

# THE MYCLIMATE FLIGHT EMISSION CALCULATOR

The flight emission calculator quantifies the direct and indirect CO<sub>2</sub>-equivalent emissions per passenger for a given flight distance. The estimated emissions represent an average value for the distance between a given pair of origin and destination airports. The quantification is based on the most recent international statistics on passenger and cargo loads and aircraft type usage. The estimated emissions per passenger represent the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalents to be reduced in myclimate carbon offset projects.

In the following the calculation of flight emissions are detailed step-by-step. The factors used are all based on estimates in literature and recent statistics.

03/09/2015

myclimate - The Climate Protection Partnership

Pfingstweidstrasse 10

8005 Zurich


Switzerland

[info@myclimate.org](mailto:info@myclimate.org)

<http://www.myclimate.org>

# 1 Summary of steps

The following diagram illustrates the different steps used in the flight calculator



## 2 Flight distance

The flight distance between two airports is based on the Great Circle Distance, the shortest distance between two airports. In case of non-direct flights with stopover, the two stages are treated as individual flights. Since the type of aircrafts and the passenger load factors depend on the flight distance, we differentiate between short-haul (<1500 km) and long-haul flights (>2500km). Since there is no distinct limit for short-haul we interpolate for flight distances in between 1500 and 2500km to get a smooth transition.

The actual flight distance between two airports is often considerably longer than the shortest distance between two airports. The extra mileage is mainly due to inefficiencies in the air traffic control systems, due to storm systems or other weather events as well as holding patterns (waiting loops) before landing (Kettunen 2005). While there are no reliable global statistics on the extra mileage, regional estimates amount to 6-8% over the US and 10% over Europe (Kettunen 2005). However, with such a relative approach the extra mileage on long haul flights tends to be overestimated. Therefore, we here adapt the approach suggested by ICAO (2010) and add an extra mileage/distance correction (DC) of 50km for short-haul and 125km for long-haul flights.

## 3 Fuel consumption per aircraft kilometre

The fuel consumption per distance is based on fuel burn rates from a hybrid aircrafts used on short-haul (<1500 km) and long-haul (>2500 km) flights. Emissions of fuel burnt per aircraft kilometre are based on the EMEP/EEA air pollutant emission inventory guidebook (EEA 2009). In addition, a constant fuel amount is added to each flight in order to account for the usage of the aircraft during landing and take-off (LTO) as well as during the taxi phase (ground movement on airport) (EEA 2009).

Consumption for the hybrid aircraft is based on a weighted average of fuel burn rates and usage for landing/take-off cycles for different aircraft types. The weighting of the aircraft types is derived from total kilometers flown per aircraft type (ICAODATA 2012) and is based on data of the 10 largest airlines for the year 2008 (most recent year with full data coverage). The weighting scheme includes the most frequently used short-haul (i.e. Boeing 737, Airbus A320, Boeing 757) and long-haul aircrafts (i.e. Boeing 747, Boeing 767, Boeing 777 and Airbus A340).

Based on this scheme, weighted average fuel consumption is calculated for distinct flight distances. A generalized function for the fuel consumption of any flight distance is approximated with a second-order polynomial fit for short-haul and long-haul flights.

$$f(x) + LTO = ax^2 + bx + c$$

with  $x = GCD + DC$ , where GCD is the Great Circle Distance [km], DC the Distance Correction [km] for extra mileage and LTO the extra fuel used per landing and take-off cycle. The fuel consumption for distances between 1500 and 2500km is linearly interpolated.

## 4 CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and fuel pre-production

The calculator accounts for the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions through pre-production of jet fuel/kerosene (including transport and refinery processes) and fuel combustion. The emission factor for combustion of jet fuel (kerosene) is 3.15kg CO<sub>2</sub>e/kg jet fuel (IPCC 2006) and the factor for pre-production used here is 0.5064 kg CO<sub>2</sub>e/kg jet fuel (Ecoinvent 2010).

## 5 Allocation to cargo load

Passenger aircrafts often transport considerable amounts of freight and mail, in particular in wide-body aircrafts on long-haul flights. Thus, it is necessary to allocate some of the total aircraft emissions to the cargo load. There are different approaches to allocate the total emissions to passenger and cargo load discussed in Kollmuss & Crimmins, (2008).

Here we use a monetary approach, i.e. we allocate the emissions according to the operational revenue of the leading airlines from passenger and freight/mail business. According to ICAODATA (2012) this is 95.1% from passenger and 4.9% from cargo business.

## 6 CO<sub>2</sub> emissions per passenger

The CO<sub>2</sub> emissions per aircraft are distributed across the average number of passengers on short-haul and long-haul flights. The number of passengers is here defined as the average number of seats per aircraft type (ICAODATA 2012) multiplied by the passenger load factor published by the International Air Transport Association (IATA 2012). The numbers are calculated for each aircraft type and then weighted according to the weighting scheme described above.

## 7 Cabin class weighting scheme

The passenger capacity of aircrafts is often reduced because seats in first and business class take up more space. In other words the same aircraft could transport more persons if the seating space was minimized. Thus, the emissions calculator allows for a selection of the cabin class. The emissions are allocated to the different cabin classes according to the average seat area in the selected cabin class (seatguru 2012). The cabin class weighting factor is calculated for each aircraft type and then weighted by the weighting scheme described above.

## 8 Accounting for non-CO<sub>2</sub> effects of aviation

Aircrafts do not only emit CO<sub>2</sub> but also other forcing agents that affect the Earth's radiative balance and thus the climate. Amongst other factors emissions from aviation also lead to short-term increases in tropospheric ozone as a consequence of nitrogen oxide (NO<sub>x</sub>) emissions, initiate condensation trails (contrails) and may affect the formation of cirrus clouds. The total radiative effects have thus been estimated to be two to four times larger than the direct CO<sub>2</sub> radiative forcing. However, research is ongoing in order constrain the uncertainties. Furthermore, a comparison of CO<sub>2</sub> and non-CO<sub>2</sub> effects is particularly challenging as they act on different time scales. Myclimate decided to multiply the estimated CO<sub>2</sub> emissions by a factor (referred to as multiplier) to account for the warming effect due to non-CO<sub>2</sub> aircraft emissions. Myclimate uses a conservative multiplier of 2 (Kollmuss & Crimmins 2009). This multiplier is only used for CO<sub>2</sub> from combustion and not from pre-production.

## 9 Formula

The following formula is used to calculate the total CO<sub>2</sub>-equivalent emissions:

$$E = \frac{ax^2 + bx + c}{S \times PLF} \times (1 - CF) \times CW \times (EF \times M + P)$$

with

*E*: CO<sub>2</sub>-eq emissions per passenger [kg]

*x*: Flight Distance [km] which is defined as the sum of GCD, the great circle distance, and DC, a distance correction for detours and holding patterns, and inefficiencies in the air traffic control systems [km]

*S*: Average number of seats (total across all cabin classes)

*PLF*: Passenger load factor

*CF*: Cargo factor

*CW*: Cabin class weighting factor

*EF*: CO<sub>2</sub> emission factor for jet fuel combustion (kerosene)

*M*: Multiplier accounting for potential non-CO<sub>2</sub> effects

*P*: CO<sub>2</sub>e emission factor for preproduction jet fuel, kerosene

The part  $ax^2 + bx + c$  is a nonlinear approximation of  $f(x) + LTO$

*LTO*: Fuel emissions during landing and takeoff cycle including taxi [kg]

Short-haul is defined as  $x < 1500\text{km}$  and long-haul as  $x > 2500\text{km}$ . In between a linear interpolation is used.

The following parameters are used for the calculation:

Aircraft type	Average seat number ( <i>S</i> )	Passenger load factor ( <i>PLF</i> )	Detour constant ( <i>DC</i> )	1- Cargo factor (1- <i>CF</i> )	Economy class weight ( <i>CW</i> )	Business class weight ( <i>CW</i> )	First class weight ( <i>CW</i> )	Emission factor ( <i>EF</i> )	Pre-production ( <i>P</i> )	Multiplier ( <i>M</i> )
Hybrid short-haul	158.44	0.77	50	0.951	0.960	1.26	2.40	3.150	0.51	2
Hybrid long-haul	280.39	0.77	125	0.951	0.800	1.54	2.40	3.150	0.51	2

	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>
Generic short-haul	3.87871E-05	2.9866	1263.42
Generic long-haul	0.000134576	6.1798	3446.20

## 10 References

- EEA, 2009. EMEP/EEA air pollutant emission inventory guidebook — 2009. Technical guidance to prepare national emission inventories – *Part B: sectoral guidance chapters, section 1.A.3.a Aviation*  
<http://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/emep-eea-emission-inventory-guidebook-2009>
- ECOINVENT, 2010. Version 2.2. <http://www.ecoinvent.org>
- IATA, 2012. International Air Transport Association  
[http://www.iata.org/pressroom/facts\\_figures/Pages/index.aspx](http://www.iata.org/pressroom/facts_figures/Pages/index.aspx)
- ICAO, 2010. International Civil Aviation Organization. ICAO Carbon Emissions Calculator Version 3 August 2010  
<http://www2.icao.int/en/carbonoffset/Documents/ICAO%20MethodologyV3.pdf>
- ICAODATA, 2012. International Civil Aviation Organization statistical data base on the air transport industry. <http://www.icaodata.com>
- IPCC, 1999. Aviation and the Global Atmosphere. Special Report.  
<http://www.ipcc.ch/ipccreports/sres/aviation/index.php?idp=0>
- IPCC 2006, 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, Prepared by the National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme, Eggleston H.S., Buendia L., Miwa K., Ngara T. and Tanabe K. (eds). Published: IGES, Japan. Online database available at <http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/EFDB/main.php>
- Kettunen et al. 2005. Flight Efficiency Studies in Europe and the United States. 6th USA / Europe Seminar on ATM Research and Development Baltimore, Maryland, USA, 27 - 30 June, 2005.  
[http://www.atmseminar.org/seminarContent/seminar6/papers/p\\_055\\_MPM.pdf](http://www.atmseminar.org/seminarContent/seminar6/papers/p_055_MPM.pdf)
- Kollmuss & Lane, 2008. Carbon Offsetting & Air Travel – Part 1: CO<sub>2</sub>-Emissions Calculations. SEI Discussion Paper, May 2008.  
[http://sei-us.org/Publications\\_PDF/SEI-WorkingPaperUS-0508.pdf](http://sei-us.org/Publications_PDF/SEI-WorkingPaperUS-0508.pdf)
- Kollmuss & Crimmins, 2009. Carbon Offsetting & Air Travel – Part 2 : Non-CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions Calculations. Stockholm Environment Institute. SEI Discussion Paper, June 2009.  
[http://sei-us.org/Publications\\_PDF/SEI-CarbonOffsettingAirTravelPt2-09.pdf](http://sei-us.org/Publications_PDF/SEI-CarbonOffsettingAirTravelPt2-09.pdf)
- Seatguru, 2008. Airplane seating information. [www.seatguru.com](http://www.seatguru.com)