

1 Declarative System

Types	$A, B ::= \text{Int} \mid a \mid A \rightarrow B \mid \forall a. A \mid \star \mid \mathcal{S} \mid \mathcal{G}$
Monotypes	$\tau, \sigma ::= \text{Int} \mid a \mid \tau \rightarrow \sigma \mid \mathcal{S} \mid \mathcal{G}$
Castable Types	$\mathbb{C} ::= \text{Int} \mid a \mid \mathbb{C}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_2 \mid \forall a. \mathbb{C} \mid \star \mid \mathcal{G}$
Castable Monotypes	$t ::= \text{Int} \mid a \mid t_1 \rightarrow t_2 \mid \mathcal{G}$
Contexts	$\Psi ::= \bullet \mid \Psi, x : A \mid \Psi, a$
Colored Types	$A, B ::= \text{Int} \mid \text{Int} \mid a \mid a \mid A \rightarrow B \mid \forall a. A \mid \forall a. A \mid \star \mid \mathcal{S} \mid \mathcal{G} \mid \mathcal{G}$
Blue Castable Types	$\mathbb{C} ::= \text{Int} \mid a \mid \mathbb{C}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_2 \mid \forall a. \mathbb{C} \mid \star \mid \mathcal{G}$
Blue Monotypes	$\tau ::= \text{Int} \mid a \mid \tau \rightarrow \sigma \mid \mathcal{G}$
Red Monotypes	$\tau ::= \text{Int} \mid a \mid \tau \rightarrow \sigma \mid \tau \rightarrow \sigma \mid \tau \rightarrow \sigma \mid \mathcal{S} \mid \mathcal{G}$

$\boxed{A \sim B}$ (Type Consistent)

$$\overline{A \sim A} \quad \overline{\mathbb{C} \sim \star} \quad \overline{\star \sim \mathbb{C}} \quad \frac{A_1 \sim B_1 \quad A_2 \sim B_2}{A_1 \rightarrow A_2 \sim B_1 \rightarrow B_2} \quad \frac{A \sim B}{\forall a. A \sim \forall a. B}$$

$\boxed{\Psi \vdash A <: B}$ (Subtyping)

$$\frac{\Psi, a \vdash A <: B}{\Psi \vdash A <: \forall a. B} \text{S-FORALLR} \quad \frac{\Psi \vdash \tau \quad \Psi \vdash A[a \mapsto \tau] <: B}{\Psi \vdash \forall a. A <: B} \text{S-FORALLLr}$$

$$\frac{\Psi \vdash \tau \quad \Psi \vdash A[a \mapsto \tau] <: B}{\Psi \vdash \forall a. A <: B} \text{S-FORALLb} \quad \frac{a \in \Psi}{\Psi \vdash a <: a} \text{S-TVARR}$$

$$\frac{a \in \Psi}{\Psi \vdash a <: a} \text{S-TVARB} \quad \overline{\Psi \vdash \text{Int} <: \text{Int}} \text{S-INTR} \quad \overline{\Psi \vdash \text{Int} <: \text{Int}} \text{S-INTB}$$

$$\frac{\Psi \vdash B_1 <: A_1 \quad \Psi \vdash A_2 <: B_2}{\Psi \vdash A_1 \rightarrow A_2 <: B_1 \rightarrow B_2} \text{S-ARROW} \quad \overline{\Psi \vdash \star <: \star} \text{S-UNKNOWN}$$

$$\overline{\Psi \vdash \mathcal{S} <: \mathcal{S}} \text{S-SPAR} \quad \overline{\Psi \vdash \mathcal{G} <: \mathcal{G}} \text{S-GPARR} \quad \overline{\Psi \vdash \mathcal{G} <: \mathcal{G}} \text{S-GPARB}$$

$\boxed{\Psi \vdash A \lesssim B}$ (Consistent Subtyping)

$$\frac{\Psi, a \vdash A \lesssim B}{\Psi \vdash A \lesssim \forall a. B} \text{CS-FORALLR} \quad \frac{\Psi \vdash \tau \quad \Psi \vdash A[a \mapsto \tau] \lesssim B}{\Psi \vdash \forall a. A \lesssim B} \text{CS-FORALLL}$$

$$\frac{\Psi \vdash B_1 \lesssim A_1 \quad \Psi \vdash A_2 \lesssim B_2}{\Psi \vdash A_1 \rightarrow A_2 \lesssim B_1 \rightarrow B_2} \text{CS-ARROW} \quad \frac{a \in \Psi}{\Psi \vdash a \lesssim a} \text{CS-TVAR}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\overline{\Psi \vdash \text{Int} \lesssim \text{Int}}^{\text{CS-INT}} & \overline{\Psi \vdash \star \lesssim \mathbb{C}}^{\text{CS-UNKNOWNL}} & \overline{\Psi \vdash \mathbb{C} \lesssim \star}^{\text{CS-UNKNOWNR}} \\
\overline{\Psi \vdash \mathcal{S} \lesssim \mathcal{S}}^{\text{CS-SPAR}} & & \overline{\Psi \vdash \mathcal{G} \lesssim \mathcal{G}}^{\text{CS-GPAR}}
\end{array}$$

$$\boxed{\Psi \vdash e : A \rightsquigarrow s} \quad (\textit{Typing})$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{(x : A) \in \Psi}{\Psi \vdash x : A \rightsquigarrow x}^{\text{VAR}} \quad \frac{}{\Psi \vdash n : \text{Int} \rightsquigarrow n}^{\text{INT}} \quad \frac{\Psi, a \vdash e : A \rightsquigarrow s}{\Psi \vdash e : \forall a. A \rightsquigarrow \Lambda a. s}^{\text{GEN}} \\
\\
\frac{\Psi, x : A \vdash e : B \rightsquigarrow s}{\Psi \vdash \lambda x : A. e : A \rightarrow B \rightsquigarrow \lambda x : A. s}^{\text{LAMANN}} \\
\\
\frac{\Psi, x : \tau \vdash e : B \rightsquigarrow s}{\Psi \vdash \lambda x. e : \tau \rightarrow B \rightsquigarrow \lambda x : \tau. s}^{\text{LAM}} \\
\\
\frac{\Psi \vdash e_1 : A \rightsquigarrow s_1 \quad \Psi \vdash A \triangleright A_1 \rightarrow A_2 \quad \Psi \vdash e_2 : A_3 \rightsquigarrow s_2 \quad \Psi \vdash A_3 \lesssim A_1}{\Psi \vdash e_1 e_2 : A_2 \rightsquigarrow (\langle A \hookrightarrow A_1 \rightarrow A_2 \rangle s_1) (\langle A_3 \hookrightarrow A_1 \rangle s_2)}^{\text{APP}}
\end{array}$$

$$\boxed{\Psi \vdash A \triangleright A_1 \rightarrow A_2} \quad (\textit{Matching})$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\Psi \vdash \tau \quad \Psi \vdash A[a \mapsto \tau] \triangleright A_1 \rightarrow A_2}{\Psi \vdash \forall a. A \triangleright A_1 \rightarrow A_2}^{\text{M-FORALL}} \quad \frac{}{\Psi \vdash A_1 \rightarrow A_2 \triangleright A_1 \rightarrow A_2}^{\text{M-ARR}} \\
\\
\frac{}{\Psi \vdash \star \triangleright \star \rightarrow \star}^{\text{M-UNKNOWN}}
\end{array}$$

2 Target: PBC

$$\overline{\text{Terms } s ::= x \mid n \mid \lambda x : A. s \mid \Lambda a. s \mid s_1 s_2 \mid \langle A \hookrightarrow B \rangle s}$$

3 Metatheory

Definition 1 (Substitution).

1. Gradual type parameter substitution $S^G :: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow t$
2. Static type parameter substitution $S^S :: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \tau$
3. Type parameter Substitution $S^P = S^G \cup S^S$

Ningning: Note substitution ranges are monotypes.

Definition 2 (Translation Pre-order). Suppose $\Psi \vdash e : A \rightsquigarrow s_1$ and $\Psi \vdash e : A \rightsquigarrow s_2$, we define $s_1 \leq s_2$ to mean $s_2 = S^P(s_1)$ for some S^P .

Proposition 1. If $s_1 \leq s_2$ and $s_2 \leq s_1$, then s_1 and s_2 are equal up to α -renaming of type parameters.

Definition 3 (Representative Translation). s is a representative translation of a typing derivation $\Psi \vdash e : A \rightsquigarrow s$ if and only if for any other translation $\Psi \vdash e : A \rightsquigarrow s'$ such that $s' \leq s$, we have $s \leq s'$. From now on we use r to denote a representative translation.

Definition 4 (Measurements of Translation). There are three measurements of a translation s ,

1. $\llbracket s \rrbracket_{\mathcal{E}}$, the size of the expression
2. $\llbracket s \rrbracket_{\mathcal{S}}$, the number of distinct static type parameters in s
3. $\llbracket s \rrbracket_{\mathcal{G}}$, the number of distinct gradual type parameters in s

We use $\llbracket s \rrbracket$ to denote the lexicographical order of the triple $(\llbracket s \rrbracket_{\mathcal{E}}, -\llbracket s \rrbracket_{\mathcal{S}}, -\llbracket s \rrbracket_{\mathcal{G}})$.

Definition 5 (Size of types).

$$\begin{aligned}
 \llbracket \text{Int} \rrbracket &= 1 \\
 \llbracket a \rrbracket &= 1 \\
 \llbracket A \rightarrow B \rrbracket &= \llbracket A \rrbracket + \llbracket B \rrbracket + 1 \\
 \llbracket \forall a. A \rrbracket &= \llbracket A \rrbracket + 1 \\
 \llbracket \star \rrbracket &= 1 \\
 \llbracket S \rrbracket &= 1 \\
 \llbracket \mathcal{G} \rrbracket &= 1
 \end{aligned}$$

Definition 6 (Size of expressions).

$$\begin{aligned}
\llbracket x \rrbracket_{\mathcal{E}} &= 1 \\
\llbracket n \rrbracket_{\mathcal{E}} &= 1 \\
\llbracket \lambda x : A. s \rrbracket_{\mathcal{E}} &= \llbracket A \rrbracket + \llbracket s \rrbracket_{\mathcal{E}} + 1 \\
\llbracket \lambda a. s \rrbracket_{\mathcal{E}} &= \llbracket s \rrbracket_{\mathcal{E}} + 1 \\
\llbracket s_1 s_2 \rrbracket_{\mathcal{E}} &= \llbracket s_1 \rrbracket_{\mathcal{E}} + \llbracket s_2 \rrbracket_{\mathcal{E}} + 1 \\
\llbracket \langle A \hookrightarrow B \rangle s \rrbracket_{\mathcal{E}} &= \llbracket s \rrbracket_{\mathcal{E}} + \llbracket A \rrbracket + \llbracket B \rrbracket + 1
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1. *If $\Psi \vdash e : A \rightsquigarrow s$ then $\llbracket s \rrbracket_{\mathcal{E}} \geq \llbracket e \rrbracket_{\mathcal{E}}$.*

Proof. Immediate by inspecting each typing rule.

Corollary 1. *If $\Psi \vdash e : A \rightsquigarrow s$ then $\llbracket s \rrbracket > (\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\mathcal{E}}, -\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\mathcal{E}}, -\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\mathcal{E}})$.*

Proof. By Lemma 1 and note that $\llbracket s \rrbracket_{\mathcal{E}} > \llbracket s \rrbracket_{\mathcal{S}}$ and $\llbracket s \rrbracket_{\mathcal{E}} > \llbracket s \rrbracket_{\mathcal{G}}$

Lemma 2. $\llbracket A \rrbracket \leq \llbracket S^{\mathcal{P}}(A) \rrbracket$.

Proof. By induction on the structure of A . The interesting cases are $A = \mathcal{S}$ and $A = \mathcal{G}$. When $A = \mathcal{S}$, $S^{\mathcal{P}}(A) = \tau$ for some monotype τ and it is immediate that $\llbracket \mathcal{S} \rrbracket \leq \llbracket \tau \rrbracket$ (note that $\llbracket \mathcal{S} \rrbracket < \llbracket \mathcal{G} \rrbracket$ by definition).

Lemma 3 (Substitution Decreases Measurement). *If $s_1 \leq s_2$, then $\llbracket s_1 \rrbracket \leq \llbracket s_2 \rrbracket$; unless $s_2 \leq s_1$ also holds, otherwise we have $\llbracket s_1 \rrbracket < \llbracket s_2 \rrbracket$.*

Proof. Since $s_1 \leq s_2$, we know $s_2 = S^{\mathcal{P}}(s_1)$ for some $S^{\mathcal{P}}$. By induction on the structure of s_1 .

- Case $s_1 = \lambda x : A. s$. We have $s_2 = \lambda x : S^{\mathcal{P}}(A). S^{\mathcal{P}}(s)$. By Lemma 2 we have $\llbracket A \rrbracket \leq \llbracket S^{\mathcal{P}}(A) \rrbracket$. By i.h., we have $\llbracket s \rrbracket \leq \llbracket S^{\mathcal{P}}(s) \rrbracket$. Therefore $\llbracket \lambda x : A. s \rrbracket \leq \llbracket \lambda x : S^{\mathcal{P}}(A). S^{\mathcal{P}}(s) \rrbracket$.
- Case $s_1 = \langle A \hookrightarrow B \rangle s$. We have $s_2 = \langle S^{\mathcal{P}}(A) \hookrightarrow S^{\mathcal{P}}(B) \rangle S^{\mathcal{P}}(s)$. By Lemma 2 we have $\llbracket A \rrbracket \leq \llbracket S^{\mathcal{P}}(A) \rrbracket$ and $\llbracket B \rrbracket \leq \llbracket S^{\mathcal{P}}(B) \rrbracket$. By i.h., we have $\llbracket s \rrbracket \leq \llbracket S^{\mathcal{P}}(s) \rrbracket$. Therefore $\llbracket \langle A \hookrightarrow B \rangle s \rrbracket \leq \llbracket \langle S^{\mathcal{P}}(A) \hookrightarrow S^{\mathcal{P}}(B) \rangle S^{\mathcal{P}}(s) \rrbracket$.
- The rest of cases are immediate.

Lemma 4 (Representative Translation for Typing). *For any typing derivation that $\Psi \vdash e : A$, there exists at least one representative translation r such that $\Psi \vdash e : A \rightsquigarrow r$.*

Proof. We already know that at least one translation $s = s_1$ exists for every typing derivation. If s_1 is a representative translation then we are done. Otherwise there exists another translation s_2 such that $s_2 \leq s_1$ and $s_1 \not\leq s_2$. By Lemma 3, we have $\llbracket s_2 \rrbracket < \llbracket s_1 \rrbracket$. We continue with $s = s_2$, and get a strictly decreasing sequence $\llbracket s_1 \rrbracket, \llbracket s_2 \rrbracket, \dots$. By Corollary 1, we know this sequence cannot be infinite long. Suppose it ends at $\llbracket s_n \rrbracket$, by the construction of the sequence, we know that s_n is a representative translation of e .

Conjecture 1 (Property of Representative Translation). If $\bullet \vdash e : A \rightsquigarrow s$, $|s| \Downarrow v$, then we have $\bullet \vdash e : A \rightsquigarrow r$, and $|r| \Downarrow v'$.

Ningning: shall we focus on values of type integer?

Definition 7 (Erasure of Type Parameters).

$$\begin{array}{ll} |\text{Int}| = \text{Int} & |a| = a \\ |A \rightarrow B| = |A| \rightarrow |B| & |\forall a. A| = \forall a. |A| \\ |\star| = \star & |\mathcal{S}| = \text{Int} \\ |\mathcal{G}| = \star & \end{array}$$

Corollary 2 (Coherence up to cast errors). Suppose $\bullet \vdash e : \text{Int} \rightsquigarrow s_1$ and $\bullet \vdash e : \text{Int} \rightsquigarrow s_2$, if $|s_1| \Downarrow n$ then either $|s_2| \Downarrow n$ or $|s_2| \Downarrow \text{blame}$.

Jeremy: maybe Conjecture 1 is enough to prove it?

Conjecture 2 (Dynamic Guarantee). Suppose $e' \sqsubseteq e$,

1. If $\bullet \vdash e : A \rightsquigarrow r$, $|r| \Downarrow v$, then for some B and r' , we have $\bullet \vdash e' : B \rightsquigarrow r'$, and $B \sqsubseteq A$, and $|r'| \Downarrow v'$, and $v' \sqsubseteq v$.
2. If $\bullet \vdash e' : B \rightsquigarrow r'$, $|r'| \Downarrow v'$, then for some A and r , we have $\bullet \vdash e : A \rightsquigarrow r$, and $B \sqsubseteq A$. Moreover, $|r| \Downarrow v$ and $v' \sqsubseteq v$, or $|r| \Downarrow \text{blame}$.

4 Efficient (Almost) Typed Encodings of ADTs

- Scott encodings of simple first-order ADTs (e.g. naturals)
- Parigot encodings improves Scott encodings with recursive schemes, but occupies exponential space, whereas Church encoding only occupies linear space.
- An alternative encoding which retains constant-time destructors but also occupies linear space.
- Parametric ADTs also possible?
- Typing rules

Example 1 (Scott Encoding of Naturals).

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Nat} &\triangleq \forall a. a \rightarrow (\star \rightarrow a) \rightarrow a \\ \text{zero} &\triangleq \lambda x. \lambda f. x \\ \text{succ} &\triangleq \lambda y : \text{Nat}. \lambda x. \lambda f. f y \end{aligned}$$

Scott encodings give constant-time destructors (e.g., predecessor), but one has to get recursion somewhere. Since our calculus admits untyped lambda calculus, we could use a fixed point combinator.

Example 2 (Parigot Encoding of Naturals).

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Nat} &\triangleq \forall a. a \rightarrow (\star \rightarrow a \rightarrow a) \rightarrow a \\ \text{zero} &\triangleq \lambda x. \lambda f. x \\ \text{succ} &\triangleq \lambda y : \text{Nat}. \lambda x. \lambda f. f y (y x f)\end{aligned}$$

Parigot encodings give primitive recursion, apart from constant-time destructors, but at the cost of exponential space complexity (notice in **succ** there are two occurrences of y).

Both Scott and Parigot encodings are typable in System F with positive recursive types, which is strong normalizing.

Example 3 (Alternative Encoding of Naturals).

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Nat} &\triangleq \forall a. a \rightarrow (\star \rightarrow (\star \rightarrow a) \rightarrow a) \rightarrow a \\ \text{zero} &\triangleq \lambda x. \lambda f. x \\ \text{succ} &\triangleq \lambda y : \text{Nat}. \lambda x. \lambda f. f y (\lambda g. g x f)\end{aligned}$$

This encoding enjoys constant-time destructors, linear space complexity, and primitive recursion. The static version is $\mu b. \forall a. a \rightarrow (b \rightarrow (b \rightarrow a) \rightarrow a) \rightarrow a$, which can only be expressed in System F with general recursive types (notice the second b appears in a negative position).

5 Algorithmic System

Expressions	$e ::= x \mid n \mid \lambda x : A. e \mid \lambda x. e \mid e_1 e_2 \mid e : A$
Existential variables	$\hat{a} ::= \hat{a}_S \mid \hat{a}_G$
Types	$A, B ::= \text{Int} \mid a \mid \hat{a} \mid A \rightarrow B \mid \forall a. A \mid \star \mid \mathcal{S} \mid \mathcal{G}$
Static Types	$T ::= \text{Int} \mid a \mid \hat{a} \mid T_1 \rightarrow T_2 \mid \forall a. T \mid \mathcal{S} \mid \mathcal{G}$
Monotypes	$\tau, \sigma ::= \text{Int} \mid a \mid \hat{a} \mid \tau \rightarrow \sigma \mid \mathcal{S} \mid \mathcal{G}$
Castable Monotypes	$t ::= \text{Int} \mid a \mid \hat{a} \mid t_1 \rightarrow t_2 \mid \mathcal{G}$
Castable Types	$\mathbb{G} ::= \text{Int} \mid a \mid \hat{a} \mid \mathbb{G}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_2 \mid \forall a. \mathbb{G} \mid \star \mid \mathcal{G}$
Static Castable Types	$\mathbb{S} ::= \text{Int} \mid a \mid \hat{a} \mid \mathbb{S}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_2 \mid \forall a. \mathbb{S} \mid \mathcal{G}$
Contexts	$\Gamma, \Delta, \Theta ::= \bullet \mid \Gamma, x : A \mid \Gamma, a \mid \Gamma, \hat{a} \mid \Gamma, \hat{a} = \tau$
Complete Contexts	$\Omega ::= \bullet \mid \Omega, x : A \mid \Omega, a \mid \Omega, \hat{a} = \tau$

Definition 8 (Existential variable contamination).

$$\begin{aligned}[A]\bullet &= \bullet \\ [A](\Gamma, x : A) &= [A]\Gamma, x : A \\ [A](\Gamma, a) &= [A]\Gamma, a \\ [A](\Gamma, \hat{a}_S) &= [A]\Gamma, \hat{a}_G, \hat{a}_S = \hat{a}_G \quad \text{if } \hat{a}_S \in \text{fv}(A) \\ [A](\Gamma, \hat{a}_G) &= [A]\Gamma, \hat{a}_G \\ [A](\Gamma, \hat{a} = \tau) &= [A]\Gamma, \hat{a} = \tau\end{aligned}$$

$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash A}$ (Well-formedness of types)

$$\begin{array}{c}
\overline{\Gamma \vdash \text{Int}}^{\text{AD-INT}} \quad \overline{\Gamma \vdash \star}^{\text{AD-UNKNOWN}} \quad \overline{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{S}}^{\text{AD-STATIC}} \quad \overline{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{G}}^{\text{AD-GRADUAL}} \\
\\
\overline{\Gamma[a] \vdash a}^{\text{AD-TVAR}} \quad \overline{\Gamma[\hat{a}] \vdash \hat{a}}^{\text{AD-EVAR}} \quad \overline{\Gamma[\hat{a} = \tau] \vdash \hat{a}}^{\text{AD-SOLVEDEVAR}} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \quad \Gamma \vdash B}{\Gamma \vdash A \rightarrow B}^{\text{AD-ARROW}} \quad \frac{\Gamma, a \vdash A}{\Gamma \vdash \forall a. A}^{\text{AD-FORALL}}
\end{array}$$

$\boxed{\vdash \Gamma}$ (Well-formedness of algorithmic contexts)

$$\begin{array}{c}
\overline{\vdash \bullet}^{\text{WF-EMPTY}} \quad \frac{\vdash \Gamma \quad \Gamma \vdash A}{\vdash \Gamma, x : A}^{\text{WF-VAR}} \quad \frac{\vdash \Gamma}{\vdash \Gamma, a}^{\text{WF-TVAR}} \quad \frac{\vdash \Gamma}{\vdash \Gamma, \hat{a}}^{\text{WF-EVAR}} \\
\\
\frac{\vdash \Gamma \quad \Gamma \vdash \tau}{\vdash \Gamma, \hat{a} = \tau}^{\text{WF-SOLVEDEVAR}}
\end{array}$$

$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash A \lesssim B \dashv \Delta}$ (Algorithmic Consistent Subtyping)

$$\begin{array}{c}
\overline{\Gamma[a] \vdash a \lesssim a \dashv \Gamma[a]}^{\text{AS-TVAR}} \quad \overline{\Gamma[\hat{a}] \vdash \hat{a} \lesssim \hat{a} \dashv \Gamma[\hat{a}]}^{\text{AS-EVAR}} \\
\\
\overline{\Gamma \vdash \text{Int} \lesssim \text{Int} \dashv \Gamma}^{\text{AS-INT}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash B_1 \lesssim A_1 \dashv \Theta \quad \Theta \vdash [\Theta]A_2 \lesssim [\Theta]B_2 \dashv \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash A_1 \rightarrow A_2 \lesssim B_1 \rightarrow B_2 \dashv \Delta}^{\text{AS-ARROW}} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma, a \vdash A \lesssim B \dashv \Delta, a, \Theta}{\Gamma \vdash A \lesssim \forall a. B \dashv \Delta}^{\text{AS-FORALLR}} \quad \frac{\Gamma, \hat{a}_S \vdash A[a \mapsto \hat{a}_S] \lesssim B \dashv \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \forall a. A \lesssim B \dashv \Delta}^{\text{AS-FORALLL}} \\
\\
\overline{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{S} \lesssim \mathcal{S} \dashv \Gamma}^{\text{AS-SPAR}} \quad \overline{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{G} \lesssim \mathcal{G} \dashv \Gamma}^{\text{AS-GPAR}} \\
\\
\overline{\Gamma \vdash \star \lesssim \mathbb{G} \dashv [\mathbb{G}]\Gamma}^{\text{AS-UNKNOWNL}} \quad \overline{\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{G} \lesssim \star \dashv [\mathbb{G}]\Gamma}^{\text{AS-UNKNOWNR}} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma[\hat{a}] \vdash \hat{a} \lesssim A \dashv \Delta}{\Gamma[\hat{a}] \vdash \hat{a} \lesssim A \dashv \Delta}^{\text{AS-INSTL}} \quad \frac{\Gamma[\hat{a}] \vdash A \lesssim \hat{a} \dashv \Delta}{\Gamma[\hat{a}] \vdash A \lesssim \hat{a} \dashv \Delta}^{\text{AS-INSTR}}
\end{array}$$

$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash \hat{a} \lesssim A \dashv \Delta}$ (Instantiation I)

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\Gamma \vdash \tau}{\Gamma[\hat{a}_S] \vdash \hat{a}_S \lesssim \tau \dashv \Gamma[\hat{a}_S = \tau]}^{\text{INSTL-SOLVES}} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash t}{\Gamma[\hat{a}_G] \vdash \hat{a}_G \lesssim t \dashv \Gamma[\hat{a}_G = t]}^{\text{INSTL-SOLVEG}}
\end{array}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma[\hat{a}_S] \vdash \hat{a}_S \lesssim \star \dashv \Gamma[\hat{a}_G, \hat{a}_S = \hat{a}_G]} \text{ INSTL-SOLVEUS}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma[\hat{a}_G] \vdash \hat{a}_G \lesssim \star \dashv \Gamma[\hat{a}_G]} \text{ INSTL-SOLVEUG}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma[\hat{a}_S][\hat{b}_G] \vdash \hat{a}_S \lesssim \hat{b}_G \dashv \Gamma[\hat{a}_G, \hat{a}_S = \hat{a}_G][\hat{b}_G = \hat{a}_G]} \text{ INSTL-REACHSG1}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma[\hat{b}_S][\hat{a}_G] \vdash \hat{a}_G \lesssim \hat{b}_S \dashv \Gamma[\hat{b}_G, \hat{b}_S = \hat{b}_G][\hat{a}_G = \hat{b}_G]} \text{ INSTL-REACHSG2}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma[\hat{a}][\hat{b}] \vdash \hat{a} \lesssim \hat{b} \dashv \Gamma[\hat{a}][\hat{b} = \hat{a}]} \text{ INSTL-REACHOTHERWISE}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma[\hat{a}_2, \hat{a}_1, \hat{a} = \hat{a}_1 \rightarrow \hat{a}_2] \vdash A_1 \lesssim \hat{a}_1 \dashv \Theta \quad \Theta \vdash \hat{a}_2 \lesssim [\Theta]A_2 \dashv \Delta}{\Gamma[\hat{a}] \vdash \hat{a} \lesssim A_1 \rightarrow A_2 \dashv \Delta} \text{ INSTL-ARR}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma[\hat{a}], b \vdash \hat{a} \lesssim B \dashv \Delta, b, \Theta}{\Gamma[\hat{a}] \vdash \hat{a} \lesssim \forall b. B \dashv \Delta} \text{ INSTL-FORALLR}$$

$$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash A \lesssim \hat{a} \dashv \Delta} \quad (\text{Instantiation II})$$

$$\overline{\Gamma \vdash \tau} \quad \Gamma \vdash \tau \quad \overline{\Gamma[\hat{a}_S] \vdash \tau \lesssim \hat{a}_S \dashv \Gamma[\hat{a}_S = \tau]} \text{ INSTR-SOLVES}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma \vdash t} \quad \Gamma \vdash t \quad \overline{\Gamma[\hat{a}_G] \vdash t \lesssim \hat{a}_G \dashv \Gamma[\hat{a}_G = t]} \text{ INSTR-SOLVEG}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma[\hat{a}_S] \vdash \star \lesssim \hat{a}_S \dashv \Gamma[\hat{a}_G, \hat{a}_S = \hat{a}_G]} \text{ INSTR-SOLVEUS}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma[\hat{a}_G] \vdash \star \lesssim \hat{a}_G \dashv \Gamma[\hat{a}_G]} \text{ INSTR-SOLVEUG}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma[\hat{a}_S][\hat{b}_G] \vdash \hat{b}_G \lesssim \hat{a}_S \dashv \Gamma[\hat{a}_G, \hat{a}_S = \hat{a}_G][\hat{b}_G = \hat{a}_G]} \text{ INSTR-REACHSG1}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma[\hat{b}_S][\hat{a}_G] \vdash \hat{b}_S \lesssim \hat{a}_G \dashv \Gamma[\hat{b}_G, \hat{b}_S = \hat{b}_G][\hat{a}_G = \hat{b}_G]} \text{ INSTR-REACHSG2}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma[\hat{a}][\hat{b}] \vdash \hat{b} \lesssim \hat{a} \dashv \Gamma[\hat{a}][\hat{b} = \hat{a}]} \text{ INSTR-REACHOTHERWISE}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \Gamma[\widehat{a}_2, \widehat{a}_1, \widehat{a} = \widehat{a}_1 \rightarrow \widehat{a}_2] \vdash \widehat{a}_1 \lesssim A_1 \dashv \Theta \\ \Theta \vdash [\Theta]A_2 \lesssim \widehat{a}_2 \dashv \Delta \end{array}}{\Gamma[\widehat{a}] \vdash A_1 \rightarrow A_2 \lesssim \widehat{a} \dashv \Delta} \text{ INSTR-ARR}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma[\widehat{a}], \widehat{b}_S \vdash B[b \mapsto \widehat{b}_S] \lesssim \widehat{a} \dashv \Delta}{\Gamma[\widehat{a}] \vdash \forall b. B \lesssim \widehat{a} \dashv \Delta} \text{ INSTR-FORALL}$$

$$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash e \Rightarrow A \dashv \Delta}$$

(Inference)

$$\frac{(x : A) \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x \Rightarrow A \dashv \Gamma} \text{ INF-VAR} \qquad \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash n \Rightarrow \text{Int} \dashv \Gamma} \text{ INF-INT}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash e \Rightarrow B \dashv \Delta, x : A, \Theta}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A. e \Rightarrow A \rightarrow B \dashv \Delta} \text{ INF-LAMANN}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \widehat{a}_S, \widehat{b}_S, x : \widehat{a}_S \vdash e \Leftarrow \widehat{b}_S \dashv \Delta, x : \widehat{a}_S, \Theta}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. e \Rightarrow \widehat{a}_S \rightarrow \widehat{b}_S \dashv \Delta} \text{ INF-LAM}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \quad \Gamma \vdash e \Leftarrow A \dashv \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash e : A \Rightarrow A \dashv \Delta} \text{ INF-ANNO} \qquad \frac{\begin{array}{c} \Gamma \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow A \dashv \Theta_1 \\ \Theta_1 \vdash [\Theta_1]A \triangleright A_1 \rightarrow A_2 \dashv \Theta_2 \\ \Theta_2 \vdash e_2 \Leftarrow [\Theta_2]A_1 \dashv \Delta \end{array}}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 e_2 \Rightarrow A_2 \dashv \Delta} \text{ INF-APP}$$

$$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash e \Leftarrow A \dashv \Delta}$$

(Checking)

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash e \Leftarrow B \dashv \Delta, x : A, \Theta}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. e \Leftarrow A \rightarrow B \dashv \Delta} \text{ CHK-LAM} \qquad \frac{\Gamma, a \vdash e \Leftarrow A \dashv \Delta, a, \Theta}{\Gamma \vdash e \Leftarrow \forall a. A \dashv \Delta} \text{ CHK-GEN}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e \Rightarrow A \dashv \Theta \quad \Theta \vdash [\Theta]A \lesssim [\Theta]B \dashv \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash e \Leftarrow B \dashv \Delta} \text{ CHK-SUB}$$

$$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash A \triangleright A_1 \rightarrow A_2 \dashv \Delta}$$

(Algorithmic Matching)

$$\frac{\Gamma, \widehat{a}_S \vdash A[a \mapsto \widehat{a}_S] \triangleright A_1 \rightarrow A_2 \dashv \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \forall a. A \triangleright A_1 \rightarrow A_2 \dashv \Delta} \text{ AM-FORALL}$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash A_1 \rightarrow A_2 \triangleright A_1 \rightarrow A_2 \dashv \Gamma} \text{ AM-ARR} \qquad \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \star \triangleright \star \rightarrow \star \dashv \Gamma} \text{ AM-UNKNOWN}$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma[\widehat{a}] \vdash \widehat{a} \triangleright \widehat{a}_1 \rightarrow \widehat{a}_2 \dashv \Gamma[\widehat{a}_1, \widehat{a}_2, \widehat{a} = \widehat{a}_1 \rightarrow \widehat{a}_2]} \text{ AM-VAR}$$

$$\boxed{\Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta} \quad (\text{Context extension})$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{}{\bullet \longrightarrow \bullet} \text{EXT-ID} \quad \frac{\Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta \quad [\Delta]A = [\Delta]A'}{\Gamma, x : A \longrightarrow \Delta, x : A'} \text{EXT-VAR} \quad \frac{\Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, a \longrightarrow \Delta, a} \text{EXT-TVAR} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, \hat{a} \longrightarrow \Delta, \hat{a}} \text{EXT-EVAR} \quad \frac{\Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta \quad [\Delta]\tau = [\Delta]\tau'}{\Gamma, \hat{a} = \tau \longrightarrow \Delta, \hat{a} = \tau'} \text{EXT-SOLVEDEVAR} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, \hat{a}_S \longrightarrow \Delta, \hat{a}_S = \tau} \text{EXT-SOLVES} \quad \frac{\Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, \hat{a}_G \longrightarrow \Delta, \hat{a}_G = t} \text{EXT-SOLVEG} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta, \hat{a}} \text{EXT-ADD} \quad \frac{\Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta, \hat{a}_S = \tau} \text{EXT-ADDSOLVES} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta, \hat{a}_G = t} \text{EXT-ADDSOLVEG}
\end{array}$$

6 Metatheory

Theorem 1 (Instantiation Soundness) *Given $\Delta \longrightarrow \Omega$ and $[\Gamma]A = A$ and $\hat{a} \notin \text{FV}(A)$:*

1. *If $\Gamma \vdash \hat{a} \lesssim A \dashv \Delta$ then $[\Omega]\Delta \vdash [\Omega]\hat{a} \lesssim [\Omega]A$.*
2. *If $\Gamma \vdash A \lesssim \hat{a} \dashv \Delta$ then $[\Omega]\Delta \vdash [\Omega]A \lesssim [\Omega]\hat{a}$.*

Theorem 2 (Soundness of Algorithmic Consistent Subtyping) *If $\Gamma \vdash A \lesssim B \dashv \Delta$ where $[\Gamma]A = A$ and $[\Gamma]B = B$ and $\Delta \longrightarrow \Omega$ then $[\Omega]\Delta \vdash [\Omega]A \lesssim [\Omega]B$.*

Theorem 3 (Soundness of Algorithmic Typing) *Given $\Delta \longrightarrow \Omega$:*

1. *If $\Gamma \vdash e \Rightarrow A \dashv \Delta$ then $\exists e'$ such that $[\Omega]\Delta \vdash e' : [\Omega]A$ and $\lfloor e \rfloor = \lfloor e' \rfloor$.*
2. *If $\Gamma \vdash e \Leftarrow A \dashv \Delta$ then $\exists e'$ such that $[\Omega]\Delta \vdash e' : [\Omega]A$ and $\lfloor e \rfloor = \lfloor e' \rfloor$.*

Theorem 4 (Instantiation Completeness) *Given $\Gamma \longrightarrow \Omega$ and $A = [\Gamma]A$ and $\hat{a} \notin \text{UNSOLVED}(\Gamma)$ and $\hat{a} \notin \text{FV}(A)$:*

1. *If $[\Omega]\Gamma \vdash [\Omega]\hat{a} \lesssim [\Omega]A$ then there are Δ, Ω' such that $\Omega \longrightarrow \Omega'$ and $\Delta \longrightarrow \Omega'$ and $\Gamma \vdash \hat{a} \lesssim A \dashv \Delta$.*
2. *If $[\Omega]\Gamma \vdash [\Omega]A \lesssim [\Omega]\hat{a}$ then there are Δ, Ω' such that $\Omega \longrightarrow \Omega'$ and $\Delta \longrightarrow \Omega'$ and $\Gamma \vdash A \lesssim \hat{a} \dashv \Delta$.*

Theorem 5 (Generalized Completeness of Consistent Subtyping) *If $\Gamma \longrightarrow \Omega$ and $\Gamma \vdash A$ and $\Gamma \vdash B$ and $[\Omega]\Gamma \vdash [\Omega]A \lesssim [\Omega]B$ then there exist Δ and Ω' such that $\Delta \longrightarrow \Omega'$ and $\Omega \longrightarrow \Omega'$ and $\Gamma \vdash [\Gamma]A \lesssim [\Gamma]B \dashv \Delta$.*

Theorem 6 (Matching Completeness) *Given $\Gamma \longrightarrow \Omega$ and $\Gamma \vdash A$, if $[\Omega]\Gamma \vdash [\Omega]A \triangleright A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ then there exist Δ, Ω', A'_1 and A'_2 such that $\Gamma \vdash [\Gamma]A \triangleright A'_1 \rightarrow A'_2 \dashv \Delta$ and $\Delta \longrightarrow \Omega'$ and $\Omega \longrightarrow \Omega'$ and $A_1 = [\Omega']A'_1$ and $A_2 = [\Omega']A'_2$.*

Theorem 7 (Completeness of Algorithmic Typing) *Given $\Gamma \longrightarrow \Omega$ and $\Gamma \vdash A$, if $[\Omega]\Gamma \vdash e : A$ then there exist Δ, Ω', A' and e' such that $\Delta \longrightarrow \Omega'$ and $\Omega \longrightarrow \Omega'$ and $\Gamma \vdash e' \Rightarrow A' \dashv \Delta$ and $A = [\Omega']A'$ and $\lfloor e \rfloor = \lfloor e' \rfloor$.*