Formalization of Pure Type Systems

1. Definition

- (i) A pure type system (PTS) is a triple tuple (S, A, R) where
 - (a) S is a set of *sorts*;
 - (b) $A \subseteq S \times S$ is a set of *axioms*;
 - (c) $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S}$ is a set of *rules*.
- (ii) Raw expressions A and raw environments Γ are defined by

$$A ::= x \mid s \mid AA \mid \lambda x : A. A \mid \Pi x : A. A$$

$$\Gamma ::= \varnothing \mid \Gamma, x : A$$

where we use s, t, u, etc., to range over sorts, x, y, z, etc., to range over variables, and A, B, C, a, b, c, etc., to range over expressions.

- (iii) Π and λ are used to bind variables. Let FV(A) denote free variable set of A. Let A[x:=B] denote the substitution of x in A with B. Standard notational conventions are applied here. Besides we also let $A \to B$ be an abbreviation for $(\Pi_-:A,B)$.
- (iv) The relation \rightarrow_{β} is the smallest binary relation on raw expressions satisfying

$$(\lambda x : A. M)N \rightarrow_{\beta} M[x := N]$$

which can be used to define the notation $\twoheadrightarrow_{\beta}$ and $=_{\beta}$ by convention.

(v) Type assignment rules for (S, A, R) are given in Table 1. Particularly, the rule (Conv) is needed to make everything work.

2. Examples of PTSs

- (i) The λ -cube (Table 2) consists of eight PTSs, where
 - (a) $S = \{\star, \Box\}$
 - (b) $A = \{(\star, \Box)\}$

(c)
$$\{(\star,\star)\}\subseteq\mathcal{R}\subseteq\{(\star,\star),(\star,\square),(\square,\star),(\square,\square)\}$$

Note that here we slightly abuse the notation of the set of rules \mathcal{R} , since in PTSs, \mathcal{R} is a ternary relation, while in the λ -cube, \mathcal{R} is a binary relation ($\Pi x : A. B$ has the same sorts as B).

(ii) An extension of $\lambda\omega$ that supports "polymorphic identity function on types", where

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A : s}{\Gamma, x : A \vdash x : A} \qquad \qquad x \not\in \mathrm{dom}(\Gamma)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash b : B \qquad \Gamma \vdash A : s}{\Gamma, x : A \vdash b : B} \qquad \qquad x \not \in \mathrm{dom}(\Gamma)$$

(App)
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash f : (\Pi x : A. B) \qquad \Gamma \vdash a : A}{\Gamma \vdash fa : B[x := a]}$$

$$(\operatorname{Lam}) \qquad \frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash b : B \qquad \Gamma \vdash (\Pi x : A.\ B) : t}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x : A.\ b) : (\Pi x : A.\ B)}$$

(Pi)
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A : s \qquad \Gamma, x : A \vdash B : t}{\Gamma \vdash (\Pi x : A . B) : u} \qquad (s, t, u) \in \mathcal{R}$$

$$(\text{Conv}) \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash a : A \qquad \Gamma \vdash B : s \qquad A =_{\beta} B}{\Gamma \vdash a : B}$$

Table 1. Typing rules for a PTS

System	Set of Rules $\mathcal R$			
$\lambda_{ ightarrow}$	(\star,\star)			
$\lambda 2$	(\star,\star)	(\square, \star)		
$\lambda \underline{\omega}$	(\star,\star)		(\square,\square)	
$\lambda \omega$	(\star,\star)	(\square, \star)	(\square,\square)	
λP	(\star,\star)			(\star,\Box)
λ P2	(\star,\star)	(\square, \star)		(\star,\Box)
$\lambda P\underline{\omega}$	(\star,\star)		(\square,\square)	(\star,\Box)
λC	(\star,\star)	(\square, \star)	(\square,\square)	(\star,\Box)

Table 2. The systems of the λ -cube

(a)
$$S = \{\star, \Box, \Box'\}$$

(b)
$$A = \{(\star, \Box), (\Box, \Box')\}$$

(c)
$$\mathcal{R} = \{(\star,\star), (\square,\star), (\square,\square), (\square',\square')\}$$

in which we can have $\vdash (\lambda \kappa : \Box . \lambda \alpha : \kappa . \alpha) : (\Pi \kappa : \Box . \kappa \to \kappa)$, justified as follows:

$$\frac{\frac{\mathcal{B}}{\kappa: \square, \alpha: \kappa \vdash \alpha: \kappa} \ Var \ \mathcal{A}}{\frac{\kappa: \square \vdash (\lambda \alpha: \kappa \cdot \alpha): (\Pi \alpha: \kappa \cdot \kappa)}{\vdash (\lambda \kappa: \square \cdot \lambda \alpha: \kappa \cdot \alpha): (\Pi \kappa: \square \cdot \Pi \alpha: \kappa \cdot \kappa): \square}} \underbrace{\frac{\vdash \square: \square'}{\vdash (\Pi \kappa: \square \cdot \Pi \alpha: \kappa \cdot \kappa): \square}}_{\vdash (\Lambda \kappa: \square \cdot \lambda \alpha: \kappa \cdot \alpha): (\Pi \kappa: \square \cdot \Pi \alpha: \kappa \cdot \kappa)} Pi$$

$$Lam$$

$$\mathcal{A} = \underbrace{\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{B} & \mathcal{B} \\ \hline \kappa: \Box, \alpha: \kappa \vdash \kappa: \Box \\ \hline \kappa: \Box \vdash (\Pi\alpha: \kappa. \ \kappa): \Box \end{array}}_{} \textit{Weak}$$

$$\mathcal{B} = \frac{\overline{\vdash \Box : \Box'} Ax}{\kappa : \Box \vdash \kappa : \Box} Var$$

3. Extending PTSs

This section investigates how to extend PTSs to have algebraic datatypes, case expressions, etc.

3.1 Algebraic Datatypes

An algebraic datatype has the form:

$$T u_1 \dots u_k = K_1 t_{11} \dots t_{1k_1} | \dots | K_n t_{n1} \dots t_{nk_n}$$

where T denotes a new type constructor with zero or more constituent data constructors K_1, \ldots, K_n . We call u_1, \ldots, u_k the arguments of the type constructor T, and t_{j1}, \ldots, t_{jk_j} the types of the arguments of the K_j ($1 \le j \le n$) data constructor. Each u_i is a variable of sort type, each t_{jk} is an expression of sort type (i.e., $t_{jk}:\star$), which may contain t and t t0, t1, t2, t3 t3 t4 t5 t7.

We use the following notation: $\vec{\mathbf{u}} = [u_1, \dots, u_k]$, $\vec{\mathbf{t}_j} = [t_{j1}, \dots t_{jk_j}]$, etc. If $\vec{\mathbf{a}} = [a_1, \dots, a_n]$ and $\vec{\mathbf{A}} = [A_1, \dots, A_n]$, then $\Pi \vec{\mathbf{a}} : \vec{\mathbf{A}}$. B denotes $\Pi a_1 : A_1 \dots \Pi a_n : A_n$. B. Let τ_1, \dots, τ_k be the types of u_1, \dots, u_k , respectively.

A PTS with ADTs is a tuple (P, ADTS) where:

- (i) P is a Pure Type System, let V, E be the sets of variables and expressions of P.
- (ii) ADTS is a set of ADTs, each consisting of $[T:T',K_1:K'_1,\ldots,K'_n]$ such that:
 - $T, K_j \in V$ and $T', K'_j \in E$, for every $1 \leq j \leq n$
 - $T' = \Pi \vec{\mathbf{u}} : \vec{\tau}. \star$
 - $K'_{j} = \Pi \vec{\mathbf{u}} : \vec{\tau} . \Pi \vec{\alpha} : \vec{\mathbf{t_j}} . (T \vec{\mathbf{u}})$, for every $1 \leq j \leq n$
 - $T: T' \vdash K_j: K'_j: \star$

Note that the use of the dependent product (Π) makes it possible to let the types of the data constructor arguments depend on other data constructor arguments.

(iii) (**Typability in a PTS with ADTs**) let $\Sigma = [c:ct \mid c:ct \leftarrow ADT, ADT \leftarrow ADTS]$, we say that $\Gamma \vdash_{(P,ADTS)} a:A$ if and only if $\Sigma \biguplus \Gamma \vdash a:A$

3.1.1 An Example of a PTS with ADTs

Let $P = \lambda C$ and

$$\begin{split} \Sigma_a &= [Int: \star, Zero: Int, Suc: Int \rightarrow Int, \\ Bool: \star, True: Bool, False: Bool] \\ \Sigma_b &= [Vec: (\Pi n: Int. \ \Pi \alpha: \star. \star), \\ Nil: (\Pi \alpha: \star. \ Vec \ Zero \ \alpha), \\ Cons: (\Pi n: Int. \ \Pi \alpha: \star. \ \alpha \rightarrow Vec \ n \ \alpha \rightarrow Vec \ (Suc \ n) \ \alpha)] \\ ADTS &= [\Sigma_a, \Sigma_b] \end{split}$$

then we can derive $\vdash_{(P,ADTS)}$ Cons Zero Bool True (Nil Bool): Vec (Suc Zero) Bool

3.2 Case Expressions

3.2.1 Definition

The set of expressions E_c of a PTS extended with ADTs and case expressions is defined by

$$A_c ::= x \mid s \mid A_c A_c \mid \lambda x : A_c. \ A_c \mid \Pi x : A_c. \ A_c$$
$$\mid case \ A_c \ of \ \{x_1 \ x_2 \cdots \Rightarrow A_c; \dots\}$$

3.2.2 Evaluation Relation

Let (P, ATDS) be a PTS extended with ADTs. The evaluation relation \rightarrow_c is the smallest binary relation on A_c such that

case
$$(K_i \vec{\mathbf{x}})$$
 of $\{K_i \vec{\mathbf{u}} \vec{\alpha} \Rightarrow res_i\} \rightarrow_c (\lambda \vec{\mathbf{u}} : \vec{\tau}, \vec{\alpha} : \vec{\mathbf{t_i}}. res_i) \vec{\mathbf{x}}$

and closed under usual rules.

The evaluation relation $\rightarrow_{\beta c}$ is defined by

$$\rightarrow_{\beta c} = \rightarrow_{\beta} \cup \rightarrow_{c}$$

3.2.3 Typing Relation

The extended typing relation \vdash_c is shown below

the (Conv) rule is extended with reduction of case expressions.

In the (Case) rule, the first premise binds the actual type constructor arguments to $\vec{\mathbf{u}}'$. The second premise derives the types of the data constructor arguments $\vec{\alpha}$ and binds them to $\vec{\mathbf{t_j}}$, using the data constructor K_j and the actual type constructor arguments. The third premise checks whether the types of the right hand sides, instantiated to the actual type constructor arguments, are equal, and if so the result type is bound to t. Finally, the forth premise checks whether the derived type t is well formed.

References

- [1] Simon Peyton Jones and Erik Meijer. Henk: a typed intermediate language. TIC, 97, 1997.
- [2] J-W Roorda and JT Jeuring. Pure type systems for functional programming. 2007.
- [3] Morten Heine Sørensen and Pawel Urzyczyn. *Lectures on the Curry-Howard isomorphism*, volume 149. Elsevier, 2006.