ad un fondo per lo sciopero. (3)

global\_movement If there was a worldwide movement in favor of a global program to tackle climate change, implement taxes on millionaires and fund poverty reduction in low-income countries, to what extent would you be willing to be part of that movement? (Multiple answers possible)

* ⊗I would *not* support such a movement. (1)
* I could sign a petition and spread ideas. (2)
* I could attend a demonstration. (4)
* I could go on strike. (6)
* I could donate [amount\_lottery: $100] to a strike fund. (3)

global\_movement If there was a worldwide movement in favor of a global program to tackle climate change, implement taxes on millionaires and fund poverty reduction in low-income countries, to what extent would you be willing to be part of that movement? (Multiple answers possible)

* ⊗I would *not* support such a movement. (1)
* I could sign a petition and spread ideas. (2)
* I could attend a demonstration. (4)
* I could go on strike. (6)
* I could donate [amount\_lottery: $100] to a strike fund. (3)

Display this question:

If country != SA

And country != RU

|  |
| --- |
|  |

vote\_intl\_coalition Let us call "your political party" the party you voted for in the last election, or the party that represents your views most closely.  **Imagine** there was **a worldwide coalition** of political parties in favor of a common program **to tackle climate change, implement taxes on millionaires and fund poverty reduction in low-income countries**.  **Would you be more likely to vote for your party if it were part of that coalition?**

* Yes, I would be **more likely** to vote for my party if it joined that coalition (or to vote for another party if only that other party joined the coalition). (5)
* My choice would **not depend** on which parties are part of that coalition. (6)
* No, I would be **less likely** to vote for my party if it joined that coalition. (7)

vote\_intl\_coalition Let us call "your political party" the party you voted for in the last election, or the party that represents your views most closely.  **Imagine** there was **a worldwide coalition** of political parties in favor of a common program **to tackle climate change, implement taxes on millionaires and fund poverty reduction in low-income countries**.  **Would you be more likely to vote for your party if it were part of that coalition?**

* Yes, I would be **more likely** to vote for my party if it joined that coalition (or to vote for another party if only that other party joined the coalition). (5)
* My choice would **not depend** on which parties are part of that coalition. (6)
* No, I would be **less likely** to vote for my party if it joined that coalition. (7)

vote\_intl\_coalition Let us call "your political party" the party you voted for in the last election, or the party that represents your views most closely.  **Imagine** there was **a worldwide coalition** of political parties in favor of a common program **to tackle climate change, implement taxes on millionaires and fund poverty reduction in low-income countries**.  **Would you be more likely to vote for your party if it were part of that coalition?**

* Yes, I would be **more likely** to vote for my party if it joined that coalition (or to vote for another party if only that other party joined the coalition). (5)
* My choice would **not depend** on which parties are part of that coalition. (6)
* No, I would be **less likely** to vote for my party if it joined that coalition. (7)

vote\_intl\_coalition Let us call "your political party" the party you voted for in the last election, or the party that represents your views most closely.  **Imagine** there was **a worldwide coalition** of political parties in favor of a common program **to tackle climate change, implement taxes on millionaires and fund poverty reduction in low-income countries**.  **Would you be more likely to vote for your party if it were part of that coalition?**

* Yes, I would be **more likely** to vote for my party if it joined that coalition (or to vote for another party if only that other party joined the coalition). (5)
* My choice would **not depend** on which parties are part of that coalition. (6)
* No, I would be **less likely** to vote for my party if it joined that coalition. (7)

vote\_intl\_coalition Let us call "your political party" the party you voted for in the last election, or the party that represents your views most closely.  **Imagine** there was **a worldwide coalition** of political parties in favor of a common program **to tackle climate change, implement taxes on millionaires and fund poverty reduction in low-income countries**.  **Would you be more likely to vote for your party if it were part of that coalition?**

* Yes, I would be **more likely** to vote for my party if it joined that coalition (or to vote for another party if only that other party joined the coalition). (5)
* My choice would **not depend** on which parties are part of that coalition. (6)
* No, I would be **less likely** to vote for my party if it joined that coalition. (7)

دعنا نركز على "حزبك السياسي" وهو الحزب الذي صوتت له في الانتخابات الأخيرة، أو الحزب الذي يمثل آرائك بشكل وثيق. **تخيل أن** هناك **تحالفًا عالميًا** من الأحزاب السياسية تعمل معًا من أجل تدشين برنامج مشترك **للحد من مخاطر التغيرات المناخية، وفرض ضرائب على الأثرياء من أصحاب الملايين، وتمويل صناديق الحد من الفقر في البلدان الفقيرة** . **هل ستزداد احتمالية تصويتك لحزبك لو كان جزءًا من هذا الائتلاف؟** vote\_intl\_coalition

* (5) نعم، من **المرجح** أن أقوم بالتصويت لصالح حزبي إذا انضم إلى مثل هذا الائتلاف (أو أن أصوت لصالح حزب آخر إذا انضم هذا الحزب الآخر إلى الائتلاف).
* (6) **لن يعتمد** اختياري على كون الحزب جزءًا من هذا الائتلاف أم لا.
* (7) لا، ستتناقص **فرص تصويتي لحزبي** إذا انضم إلى هذا الائتلاف.

vote\_intl\_coalition Nazwijmy „Pana/Pani partią polityczną” partię, na którą głosował(a) Pan/Pani w ostatnich wyborach, lub taką, która najlepiej reprezentuje Pana/Pani poglądy. **Wyobraźmy sobie**, że istnieje **światowa koalicja** partii politycznych **na rzecz wspólnego programu walki ze zmianami klimatu, opodatkowania milionerów i finansowania redukcji ubóstwa w krajach o niskim dochodzie**. **Czy był(a)by Pan/Pani bardziej skłonny(-a) głosować na swoją partię, gdyby była częścią tej koalicji?**

* Tak, był(a)bym **bardziej skłonny(-a)** głosować na moją partię, gdyby przyłączyła się do tej koalicji (lub głosować na inną partię, gdyby ta inna partia przystąpiła do koalicji). (5)
* Mój wybór **nie zależałby** od tego, które partie są częścią tej koalicji. (6)
* Nie, był(a)bym **mniej skłonny(-a)** do głosowania na moją partię, gdyby dołączyła do tej koalicji. (7)

vote\_intl\_coalition 「あなたの支持政党」とは、前回の選挙であなたが投票した政党、またはあなたの考えに最も近い政党を指すものとします。  **気候変動への対策、富裕層への課税、低所得国における貧困削減のための資金提供を共通の目標とする、政党による世界的な連合**があったと想像してください。 **もしあなたの支持政党がこの連合の一員だった場合、その政党に投票する可能性は高まりますか？**

* はい、自分の支持政党がその連合に参加していれば、その政党に投票する **可能性が高まります**（あるいは、その連合に参加している別の政党に投票する可能性があります） (5)
* 私の選択は、どの政党がその連合に参加しているかによって**決まるものではありません** (6)
* いいえ、自分の支持政党がその連合に参加していたら、投票する**可能性は低くなるでしょう** (7)

vote\_intl\_coalition Llamemos "su partido político" al partido por el que votó en las últimas elecciones o al partido que mejor representa sus opiniones.  **Imagine**que existiera **una coalición mundial** de partidos políticos a favor de un programa común **para combatir el cambio climático, implementar impuestos a los millonarios y financiar la reducción de la pobreza en países de bajos ingresos.** **¿Sería más probable que votara por su partido si formara parte de esa coalición?**

* Sí, sería más probable que votara por mi partido si se uniera a esa coalición (o que votara por otro partido si sólo ese otro partido se uniera a la coalición). (5)
* Mi elección no dependería de qué partidos formen parte de esa coalición. (6)
* No, sería menos probable que votara por mi partido si se uniera a esa coalición. (7)

vote\_intl\_coalition Let us call "your political party" the party you voted for in the last election, or the party that represents your views most closely.  **Imagine** there was **a worldwide coalition** of political parties in favor of a common program **to tackle climate change, implement taxes on millionaires and fund poverty reduction in low-income countries**.  **Would you be more likely to vote for your party if it were part of that coalition?**

* Yes, I would be **more likely** to vote for my party if it joined that coalition (or to vote for another party if only that other party joined the coalition). (5)
* My choice would **not depend** on which parties are part of that coalition. (6)
* No, I would be **less likely** to vote for my party if it joined that coalition. (7)

vote\_intl\_coalition Lassen Sie uns „Ihre politische Partei“ als die Partei definieren, die Sie bei der letzten Wahl gewählt haben oder die Ihren Ansichten am nächsten kommt. **Stellen Sie sich vor**, es gäbe eine **weltweite Koalition** politischer Parteien, die sich für ein gemeinsames Programm **zur Bewältigung des Klimawandels, die Einführung von Steuern für Millionäre und die Finanzierung der Armutsbekämpfung in einkommensschwachen Ländern einsetzt.** **Wenn Ihre Partei dieser Koalition beitreten würde, wären Sie eher bereit diese zu wählen?**

* Ja, ich wäre **eher bereit**, meine Partei zu wählen, wenn sie dieser Koalition beitritt (oder eine andere Partei zu wählen, falls nur diese der Koalition beitritt). (5)
* Meine Wahl würde **nicht davon abhängen**, welche Parteien Teil dieser Koalition sind. (6)
* Nein, ich wäre **weniger bereit**, meine Partei zu wählen, wenn sie dieser Koalition beitritt. (7)

vote\_intl\_coalition Appelons « votre parti politique » le parti pour lequel vous avez voté lors des dernières élections, ou le parti qui représente le plus fidèlement vos opinions. **Imaginez** qu’il existe **une coalition mondiale** de partis politiques en faveur d’un programme commun **pour lutter contre le changement climatique, taxer les millionnaires et financer la réduction de la pauvreté dans les pays à bas revenus**. **Seriez-vous plus susceptible de voter pour votre parti s’il faisait partie de cette coalition ?**

* Oui, je serais **plus susceptible** de voter pour mon parti s’il rejoignait cette coalition (ou de voter pour un autre parti si uniquement cet autre parti rejoignait la coalition). (5)
* Mon choix **ne dépendrait pas** de quels partis seraient dans la coalition. (6)
* Non, je serais **moins susceptible** de voter pour mon parti s’il rejoignait cette coalition. (7)

vote\_intl\_coalition Denominiamo "il tuo partito politico" il partito per il quale hai votato alle ultime elezioni, oppure il partito che rappresenta al meglio le tue idee. **Immaginiamo** che esista **una coalizione mondiale** di partiti politici a favore di un programma comune **per contrastare il cambiamento climatico, implementare tasse ai milionari e finanziare la riduzione della povertà nei Paesi a basso reddito**. **Saresti più propenso a votare per il tuo partito se aderisse a quella coalizione?**

* Sì, sarei **più propenso** a votare per il mio partito se si unisse a quella coalizione (o a votare per un altro partito se solo quest'ultimo si unisse alla coalizione). (5)
* La mia scelta **non dipenderebbe** dai partiti che fanno parte della coalizione. (6)
* No, sarei **meno propenso** a votare per il mio partito se si unisse a quella coalizione. (7)

vote\_intl\_coalition Let us call "your political party" the party you voted for in the last election, or the party that represents your views most closely.  **Imagine** there was **a worldwide coalition** of political parties in favor of a common program **to tackle climate change, implement taxes on millionaires and fund poverty reduction in low-income countries**.  **Would you be more likely to vote for your party if it were part of that coalition?**

* Yes, I would be **more likely** to vote for my party if it joined that coalition (or to vote for another party if only that other party joined the coalition). (5)
* My choice would **not depend** on which parties are part of that coalition. (6)
* No, I would be **less likely** to vote for my party if it joined that coalition. (7)

vote\_intl\_coalition Let us call "your political party" the party you voted for in the last election, or the party that represents your views most closely.  **Imagine** there was **a worldwide coalition** of political parties in favor of a common program **to tackle climate change, implement taxes on millionaires and fund poverty reduction in low-income countries**.  **Would you be more likely to vote for your party if it were part of that coalition?**

* Yes, I would be **more likely** to vote for my party if it joined that coalition (or to vote for another party if only that other party joined the coalition). (5)
* My choice would **not depend** on which parties are part of that coalition. (6)
* No, I would be **less likely** to vote for my party if it joined that coalition. (7)

|  |
| --- |
|  |

why\_hic\_help\_lic Some people think that high-income countries should support low-income countries.  Among the different reasons given, which ones do you agree with? (Multiple answers possible)

* High-income countries have a **historical responsibility** for the current situation in low-income countries. (1)
* In the long run, **it is in the interest of high-income countries** to help low-income countries. (2)
* **Helping those in need** is the right thing to do. This is also true at the international level. (3)
* ⊗None of the above. (5)
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why\_hic\_help\_lic Some people think that high-income countries should support low-income countries.  Among the different reasons given, which ones do you agree with? (Multiple answers possible)

* High-income countries have a **historical responsibility** for the current situation in low-income countries. (1)
* In the long run, **it is in the interest of high-income countries** to help low-income countries. (2)
* **Helping those in need** is the right thing to do. This is also true at the international level. (3)
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why\_hic\_help\_lic Some people think that high-income countries should support low-income countries.  Among the different reasons given, which ones do you agree with? (Multiple answers possible)

* High-income countries have a **historical responsibility** for the current situation in low-income countries. (1)
* In the long run, **it is in the interest of high-income countries** to help low-income countries. (2)
* **Helping those in need** is the right thing to do. This is also true at the international level. (3)
* ⊗None of the above. (5)

يعتقد البعض أنه ينبغي على الدول الغنية ذات الدخل المرتفع أن تدعم الدول الفقيرة ذات الدخل المنخفض.  من بين الأسباب المختلفة التالي ذكرها، ما هو السبب الذي تتفق معه؟ (يمكن اختيار أكثر من إجابة) why\_hic\_help\_lic

* (1) لا شك أن الدول ذات الدخل المرتفع تتحمل **مسؤولية تاريخية** عن الوضع الراهن في البلدان ذات الدخل المنخفض.
* (2) على المدى البعيد، **تكمن مصلحة الدول الغنية** في دعم ومساندة الدول الفقيرة.
* (3) **تُعد مساعدة المحتاجين** أمرًا صائبًا. وكذلك ينطبق الأمر على المستوي الدولي.
* (5) لا شيء مما سبق.⊗

why\_hic\_help\_lic Niektórzy uważają, że kraje o wysokim dochodzie powinny pomagać krajom o niskim dochodzie. Z którymi spośród podanych powodów się Pan/Pani zgadza? (Możliwe wiele odpowiedzi)

* Kraje o wysokim dochodzie ponoszą historyczną odpowiedzialność za obecną sytuację w krajach o niskim dochodzie. (1)
* W dłuższej perspektywie pomoc krajom o niskim dochodzie leży w interesie krajów o wysokim dochodzie. (2)
* Pomoc potrzebującym to coś, co należy robić. Dotyczy to również poziomu międzynarodowego. (3)
* ⊗Z żadnym z powyższych. (5)

why\_hic\_help\_lic 高所得国が低所得国を支援すべきだと考える人もいます。  その理由として挙げられているものの中で、あなたが賛成するものはどれですか？（複数選択可）

* 高所得国は、低所得国の現状に対して**歴史的な責任を負っている** (1)
* 長期的には、低所得国を支援することが**高所得国の利益に**なる (2)
* **困っている人を助けるの**は正しいことです。それは国際的なレベルでも当てはまります (3)
* ⊗上記のどれにも賛成しない (5)

why\_hic\_help\_lic Algunas personas piensan que los países de altos ingresos deberían apoyar a los de bajos ingresos. De las diferentes razones dadas, ¿con cuáles estás de acuerdo? (Múltiples respuestas es posible)

* Los países de altos ingresos tienen una **responsabilidad histórica** por la situación actual de los países de bajos ingresos. (1)
* A largo plazo, **a los países de altos ingresos les conviene** ayudar a los de bajos ingresos. (2)
* **Ayudar a quienes lo necesitan** es lo correcto. Esto también aplica a nivel internacional. (3)
* ⊗Ninguna de las anteriores. (5)

why\_hic\_help\_lic Some people think that high-income countries should support low-income countries.  Among the different reasons given, which ones do you agree with? (Multiple answers possible)

* High-income countries have a **historical responsibility** for the current situation in low-income countries. (1)
* In the long run, **it is in the interest of high-income countries** to help low-income countries. (2)
* **Helping those in need** is the right thing to do. This is also true at the international level. (3)
* ⊗None of the above. (5)

why\_hic\_help\_lic Manche Menschen sind der Meinung, dass Länder mit hohem Einkommen einkommensschwache Länder unterstützen sollten.  
 Welchen der folgenden Gründe würden Sie zustimmen? (Mehrfachantworten möglich)

* Länder mit hohem Einkommen tragen eine **historische Verantwortung** für die aktuelle Situation in einkommensschwachen Ländern. (1)
* Langfristig liegt es **im Interesse wohlhabender Länder**, einkommensschwache Länder zu unterstützen. (2)
* **Menschen in Not zu helfen**, ist das Richtige. Das gilt auch auf internationaler Ebene. (3)
* ⊗Keine der oben genannten Aussagen. (5)

why\_hic\_help\_lic Certains pensent que les pays à hauts revenus devraient aider les pays à bas revenus. Parmi les différentes raisons évoquées, avec lesquelles êtes-vous d’accord ? (Réponses multiples possible)

* Les pays à hauts revenus ont une **responsabilité historique** dans la situation actuelle des pays à bas revenus. (1)
* À long terme, il est **dans l’intérêt des pays à hauts revenus** d’aider les pays à bas revenus. (2)
* Il faut **aider ceux qui sont dans le besoin**. Ce principe s’applique également à l'échelle internationale. (3)
* ⊗Aucune des raisons ci-dessus. (5)

why\_hic\_help\_lic Alcune persone pensano che i Paesi ad elevato reddito dovrebbero sostenere quelli a basso reddito. Tra le diverse ragioni addotte, con quali sei d'accordo? (Sono possibili risposte multiple)

* I Paesi ad elevato reddito hanno una **responsabilità storica** per la situazione attuale dei Paesi a basso reddito. (1)
* Nel lungo periodo, **è nell'interesse dei Paesi ad elevato reddito** aiutare i Paesi a basso reddito. (2)
* **Aiutare chi è nel bisogno** è la cosa giusta da fare. Ciò vale anche a livello internazionale. (3)
* ⊗Nessuna delle precedenti. (5)

why\_hic\_help\_lic Some people think that high-income countries should support low-income countries.  Among the different reasons given, which ones do you agree with? (Multiple answers possible)

* High-income countries have a **historical responsibility** for the current situation in low-income countries. (1)
* In the long run, **it is in the interest of high-income countries** to help low-income countries. (2)
* **Helping those in need** is the right thing to do. This is also true at the international level. (3)
* ⊗None of the above. (5)

why\_hic\_help\_lic Some people think that high-income countries should support low-income countries.  Among the different reasons given, which ones do you agree with? (Multiple answers possible)

* High-income countries have a **historical responsibility** for the current situation in low-income countries. (1)
* In the long run, **it is in the interest of high-income countries** to help low-income countries. (2)
* **Helping those in need** is the right thing to do. This is also true at the international level. (3)
* ⊗None of the above. (5)
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reparations\_support Some people argue that Western countries owe reparations for colonization and slavery to former colonies and descendants of slaves. Reparations could take the form of funding education and facilitating technology transfers, to address unequal opportunities passed down from the past. **Do you support or oppose reparations** of this kind **for colonization and slavery?**

* Strongly oppose (1)
* Somewhat oppose (2)
* Indifferent (3)
* Somewhat support (4)
* Strongly support (5)

reparations\_support Some people argue that Western countries owe reparations for colonization and slavery to former colonies and descendants of slaves. Reparations could take the form of funding education and facilitating technology transfers, to address unequal opportunities passed down from the past. **Do you support or oppose reparations** of this kind **for colonization and slavery?**

* Strongly oppose (1)
* Somewhat oppose (2)
* Indifferent (3)
* Somewhat support (4)
* Strongly support (5)

reparations\_support Some people argue that Western countries owe reparations for colonization and slavery to former colonies and descendants of slaves. Reparations could take the form of funding education and facilitating technology transfers, to address unequal opportunities passed down from the past. **Do you support or oppose reparations** of this kind **for colonization and slavery?**

* Strongly oppose (1)
* Somewhat oppose (2)
* Indifferent (3)
* Somewhat support (4)
* Strongly support (5)

reparations\_support Some people argue that Western countries owe reparations for colonization and slavery to former colonies and descendants of slaves. Reparations could take the form of funding education and facilitating technology transfers, to address unequal opportunities passed down from the past. **Do you support or oppose reparations** of this kind **for colonization and slavery?**

* Strongly oppose (1)
* Somewhat oppose (2)
* Indifferent (3)
* Somewhat support (4)
* Strongly support (5)

reparations\_support Some people argue that Western countries owe reparations for colonization and slavery to former colonies and descendants of slaves. Reparations could take the form of funding education and facilitating technology transfers, to address unequal opportunities passed down from the past. **Do you support or oppose reparations** of this kind **for colonization and slavery?**

* Strongly oppose (1)
* Somewhat oppose (2)
* Indifferent (3)
* Somewhat support (4)
* Strongly support (5)

يزعم البعض أن الدول الغربية مدينة بدفع تعويضات عن فترات الاستعمار والعبودية للمستعمرات السابقة والمتضررين من تلك السياسات. ويمكن أن تُمنح تلك التعويضات في صورة تمويل التعليم وتسهيل انتقال التكنولوجيا، من أجل معالجة انعدام تكافؤ الفرص الموروث من الحقبة الاستعمارية. **هل تؤيد أم تعارض هذا النوع من التعويضات** **عن الاستعمار والعبودية؟** reparations\_support

* (1) أعارض بشدة
* (2) أعارض إلى حد ما
* (3) لا أكترث لهذا الأمر
* (4) أدعم إلى حد ما
* (5) أدعم بشدة

reparations\_support Niektórzy twierdzą, że kraje zachodnie powinny wypłacić odszkodowania za kolonializm i niewolnictwo krajom afrykańskim i potomkom niewolników. Reparacje mogłyby przybrać formę finansowania edukacji i transferu technologii, w celu skorygowania nierównych szans nabytych w przeszłości. Czy popiera Pan/Pani tego rodzaju reparacje za kolonializm i niewolnictwo, czy też jest Pan/Pani im przeciwny(-a)?

* Jestem zdecydowanie przeciw (1)
* Jestem raczej przeciw (2)
* Nie mam zdania (3)
* Jestem raczej za (4)
* Jestem zdecydowanie za (5)

reparations\_support 西洋諸国は、旧植民地と奴隷の子孫に対して、植民地化と奴隷制に対する賠償金を支払う義務があると主張する人もいます。 賠償金は、過去から受け継がれてきた不平等な機会に対処するために、教育への資金提供や技術移転の促進という形を取ることができます。 **あなたは、このような植民地支配や奴隷制度に対する賠償に賛成ですか、反対ですか？**

* 強く反対 (1)
* やや反対 (2)
* どちらともいえない (3)
* やや賛成 (4)
* 強く賛成 (5)

reparations\_support Algunos argumentan que los países occidentales deben reparaciones por la colonización y la esclavitud a las antiguas colonias y a sus descendientes. Estas reparaciones podrían consistir en financiar la educación y facilitar la transferencia de tecnología para abordar la desigualdad de oportunidades heredada del pasado. **¿Está a favor o en contra de este tipo de reparaciones por la colonización y la esclavitud?**

* Totalmente en contra (1)
* Levemente en contra (2)
* Indiferente o no sabe (3)
* Levemente a favor (4)
* Totalmente a favor (5)

reparations\_support Some people argue that Western countries owe reparations for colonization and slavery to former colonies and descendants of slaves. Reparations could take the form of funding education and facilitating technology transfers, to address unequal opportunities passed down from the past. **Do you support or oppose reparations** of this kind **for colonization and slavery?**

* Strongly oppose (1)
* Somewhat oppose (2)
* Indifferent (3)
* Somewhat support (4)
* Strongly support (5)

reparations\_support Manche Menschen fordern, dass westliche Länder für Kolonialismus und Sklaverei Entschädigungen an ehemalige Kolonien und die Nachkommen von versklavten Menschen leisten sollten. Solche Reparationen könnten in Form von Bildungsfinanzierung und Technologietransfers erfolgen, um die aus der Vergangenheit stammenden Chancenungleichheiten auszugleichen. **Befürworten oder lehnen Sie Reparationen dieser Art für Kolonialismus und Sklaverei ab?**

* Sehr dagegen (1)
* Eher dagegen (2)
* Keine Meinung (3)
* Eher dafür (4)
* Sehr dafür (5)

reparations\_support Certains soutiennent que les pays occidentaux doivent des réparations pour la colonisation et l’esclavage aux anciennes colonies et aux descendants d'esclaves. Les réparations pourraient prendre la forme d’un financement de l’éducation et de transferts de technologie, afin de corriger les inégalités des chances héritées du passé. **Êtes-vous favorable ou opposé à de telles réparations au titre de la colonisation et de l’esclavage ?**

* Très opposé⋅e (1)
* Plutôt opposé⋅e (2)
* Indifférent⋅e ou ne sais pas (3)
* Plutôt favorable (4)
* Très favorable (5)

reparations\_support Alcuni sostengono che i Paesi occidentali debbano risarcire le ex colonie e i discendenti degli schiavi per la colonizzazione e la schiavitù. I risarcimenti potrebbero assumere la forma di finanziamenti per l'istruzione e l'agevolazione del trasferimento tecnologico, per porre rimedio alle disuguaglianze di opportunità tramandate dal passato. **Sei a favore o contrario** a questo tipo di **risarcimenti per la colonizzazione e la schiavitù?**

* Fortemente contrario (1)
* In parte contrario (2)
* Indifferente (3)
* In parte d'accordo (4)
* Fortemente d'accordo (5)

reparations\_support Some people argue that Western countries owe reparations for colonization and slavery to former colonies and descendants of slaves. Reparations could take the form of funding education and facilitating technology transfers, to address unequal opportunities passed down from the past. **Do you support or oppose reparations** of this kind **for colonization and slavery?**

* Strongly oppose (1)
* Somewhat oppose (2)
* Indifferent (3)
* Somewhat support (4)
* Strongly support (5)

reparations\_support Some people argue that Western countries owe reparations for colonization and slavery to former colonies and descendants of slaves. Reparations could take the form of funding education and facilitating technology transfers, to address unequal opportunities passed down from the past. **Do you support or oppose reparations** of this kind **for colonization and slavery?**

* Strongly oppose (1)
* Somewhat oppose (2)
* Indifferent (3)
* Somewhat support (4)
* Strongly support (5)

End of Block: Radical redistribution

Start of Block: Custom redistr

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |

income\_exact What is the *[periodicity\_text: yearly]* income of your household **after taxes and social benefits**? This includes all sources of income: salaries, pensions, allowances, welfare benefits, property income, etc. My household earns ... [text\_unit: $ per year] (answer with no comma, no space, no period):

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

income\_exact What is the *[periodicity\_text: yearly]* income of your household **after taxes and social benefits**? This includes all sources of income: salaries, pensions, allowances, welfare benefits, property income, etc. My household earns ... [text\_unit: $ per year] (answer with no comma, no space, no period):

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

income\_exact What is the *[periodicity\_text: yearly]* income of your household **after taxes and social benefits**? This includes all sources of income: salaries, pensions, allowances, welfare benefits, property income, etc. My household earns ... [text\_unit: $ per year] (answer with no comma, no space, no period):

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

income\_exact What is the *[periodicity\_text: yearly]* income of your household **after taxes and social benefits**? This includes all sources of income: salaries, pensions, allowances, welfare benefits, property income, etc. My household earns ... [text\_unit: $ per year] (answer with no comma, no space, no period):

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

income\_exact What is the *[periodicity\_text: yearly]* income of your household **after taxes and social benefits**? This includes all sources of income: salaries, pensions, allowances, welfare benefits, property income, etc. My household earns ... [text\_unit: $ per year] (answer with no comma, no space, no period):

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

ما هو الدخل *[periodicity\_text: yearly]* الخاص بأسرتك **بعد خصم الضرائب والمزايا الاجتماعية**؟ يُرجى العلم أن هذا الدخل يشمل كافة مصادر الدخل: كالرواتب، والمعاشات، والبدلات، ومزايا الرعاية الاجتماعية، ودخل الممتلكات العقارية، إلخ. يبلغ دخل أسرتي ... [text\_unit: $ per year] (يُرجى الإجابة على السؤال دون استخدام أي فواصل، أو مسافات زائدة أو وضع نقطة في نهاية الإجابة): income\_exact

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

income\_exact Jaki jest *miesięczny* dochód Pana/Pani gospodarstwa domowego  **po odliczeniu podatków i składek**? Proszę uwzględnić wszystkie rodzaje dochodu: wynagrodzenia, emerytury, dodatki, świadczenia socjalne, przychody z nieruchomości itp. Dochód mojego gospodarstwa domowego wynosi... PLN/miesiąc (proszę podać liczbę bez przecinków, spacji i kropek):

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

income\_exact あなたの世帯の**税引き後・社会保障給付後**の*[periodicity\_text: yearly]*収入はいくらですか？ この金額には、給与、年金、手当、福祉給付、資産収入など、すべての収入源を含めてください。 私の世帯の収入は ... [text\_unit: $ per year]（カンマ、スペース、小数点なしで入力してください）：

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

income\_exact Cuál es el ingreso *[periodicity\_text: yearly]* de su hogar **después de impuestos y beneficios sociales**? Esto incluye todas las fuentes de ingreso: salarios, pensiones, subsidios, prestaciones sociales, rentas de la propiedad, etc. Mi hogar gana ... [text\_unit: $ per year] (responda sin coma, sin espacio, o punto):

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

income\_exact What is the *[periodicity\_text: yearly]* income of your household **after taxes and social benefits**? This includes all sources of income: salaries, pensions, allowances, welfare benefits, property income, etc. My household earns ... [text\_unit: $ per year] (answer with no comma, no space, no period):

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

income\_exact Wie hoch ist das *[periodicity\_text: yearly]* Einkommen Ihres Haushalts **nach Steuern und Sozialleistungen?** Dazu zählen alle Einkommensquellen: Gehälter, Renten, Zuschüsse, Sozialleistungen, Kapitaleinnahmen, usw. Mein Haushalt hat ein Einkommen von ... [text\_unit: $ per year] (Antworten ohne Komma, Leerzeichen oder Punkt angeben):

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

income\_exact Quel est le revenu *[periodicity\_text: annuel]* de votre ménage **après impôts et transferts** ? Cela comprend tous les revenus : salaires, pensions, allocations, prestations sociales, revenus fonciers, etc. Mon ménage gagne ... [text\_unit: $ par an] (répondez sans virgule, sans espace, sans point) :

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

income\_exact Qual è il reddito *[periodicity\_text: yearly]* del tuo nucleo familiare **al netto delle tasse e del welfare**? Sono incluse tutte le fonti di reddito: salari, pensioni, indennità, benefits assistenziali, reddito da proprietà, etc. Il mio nucleo familiare percepisce ... [text\_unit: $ per year] (rispondi senza virgola, senza spazi, senza punto):

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

income\_exact What is the *[periodicity\_text: yearly]* income of your household **after taxes and social benefits**? This includes all sources of income: salaries, pensions, allowances, welfare benefits, property income, etc. My household earns ... [text\_unit: $ per year] (answer with no comma, no space, no period):

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

income\_exact What is the *[periodicity\_text: yearly]* income of your household **after taxes and social benefits**? This includes all sources of income: salaries, pensions, allowances, welfare benefits, property income, etc. My household earns ... [text\_unit: $ per year] (answer with no comma, no space, no period):

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Page Break |  |

Q94 Timing

First Click (1)

Last Click (2)

Page Submit (3)

Click Count (4)

Q94 Timing

First Click (1)

Last Click (2)

Page Submit (3)

Click Count (4)

Q94 Timing

First Click (1)

Last Click (2)

Page Submit (3)

Click Count (4)

Q94 Timing

First Click (1)

Last Click (2)

Page Submit (3)

Click Count (4)

Q94 Timing

First Click (1)

Last Click (2)

Page Submit (3)

Click Count (4)

Timing Q94

(1) First Click

(2) Last Click

(3) Page Submit

(4) Click Count

Q94 Chronometraż

Pierwsze kliknięcie (1)

Ostatnie kliknięcie (2)

Prześlij stronę (3)

Liczba kliknięć (4)

Q94 Timing

First Click (1)

Last Click (2)

Page Submit (3)

Click Count (4)

Q94 Momento

Primer clic (1)

Último clic (2)

Enviar página (3)

Recuento de clics (4)

Q94 Timing

First Click (1)

Last Click (2)

Page Submit (3)

Click Count (4)

Q94 Timing

First Click (1)

Last Click (2)

Page Submit (3)

Click Count (4)

Q94 Timing

First Click (1)

Last Click (2)

Page Submit (3)

Click Count (4)

Q94 Timing

First Click (1)

Last Click (2)

Page Submit (3)

Click Count (4)

Q94 Timing

First Click (1)

Last Click (2)

Page Submit (3)

Click Count (4)

Q94 Timing

First Click (1)

Last Click (2)

Page Submit (3)

Click Count (4)

|  |
| --- |
|  |

custom\_redistr If you could redistribute income at the global level, what would you do? In this question, we let you choose your preferred parameters for a redistribution of income at the world level.  If you prefer to skip this question, check the corresponding box at the bottom of the page. The worldwide redistribution of income would take the form of additional policies, taxes, and transfers, on top of existing ones. These policies would lower the income of the richest (the losers from the redistribution) and increase the income of the poorest (the winners).  Below you will find a graph of the world distribution of after-tax income and three sliders that vary it. The current distribution is in red, and your custom one is in green.  The first two sliders control the proportion of winners and the proportion of losers, among all humans. The third slider controls the degree of redistribution from the richest to the poorest.  If you do not want new policies to reduce global inequality, you can set the third slider to zero.  **You need to move the sliders** (by holding the mouse down on the little squares and moving to the side) to make the green curve evolve: the idea is to move the sliders **until you get a green curve you are satisfied with**. Examples of income changes after your proposed redistribution: Now After 0 [text\_unit: $ per year] 0 [text\_unit: $ per year] [now\_10k: 10 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_10k: 10 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [now\_60k: 60 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_60k: 60 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [now\_100k: 100 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_100k: 100 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] Your *individual* income [own: 40 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_own: 3 000] [text\_unit: $ per year]   
    
    
    
    
 

* I am satisfied with my custom redistribution. (4)
* I want to skip this question. (5)

custom\_redistr If you could redistribute income at the global level, what would you do? In this question, we let you choose your preferred parameters for a redistribution of income at the world level.  If you prefer to skip this question, check the corresponding box at the bottom of the page. The worldwide redistribution of income would take the form of additional policies, taxes, and transfers, on top of existing ones. These policies would lower the income of the richest (the losers from the redistribution) and increase the income of the poorest (the winners).  Below you will find a graph of the world distribution of after-tax income and three sliders that vary it. The current distribution is in red, and your custom one is in green.  The first two sliders control the proportion of winners and the proportion of losers, among all humans. The third slider controls the degree of redistribution from the richest to the poorest.  If you do not want new policies to reduce global inequality, you can set the third slider to zero.  **You need to move the sliders** (by holding the mouse down on the little squares and moving to the side) to make the green curve evolve: the idea is to move the sliders **until you get a green curve you are satisfied with**. Examples of income changes after your proposed redistribution: Now After 0 [text\_unit: $ per year] 0 [text\_unit: $ per year] [now\_10k: 10 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_10k: 10 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [now\_60k: 60 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_60k: 60 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [now\_100k: 100 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_100k: 100 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] Your *individual* income [own: 40 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_own: 3 000] [text\_unit: $ per year]   
    
    
    
    
 

* I am satisfied with my custom redistribution. (4)
* I want to skip this question. (5)

custom\_redistr If you could redistribute income at the global level, what would you do? In this question, we let you choose your preferred parameters for a redistribution of income at the world level.  If you prefer to skip this question, check the corresponding box at the bottom of the page. The worldwide redistribution of income would take the form of additional policies, taxes, and transfers, on top of existing ones. These policies would lower the income of the richest (the losers from the redistribution) and increase the income of the poorest (the winners).  Below you will find a graph of the world distribution of after-tax income and three sliders that vary it. The current distribution is in red, and your custom one is in green.  The first two sliders control the proportion of winners and the proportion of losers, among all humans. The third slider controls the degree of redistribution from the richest to the poorest.  If you do not want new policies to reduce global inequality, you can set the third slider to zero.  **You need to move the sliders** (by holding the mouse down on the little squares and moving to the side) to make the green curve evolve: the idea is to move the sliders **until you get a green curve you are satisfied with**. Examples of income changes after your proposed redistribution: Now After 0 [text\_unit: $ per year] 0 [text\_unit: $ per year] [now\_10k: 10 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_10k: 10 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [now\_60k: 60 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_60k: 60 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [now\_100k: 100 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_100k: 100 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] Your *individual* income [own: 40 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_own: 3 000] [text\_unit: $ per year]   
    
    
    
    
 

* I am satisfied with my custom redistribution. (4)
* I want to skip this question. (5)

custom\_redistr If you could redistribute income at the global level, what would you do? In this question, we let you choose your preferred parameters for a redistribution of income at the world level.  If you prefer to skip this question, check the corresponding box at the bottom of the page. The worldwide redistribution of income would take the form of additional policies, taxes, and transfers, on top of existing ones. These policies would lower the income of the richest (the losers from the redistribution) and increase the income of the poorest (the winners).  Below you will find a graph of the world distribution of after-tax income and three sliders that vary it. The current distribution is in red, and your custom one is in green.  The first two sliders control the proportion of winners and the proportion of losers, among all humans. The third slider controls the degree of redistribution from the richest to the poorest.  If you do not want new policies to reduce global inequality, you can set the third slider to zero.  **You need to move the sliders** (by holding the mouse down on the little squares and moving to the side) to make the green curve evolve: the idea is to move the sliders **until you get a green curve you are satisfied with**. Examples of income changes after your proposed redistribution: Now After 0 [text\_unit: $ per year] 0 [text\_unit: $ per year] [now\_10k: 10 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_10k: 10 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [now\_60k: 60 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_60k: 60 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [now\_100k: 100 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_100k: 100 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] Your *individual* income [own: 40 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_own: 3 000] [text\_unit: $ per year]   
    
    
    
    
 

* I am satisfied with my custom redistribution. (4)
* I want to skip this question. (5)

custom\_redistr If you could redistribute income at the global level, what would you do? In this question, we let you choose your preferred parameters for a redistribution of income at the world level.  If you prefer to skip this question, check the corresponding box at the bottom of the page. The worldwide redistribution of income would take the form of additional policies, taxes, and transfers, on top of existing ones. These policies would lower the income of the richest (the losers from the redistribution) and increase the income of the poorest (the winners).  Below you will find a graph of the world distribution of after-tax income and three sliders that vary it. The current distribution is in red, and your custom one is in green.  The first two sliders control the proportion of winners and the proportion of losers, among all humans. The third slider controls the degree of redistribution from the richest to the poorest.  If you do not want new policies to reduce global inequality, you can set the third slider to zero.  **You need to move the sliders** (by holding the mouse down on the little squares and moving to the side) to make the green curve evolve: the idea is to move the sliders **until you get a green curve you are satisfied with**. Examples of income changes after your proposed redistribution: Now After 0 [text\_unit: $ per year] 0 [text\_unit: $ per year] [now\_10k: 10 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_10k: 10 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [now\_60k: 60 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_60k: 60 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [now\_100k: 100 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_100k: 100 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] Your *individual* income [own: 40 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_own: 3 000] [text\_unit: $ per year]   
    
    
    
    
 

* I am satisfied with my custom redistribution. (4)
* I want to skip this question. (5)

إذا كانت لديك السلطة لإعادة توزيع الدخل على مستوى العالم، فما هي الخطوات التي ستتخذها؟ نمنحك في هذا السؤال الحرية في اختيار المعايير التي تفضلها لإعادة توزيع الدخل على نطاق عالمي.  إذا كنت تفضل تخطي هذا السؤال، يُرجى اختيار المربع المقابل في أسفل الصفحة. يُرجى مراعاة أن إعادة توزيع الدخل على المستوى العالمي ستشمل تطبيق سياسات جديدة وفرض ضرائب إضافية وإجراء تحويلات مالية، إلى جانب السياسات القائمة في الوقت الحالي. ومن المتوقع أن تسهم هذه السياسات في خفض دخول الأثرياء (الذين يُعتبرون الأكثر تأثرًا بعملية إعادة التوزيع)، وفي المقابل، ستعمل على رفع دخول الفقراء (الذين يستفيدون بشكل مباشر من هذه السياسات).  ستجد أدناه رسمًا بيانيًا يوضح توزيع الدخل بعد خصم الضرائب على مستوى العالم، بالإضافة إلى ثلاثة أشرطة تمرير تتيح لك تعديله. ستجد أن التوزيع الحالي مُعروض باللون الأحمر، بينما سيظهر التوزيع الذي تقوم بتخصيصه باللون الأخضر.   تتحكم أشرطة التمرير الأولى والثانية في نسبة الأشخاص الذين يستفيدون أو يتضررون من إعادة التوزيع بين جميع سكان العالم. بينما يتحكم شريط التمرير الثالث في مدى تدفق الدخل من الأغنياء إلى الفقراء.   إذا كنت لا ترغب في تطبيق سياسات جديدة لتقليل التفاوت العالمي، يمكنك تعيين قيمة شريط التمرير الثالث إلى الصفر.   **يتوجب عليك تحريك أشرطة التمرير** (بالضغط المستمر على المربعات الصغيرة وتحريكها يمينًا أو يسارًا) لتعديل المنحنى الأخضر: الهدف من ذلك هو تحريك أشرطة التمرير **حتى تحصل على منحنى أخضر يعكس التوزيع الذي تراه مناسبًا.** فيما يلي أمثلة على مقدار التغير في الدخل بعد إعادة التوزيع التي اقترحتها: القيمة الحالية القيمة بعد إعادة التوزيع 0 [text\_unit: $ per year] 0 [text\_unit: $ per year] [now\_10k: 10 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_10k: 10 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [now\_60k: 60 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_60k: 60 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [now\_100k: 100 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_100k: 100 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] دخلك *الخاص* [own: 40 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_own: 3 000] [text\_unit: $ per year]   
    
      
    
    
    
  custom\_redistr

* (4) راضٍ عن إعادة التوزيع المُخصصة التي اقترحتها.
* (5) أرغب في تخطي هذا السؤال.

custom\_redistr Gdyby był(a) Pan/Pani odpowiedzialna za redystrybucję dochodów na poziomie globalnym, co by Pan/Pani zrobił(a)? W tym pytaniu pozwalamy Panu/Pani wybrać dowolne parametry redystrybucji dochodu na poziomie światowym. Jeśli woli Pan/Pani pominąć to pytanie, proszę zaznaczyć pole na dole strony. Ogólnoświatowa redystrybucja dochodów przybrałaby formę dodatkowych ustaw, podatków i transferów, oprócz tych już istniejących, które obniżyłyby dochody netto osób najbogatszych (ci, którzy stracą) i zwiększyły dochody najuboższych (ci, którzy skorzystają). Poniżej znajduje się wykres światowego rozkładu dochodów po opodatkowaniu i 3 suwaki, które pozwalają go modyfikować. Bieżąca dystrybucja jest oznaczona na czerwono, a Pana/Pani wybór jest oznaczony na zielono. Dwa pierwsze suwaki kontrolują odsetek światowej populacji ludzi, którzy skorzystaliby na redystrybucji oraz odsetek tych, którzy ponieśliby stratę. Trzeci suwak kontroluje stopień redystrybucji od najbogatszych do najbiedniejszych. Jeśli nie chciał(a)by Pan/Pani, aby nowe zasady zmniejszały globalne nierówności, może Pan/Pani ustawić trzeci suwak na zero. Aby zmienić przebieg zielonej krzywej, **musi Pan/Pani przesunąć suwaki**  (przytrzymując kursor myszy na kwadracikach i przesuwając je w bok); proszę swobodnie manipulować suwakami, **aż uzyska Pan/Pani taką zieloną linię, która najbardziej Panu/Pani odpowiada**. Przykłady zmian dochodu po proponowanej redystrybucji: Przed Po 0 [text\_unit: $ per year] 0 [text\_unit: $ per year] [now\_10k: 10 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_10k: 10 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [now\_60k: 60 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_60k: 60 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [now\_100k: 100 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_100k: 100 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] Pana/Pani *indywidualny* dochód: [own: 40 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_own: 3 000] [text\_unit: $ per year]   
    
      
    
      
   

* Taka redystrybucja najbardziej mi odpowiada (4)
* Chcę pominąć to pytanie (5)

custom\_redistr もしあなたが世界レベルで所得を再分配できるとしたら、どうしますか？この質問では、世界レベルで所得を再分配するための希望するパラメータを選択していただきます。  この質問をスキップしたい場合は、ページ下部の該当するチェックボックスを選んでください。 世界レベルでの所得の再分配は、既存の制度に加えて新たな政策・課税・所得移転という形で行われます。 これにより、最も裕福な人々（再分配によって損をする側）の所得が減り、最も貧しい人々（得をする側）の所得が増えます。  以下には、税引き後所得の世界分布を示すグラフと、それを操作できる3つのスライダーがあります。赤い線が現在の分布、緑の線があなたが選んだカスタム分布です。  最初の2つのスライダーは、世界人口における「得をする人」と「損をする人」の割合を調整します。3つ目のスライダーは、裕福層から貧困層への再分配の強さを調整します。  再分配を望まない場合は、3つ目のスライダーを0に設定してください。  **スライダーを動かす必要があります** （マウスで小さな四角をドラッグして動かしてください）**緑の線があなたの望む形になるまで、スライダーを調整してください。** あなたの提案した再分配後の所得変化の例： Now After 0 [text\_unit: $ per year] 0 [text\_unit: $ per year] [now\_10k: 10 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_10k: 10 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [now\_60k: 60 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_60k: 60 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [now\_100k: 100 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_100k: 100 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] Your *individual* income [own: 40 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_own: 3 000] [text\_unit: $ per year]   
    
      
    
    
 

* 自分の設定した再分配に満足しています (4)
* この質問はスキップしたいです (5)

custom\_redistr Si pudieras redistribuir la renta a nivel global, ¿qué harías? En esta pregunta, te permitimos elegir tus parámetros preferidos para una redistribución de la renta a nivel mundial. La redistribución mundial de la renta se concretaría en políticas, impuestos y transferencias adicionales a las ya existentes. Estas políticas reducirían la renta de los más ricos (los perdedores de la redistribución) y aumentarían la de los más pobres (los ganadores).  A continuación, encontrarás un gráfico de la distribución mundial de la renta después de impuestos y tres controles deslizantes que la modifican. La distribución actual está en rojo y la tuya personalizada está en verde.  Los dos primeros controles deslizantes controlan la proporción de ganadores y la de perdedores entre todos los seres humanos. El tercer control deslizante controla el grado de redistribución de los más ricos a los más pobres.  Si no desea que las nuevas políticas reduzcan la desigualdad global, puede poner el tercer control deslizante en cero.  **Debe mover los controles deslizantes** (manteniendo pulsado el ratón sobre los cuadrados pequeños y desplazándolos hacia un lado) para que la curva verde evolucione: la idea es mover los controles deslizantes **hasta obtener una curva verde satisfactoria.** Ejemplos de cambios en los ingresos después de la redistribución propuesta: Ahora Después 0 [text\_unit: $ per year] 0 [text\_unit: $ per year] [now\_10k: 10 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_10k: 10 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [now\_60k: 60 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_60k: 60 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [now\_100k: 100 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_100k: 100 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] Sus ingresos *individuales* [own: 40 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_own: 3 000] [text\_unit: $ per year]   
    
      
    
    
 

* Estoy satisfecha con mi redistribución personalizada. (4)
* Quiero saltar esta pregunta. (5)

custom\_redistr If you could redistribute income at the global level, what would you do? In this question, we let you choose your preferred parameters for a redistribution of income at the world level.  If you prefer to skip this question, check the corresponding box at the bottom of the page. The worldwide redistribution of income would take the form of additional policies, taxes, and transfers, on top of existing ones. These policies would lower the income of the richest (the losers from the redistribution) and increase the income of the poorest (the winners).  Below you will find a graph of the world distribution of after-tax income and three sliders that vary it. The current distribution is in red, and your custom one is in green.  The first two sliders control the proportion of winners and the proportion of losers, among all humans. The third slider controls the degree of redistribution from the richest to the poorest.  If you do not want new policies to reduce global inequality, you can set the third slider to zero.  **You need to move the sliders** (by holding the mouse down on the little squares and moving to the side) to make the green curve evolve: the idea is to move the sliders **until you get a green curve you are satisfied with**. Examples of income changes after your proposed redistribution: Now After 0 [text\_unit: $ per year] 0 [text\_unit: $ per year] [now\_10k: 10 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_10k: 10 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [now\_60k: 60 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_60k: 60 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [now\_100k: 100 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_100k: 100 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] Your *individual* income [own: 40 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_own: 3 000] [text\_unit: $ per year]   
    
    
    
    
 

* I am satisfied with my custom redistribution. (4)
* I want to skip this question. (5)

custom\_redistr Angenommen, Sie könnten Einkommen auf globaler Ebene umverteilen – wie würden Sie vorgehen? In dieser Frage können Sie Ihre bevorzugten Parameter für eine weltweite Einkommensumverteilung festlegen. Falls Sie diese Frage überspringen möchten, markieren Sie das entsprechende Kästchen am unteren Seitenrand. Die vorgeschlagene Umverteilung würde durch zusätzliche politische Maßnahmen, Steuern und Transfers erfolgen und bestehende Mechanismen ergänzen. Diese Maßnahmen würden das Einkommen der Reichsten senken (die „Verlierer“ der Umverteilung) und das Einkommen der Ärmsten erhöhen (die „Gewinner“ der Umverteilung). In der Grafik unten sehen Sie die weltweite Einkommensverteilung nach Steuern sowie drei interaktive Schieberegler, mit denen Sie diese anpassen können. Die aktuelle Verteilung ist rot dargestellt, während die von Ihnen gewählte Verteilung grün erscheint. Die ersten beiden Schieberegler steuern den Anteil der Gewinner und den Anteil der Verlierer unter allen Menschen. Der dritte Schieberegler bestimmt das Ausmaß der Umverteilung von den Reichsten zu den Ärmsten. Falls Sie keine neuen Maßnahmen zur Verringerung der globalen Ungleichheit wünschen, können Sie den dritten Schieberegler auf null setzen. **Sie können die Schieberegler bewegen**, indem Sie die Maustaste auf den kleinen Quadraten gedrückt halten und sie zur Seite ziehen. Das Ziel ist es, die Schieberegler so anzupassen, **bis die grüne Kurve die von Ihnen gewünschte Einkommensverteilung widerspiegelt**. Beispiele für Einkommensveränderungen nach Ihrer vorgeschlagenen Umverteilung: Jetzt Nachher 0 [text\_unit: $ per year] 0 [text\_unit: $ per year] [now\_10k: 10 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_10k: 10 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [now\_60k: 60 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_60k: 60 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [now\_100k: 100 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_100k: 100 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] Ihr *individuelles* Einkommen [own: 40 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_own: 3 000] [text\_unit: $ per year]   
    
      
    
    
 

* Ich bin mit meiner gewählten Umverteilung zufrieden. (4)
* Ich möchte diese Frage überspringen. (5)

custom\_redistr Si vous pouviez redistribuer les revenus à l’échelle mondiale, que feriez-vous ? Dans cette question, nous vous laissons choisir vos paramètres préférés pour une redistribution des revenus au niveau mondial. Si vous préférez ignorer cette question, cochez la case correspondante en bas de la page. La redistribution mondiale des revenus prendrait la forme de politiques, d’impôts et de transferts supplémentaires, en plus de ceux existants. Ces politiques réduiraient les revenus des plus riches (les perdants de la redistribution) et augmenteraient les revenus des plus pauvres (les gagnants). Vous trouverez ci-dessous un graphique de la répartition mondiale des revenus (après impôts) et trois curseurs qui la font varier. La distribution actuelle est en rouge et votre distribution personnalisée est en vert. Les deux premiers curseurs contrôlent la proportion de gagnants et la proportion de perdants parmi les humains. Le troisième curseur contrôle le degré de redistribution des plus riches vers les plus pauvres. Si vous ne voulez pas de nouvelles politiques visant à réduire les inégalités mondiales, vous pouvez régler le troisième curseur sur zéro. Pour répondre, **il faut déplacer les curseurs** (en maintenant la souris appuyée sur les petits carrés et en la déplaçant sur le côté) pour faire évoluer la courbe verte : l'idée est de déplacer les curseurs **jusqu'à obtenir une courbe verte qui vous convienne**. Exemples de revenus maintenant/après votre redistribution personnalisée : Maintenant Après 0 [text\_unit: $ par an] 0 [text\_unit: $ par an] [now\_10k: 10 000] [text\_unit: $ par an] [after\_10k: 10 000] [text\_unit: $ par an] [now\_60k: 60 000] [text\_unit: $ par an] [after\_60k: 60 000] [text\_unit: $ par an] [now\_100k: 100 000] [text\_unit: $ par an] [after\_100k: 100 000] [text\_unit: $ par an] Vos revenus *individuels* [propre: 40 000] [text\_unit: $ par an] [after\_own: 3 000] [text\_unit: $ par an]   
    
      
    
    
 

* Ma redistribution personnalisée me convient. (4)
* Je veux sauter cette question. (5)

custom\_redistr Se potessi ridistribuire il reddito a livello globale, cosa faresti? In questa domanda ti lasciamo scegliere i parametri che preferisci per una ridistribuzione del reddito a livello mondiale. Se preferisci saltare questa domanda, seleziona la casella corrispondente in fondo alla pagina. La ridistribuzione del reddito a livello mondiale assumerebbe la forma di politiche, tasse e trasferimenti ulteriori, che si aggiungerebbero a quelli già esistenti. Queste politiche ridurrebbero il reddito dei più ricchi (coloro che perdono dalla ridistribuzione, che denomineremo perdenti) e aumenterebbero quello dei più poveri (coloro che ottengono qualcosa dalla ridistribuzione, che denomineremo vincitori). Di seguito troverete un grafico della distribuzione mondiale del reddito al netto delle imposte e tre cursori che variano tale distribuzione. La distribuzione attuale è in rosso, quella personalizzata è in verde. I primi due cursori controllano la percentuale di vincitori e la percentuale di perdenti tra tutti gli esseri umani. Il terzo cursore controlla il grado di redistribuzione dai più ricchi ai più poveri. Se non si desidera che le nuove politiche riducano la disuguaglianza globale, è possibile impostare il terzo cursore su zero. **Bisogna spostare i cursori** (tenendo premuto il mouse sui quadratini e spostandolo lateralmente) per far evolvere la curva verde: l'idea è quella di spostare i cursori **finché non si ottiene una curva verde di cui si è soddisfatti**. Esempi di variazioni del reddito a seguito dell'aggiustamento proposto: Attuale Dopo la ridistribuzione 0 [text\_unit: $ per year] 0 [text\_unit: $ per year] [now\_10k: 10 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_10k: 10 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [now\_60k: 60 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_60k: 60 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [now\_100k: 100 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_100k: 100 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] Il tuo reddito *individuale* [own: 40 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_own: 3 000] [text\_unit: $ per year]   
    
      
    
    
 

* Sono soddisfatto della mia ridistribuzione personalizzata. (4)
* Voglio saltare questa domanda. (5)

custom\_redistr If you could redistribute income at the global level, what would you do? In this question, we let you choose your preferred parameters for a redistribution of income at the world level.  If you prefer to skip this question, check the corresponding box at the bottom of the page. The worldwide redistribution of income would take the form of additional policies, taxes, and transfers, on top of existing ones. These policies would lower the income of the richest (the losers from the redistribution) and increase the income of the poorest (the winners).  Below you will find a graph of the world distribution of after-tax income and three sliders that vary it. The current distribution is in red, and your custom one is in green.  The first two sliders control the proportion of winners and the proportion of losers, among all humans. The third slider controls the degree of redistribution from the richest to the poorest.  If you do not want new policies to reduce global inequality, you can set the third slider to zero.  **You need to move the sliders** (by holding the mouse down on the little squares and moving to the side) to make the green curve evolve: the idea is to move the sliders **until you get a green curve you are satisfied with**. Examples of income changes after your proposed redistribution: Now After 0 [text\_unit: $ per year] 0 [text\_unit: $ per year] [now\_10k: 10 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_10k: 10 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [now\_60k: 60 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_60k: 60 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [now\_100k: 100 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_100k: 100 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] Your *individual* income [own: 40 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_own: 3 000] [text\_unit: $ per year]   
    
    
    
    
 

* I am satisfied with my custom redistribution. (4)
* I want to skip this question. (5)

custom\_redistr If you could redistribute income at the global level, what would you do? In this question, we let you choose your preferred parameters for a redistribution of income at the world level.  If you prefer to skip this question, check the corresponding box at the bottom of the page. The worldwide redistribution of income would take the form of additional policies, taxes, and transfers, on top of existing ones. These policies would lower the income of the richest (the losers from the redistribution) and increase the income of the poorest (the winners).  Below you will find a graph of the world distribution of after-tax income and three sliders that vary it. The current distribution is in red, and your custom one is in green.  The first two sliders control the proportion of winners and the proportion of losers, among all humans. The third slider controls the degree of redistribution from the richest to the poorest.  If you do not want new policies to reduce global inequality, you can set the third slider to zero.  **You need to move the sliders** (by holding the mouse down on the little squares and moving to the side) to make the green curve evolve: the idea is to move the sliders **until you get a green curve you are satisfied with**. Examples of income changes after your proposed redistribution: Now After 0 [text\_unit: $ per year] 0 [text\_unit: $ per year] [now\_10k: 10 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_10k: 10 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [now\_60k: 60 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_60k: 60 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [now\_100k: 100 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_100k: 100 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] Your *individual* income [own: 40 000] [text\_unit: $ per year] [after\_own: 3 000] [text\_unit: $ per year]   
    
    
    
    
 

* I am satisfied with my custom redistribution. (4)
* I want to skip this question. (5)

End of Block: Custom redistr

Start of Block: Well-being

|  |
| --- |
|  |

well\_being\_gallup\_0 Please imagine a ladder, with steps numbered from 0 at the bottom to 10 at the top. The top of the ladder represents the best possible life for you and the bottom of the ladder represents the worst possible life for you. On which step of the ladder would you say you personally feel you stand at this time?

* Worst possible0 (1)
* 1 (14)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* Best possible10 (23)

well\_being\_gallup\_0 Please imagine a ladder, with steps numbered from 0 at the bottom to 10 at the top. The top of the ladder represents the best possible life for you and the bottom of the ladder represents the worst possible life for you. On which step of the ladder would you say you personally feel you stand at this time?

* Worst possible0 (1)
* 1 (14)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* Best possible10 (23)

well\_being\_gallup\_0 Please imagine a ladder, with steps numbered from 0 at the bottom to 10 at the top. The top of the ladder represents the best possible life for you and the bottom of the ladder represents the worst possible life for you. On which step of the ladder would you say you personally feel you stand at this time?

* Worst possible0 (1)
* 1 (14)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* Best possible10 (23)

well\_being\_gallup\_0 Please imagine a ladder, with steps numbered from 0 at the bottom to 10 at the top. The top of the ladder represents the best possible life for you and the bottom of the ladder represents the worst possible life for you. On which step of the ladder would you say you personally feel you stand at this time?

* Worst possible0 (1)
* 1 (14)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* Best possible10 (23)

well\_being\_gallup\_0 Please imagine a ladder, with steps numbered from 0 at the bottom to 10 at the top. The top of the ladder represents the best possible life for you and the bottom of the ladder represents the worst possible life for you. On which step of the ladder would you say you personally feel you stand at this time?

* Worst possible0 (1)
* 1 (14)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* Best possible10 (23)

يُرجى تخيُّل سلمًا يتكون من درجات من 1 في الأسفل إلى 10 في الأعلى، حيث يرمز أعلى السلم إلى أفضل حياة يمكنك عيشها، بينما يمثل أسفله أسوأ حياة يمكنك عيشها. على أي درجات هذا السلم تعتقد أنك تقف في الوقت الحالي؟ well\_being\_gallup\_0

* (1) أسوأ حياة ممكنة 0
* (14) 1
* (15) 2
* (16) 3
* (17) 4
* (18) 5
* (19) 6
* (20) 7
* (21) 8
* (22) 9
* (23) أفضل حياة ممكنة 10

well\_being\_gallup\_0 Proszę sobie teraz wyobrazić drabinę ze szczeblami ponumerowanymi od 0 na dole do 10 na górze. Szczyt drabiny reprezentuje najlepsze możliwe życie dla Pana/Pani, a dół drabiny reprezentuje najgorsze możliwe życie dla Pana/Pani. Na którym szczeblu drabiny powiedział(a)by Pan/Pani, że się obecnie znajduje?

* Najgorsze możliwe 0 (1)
* 1 (14)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* Najlepsze możliwe 10 (23)

well\_being\_gallup\_0 はしごを想像してください。ステップは下から0、上は10まで番号が振られています。 はしごの一番上は、あなたにとって最高の人生、 一番下は最悪の人生を表しています。 今の時点で、あなた自身はこのはしごのどの段に立っていると感じますか？

* 最も悪い状態 0 (1)
* 1 (14)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* 最も良い状態 10 (23)

well\_being\_gallup\_0 Por favor, imagine una escalera con escalones numerados del 0 al 10. La cima representa la mejor vida posible y la base, la peor. ¿En qué peldaño de la escalera se siente en este momento?

* La peor posible 0 (1)
* 1 (14)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* La mejor posible 10 (23)

well\_being\_gallup\_0 Please imagine a ladder, with steps numbered from 0 at the bottom to 10 at the top. The top of the ladder represents the best possible life for you and the bottom of the ladder represents the worst possible life for you. On which step of the ladder would you say you personally feel you stand at this time?

* Worst possible0 (1)
* 1 (14)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* Best possible10 (23)

well\_being\_gallup\_0 Stellen Sie sich eine Leiter vor, deren Stufen von 0 (ganz unten) bis 10 (ganz oben) nummeriert sind.  
 Die oberste Stufe steht für das bestmögliche Leben, das Sie sich für sich vorstellen können, und die unterste für das schlimmstmögliche Leben. Auf welcher Stufe der Leiter befinden Sie sich Ihrer Einschätzung nach gerade?

* Schlimmstmögliche 0 (1)
* 1 (14)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* Bestmögliche 10 (23)

well\_being\_gallup\_0 Imaginez une échelle avec des barreaux numérotés de 0 en bas à 10 en haut. Supposons que le haut de l’échelle représente la meilleure vie possible pour vous, et que le bas de l’échelle représente la pire vie possible pour vous. Quel barreau de l'échelle se rapproche le plus de ce que vous ressentez en ce moment ?

* Pire possible 0 (1)
* 1 (14)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* Meilleure possible 10 (23)

well\_being\_gallup\_0 Immagina una scala con gradini numerati da 0 (in basso) a 10 (in alto). La cima della scala rappresenta la migliore condizione di vita possibile per te, mentre la base rappresenta la peggiore condizione di vita possibile per te. Personalmente, su quale gradino della scala ti posizioneresti in questo momento?

* La peggior condizione possibile 0 (1)
* 1 (14)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* La migliore condizione possibile 10 (23)

well\_being\_gallup\_0 Please imagine a ladder, with steps numbered from 0 at the bottom to 10 at the top. The top of the ladder represents the best possible life for you and the bottom of the ladder represents the worst possible life for you. On which step of the ladder would you say you personally feel you stand at this time?

* Worst possible0 (1)
* 1 (14)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* Best possible10 (23)

well\_being\_gallup\_0 Please imagine a ladder, with steps numbered from 0 at the bottom to 10 at the top. The top of the ladder represents the best possible life for you and the bottom of the ladder represents the worst possible life for you. On which step of the ladder would you say you personally feel you stand at this time?

* Worst possible0 (1)
* 1 (14)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* Best possible10 (23)

|  |
| --- |
|  |

well\_being\_gallup\_1 Please imagine a ladder, with steps numbered from 1 at the bottom to 10 at the top. The top of the ladder represents the best possible life for you and the bottom of the ladder represents the worst possible life for you. On which step of the ladder would you say you personally feel you stand at this time?

* Worst possible1 (1)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* Best possible10 (23)

well\_being\_gallup\_1 Please imagine a ladder, with steps numbered from 1 at the bottom to 10 at the top. The top of the ladder represents the best possible life for you and the bottom of the ladder represents the worst possible life for you. On which step of the ladder would you say you personally feel you stand at this time?

* Worst possible1 (1)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* Best possible10 (23)

well\_being\_gallup\_1 Please imagine a ladder, with steps numbered from 1 at the bottom to 10 at the top. The top of the ladder represents the best possible life for you and the bottom of the ladder represents the worst possible life for you. On which step of the ladder would you say you personally feel you stand at this time?

* Worst possible1 (1)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* Best possible10 (23)

well\_being\_gallup\_1 Please imagine a ladder, with steps numbered from 1 at the bottom to 10 at the top. The top of the ladder represents the best possible life for you and the bottom of the ladder represents the worst possible life for you. On which step of the ladder would you say you personally feel you stand at this time?

* Worst possible1 (1)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* Best possible10 (23)

well\_being\_gallup\_1 Please imagine a ladder, with steps numbered from 1 at the bottom to 10 at the top. The top of the ladder represents the best possible life for you and the bottom of the ladder represents the worst possible life for you. On which step of the ladder would you say you personally feel you stand at this time?

* Worst possible1 (1)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* Best possible10 (23)

تخيّل سلمًا يتكون من درجات من 1 في الأسفل إلى 10 في الأعلى، حيث يرمز أعلى السلم إلى أفضل حياة يمكنك عيشها، بينما يمثل أسفله أسوأ حياة يمكنك عيشها. على أي درجات هذا السلم تعتقد أنك تقف في الوقت الحالي؟ well\_being\_gallup\_1

* (1) أسوأ حياة ممكنة 1
* (15) 2
* (16) 3
* (17) 4
* (18) 5
* (19) 6
* (20) 7
* (21) 8
* (22) 9
* (23) أفضل حياة ممكنة 10

well\_being\_gallup\_1 Proszę sobie teraz wyobrazić drabinę ze szczeblami ponumerowanymi od 1 na dole do 10 na górze. Szczyt drabiny reprezentuje najlepsze możliwe życie dla Pana/Pani, a dół drabiny reprezentuje najgorsze możliwe życie dla Pana/Pani. Na którym szczeblu drabiny powiedział(a)by Pan/Pani, że się obecnie znajduje?

* Najgorsze możliwe 1 (1)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* Najlepsze możliwe 10 (23)

well\_being\_gallup\_1 はしごを想像してください。ステップは下から1、上は10まで番号が振られています。 はしごの一番上は、あなたにとって最高の人生、一番下は最悪の人生を表しています。 今の時点で、あなた自身はこのはしごのどの段に立っていると感じますか？

* 最も悪い状態 1 (1)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* 最も良い状態 10 (23)

well\_being\_gallup\_1 Por favor, imagine una escalera con escalones numerados del 1 al 10. La cima representa la mejor vida posible y la base, la peor. ¿En qué peldaño de la escalera se siente en este momento?

* La peor posible 1 (1)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* La mejor posible 10 (23)

well\_being\_gallup\_1 Please imagine a ladder, with steps numbered from 1 at the bottom to 10 at the top. The top of the ladder represents the best possible life for you and the bottom of the ladder represents the worst possible life for you. On which step of the ladder would you say you personally feel you stand at this time?

* Worst possible1 (1)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* Best possible10 (23)

well\_being\_gallup\_1 Stellen Sie sich eine Leiter vor, deren Stufen von 1 (ganz unten) bis 10 (ganz oben) nummeriert sind.  
 Die oberste Stufe steht für das bestmögliche Leben, das Sie sich für sich vorstellen können, und die unterste für das schlimmstmögliche Leben. Auf welcher Stufe der Leiter befinden Sie sich Ihrer Einschätzung nach gerade?

* Schlimmstmögliche 1 (1)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* Bestmögliche 10 (23)

well\_being\_gallup\_1 Imaginez une échelle avec des barreaux numérotés de 1 en bas à 10 en haut. Supposons que le haut de l’échelle représente la meilleure vie possible pour vous, et que le bas de l’échelle représente la pire vie possible pour vous. Quel barreau de l'échelle se rapproche le plus de ce que vous ressentez en ce moment ?

* Pire possible 1 (1)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* Meilleure possible 10 (23)

well\_being\_gallup\_1 Immagina una scala con gradini numerati da 1 (in basso) a 10 (in alto). La cima della scala rappresenta la migliore condizione di vita possibile per te, mentre la base rappresenta la peggiore condizione di vita possibile per te. Personalmente, su quale gradino della scala ti posizioneresti in questo momento?

* La peggior condizione possibile 1 (1)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* La migliore condizione possibile 10 (23)

well\_being\_gallup\_1 Please imagine a ladder, with steps numbered from 1 at the bottom to 10 at the top. The top of the ladder represents the best possible life for you and the bottom of the ladder represents the worst possible life for you. On which step of the ladder would you say you personally feel you stand at this time?

* Worst possible1 (1)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* Best possible10 (23)

well\_being\_gallup\_1 Please imagine a ladder, with steps numbered from 1 at the bottom to 10 at the top. The top of the ladder represents the best possible life for you and the bottom of the ladder represents the worst possible life for you. On which step of the ladder would you say you personally feel you stand at this time?

* Worst possible1 (1)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* Best possible10 (23)

|  |
| --- |
|  |

well\_being\_wvs\_0 All things considered, how satisfied are you with your life as a whole these days?

* Completely dissatisfied0 (1)
* 1 (14)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* Completely satisfied10 (23)
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* Completely dissatisfied0 (1)
* 1 (14)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* Completely satisfied10 (23)
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* Completely dissatisfied0 (1)
* 1 (14)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* Completely satisfied10 (23)

well\_being\_wvs\_0 All things considered, how satisfied are you with your life as a whole these days?

* Completely dissatisfied0 (1)
* 1 (14)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* Completely satisfied10 (23)

well\_being\_wvs\_0 All things considered, how satisfied are you with your life as a whole these days?

* Completely dissatisfied0 (1)
* 1 (14)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* Completely satisfied10 (23)

بأخذ كافة جوانب الحياة بعين الاعتبار، ما مدى رضاك ​​عن حياتك بشكل عام في الوقت الحاضر؟ well\_being\_wvs\_0

* (1) غير راضِ على الإطلاق 0
* (14) 1
* (15) 2
* (16) 3
* (17) 4
* (18) 5
* (19) 6
* (20) 7
* (21) 8
* (22) 9
* (23) راضٍ تمامًا 10

well\_being\_wvs\_0 Biorąc pod uwagę wszystkie możliwe aspekty, na ile jest Pan/Pani obecnie zadowolony(-a) ze swojego życia jako całości?

* Całkowicie niezadowolony(-a) 0 (1)
* 1 (14)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* Całkowicie zadowolony(-a) 10 (23)

well\_being\_wvs\_0 すべてを考慮すると、あなたは最近の生活全体にどれくらい満足していますか？

* まったく満足していない 0 (1)
* 1 (14)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* 非常に満足している 10 (23)

well\_being\_wvs\_0 Considerándolo todo, ¿qué tan satisfecho/a está usted con su vida en general actualmente?

* Completamente insatisfecho/a 0 (1)
* 1 (14)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* Completamente satisfecho/a 10 (23)

well\_being\_wvs\_0 All things considered, how satisfied are you with your life as a whole these days?

* Completely dissatisfied0 (1)
* 1 (14)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* Completely satisfied10 (23)

well\_being\_wvs\_0 Alles in allem, wie zufrieden sind Sie derzeit insgesamt mit Ihrem Leben?

* Vollkommen unzufrieden 0 (1)
* 1 (14)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* Vollkommen zufrieden 10 (23)

well\_being\_wvs\_0 Tout bien considéré, dans quelle mesure êtes-vous satisfait⋅e de votre vie dans son ensemble ces jours-ci ?

* Complètement insatisfait⋅e 0 (1)
* 1 (14)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* Complètement satisfait⋅e 10 (23)

well\_being\_wvs\_0 Tutto sommato, quanto sei soddisfatto della tua vita nel complesso in questo momento?

* Completamente insoddisfatto 0 (1)
* 1 (14)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* Completamente soddisfatto 10 (23)

well\_being\_wvs\_0 All things considered, how satisfied are you with your life as a whole these days?

* Completely dissatisfied0 (1)
* 1 (14)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* Completely satisfied10 (23)

well\_being\_wvs\_0 All things considered, how satisfied are you with your life as a whole these days?

* Completely dissatisfied0 (1)
* 1 (14)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* Completely satisfied10 (23)

|  |
| --- |
|  |

well\_being\_wvs\_1 All things considered, how satisfied are you with your life as a whole these days?

* Completely dissatisfied1 (1)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* Completely satisfied10 (23)
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* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
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* Completely satisfied10 (23)
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* Completely satisfied10 (23)

well\_being\_wvs\_1 All things considered, how satisfied are you with your life as a whole these days?

* Completely dissatisfied1 (1)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* Completely satisfied10 (23)

well\_being\_wvs\_1 All things considered, how satisfied are you with your life as a whole these days?

* Completely dissatisfied1 (1)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* Completely satisfied10 (23)

بأخذ كافة جوانب الحياة بعين الاعتبار، ما مدى رضاك ​​عن حياتك بشكل عام في الوقت الحاضر؟ well\_being\_wvs\_1

* (1) غير راضٍ على الإطلاق 1
* (15) 2
* (16) 3
* (17) 4
* (18) 5
* (19) 6
* (20) 7
* (21) 8
* (22) 9
* (23) راضٍ تمامًا 10

well\_being\_wvs\_1 Biorąc pod uwagę wszystkie możliwe aspekty, na ile jest Pan/Pani obecnie zadowolony(-a) ze swojego życia jako całości?

* Całkowicie niezadowolony(-a) 1 (1)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* Całkowicie zadowolony(-a) 10 (23)

well\_being\_wvs\_1 すべてを考慮すると、あなたは最近の生活全体にどれくらい満足していますか？

* まったく満足していない 1 (1)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* 非常に満足している 10 (23)

well\_being\_wvs\_1 Considerándolo todo, ¿qué tan satisfecho/a está usted con su vida en general actualmente?

* Completamente insatisfecho/a 1 (1)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* Completamente satisfecho/a 10 (23)

well\_being\_wvs\_1 All things considered, how satisfied are you with your life as a whole these days?

* Completely dissatisfied1 (1)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* Completely satisfied10 (23)

well\_being\_wvs\_1 Alles in allem, wie zufrieden sind Sie derzeit insgesamt mit Ihrem Leben?

* Vollkommen unzufrieden 1 (1)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* Vollkommen zufrieden 10 (23)

well\_being\_wvs\_1 Tout bien considéré, dans quelle mesure êtes-vous satisfait⋅e de votre vie dans son ensemble ces jours-ci ?

* Complètement insatisfait⋅e 1 (1)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* Complètement satisfait⋅e 10 (23)

well\_being\_wvs\_1 Tutto sommato, quanto sei soddisfatto della tua vita nel complesso in questo momento?

* Completamente insoddisfatto 1 (1)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* Completamente soddisfatto 10 (23)

well\_being\_wvs\_1 All things considered, how satisfied are you with your life as a whole these days?

* Completely dissatisfied1 (1)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* Completely satisfied10 (23)

well\_being\_wvs\_1 All things considered, how satisfied are you with your life as a whole these days?

* Completely dissatisfied1 (1)
* 2 (15)
* 3 (16)
* 4 (17)
* 5 (18)
* 6 (19)
* 7 (20)
* 8 (21)
* 9 (22)
* Completely satisfied10 (23)

End of Block: Well-being

Start of Block: Comprehension

Display this question:

If cut = 0

Or variant\_comprehension = 1

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |

gcs\_comprehension   
*Comprehension question: one respondent with the expected answer will get [amount\_lottery: $100].* How would gasoline prices change as a result of the Global Climate Scheme? Gasoline prices would...

* increase (2)
* not be affected (3)
* decrease (5)

gcs\_comprehension   
*Comprehension question: one respondent with the expected answer will get [amount\_lottery: $100].* How would gasoline prices change as a result of the Global Climate Scheme? Gasoline prices would...

* increase (2)
* not be affected (3)
* decrease (5)

gcs\_comprehension   
*Comprehension question: one respondent with the expected answer will get [amount\_lottery: $100].* How would gasoline prices change as a result of the Global Climate Scheme? Gasoline prices would...

* increase (2)
* not be affected (3)
* decrease (5)

gcs\_comprehension   
*Comprehension question: one respondent with the expected answer will get [amount\_lottery: $100].* How would gasoline prices change as a result of the Global Climate Scheme? Gasoline prices would...

* increase (2)
* not be affected (3)
* decrease (5)

gcs\_comprehension   
*Comprehension question: one respondent with the expected answer will get [amount\_lottery: $100].* How would gasoline prices change as a result of the Global Climate Scheme? Gasoline prices would...

* increase (2)
* not be affected (3)
* decrease (5)

*سؤال الفهم: سيفوز من يجيب على السؤال بشكل صحيح بمبلغ [amount\_lottery: $100].* كيف ستتأثر أسعار البنزين بتطبيق برنامج المناخ العالمي؟   
  gcs\_comprehension

* (2) سيؤدي ذلك إلى ارتفاع أسعار البنزين
* (3) لن تتأثر أسعار البنزين
* (5) سيؤدي ذلك إلى انخفاض أسعار البنزين

gcs\_comprehension   
*Pytanie kontrolne; jeden z respondentów, którzy udzielą oczekiwanej odpowiedzi, otrzyma 500 PLN.*     
W jaki sposób zmieniłyby się ceny benzyny w wyniku wprowadzenia Globalnego Programu Klimatycznego?   
Ceny benzyny...

* wzrosłyby (2)
* nie zmieniłyby się (3)
* spadłyby (5)

gcs\_comprehension   
*理解度チェックの質問：正解した回答者の中から抽選で1名に[amount\_lottery: $100]が当たります。* 地球規模の気候対策制度が導入された場合、ガソリン価格はどのように変化すると考えられますか？ ガソリン価格は...

* 上がる (2)
* 影響を受けない (3)
* 下がる (5)

gcs\_comprehension   
*Pregunta de comprensión: El participante con la respuesta esperada obtendrá [amount\_lottery: $100].* ¿Cómo cambiarían los precios de la gasolina como resultado del Plan Climático Global? Los precios de la gasolina...

* subirían (2)
* no serían afectados (3)
* bajarían (5)

gcs\_comprehension   
*Comprehension question: one respondent with the expected answer will get [amount\_lottery: $100].* How would gasoline prices change as a result of the Global Climate Scheme? Gasoline prices would...

* increase (2)
* not be affected (3)
* decrease (5)

gcs\_comprehension   
*Verständnisfrage: Eine Person mit der korrekten Antwort kann [amount\_lottery: $100] gewinnen.* Welche Auswirkungen hätte das globale Klimaprogramm auf die Benzinpreise? Die Benzinpreise würden…

* steigen (2)
* unverändert bleiben (3)
* sinken (5)

gcs\_comprehension   
*Question de compréhension : une personne avec la bonne réponse recevra [amount\_lottery: $100].* Comment les prix de l’essence évolueraient-ils suite au Plan mondial pour le climat ? Les prix de l'essence ...

* augmenteraient (2)
* ne seraient pas affectés (3)
* diminueraient  (5)

gcs\_comprehension   
*Domanda di comprensione: l'intervistato che risponderà nel modo corretto potrebbe ricevere [amount\_lottery: $ 100] .* Come cambierebbero i prezzi della benzina a seguito del Programma Globale per il Clima? I prezzi della benzina...

* aumenterebbero (2)
* non sarebbero influenzati (3)
* diminuirebbero (5)

gcs\_comprehension   
*Comprehension question: one respondent with the expected answer will get [amount\_lottery: $100].* How would gasoline prices change as a result of the Global Climate Scheme? Gasoline prices would...

* increase (2)
* not be affected (3)
* decrease (5)

gcs\_comprehension   
*Comprehension question: one respondent with the expected answer will get [amount\_lottery: $100].* How would gasoline prices change as a result of the Global Climate Scheme? Gasoline prices would...

* increase (2)
* not be affected (3)
* decrease (5)

End of Block: Comprehension

Start of Block: Synthetic questions

Display this question:

If variant\_synthetic = 1

Or cut = 0

my\_tax\_global\_nation To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement? "My taxes should go towards solving global problems."

* Strongly agree (1)
* Agree (2)
* Neither agree nor disagree (3)
* Disagree (4)
* Strongly disagree (5)

my\_tax\_global\_nation To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement? "My taxes should go towards solving global problems."

* Strongly agree (1)
* Agree (2)
* Neither agree nor disagree (3)
* Disagree (4)
* Strongly disagree (5)

my\_tax\_global\_nation To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement? "My taxes should go towards solving global problems."

* Strongly agree (1)
* Agree (2)
* Neither agree nor disagree (3)
* Disagree (4)
* Strongly disagree (5)

my\_tax\_global\_nation To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement? "My taxes should go towards solving global problems."

* Strongly agree (1)
* Agree (2)
* Neither agree nor disagree (3)
* Disagree (4)
* Strongly disagree (5)

my\_tax\_global\_nation To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement? "My taxes should go towards solving global problems."

* Strongly agree (1)
* Agree (2)
* Neither agree nor disagree (3)
* Disagree (4)
* Strongly disagree (5)

لأي مدى تؤيد أو تعارض المقولة التالية؟ "ينبغي أن تسهم الضرائب التي أقوم بدفعها في حل المشاكل العالمية" my\_tax\_global\_nation

* (1) أوافق بشدة
* (2) أوافق
* (3) لا أهتم بذلك
* (4) أرفض ذلك
* (5) أرفض ذلك تمامًا

my\_tax\_global\_nation W jakim stopniu zgadza się Pan/Pani lub nie zgadza z następującym stwierdzeniem? „Moje podatki powinny służyć rozwiązywaniu globalnych problemów.”

* Zdecydowanie się zgadzam (1)
* Zgadzam się (2)
* Ani się zgadzam, ani nie zgadzam (3)
* Nie zgadzam się (4)
* Zdecydowanie się nie zgadzam (5)

my\_tax\_global\_nation 以下の声明について、どの程度賛成または反対しますか？ 「私の税金は、世界的な問題を解決するために使われるべきだ。」

* 強く賛成 (1)
* 賛成 (2)
* どちらとも言えない (3)
* 反対 (4)
* 強く反対 (5)

my\_tax\_global\_nation ¿Hasta qué punto está de acuerdo o en desacuerdo con la siguiente afirmación? "Mis impuestos deberían destinarse a resolver problemas globales."

* Estoy totalmente de acuerdo (1)
* De acuerdo (2)
* Ni de acuerdo ni en desacuerdo (3)
* En desacuerdo (4)
* Totalmente en desacuerdo (5)

my\_tax\_global\_nation To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement? "My taxes should go towards solving global problems."

* Strongly agree (1)
* Agree (2)
* Neither agree nor disagree (3)
* Disagree (4)
* Strongly disagree (5)

my\_tax\_global\_nation Inwieweit stimmen Sie der folgenden Aussage zu? „Meine Steuern sollten zur Lösung globaler Probleme verwendet werden.“

* Stimme voll zu (1)
* Stimme eher zu (2)
* Neutral (3)
* Stimme eher nicht zu (4)
* Stimme überhaupt nicht zu (5)

my\_tax\_global\_nation Dans quelle mesure êtes-vous d’accord ou pas avec l'affirmation suivante ? "Mes impôts devraient servir à résoudre des problèmes mondiaux."

* Tout à fait d'accord (1)
* D'accord (2)
* Ni d'accord ni pas d'accord (3)
* Pas d'accord (4)
* Pas du tout d'accord (5)

my\_tax\_global\_nation In che misura sei d'accordo o in disaccordo con la seguente affermazione? "Le mie tasse dovrebbero essere utilizzate per risolvere problemi globali."

* Sono assolutamente d'accordo (1)
* Sono d'accordo (2)
* Né d'accordo né in disaccordo (3)
* Non sono d'accordo (4)
* Fortemente in disaccordo (5)

my\_tax\_global\_nation To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement? "My taxes should go towards solving global problems."

* Strongly agree (1)
* Agree (2)
* Neither agree nor disagree (3)
* Disagree (4)
* Strongly disagree (5)

my\_tax\_global\_nation To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement? "My taxes should go towards solving global problems."

* Strongly agree (1)
* Agree (2)
* Neither agree nor disagree (3)
* Disagree (4)
* Strongly disagree (5)

Display this question:

If variant\_synthetic = 0

Or cut = 0

|  |
| --- |
|  |

group\_defended Which group of people do you advocate for when you vote?

* Sentient beings (humans and animals) (1)
* Humans (2)
* [country\_adjective\_plural: Americans] (3)
* People from my community (for example my region, my religion, my gender…) (4)
* My family and myself (8)

group\_defended Which group of people do you advocate for when you vote?

* Sentient beings (humans and animals) (1)
* Humans (2)
* [country\_adjective\_plural: Americans] (3)
* People from my community (for example my region, my religion, my gender…) (4)
* My family and myself (8)

group\_defended Which group of people do you advocate for when you vote?

* Sentient beings (humans and animals) (1)
* Humans (2)
* [country\_adjective\_plural: Americans] (3)
* People from my community (for example my region, my religion, my gender…) (4)
* My family and myself (8)

group\_defended Which group of people do you advocate for when you vote?

* Sentient beings (humans and animals) (1)
* Humans (2)
* [country\_adjective\_plural: Americans] (3)
* People from my community (for example my region, my religion, my gender…) (4)
* My family and myself (8)

group\_defended Which group of people do you advocate for when you vote?

* Sentient beings (humans and animals) (1)
* Humans (2)
* [country\_adjective\_plural: Americans] (3)
* People from my community (for example my region, my religion, my gender…) (4)
* My family and myself (8)

أي الفئات المجتمعية التالية تدافع عنها؟ على سبيل المثال، إذا كنت أغنى شخص على وجه الأرض، أي من الفئات التالية ستختار مساعدتها باستخدام الأموال التي تمتلكها؟ group\_defended

* (1) البشر والحيوانات
* (2) البشر
* (3) مواطن سعودي
* (4) أفراد مجتمعي (على سبيل المثال الأشخاص القاطنون في منطقتي، أو المنتمون إلى ديانتي، أو المنتمون إلى نوعي...)
* (8) عائلتي ونفسي

group\_defended Interesów której grupy broni Pan/Pani, kiedy głosuje Pan/Pani w wyborach?

* Istoty odczuwające (ludzie i zwierzęta) (1)
* Ludzie (2)
* Polacy (3)
* Osoby należące do mojej społeczności (np. regionu, wyznania, płci...) (4)
* Moja rodzina i ja (8)

group\_defended 投票する際、どのグループを重視しますか？

* 知覚力のある存在（人間と動物） (1)
* 人間 (2)
* Americans (3)
* 自分の所属するコミュニティの人々（例：地域、宗教、性別など） (4)
* 自分の家族と自分自身 (8)

group\_defended ¿Qué grupo defiende cuando vota?

* Seres vivientes (humanos y animales) (1)
* Humanos (2)
* Españoles (3)
* Personas de mi comunidad (por ejemplo mi región, mi religión, mi género…). (4)
* A mi familia y a mí (8)

group\_defended Which group of people do you advocate for when you vote?

* Sentient beings (humans and animals) (1)
* Humans (2)
* [country\_adjective\_plural: Americans] (3)
* People from my community (for example my region, my religion, my gender…) (4)
* My family and myself (8)

group\_defended Welche Gruppe vertreten Sie mit Ihrer Stimme, wenn Sie wählen?

* Lebewesen (Menschen und Tiere) (1)
* Menschen (2)
* Deutsche (3)
* Menschen aus meiner Gemeinschaft (z.B. aus meiner Region, meiner Religionsgemeinschaft, meinem Geschlecht …) (4)
* Meine Familie und mich selbst (8)

group\_defended Quel groupe défendez-vous lorsque vous votez ?

* Les êtres sentients (humains et animaux) (1)
* Les humains (2)
* Les Français (3)
* Les personnes de ma communauté (par exemple ma région, ma religion, mon genre...) (4)
* Ma famille et moi-même (8)

group\_defended Per quale gruppo di persone ti schieri quando voti?

* Esseri senzienti (umani e animali) (1)
* Umani (2)
* Americani (3)
* Persone della mia comunità (ad esempio coloro che vivono nel mio territorio, che professano la mia stessa religione, persone del mio stesso sesso...) (4)
* La mia famiglia e me stesso (8)

group\_defended Which group of people do you advocate for when you vote?

* Sentient beings (humans and animals) (1)
* Humans (2)
* [country\_adjective\_plural: Americans] (3)
* People from my community (for example my region, my religion, my gender…) (4)
* My family and myself (8)

group\_defended Which group of people do you advocate for when you vote?

* Sentient beings (humans and animals) (1)
* Humans (2)
* [country\_adjective\_plural: Americans] (3)
* People from my community (for example my region, my religion, my gender…) (4)
* My family and myself (8)

End of Block: Synthetic questions

Start of Block: Extra questions (unused)

donation\_charities How much did you give to charitable organizations in 2022?

* I did not make donations to charities last year. (1)
* Less than $100. (2)
* Between $101 and $500. (3)
* Between $501 and $1,000. (4)
* Between $1,001 and $5,000. (5)
* More than $5,000. (6)

donation\_charities How much did you give to charitable organizations in 2022?

* I did not make donations to charities last year. (1)
* Less than $100. (2)
* Between $101 and $500. (3)
* Between $501 and $1,000. (4)
* Between $1,001 and $5,000. (5)
* More than $5,000. (6)

donation\_charities How much did you give to charitable organizations in 2022?

* I did not make donations to charities last year. (1)
* Less than $100. (2)
* Between $101 and $500. (3)
* Between $501 and $1,000. (4)
* Between $1,001 and $5,000. (5)
* More than $5,000. (6)

donation\_charities How much did you give to charitable organizations in 2022?

* I did not make donations to charities last year. (1)
* Less than $100. (2)
* Between $101 and $500. (3)
* Between $501 and $1,000. (4)
* Between $1,001 and $5,000. (5)
* More than $5,000. (6)

donation\_charities How much did you give to charitable organizations in 2022?

* I did not make donations to charities last year. (1)
* Less than $100. (2)
* Between $101 and $500. (3)
* Between $501 and $1,000. (4)
* Between $1,001 and $5,000. (5)
* More than $5,000. (6)

هل قمت بعمل تبرعات للمنظمات الخيرية في عام 2022؟ donation\_charities

* (1) لم أقم بالتبرع للأعمال الخيرية في العام الماضي.
* (2) تبرعت بأقل من 100 دولار
* (3) تبرعت بما يتراوح بين 101 دولار و500 دولار
* (4) تبرعب بما يتراوح بين 501 دولار و 1,000 دولار
* (5) تبرعب بما يتراوح بين 1,000 دولار و 5,000 دولار
* (6) تبرعت بأكثر من 5,000 دولار

donation\_charities Ile przekazałeś na cele charytatywne w 2022 r.?

* W zeszłym roku nie przekazałem żadnych datków na cele charytatywne. (1)
* Mniej niż 100 dolarów. (2)
* Od 101 do 500 dolarów. (3)
* Od 501 do 1000 dolarów. (4)
* Od 1001 do 5000 dolarów. (5)
* Ponad 5000 dolarów. (6)

donation\_charities 2022年に慈善団体に寄付した金額はいくらですか？

* 昨年、慈善団体への寄付は行いませんでした。 (1)
* Less than $100. (2)
* Between $101 and $500. (3)
* Between $501 and $1,000. (4)
* Between $1,001 and $5,000. (5)
* More than $5,000. (6)

donation\_charities ¿Cuánto donó a organizaciones benéficas en 2022?

* No hice donaciones a organizaciones benéficas el año pasado. (1)
* Menos de 100 €. (2)
* Entre 101 € y 500 €. (3)
* Entre 501 € y 1,000 €. (4)
* Entre 1,001 y 5,000 €. (5)
* Más de 5,000 €. (6)

donation\_charities How much did you give to charitable organizations in 2022?

* I did not make donations to charities last year. (1)
* Less than $100. (2)
* Between $101 and $500. (3)
* Between $501 and $1,000. (4)
* Between $1,001 and $5,000. (5)
* More than $5,000. (6)

donation\_charities Wie viel haben Sie 2022 für wohltätige Zwecke gespendet?

* Ich habe letztes Jahr nicht an Wohltätigkeitsorganisationen gespendet. (1)
* Weniger als 100 € (2)
* Zwischen 101 und 500 € (3)
* Zwischen 501 und 1.000 € (4)
* Zwischen 1.001 und 5.000 € (5)
* Mehr als 5.000 € (6)

donation\_charities Combien avez-vous donné à des associations caritatives en 2022 ?

* Je n'ai pas fait de don à des œuvres caritatives l'année dernière. (1)
* Moins de 100€. (2)
* Entre 101 et 500€. (3)
* Entre 501 et 1 000€. (4)
* Entre 1 001 et 5 000€. (5)
* Plus de 5 000€. (6)

donation\_charities Quanto hai donato alle organizzazioni di beneficenza nel 2022?

* L'anno scorso non ho fatto donazioni in beneficenza. (1)
* Meno di $ 100. (2)
* Tra $ 101 e $ 500. (3)
* Tra $ 501 e $ 1.000. (4)
* Tra $ 1.001 e $ 5.000. (5)
* Più di $ 5.000. (6)

donation\_charities How much did you give to charitable organizations in 2022?

* I did not make donations to charities last year. (1)
* Less than $100. (2)
* Between $101 and $500. (3)
* Between $501 and $1,000. (4)
* Between $1,001 and $5,000. (5)
* More than $5,000. (6)

donation\_charities How much did you give to charitable organizations in 2022?

* I did not make donations to charities last year. (1)
* Less than $100. (2)
* Between $101 and $500. (3)
* Between $501 and $1,000. (4)
* Between $1,001 and $5,000. (5)
* More than $5,000. (6)

interested\_politics To what extent are you interested in politics?

* Not at all (0)
* A little (1)
* Moderately (2)
* A lot (3)
* A great deal (4)

interested\_politics To what extent are you interested in politics?

* Not at all (0)
* A little (1)
* Moderately (2)
* A lot (3)
* A great deal (4)

interested\_politics To what extent are you interested in politics?

* Not at all (0)
* A little (1)
* Moderately (2)
* A lot (3)
* A great deal (4)

interested\_politics To what extent are you interested in politics?

* Not at all (0)
* A little (1)
* Moderately (2)
* A lot (3)
* A great deal (4)

interested\_politics To what extent are you interested in politics?

* Not at all (0)
* A little (1)
* Moderately (2)
* A lot (3)
* A great deal (4)

إلى أي مدى تهتم بالسياسة؟ interested\_politics

* (0) لا أهتم البتة
* (1) أهتم قليلًا
* (2) أهتم بشكل متوسط
* (3) أهتم كثيرًا
* (4) أهتم بشكل كبير

interested\_politics W jakim stopniu interesujesz się polityką?

* Pływaj w ogóle (0)
* Trochę (1)
* Miernie (2)
* Łza (3)
* Wiele (4)

interested\_politics 政治にどの程度興味がありますか？

* まったく関心がない (0)
* 少し関心がある (1)
* ある程度関心がある (2)
* とても関心がある (3)
* 非常に強い関心がある (4)

interested\_politics ¿Hasta qué punto le interesa la política?

* Nada (0)
* Poco (1)
* Moderadamente (2)
* Bastante (3)
* Mucho (4)

interested\_politics To what extent are you interested in politics?

* Not at all (0)
* A little (1)
* Moderately (2)
* A lot (3)
* A great deal (4)

interested\_politics Wie sehr interessieren Sie sich für Politik?

* Gar nicht (0)
* Ein bisschen (1)
* In Maßen (2)
* Viel (3)
* Sehr viel (4)

interested\_politics À quel point êtes-vous intéressé·e par la politique ?

* Pas du tout (0)
* Un peu (1)
* Assez (2)
* Très (3)
* Extrêmement (4)

interested\_politics Quanto sei interessato alla politica?

* Per niente (0)
* Un po' (1)
* Abbastanza (2)
* Molto (3)
* Moltissimo (4)

interested\_politics To what extent are you interested in politics?

* Not at all (0)
* A little (1)
* Moderately (2)
* A lot (3)
* A great deal (4)

interested\_politics To what extent are you interested in politics?

* Not at all (0)
* A little (1)
* Moderately (2)
* A lot (3)
* A great deal (4)

involvement\_govt Where would you rate yourself on a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 means you think the government should limit yourself to only providing the most basic government functions, and 5 means you think the government should take active steps in every area it can to enhance the lives of its citizens?

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Desired involvement of government () |  |

involvement\_govt Where would you rate yourself on a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 means you think the government should limit yourself to only providing the most basic government functions, and 5 means you think the government should take active steps in every area it can to enhance the lives of its citizens?

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Desired involvement of government () |  |

involvement\_govt Where would you rate yourself on a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 means you think the government should limit yourself to only providing the most basic government functions, and 5 means you think the government should take active steps in every area it can to enhance the lives of its citizens?

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Desired involvement of government () |  |

involvement\_govt Where would you rate yourself on a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 means you think the government should limit yourself to only providing the most basic government functions, and 5 means you think the government should take active steps in every area it can to enhance the lives of its citizens?

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Desired involvement of government () |  |

involvement\_govt Where would you rate yourself on a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 means you think the government should limit yourself to only providing the most basic government functions, and 5 means you think the government should take active steps in every area it can to enhance the lives of its citizens?

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Desired involvement of government () |  |

أين تصنف نفسك على مقياس من 1 إلى 5، حيث يشير الرقم 1 إلى أنك تعتقد أن الحكومة ينبغي أن يقتصر دورها على توفير الخدمات الحكومية الأساسية فحسب، بينما يشير الرقم 5 إلى أنك تعتقد أن الحكومة ينبغي أن تتخذ خطوات نشطة في كافة الاتجاهات الممكنة لتحسين حياة مواطنيها؟ involvement\_govt

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | () أرغب في تدخل الحكومة لتحسين حياة مواطنيها. |

involvement\_govt Na ile oceniłbyś siebie w skali od 1 do 5, gdzie 1 oznacza, że ​​uważasz, że rząd powinien robić tylko to, co niezbędne do zapewnienia najbardziej podstawowych funkcji rządu, a 5 oznacza, że ​​Twoim zdaniem rząd powinien podejmować aktywne kroki w każdym możliwym obszarze próbować poprawić życie swoich obywateli?

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Pożądane zaangażowanie rządu () |  |

involvement\_govt 1から5のスケールで自分をどこに位置付けますか？ 1は「政府は最も基本的な政府機能のみを提供すべきだと思う」、5は「政府は市民の生活を向上させるためにできる限りのあらゆる分野で積極的に措置を取るべきだと思う」となります。

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 政府の関与の望ましい度合い () |  |

involvement\_govt En una escala del 1 al 5, ¿en dónde se calificaría si 1 significa que piensa que el gobierno debería limitarse a proporcionar únicamente las funciones gubernamentales más básicas y 5 significa que piensa que el gobierno debería tomar medidas activas en todas las áreas que pueda para mejorar la vida de sus ciudadanos?

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Participación deseada del gobierno () |  |

involvement\_govt Where would you rate yourself on a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 means you think the government should limit yourself to only providing the most basic government functions, and 5 means you think the government should take active steps in every area it can to enhance the lives of its citizens?

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Desired involvement of government () |  |

involvement\_govt Wo würden Sie sich auf einer Skala von 1 bis 5 einstufen, wobei 1 bedeutet, dass die Regierung Ihrer Meinung sich ausschließlich auf grundlegende Staatsaufgaben beschränken sollte; und 5, dass die Regierung in jedem Bereich, in dem sie kann, aktiv Maßnahmen ergreifen sollte, um das Leben ihrer Bürger:innen zu verbessern?

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Erwünschtes Eingreifen der Regierung () |  |

involvement\_govt Où vous évalueriez-vous sur une échelle de 1 à 5, où 1 signifie que vous pensez que le gouvernement devrait se limiter aux fonctions régaliennes de base, et 5 signifie que le gouvernement devrait prendre des mesures actives dans tous les domaines où il peut essayer d'améliorer la vie de ses citoyens?

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Implication souhaitée du gouvernement () |  |

involvement\_govt Dove ti classificheresti su una scala da 1 a 5, dove 1 indica che pensi che il governo dovrebbe limitarsi a fornire solo le funzioni governative di base, e 5 indica che pensi che il governo dovrebbe adottare misure attive in ogni ambito possibile per migliorare la vita dei suoi cittadini?

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Coinvolgimento desiderato da parte del governo () |  |

involvement\_govt Where would you rate yourself on a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 means you think the government should limit yourself to only providing the most basic government functions, and 5 means you think the government should take active steps in every area it can to enhance the lives of its citizens?

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Desired involvement of government () |  |

involvement\_govt Where would you rate yourself on a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 means you think the government should limit yourself to only providing the most basic government functions, and 5 means you think the government should take active steps in every area it can to enhance the lives of its citizens?

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Desired involvement of government () |  |
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|  |
| --- |
|  |

survey\_biased Do you feel that this survey was politically biased?

* Yes, left-wing biased (1)
* Yes, right-wing biased (2)
* No, I do not feel it was biased (3)

survey\_biased Do you feel that this survey was politically biased?

* Yes, left-wing biased (1)
* Yes, right-wing biased (2)
* No, I do not feel it was biased (3)

survey\_biased Do you feel that this survey was politically biased?

* Yes, left-wing biased (1)
* Yes, right-wing biased (2)
* No, I do not feel it was biased (3)

survey\_biased Do you feel that this survey was politically biased?

* Yes, left-wing biased (1)
* Yes, right-wing biased (2)
* No, I do not feel it was biased (3)

survey\_biased Do you feel that this survey was politically biased?

* Yes, left-wing biased (1)
* Yes, right-wing biased (2)
* No, I do not feel it was biased (3)

هل تشعر أن هذا الاستطلاع منحاز سياسيًا ؟ survey\_biased

* (1) نعم، أعتقد أنه منحاز لليسار
* (2) نعم، أعتقد أنه منحاز لليمين
* (3) لا أعتقد أن استطلاع الرأي هذا يُظهر أي انحياز سياسي.

survey\_biased Czy uważa Pan/Pani, że ta ankieta była stronnicza politycznie?

* Tak, z tendencjami lewicowymi (1)
* Tak, z tendencjami prawicowymi (2)
* Nie, nie uważam, że była stronnicza (3)

survey\_biased この調査は政治的に偏っていると感じましたか？

* はい、左派寄りだと感じた (1)
* はい、右派寄りだと感じた (2)
* いいえ、偏っているとは感じなかった (3)

survey\_biased ¿Cree que esta encuesta estaba políticamente sesgada?

* Sí, con sesgo de izquierda. (1)
* Sí, con sesgo de derecha. (2)
* No, no me pareció sesgada. (3)

survey\_biased Do you feel that this survey was politically biased?

* Yes, left-wing biased (1)
* Yes, right-wing biased (2)
* No, I do not feel it was biased (3)

survey\_biased Glauben Sie, dass diese Umfrage politisch voreingenommen war?

* Ja, politisch zu links (1)
* Ja, politisch zu rechts (2)
* Nein, ich habe nicht das Gefühl, dass die Umfrage voreingenommen war (3)

survey\_biased Pensez-vous que cette enquête était biaisée politiquement ?

* Oui, biaisée à gauche (1)
* Oui, biaisée à droite (2)
* Non, je ne l'ai pas trouvée biaisée (3)

survey\_biased Ritieni che questo sondaggio sia influenzato politicamente?

* Sì, con influenze di sinistra (1)
* Sì, con influenze di destra (2)
* No, non credo che fosse di parte (3)

survey\_biased Do you feel that this survey was politically biased?

* Yes, left-wing biased (1)
* Yes, right-wing biased (2)
* No, I do not feel it was biased (3)

survey\_biased Do you feel that this survey was politically biased?

* Yes, left-wing biased (1)
* Yes, right-wing biased (2)
* No, I do not feel it was biased (3)

Display this question:

If cut = 0

comment\_field The survey is nearing completion. You can now enter any comments, thoughts, or suggestions in the field below.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

comment\_field The survey is nearing completion. You can now enter any comments, thoughts, or suggestions in the field below.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

comment\_field The survey is nearing completion. You can now enter any comments, thoughts, or suggestions in the field below.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

comment\_field The survey is nearing completion. You can now enter any comments, thoughts, or suggestions in the field below.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

comment\_field The survey is nearing completion. You can now enter any comments, thoughts, or suggestions in the field below.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

لقد أوشك هذا الاستطلاع على الانتهاء، يمكنك ترك تعليق أو إبداء أي آراء أو اقتراحات في الحقل التالي. comment\_field

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

comment\_field Badanie dobiega końca. Może Pan/Pani dopisać swoje wszelkie uwagi, przemyślenia i sugestie w polu poniżej.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

comment\_field 調査はもうすぐ完了です。下記の欄にコメント、意見、または提案を入力してください。

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

comment\_field La encuesta está a punto de finalizar. Ahora puede escribir cualquier comentario, pensamiento o sugerencia en el campo a continuación.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
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