

Title (EN): Domain-Specific NER Adaptation

In the past years, the Named Entity Recognition (NER) technology has been under an active development and enjoy a significant increase in popularity and usage in the academic and industrial sphere. Nevertheless, vast majority of the developed NER systems have been developed as general-purpose systems. While they can perform well on multiple domains (macro level), on specific domains (micro level) their performance quality might be low. The ultimate goal of the thesis is to develop domain-specific NER models. Guidelines:

- Get familiar with the NER technology and available NER frameworks.
- Investigate possible datasets for domain-specific training of NER.
- Develop NER training datasets for several selected domains (e.g. sports, politics, music, etc.).
- Train a domain-specific NER model using existing frameworks, such as DBpedia Spotlight or StanfordNER.
- Validate and evaluate the developed domain-specific NER models.



**FACULTY
OF INFORMATION
TECHNOLOGY
CTU IN PRAGUE**

Master's thesis

Domain-specific Name Entity Recognition

Bc. Bogoljub Jakovcheski

Department of software engineering

Supervisor: Ing. Milan Dojchinovski, Ph.D.

May 27, 2018

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my family and friends for support during writing this thesis.

Declaration

I hereby declare that the presented thesis is my own work and that I have cited all sources of information in accordance with the Guideline for adhering to ethical principles when elaborating an academic final thesis.

I acknowledge that my thesis is subject to the rights and obligations stipulated by the Act No. 121/2000 Coll., the Copyright Act, as amended. In accordance with Article 46(6) of the Act, I hereby grant a nonexclusive authorization (license) to utilize this thesis, including any and all computer programs incorporated therein or attached thereto and all corresponding documentation (hereinafter collectively referred to as the “Work”), to any and all persons that wish to utilize the Work. Such persons are entitled to use the Work in any way (including for-profit purposes) that does not detract from its value. This authorization is not limited in terms of time, location and quantity. However, all persons that makes use of the above license shall be obliged to grant a license at least in the same scope as defined above with respect to each and every work that is created (wholly or in part) based on the Work, by modifying the Work, by combining the Work with another work, by including the Work in a collection of works or by adapting the Work (including translation), and at the same time make available the source code of such work at least in a way and scope that are comparable to the way and scope in which the source code of the Work is made available.

In Prague on May 27, 2018

.....

Czech Technical University in Prague

Faculty of Information Technology

© 2018 Bogoljub Jakovcheski. All rights reserved.

This thesis is school work as defined by Copyright Act of the Czech Republic. It has been submitted at Czech Technical University in Prague, Faculty of Information Technology. The thesis is protected by the Copyright Act and its usage without author's permission is prohibited (with exceptions defined by the Copyright Act).

Citation of this thesis

Jakovcheski, Bogoljub. *Domain-specific Name Entity Recognition*. Master's thesis. Czech Technical University in Prague, Faculty of Information Technology, 2018.

Abstrakt

Klíčová slova

Abstract

Keywords

Contents

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Citation of this thesis | vi |
| Introduction | 1 |
| Motivation | 1 |
| Goals of the thesis | 1 |
| Thesis outline | 1 |
| 1 Background and related work | 3 |
| 1.1 Information extraction | 3 |
| 1.2 Named Entity Recognition | 4 |
| 1.2.1 F_1 score | 5 |
| 1.2.2 Stanford NER | 5 |
| 1.3 RDF/NIF | 6 |
| 1.4 Domain specific Named Entity Recognition | 6 |
| 1.5 DBpedia ontology | 7 |
| 1.6 Evaluation/training datasets | 7 |
| 1.7 HDT | 8 |
| 2 Domain specific named entity recognition | 9 |
| 2.1 Data pre-processing | 9 |
| 2.2 Domain specification | 10 |
| 2.3 Types retrieval | 11 |
| 2.4 Data transformation/output for Stanford | 14 |
| 3 Experiments | 15 |
| 3.1 Goals of the experiments | 15 |
| 3.2 List of experiments | 15 |
| 3.2.1 Main experiment | 15 |
| 3.2.2 Experiments that have lower number of training abstracts in model | 21 |

| | | |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| 3.2.3 | Experiments that have lower number of traning abstracts in model | 36 |
| 3.2.4 | MIXED | 45 |
| 3.2.5 | Experiments with lower training abstracts on model, but higher abstracts on test file | 48 |
| 3.2.6 | Experiments with higher training abstracts on model, but lower abstracts on test file | 56 |
| 3.3 | Summary of results | 67 |
| 3.3.1 | Graphs | 67 |
| Conclusion | | 69 |
| A Contents of CD | | 71 |

List of Figures

| | | |
|------|---|----|
| 1.1 | Information extraction, downloaded from https://www.slideshare.net/rubenizquierdobeveia/information-extraction-45392844 | 4 |
| 1.2 | Stanford NER GUI with 3 classes model (Location, Person, Organization) | 5 |
| 1.3 | Dbpedia Ontology - Instances per class | 7 |
| 3.1 | All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 10 Links Runned With All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 100 Links | 48 |
| 3.2 | All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 10 Links Runned With All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 300 Links | 49 |
| 3.3 | All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 10 Links Runned With All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 500 Links | 49 |
| 3.4 | All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 10 Links Runned With All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 500 Links With Lower PageRank . . . | 50 |
| 3.5 | All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 100 Links Runned With All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 500 Links | 50 |
| 3.6 | All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 100 Links Runned With All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 500 Links With Lower PageRank . . . | 51 |
| 3.7 | All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 100 Links Runned With Politics Fine Grained Top 500 Links | 51 |
| 3.8 | All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 100 Links Runned With Politics Fine Grained Top 500 Links With Lower PageRank | 51 |
| 3.9 | All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 100 Links Runned With Sport Fine Grained Top 500 Links | 52 |
| 3.10 | All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 100 Links Runned With Sport Fine Grained Top 500 Links With Lower PageRank | 52 |
| 3.11 | All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 100 Links Runned With Transportation Fine Grained Top 500 Links | 52 |
| 3.12 | All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 100 Links Runned With Transportation Fine Grained Top 500 Links With Lower PageRank . . . | 53 |

| | | |
|------|--|----|
| 3.13 | All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 300 Links Runned With All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 500 Links | 53 |
| 3.14 | All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 300 Links Runned With All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 500 Links With Lower PageRank . . . | 54 |
| 3.15 | All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 300 Links Runned With Politics Fine Grained Top 500 Links | 54 |
| 3.16 | All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 300 Links Runned With Politics Fine Grained Top 500 Links With Lower PageRank | 54 |
| 3.17 | All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 300 Links Runned With Sport Fine Grained Top 500 Links | 55 |
| 3.18 | All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 300 Links Runned With Sport Fine Grained Top 500 Links With Lower PageRank | 55 |
| 3.19 | All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 300 Links Runned With Transportation Fine Grained Top 500 Links | 55 |
| 3.20 | All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 300 Links Runned With Transportation Fine Grained Top 500 Links With Lower PageRank . . . | 56 |
| 3.21 | All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 300 Links Runned With All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 10 Links | 56 |
| 3.22 | All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 300 Links Runned With All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 100 Links | 57 |
| 3.23 | All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 300 Links Runned With Politics Fine Grained Top 10 Links | 57 |
| 3.24 | All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 300 Links Runned With Politics Fine Grained Top 100 Links | 57 |
| 3.25 | All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 300 Links Runned With Sport Fine Grained Top 10 Links | 58 |
| 3.26 | All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 300 Links Runned With Sport Fine Grained Top 100 Links | 58 |
| 3.27 | All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 300 Links Runned With Transportation Fine Grained Top 10 Links | 58 |
| 3.28 | All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 300 Links Runned With Transportation Fine Grained Top 100 Links | 59 |
| 3.29 | All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 500 Links Runned With All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 10 Links | 59 |
| 3.30 | All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 500 Links Runned With All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 100 Links | 59 |
| 3.31 | All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 500 Links Runned With All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 300 Links | 60 |
| 3.32 | All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 500 Links Runned With Politics Fine Grained Top 10 Links | 60 |
| 3.33 | All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 500 Links Runned With Politics Fine Grained Top 100 Links | 60 |
| 3.34 | All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 500 Links Runned With Politics Fine Grained Top 300 Links | 61 |

| | | |
|------|---|----|
| 3.35 | All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 500 Links Runned With Sport Fine Grained Top 10 Links | 61 |
| 3.36 | All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 500 Links Runned With Sport Fine Grained Top 100 Links | 61 |
| 3.37 | All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 500 Links Runned With Sport Fine Grained Top 300 Links | 62 |
| 3.38 | All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 500 Links Runned With Trans- portation Fine Grained Top 10 Links | 62 |
| 3.39 | All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 500 Links Runned With Trans- portation Fine Grained Top 100 Links | 62 |
| 3.40 | All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 500 Links Runned With Trans- portation Fine Grained Top 300 Links | 63 |
| 3.41 | Politics Fine Grained Top 300 Links Runned With All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 100 Links | 63 |
| 3.42 | Politics Fine Grained Top 500 Links Runned With All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 100 Links | 64 |
| 3.43 | Politics Fine Grained Top 500 Links Runned With All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 300 Links | 64 |
| 3.44 | Sport Fine Grained Top 300 Links Runned With All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 100 Links | 65 |
| 3.45 | Sport Fine Grained Top 500 Links Runned With All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 100 Links | 65 |
| 3.46 | Sport Fine Grained Top 500 Links Runned With All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 300 Links | 66 |
| 3.47 | Transportation Fine Grained Top 300 Links Runned With All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 100 Links | 66 |
| 3.48 | Transportation Fine Grained Top 500 Links Runned With All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 100 Links | 67 |
| 3.49 | Transportation Fine Grained Top 500 Links Runned With All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 300 Links | 67 |

List of Tables

| | | |
|------|--|----|
| 2.1 | Testing computer parameters | 9 |
| 3.1 | All 3 domain model with top 300 abstracts, tested with all 3 domain texts | 16 |
| 3.2 | All 3 domain model with top 300 abstracts, tested with Politics abstracts (test file) | 16 |
| 3.3 | All 3 domain model with top 300 abstracts, tested with Sport abstracts (test file) | 17 |
| 3.4 | All 3 domain model with top 300 abstracts, tested with Transportation abstracts (test file) | 17 |
| 3.5 | All 3 domain model with top 300 abstracts, tested with all 3 domain texts | 18 |
| 3.6 | All 3 domain model with top 300 abstracts, tested with Politics abstracts (test file) | 18 |
| 3.7 | All 3 domain model with top 300 abstracts, tested with Sport abstracts (test file) | 19 |
| 3.8 | All 3 domain model with top 300 abstracts, tested with Transportation abstracts (test file) | 19 |
| 3.9 | Politics domain model with top 300 abstracts, tested with Politics abstracts (test file) in coarse grained | 19 |
| 3.10 | Politics domain model with top 300 abstracts, tested with Politics abstracts (test file) in fine grained | 20 |
| 3.11 | Sport domain model with top 300 abstracts, tested with Sport abstracts (test file) in coarse grained | 20 |
| 3.12 | Sport domain model with top 300 abstracts, tested with Sport abstracts (test file) in fine grained | 20 |
| 3.13 | Transportation domain model with top 300 abstracts, tested with Transportation abstracts (test file) in fine grained | 20 |
| 3.14 | Transportation domain model with top 300 abstracts, tested with Transportation abstracts (test file) in fine grained | 21 |

| | | |
|------|-------|----|
| 3.15 | TABLE | 21 |
| 3.16 | TABLE | 22 |
| 3.17 | TABLE | 22 |
| 3.18 | TABLE | 22 |
| 3.19 | TABLE | 23 |
| 3.20 | TABLE | 23 |
| 3.21 | TABLE | 23 |
| 3.22 | TABLE | 24 |
| 3.23 | TABLE | 24 |
| 3.24 | TABLE | 24 |
| 3.25 | TABLE | 25 |
| 3.26 | TABLE | 25 |
| 3.27 | TABLE | 25 |
| 3.28 | TABLE | 25 |
| 3.29 | TABLE | 26 |
| 3.30 | TABLE | 26 |
| 3.31 | TABLE | 26 |
| 3.32 | TABLE | 27 |
| 3.33 | TABLE | 27 |
| 3.34 | TABLE | 28 |
| 3.35 | TABLE | 28 |
| 3.36 | TABLE | 28 |
| 3.37 | TABLE | 29 |
| 3.38 | TABLE | 29 |
| 3.39 | TABLE | 29 |
| 3.40 | TABLE | 29 |
| 3.41 | TABLE | 30 |
| 3.42 | TABLE | 30 |
| 3.43 | TABLE | 30 |
| 3.44 | TABLE | 30 |
| 3.45 | TABLE | 30 |
| 3.46 | TABLE | 31 |
| 3.47 | TABLE | 31 |
| 3.48 | TABLE | 31 |
| 3.49 | TABLE | 31 |
| 3.50 | TABLE | 32 |
| 3.51 | TABLE | 32 |
| 3.52 | TABLE | 32 |
| 3.53 | TABLE | 32 |
| 3.54 | TABLE | 32 |
| 3.55 | TABLE | 33 |
| 3.56 | TABLE | 33 |
| 3.57 | TABLE | 33 |
| 3.58 | TABLE | 33 |

| | | |
|------|---|----|
| 3.59 | TABLE | 33 |
| 3.60 | TABLE | 33 |
| 3.61 | TABLE | 34 |
| 3.62 | TABLE | 34 |
| 3.63 | TABLE | 34 |
| 3.64 | TABLE | 35 |
| 3.65 | TABLE | 35 |
| 3.66 | TABLE | 35 |
| 3.67 | TABLE | 35 |
| 3.68 | TABLE | 36 |
| 3.69 | TABLE | 36 |
| 3.70 | TABLE | 36 |
| 3.71 | TABLE | 36 |
| 3.72 | TABLE | 37 |
| 3.73 | TABLE | 37 |
| 3.74 | TABLE | 37 |
| 3.75 | TABLE | 38 |
| 3.76 | TABLE | 38 |
| 3.77 | TABLE | 39 |
| 3.78 | TABLE | 39 |
| 3.79 | TABLE | 39 |
| 3.80 | TABLE | 40 |
| 3.81 | TABLE | 40 |
| 3.82 | TABLE | 40 |
| 3.83 | TABLE | 40 |
| 3.84 | TABLE | 40 |
| 3.85 | TABLE | 41 |
| 3.86 | TABLE | 41 |
| 3.87 | TABLE | 41 |
| 3.88 | TABLE | 41 |
| 3.89 | TABLE | 42 |
| 3.90 | TABLE | 42 |
| 3.91 | TABLE | 43 |
| 3.92 | TABLE | 43 |
| 3.93 | TABLE | 43 |
| 3.94 | TABLE | 44 |
| 3.95 | TABLE | 44 |
| 3.96 | TABLE | 44 |
| 3.97 | TABLE | 44 |
| 3.98 | TABLE | 44 |
| 3.99 | All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 300 With All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 500 Links And All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 500 Links With Lower PageRank | 45 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | | |
|-------|--|----|
| 3.100 | All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 500 Links With All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 500 Links And All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 500 Links With Lower Page Rank | 46 |
| 3.101 | All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 500 Links With All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 500 Links With Lower PageRank | 47 |
| 3.102 | Transportation Fine Grained Top 500 Links Runned With All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 300 Links And Transportation Fine Grained Top 300 Links | 48 |

Introduction

Motivation

Nowadays Named Entity Recognition (NER) is very close to perfectly matching entities in text. State-of-the-art NER systems for English produce near-human performance. For example, the best system entering MUC-7 scored 93.39% of F-measure while human annotators scored 97.60% and 96.95%.

Goals of the thesis

The main goal of the thesis is to create a domain specific models and try to get closer, or event better F1-score to the human F1-score. Then creating a smaller and larger models and their impact of scoring based on main model. And finally creating a coarse grained and fine grained models and monitoring their F1-score.

Thesis outline

Background and related work

1.1 Information extraction

Information extraction first appears in late 1970s within NLP field. Based on Wikipedia[?], Information extraction (IE) is the task of automatically extracting structured information from unstructured and/or semi-structured machine-readable documents. In most of the cases this activity concerns processing human language texts by means of natural language processing (NLP). Recent activities in multimedia document processing like automatic annotation and content extraction out of images/audio/video could be seen as information extraction. [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Information_extraction] [?] Another view of that what Information extraction is that automatically building a relational database from information contained in unstructured text. Unlike linear-chain models, general CRFs can capture long distance dependencies between labels. [<http://people.cs.umass.edu/mccallum/papers/crf-tutorial.pdf>]

To understand better what IE is we can use example in [<https://ontotext.com/knowledgehub/fundamentals/extraction/>], extracting information for mail message and adding to your Calendar. Millions of people use this on their daily basis and they are not aware of that how that works and what technology is used for that. Figure 1.1 gives us closer look of what Information extraction (IE) is, and how State-of-the-Art algorithms transforms unstructured text to structured sequences understandable for machines.




Figure 1.1: Information extraction, downloaded from <https://www.slideshare.net/rubenizquierdobevia/information-extraction-45392844>

1.2 Named Entity Recognition

Named Entity Recognition (NER) is the problem of identifying and classifying proper names in text, including locations, such as China; people, such as George Bush; and organizations, such as the United Nations. The named-entity recognition task is, given a sentence, first to segment which words are part of entities, and then to classify each entity by type (person, organization, location, and so on). The challenge of this problem is that many named entities are too rare to appear even in a large training set, and therefore the system must identify them based only on context. One approach to NER is to classify each word independently as one of either Person, Location, Organization, or Other (meaning not an entity). The problem with this approach is that it assumes that given the input, all of the named entity labels are independent. In fact, the named-entity labels of neighboring words are dependent; for example, while New York is a location, New York Times is an organization. [http://people.cs.umass.edu/mccallum/papers/crf-tutorial.pdf section 1.2.2.2]

Named-entity recognition (NER) (also known as entity identification, entity chunking and entity extraction) is a subtask of information extraction (IE) that seeks to locate and classify named entities in text into pre-defined categories such as the names of persons, organizations, locations, expressions of times, quantities, monetary values, percentages, etc.

Most research on NER systems has been structured as taking an unannotated block of text, such as this one:

Jim bought 300 shares of Acme Corp. in 2006.

And producing an annotated block of text that highlights the names of entities:

[Jim]Person bought 300 shares of [Acme Corp.]Organization in [2006]Time.

In this example, a person name consisting of one token, a two-token company name and a temporal expression have been detected and classified. [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Named-entity_recognition]

Figure 1.2 shows how one NER application can look like. The text in example is predefined in Stanford NER application and loaded model (Classifier) is also trained by Stanford [<https://nlp.stanford.edu/software/CRF-NER.html#Models>].




Figure 1.2: Stanford NER GUI with 3 classes model (Location, Person, Organization)

1.2.1 F_1 score

The success of NER systems is exposed to F_1 score (F-score or F-measure). F_1 score is a measure of a test's accuracy. It considers both the precision p and the recall r of the test to compute the score: p is the number of correct positive results divided by the number of all positive results returned by the classifier, and r is the number of correct positive results divided by the number of all relevant samples (all samples that should have been identified as positive). The F_1 score is the harmonic average of the precision and recall, where an F_1 score reaches its best value at 1 (perfect precision and recall) and worst at 0. Written in formula, the $F_1 = 2 \cdot \frac{\text{precision} \cdot \text{recall}}{\text{precision} + \text{recall}}$ [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/F1_score]

1.2.2 Stanford NER

Stanford NER is a Java implementation of a Named Entity Recognizer. Named Entity Recognition (NER) labels sequences of words in a text which are the

1. BACKGROUND AND RELATED WORK

names of things, such as person and company names, or gene and protein names. It comes with well-engineered feature extractors for Named Entity Recognition, and many options for defining feature extractors. Included with the download are good named entity recognizers for English, particularly for the 3 classes (PERSON, ORGANIZATION, LOCATION), and we also make available on this page various other models for different languages and circumstances, including models trained on just the CoNLL 2003 English training data.

Stanford NER is also known as CRFClassifier. The software provides a general implementation of (arbitrary order) linear chain Conditional Random Field (CRF) sequence models. That is, by training your own models on labeled data, you can actually use this code to build sequence models for NER or any other task. [<https://nlp.stanford.edu/software/CRF-NER.html>]

1.3 RDF/NIF

The Resource Description Framework (RDF) is a family of World Wide Web Consortium (W3C) specifications originally designed as a metadata data model. It has come to be used as a general method for conceptual description or modeling of information that is implemented in web resources, using a variety of syntax notations and data serialization formats. It is also used in knowledge management applications. [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Resource_Description_Framework] More precisely RDF is a standard model for data interchange on the Web. RDF has features that facilitate data merging even if the underlying schemas differ, and it specifically supports the evolution of schemas over time without requiring all the data consumers to be changed. [<https://www.w3.org/RDF/>] Natural Language Processing Interchange Format (NIF) is an RDF-based format. The classes to represent linguistic data are defined in the NIF Core Ontology. All ontology classes are derived from the main class nif:String which represents strings of Unicode characters. [<https://www.w3.org/2015/09/bpmlod-reports/nif-based-nlp-webservices/#natural-language-%20processing-interchange-format-nif>] [<http://aksw.org/Projects/NIF.html>].

1.4 Domain specific Named Entity Recognition

Traditionally Named Entity Recognition (NER) systems have been built using available annotated datasets (like CoNLL, MUC) and demonstrate excellent performance. However, these models fail to generalize onto other domains like Sports and Finance where conventions and language use can differ significantly. Furthermore, several domains do not have large amounts of annotated labeled data for training robust Named Entity Recognition models. [<https://arxiv.org/pdf/1612.00148.pdf>] With specifying the domain we can

create a bigger model with more annotated words and reading the whole text will be same or even faster than reading text with a global domain.

1.5 DBpedia ontology

The DBpedia Ontology is a shallow, cross-domain ontology, which has been manually created based on the most commonly used infoboxes within Wikipedia. The ontology currently covers 685 classes which form a subsumption hierarchy and are described by 2,795 different properties.

Since the DBpedia 3.7 release, the ontology is a directed-acyclic graph, not a tree. Classes may have multiple superclasses, which was important for the mappings to schema.org. A taxonomy can still be constructed by ignoring all superclasses except the one that is specified first in the list and is considered the most important. [<http://wiki.dbpedia.org/services-resources/ontology>]

Dbpedia ontology classes can be found here [<http://mappings.dbpedia.org/server/ontology/classes/>]

The DBpedia Ontology currently contains about 4,233,000 instances. Figure 1.3 shows the number of instances for several classes within the ontology. [<http://wiki.dbpedia.org/services-resources/ontology>]

| Class | Instances |
|--------------------|-----------|
| Resource (overall) | 4,233,000 |
| Place | 735,000 |
| Person | 1,450,000 |
| Work | 411,000 |
| Species | 251,000 |
| Organisation | 241,000 |

Figure 1.3: Dbpedia Ontology - Instances per class

1.6 Evaluation/training datasets

For the aim of our experiments we have trained 57 datasets. For training we've used Stanford NER application explained in subsection 1.2.2. We have two types of datasets, coarse-grained and fine-grained, also those types are divided into specific domains and a global domain. To give an illustration, for

1. BACKGROUND AND RELATED WORK

model with 100 retrieved abstract we will have 4 coarse-grained models (global domain and 3 specific domains), and similarly for a fine-grained models.

Wired links...

1.7 HDT

Domain specific named entity recognition

There are parameters of the computer used for tests shown in Table 2.1.

Table 2.1: Testing computer parameters

| Part | Description |
|------|-------------------------------------|
| CPU | 2.00 GHz Intel(R) Core(TM) i5-4310U |
| MEM | 16 GB DDR3L |
| OS | x86_64 Windows 10 Pro |
| DISK | 240GB SSD Kingston |

2.1 Data pre-processing

First of all we downloaded 2 datasets from Dbpedia NIF Datasets [<http://wiki.dbpedia.org/dbpedia-nif-dataset>] for English language in .ttl format. Those datasets are:

- nif-context (or nif-abstract-context): the full text of a page as context (including begin and end index)
- nif-text-links: all in-text links to other DBpedia resources as well as external references

Another dataset that we needed was Dbpedia instance types dataset, downloaded from here [<http://wiki.dbpedia.org/downloads-2016-04>] also in .ttl format. This dataset contains all types from nif-text-links that occurrence at nif-abstract-context. So how all this dataset are connected between themselves? Let say that we have abstract for Alexander the Great. In nif-text-links file we have all words from abstract that has annotation, but we still don't know their type. So here comes instance types file where based on link from nif-text-link (eg.http://dbpedia.org/resource/Philip_II_of_Macedon) we can find

the type of annotated word (word Philip II has ontology type Monarch), but of course there can be a case that some words cannot be found on instance types file and automatically have no type, or in our case ontology type O (O like Other). But now, let us explain deeply how we process and clean data from dataset. First we define small test dataset to check how fast we can process data. Running that dataset on downloaded files without any cleaning on data takes too long. So we said that converting the datasets from .ttl to .hdt (binary format) with rdf/hdt tool [<http://www.rdfhdt.org/>] will be faster. So we converted the datasets and rerun the algorithm again. There were some improvements, but not satisfying for our purposes. Our next solution was to clean datasets from unused data for our aims. The final result after cleaning the datasets was a smaller datasets, for instance nif-abstract-context file from 7.78GB now has 2.99GB, another big improvement was nif-text-links file who is reduced to 10.5GB from 44.6GB and at the end we also clean instance types file, but here we don't record any mayor memory improvements. Again we rerun the algorithm, of course there were improvements, but as well as previous the time that algorithm runs, was not acceptable for us. To give an illustration the time needed to find all types from one abstract in worst case, to read nif-text-links and instance type files until end was around 3.5 minutes. Therefore once more we converted our cleaned datasets from RDF format to binary format. And how in previous running there were again improvements, but those improvements doesn't fulfill our expectations. The final think that we have to save us was creating a dataset tree. For nif-text-links file we created a tree where we have folders from "a-z", also special characters folders and other folder (this folder contains data that have a lower occurrence, let say & character or letters that are not part of English alphabet), folders from "a-z" has subfolders also from a-z. To give a closer look how we create that tree, let say that we have an abstract for Volkswagen Golf MK3, so the link for that abstract would be http://dbpedia.org/resource/Volkswagen_Golf_Mk3 and this link will be stored to "v" folder and "o" subfolder. With this we have a smaller dataset where we can read whole one very fast.

For instance types file we modified the algorithm for creating a data tree. Here because of lower range data we have created only files from "a-z" and of course special characters. Finally we rerun the algorithm, and the time to process one abstract at worst case takes no more than 1 minute. Now we were ready to take next steps to retrieve types (subsection 2.3) and create domains (section 2.2) and prepare data for Stanford NER (section 2.4).

2.2 Domain specification

As we said earlier most of the NER application are trained on same domains, like "PERSON", "ORGANIZATION" and "LOCATION". These 3 domains

are widely spread all over the applications and perform nice results on text from this domains. So what we need is something that is not already trained or there is a small usage of that domain. After some research we find out that "TRANSPORTATION" domain is not popular domain for NER applications, respectively we don't find any usage of this domain. We see the possibility to create this specific domain. Types that we retrieve for this domain and specifying them are more deeply explained in Types retrieval section 2.3 in last paragraph. We have our first domain, but at least 2 more domains are needed to be able to make some experiments and conclusion.

Ideally will be those domains to have some connection between them and again to not be already wide spread. So we look at ontology types that are retrieved for "TRANSPORTATION" domain, and there are types like Airport, Bridge, MetroStation and so on. This indicates to us that next domain can be "POLITICS". Why? Because some airports, bridges or MetroStations bear names of Politicians. For instance airport in Prague is named by last president of Czechoslovakia, Vaclav Havel. Or another example is that some bridges in United States are named by famous politicians, like Presidents. Second domain is chosen, so we need at least one more domain to keep up with other NER applications. Again our reference was retrieved types for "TRANSPORTATION" domain and .

2.3 Types retrieval

After we solved the problem of that how effectively run the algorithm to find all types from the abstract, next issue was which types we want to be part of our domains and also which types we want to retrieve from Dbpedia. Worth mentioning that we will use the same ontology types for retrieving the abstracts links from Dbpedia and creating a domain models. For example the type "Politician" will be used to retrieve links from Dbpedia that has that type, and also "Politician" type will be use to annotated words, for instance Barack Obama will have type of "Politician" (we will give more details on section 2.4).

In Dbpedia ontology classes page [<http://mappings.dbpedia.org/server/ontology/classes/>] we can see all types that Dbpedia has. Those ontology types are the same in instance types file also. Now we are facing with the fact that if we choose very small group of ontology types, at the experiment point we will have minor range of annotated words and experiments won't be relevant. On the other hand, if we go too deep to ontology types, we will have a lot of annotated words, but training the model take a lot of time and memory, and there is a possibility that we will reach memory exception, or because of big group of types training will never end.

After some testing with the number of retrieved types we finally found the best selection of types, in total we choose 275 ontology types for all domains.

2. DOMAIN SPECIFIC NAMED ENTITY RECOGNITION

Now let us explain more deeply every single domain and which types has that domain. We have 3 domains (see section 2.2 for that how we choose those domains) "POLITICS", "SPORT" and "TRANSPORTATION".

In "POLITICS" domain we retrieve in total 26 types (Parliament, Election, PoliticalParty, GeopoliticalOrganisation, Politician, Ambassador, Chancellor, Congressman, Deputy, Governor, Lieutenant, Mayor, MemberOfParliament, Minister, President, PrimeMinister, Senator, VicePresident, VicePrimeMinister, PoliticianSpouse, PersonFunction, PoliticalFunction, Profession, TopicalConcept and PoliticalConcept), which we sort in 11 more specific types like Ambassador, Chancellor, Congressman, Deputy, Governor, Lieutenant, Mayor, MemberOfParliament, Minister, President, PrimeMinister, Senator, VicePresident and VicePrimeMinister are joined together in one specific domain Politician, other types we leaved as it is, because if we group them the typeswon't give any sense.

We do the same for "SPORT" domain where we retrieve in total 171 types (Sport, Athletics, currentWorldChampion, firstOlympicEventfootedness, TeamSport, SportsClub, HockeyClub, RugbyClub, SoccerClub, chairmanTitle, clubsRecordGoalscorer, fansgroup, firstGameground, largestWinmanagerTitle, worstDefeat, NationalSoccerClub, SportsLeague, AmericanFootballLeague, AustralianFootballLeague, AutoRacingLeague, BaseballLeague, BasketballLeague, BowlingLeague, BoxingLeague, CanadianFootballLeague, CricketLeague, CurlingLeague, CyclingLeague, FieldHockeyLeague, FormulaOneRacing, GolfLeague, HandballLeague, IceHockeyLeague, InlineHockeyLeague, LacrosseLeague, MixedMartialArtsLeague, MotorcycleRacingLeague, PaintballLeague, PoloLeague, RadioControlledRacingLeague, RugbyLeague, SoccerLeague, SoftballLeague, SpeedwayLeague, TennisLeague, VideogamesLeague, VolleyballLeague, SportsTeam, AmericanFootballTeam, AustralianFootballTeam, BaseballTeam, BasketballTeam, CanadianFootballTeam, CricketTeam, CyclingTeam, FormulaOneTeam, HandballTeam, HockeyTeam, SpeedwayTeam, Athlete, ArcherPlayer, AthleticsPlayer, AustralianRulesFootballPlayer, BadmintonPlayer, BaseballPlayer, BasketballPlayer, Bodybuilder, Boxer, AmateurBoxer, BullFighter, Canoeist, ChessPlayer, Cricketer, Cyclist, DartsPlayer, Fencer, GaelicGamesPlayer, GolfPlayer, GridironFootballPlayer, AmericanFootballPlayer, CanadianFootballPlayer, Gymnast, HandballPlayer, HighDiver, HorseRider, Jockey, LacrossePlayer, MartialArtist, MotorsportRacer, MotorcycleRider, MotorcycleRacer, SpeedwayRider, RacingDriver, DTMRacer, FormulaOneRacer, NascarDriver, RallyDriver, NationalCollegiateAthleticAssociationAthlete, NetballPlayer, PokerPlayer, Rower, RugbyPlayer, SnookerPlayer, SnookerChamp, SoccerPlayer, SquashPlayer, Surfer, Swimmer, TableTennisPlayer, TeamMember, TennisPlayer, VolleyballPlayer, BeachVolleyballPlayer, WaterPoloPlayer, WinterSportPlayer, Biathlete, BobsleighAthlete, CrossCountrySkier, Curler, FigureSkater, IceHockeyPlayer, NordicCombined, Skater, Ski_jumper, Skier, SpeedSkater, Wrestler, SumoWrestler, Coach, AmericanFootballCoach, CollegeCoach, VolleyballCoach, OrganisationMember, SportsTeamMember, SportsManager,

SoccerManager, SportsEvent, CyclingCompetition, FootballMatch, Grand-Prix, InternationalFootballLeagueEvent, MixedMartialArtsEvent, NationalFootballLeagueEvent, Olympics, OlympicEvent, Race, CyclingRace, HorseRace, MotorRace, Tournament, GolfTournament, SoccerTournament, TennisTournament, WomensTennisAssociationTournament, WrestlingEvent, SportCompetitionResult, OlympicResult, SnookerWorldRanking, SportsSeason, MotorsportSeason, SportsTeamSeason, BaseballSeason, FootballLeagueSeason, NationalFootballLeagueSeason, NCAATeamSeason, SoccerClubSeason, SoccerLeagueSeason, Referee, SportFacility, CricketGround, GolfCourse, RaceTrack and SkiArea), so those types, same as "POLITICS" domain, are specified in 8 types, like SportClub, SportsLeague, SportsTeam, Athlete, Coach, OrganizationMember, SportsManager, SportsEvent. This domain is a nice example of that even we retrieve quite a big number of types, we can reduce that number with more specific types which further don't lose the sense of type. For instance "David de Gea" has a type of SoccerPlayer, but after processing will have type of Athlete, which gives sense, because any type of sport player is an athlete.

At the end we repeat the process for "TRANSPORTATION" domain, where we retrieve in total 78 types (Aircraft, aircraftType, aircraftUser, ceiling, dischargeAverage, enginePower, engineType, gun, powerType, wingArea, wingspan, MilitaryAircraft, Automobile, automobilePlatform, bodyStyle, enginePower, engineType, powerType, transmission, Locomotive, boiler, boilerPressure, cylinderCount, enginePower, engineType, powerType, MilitaryVehicle, Motorcycle, On-SiteTransportation, ConveyorSystem, Escalator, Moving-Walkway, Rocket, countryOrigin, finalFlight, lowerEarthOrbitPayload, maidenFlight, rocketFunction, rocketStages, Ship, captureDate, homeport, laying-Down, maidenVoyage, numberOfPassengers, shipCrew, shipLaunch, SpaceShuttle, contractAward, Crews, firstFlight, lastFlight, missions, numberOfCrew, numberOfLaunches, satellitesDeployedSpaceStation, Spacecraft, cargoFuel, cargoGascargoWaterrocket, Train, locomotive, wagon, TrainCarriage, Tram, Engine, AutomobileEngine, RocketEngine, Company, PublicTransitSystem, Airline, BusCompany, Infrastructure, Airport, Port, RestArea, RouteOfTransportation, Bridge, RailwayLine, RailwayTunnel, Road, RoadJunction, RoadTunnel, WaterwayTunnel, Station, MetroStation, RailwayStation, RouteStop, TramStation) and minimized in 14 more specific types like Aircraft, Automobile, Locomotive, MilitaryVehicle, Motorcycle, On-SiteTransportation, Rocket, Ship, SpaceShuttle, SpaceStation, Spacecraft, Train, PublicTransitSystem and Infrastructure. The logic of that who we create more specific ontology types is same as in "POLITICS" or "SPORT" domain.

2.4 Data transformation/output for Stanford

We define domains also their types that we will retrieve and process, now we should put everything together and prepare data for Stanford NER application[1.2.2]. In Data pre-processing section 2.1 we explain how we handled the data downloaded from web and we briefly touch how those data will be prepared for training in Stanford NER application.

We wanted to get those links based in their PageRank[?]. In Java there is a framework called Apache Jena[?] that is used for working with RDF data and SPARQL queries. With a prepared and tested SPARQL queries on www.dbpedia.com/sparql we implemented retrieving link, on Java, on this endpoint. After retrieving those data, based on their order we search does retrieved link is contained on our abstract file.

- How we process data to be ready to use on Stanford NER system?
- coarse grained
- fine grained

Experiments

We have provide various types of experiments. In next sections we will discuss more about every provided experiment.

3.1 Goals of the experiments

We set a few goals of the experiments. First of all we waned to test does we will get better results if we run the model of all domains in coarse grained, against the model of all domains in fine grained. In this test we run the models also with all domains texts. Then we get those models and we run it with specific domain texts, in both fine and coarse grained. Also we make experiments with specific domain model runned with domain specific texts, for example, politics domain model in coarse grained is runned with politics domain text also annotated in coarse grained, politics domain model in fine grained is runned with politics domain text also annotated in fine grained, and the same for sport and transportation domains.

3.2 List of experiments

With our trained models we made a few experiments. First one is the model that has 300 abstract on every domain(900 abstract in total). This is our main model and other experiments that we will provide like models that has lower or higher number of abstracts or experiments where model has more abstracts that a test file or vice-verse, all those results will be compared with the results obtained from main experiment.

3.2.1 Main experiment

This is our main experiment where other experiments will be compared with this one. This model is trained with top 300 Wikipedia abstracts, for every domain, based on PageRank[?]. The text is annotated in coarse grained and

3. EXPERIMENTS

has around 2300 annotated words in total. First experiment that we do with this model is that we run it with the same text that model is created in coarse grained. Results are not bad at all, we are above 95% (see Table 3.2.1), which is great number for such middle weight model. But let see how model will behaves when we tested with abstracts for every domain.

Table 3.2.1 shows the output of model when is tested with abstracts from a "POLITICS" domain. As we said in Section 2.4 this type of abstract has the biggest word annotation. In this experiment, trained model annotated words with a "TRANSPORTATION" domain.

Table 3.2.1 gives us results from abstracts from "SPORT" domain. From the table we can see that our trained model annotated some words with a "POLITICS" or "TRANSPORTATION", even those that our test file contains only abstracts from "SPORT" domains and words has only "SPORT" type.

Table 3.2.1 provide outcome with testing with abstracts only from "TRANSPORTATION" domain. Also here the trained model annotated words with a "SPORT" type.

In conclusion with this kind of experiments we can say that it is not a good idea to train a model with all chosen domains and then use texts from every domain separately to perform NER.

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| POLITICS | 0,9872 | 0,9462 | 0,9662 |
| SPORT | 0,9846 | 0,9629 | 0,9736 |
| TRANSPORTATION | 0,9940 | 0,9823 | 0,9881 |
| Totals | 0,9875 | 0,9625 | 0,9748 |

Table 3.1: All 3 domain model with top 300 abstracts, tested with all 3 domain texts

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| POLITICS | 0,9839 | 0,4025 | 0,5713 |
| TRANSPORTATION | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| Totals | 0,9792 | 0,4025 | 0,5705 |

Table 3.2: All 3 domain model with top 300 abstracts, tested with Politics abstracts (test file)

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| POLITICS | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| SPORT | 0,9846 | 0,9628 | 0,9736 |
| TRANSPORTATION | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| Totals | 0,9819 | 0,9628 | 0,9722 |

Table 3.3: All 3 domain model with top 300 abstracts, tested with Sport abstracts (test file)

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| SPORT | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| TRANSPORTATION | 0,9940 | 0,9822 | 0,9880 |
| Totals | 0,9861 | 0,9822 | 0,9841 |

Table 3.4: All 3 domain model with top 300 abstracts, tested with Transportation abstracts (test file)

After we finish the experiments with model that is trained with all abstracts from every domain in coarse grained, we wanted to see the impact of model that is trained with same abstracts, but now annotated in fine grained. Table 3.2.1 shows the results of provided experiment where we can see that we have a little bit more better results than experiment in Table 3.2.1.

Then we tested our model with abstracts from "POLITICS" domain. How we can see from Table 3.2.1 there is some improvements on results, but no satisfying at all. Also table shows that some words are annotated with types from "SPORT" and "TRANSPORTATION" domain.

After that we rerun the experiment, but now with abstracts from "SPORT" domain. In Table 3.2.1 we can see minor growth of the results unlike experiment in Table 3.2.1, but this improvements are so small that are almost unimportant. Also our model annotated some words with types from "POLITICS" and "TRANSPORTATION" domain which the test file don't have those types at all.

Finally the last experiment with this model are the abstracts from "TRANSPORTATION" domain. Table 3.2.1 shows the output of the provided experiment, where like in previous 2 experiments we can notice a very little improvements on results, from experiment in Table 3.2.1, who again can be unimportant.

Provided experiments with the model who is trained with all abstracts from every domain annotated in fine grained, overall provide a very little improvements on results on every experiment. With that observation trained model annotated in fine grained is better to use instead of the model that is annotated in coarse grained. Another benefit of this type of model is that we can see which types are annotated and their results.

3. EXPERIMENTS

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Aircraft | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Athlete | 1,0000 | 0,9802 | 0,9900 |
| Automobile | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Coach | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Infrastructure | 1,0000 | 0,9820 | 0,9909 |
| PoliticalParty | 0,9860 | 0,9628 | 0,9743 |
| Politician | 1,0000 | 0,9353 | 0,9665 |
| PublicTransitSystem | 0,9919 | 0,9839 | 0,9879 |
| Ship | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SpaceShuttle | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SpaceStation | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsClub | 0,9796 | 0,9683 | 0,9739 |
| SportsEvent | 1,0000 | 0,9242 | 0,9606 |
| SportsLeague | 0,9647 | 0,9805 | 0,9725 |
| SportsManager | 1,0000 | 0,9423 | 0,9703 |
| SportsTeam | 1,0000 | 0,9805 | 0,9902 |
| Train | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Totals | 0,9880 | 0,9712 | 0,9795 |

Table 3.5: All 3 domain model with top 300 abstracts, tested with all 3 domain texts

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Election | 0,0000 | 0,0000 | 0,0000 |
| PoliticalParty | 0,9860 | 0,9628 | 0,9743 |
| Politician | 1,0000 | 0,1849 | 0,3120 |
| PublicTransitSystem | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| Ship | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| SportsLeague | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| Totals | 0,9825 | 0,4072 | 0,5758 |

Table 3.6: All 3 domain model with top 300 abstracts, tested with Politics abstracts (test file)

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Athlete | 1,0000 | 0,9802 | 0,9900 |
| Coach | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Politician | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| SportsClub | 0,9794 | 0,9680 | 0,9737 |
| SportsEvent | 1,0000 | 0,9242 | 0,9606 |
| SportsLeague | 0,9678 | 0,9805 | 0,9741 |
| SportsManager | 1,0000 | 0,9423 | 0,9703 |
| SportsTeam | 1,0000 | 0,9804 | 0,9901 |
| Train | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| Totals | 0,9821 | 0,9716 | 0,9768 |

Table 3.7: All 3 domain model with top 300 abstracts, tested with Sport abstracts (test file)

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Aircraft | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Automobile | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Infrastructure | 1,0000 | 0,9820 | 0,9909 |
| Politician | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| PublicTransitSystem | 0,9918 | 0,9837 | 0,9878 |
| Ship | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SpaceShuttle | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SpaceStation | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsClub | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| SportsTeam | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| Train | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Totals | 0,9862 | 0,9881 | 0,9871 |

Table 3.8: All 3 domain model with top 300 abstracts, tested with Transportation abstracts (test file)

Table 3.9: Politics domain model with top 300 abstracts, tested with Politics abstracts (test file) in coarse grained

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| POLITICS | 0,8039 | 0,6779 | 0,7355 |
| Totals | 0,8039 | 0,6779 | 0,7355 |

3. EXPERIMENTS

Table 3.10: Politics domain model with top 300 abstracts, tested with Politics abstracts (test file) in fine grained

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Election | 0,8240 | 0,6398 | 0,7203 |
| PoliticalParty | 0,8100 | 0,7006 | 0,7513 |
| Politician | 0,8599 | 0,7234 | 0,7858 |
| Totals | 0,8354 | 0,6980 | 0,7606 |

Table 3.11: Sport domain model with top 300 abstracts, tested with Sport abstracts (test file) in coarse grained

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| SPORT | 0,9432 | 0,8839 | 0,9126 |
| Totals | 0,9432 | 0,8839 | 0,9126 |

Table 3.12: Sport domain model with top 300 abstracts, tested with Sport abstracts (test file) in fine grained

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Athlete | 0,9713 | 0,8366 | 0,8989 |
| Coach | 1,0000 | 0,7500 | 0,8571 |
| SportsClub | 0,9453 | 0,9041 | 0,9242 |
| SportsEvent | 1,0000 | 0,7879 | 0,8814 |
| SportsLeague | 0,9418 | 0,8958 | 0,9182 |
| SportsManager | 1,0000 | 0,9615 | 0,9804 |
| SportsTeam | 0,9845 | 0,8301 | 0,9007 |
| Totals | 0,9592 | 0,8750 | 0,9152 |

Table 3.13: Transportation domain model with top 300 abstracts, tested with Transportation abstracts (test file) in fine grained

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| TRANSPORTATION | 0,9583 | 0,9109 | 0,9340 |
| Totals | 0,9583 | 0,9109 | 0,9340 |

Table 3.14: Transportation domain model with top 300 abstracts, tested with Transportation abstracts (test file) in fine grained

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Aircraft | 0,9659 | 0,8333 | 0,8947 |
| Automobile | 1,0000 | 0,8000 | 0,8889 |
| Infrastructure | 0,9550 | 0,9550 | 0,9550 |
| PublicTransitSystem | 0,9662 | 0,9309 | 0,9482 |
| Ship | 1,0000 | 0,6429 | 0,7826 |
| SpaceShuttle | 1,0000 | 0,8333 | 0,9091 |
| SpaceStation | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| Train | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Totals | 0,9660 | 0,9010 | 0,9324 |

3.2.2 Experiments that have lower number of training abstracts in model

In this experiment we get the model that is trained with all 3 domains together with coarse grained and we make an experiment with the text that is trained, that means text from all 3 domains annotated with coarse grained. Here we have for every domain 10 abstracts, so in total 30 annotated abstracts. This is our smallest model. How we can see from the picture in politics domain F1 value is lower than in sport and transportation. This results with lower F1 value in total, but still that is very satisfying value, because we have only 1 false positive and 2 false negative words.

Table 3.15: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| POLITICS | 0,9655 | 0,9333 | 0,9492 |
| SPORT | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| TRANSPORTATION | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Totals | 0,9811 | 0,9630 | 0,9720 |

In this experiment we get the previous trained model and test with politics coarse grained text. Result is not satisfying, because we have a very low recall, so that results with bad F1 value and very big false negative words. We can clearly say that this is not the model that we want to use in annotating. With those results we can say that all 3 domains together with all text perform better results than all 3 domain model tested with specified domain.

3. EXPERIMENTS

Table 3.16: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| POLITICS | 0,9655 | 0,3636 | 0,5283 |
| Totals | 0,9655 | 0,3636 | 0,5283 |

Then we get the same model, and like previous, we tested with sport coarse grained text. So how we can see from the picture, we have perfect result, there is no false positive or false negative words, there are only true positive which results with F1 value of 1.000 (no lost words).

Table 3.17: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| SPORT | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Totals | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |

Finally we tested this model with our last domain, transportation domain with coarse grained text. Because of very small annotated words we have again perfect results without any false positive or false negative words, same as previous test, there are only true positive matches, which is what we want.

Table 3.18: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| TRANSPORTATION | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Totals | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |

After we finish testing of all 3 domains trained with coarse grained texts, we move on with new type of experiment, model that contains all our domains and is trained with fine grained texts. We run the same experiment like in coarse grained, but now text is annotated with fine grained. So, in such small trained model is not surprising that we get the same results as in experiment with coarse grained annotated text.

Table 3.19: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Aircraft | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Athlete | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Coach | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| PoliticalParty | 0,9600 | 0,9231 | 0,9412 |
| Politician | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| PublicTransitSystem | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Ship | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsClub | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsEvent | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsLeague | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsTeam | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Totals | 0,9811 | 0,9630 | 0,9720 |

We have repeated the same experiments from previous, but now with fine grained annotation. So we tested our model with politics domain annotated in fine grained and we get exactly the same results like in coarse grained. In this case there is no difference if we use coarse or fine grained trained model, because the results are same.

Table 3.20: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Election | 0,0000 | 0,0000 | 0,0000 |
| PoliticalParty | 0,9600 | 0,9231 | 0,9412 |
| Politician | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Totals | 0,9655 | 0,3636 | 0,5283 |

The sport domain text annotated in fine grained provide also same result as coarse grained annotated domain.

Table 3.21: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Athlete | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Coach | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsClub | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsEvent | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsLeague | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsTeam | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Totals | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |

Same as sport domain, the transportation domain Figure ?? text annotated with fine grained, provide also same results.

3. EXPERIMENTS

Table 3.22: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Aircraft | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| PublicTransitSystem | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Ship | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Totals | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |

After experimenting with models that are trained with all domains together, we move on with experiments where we create specific models, both coarse and fine grained. First we tested the model that is created with politics text annotated in coarse grained. How we can see from Figure ?? we have a better results than that experiment that we provide in all 3 domains. The F1 value is very big which is the results of low false positive and false negative annotated words.

Table 3.23: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| POLITICS | 0,9737 | 0,9610 | 0,9673 |
| Totals | 0,9737 | 0,9610 | 0,9673 |

We also provide the same experiment like previous, but now the model is annotated in fine grained. From Figure ?? is clearly that we have improve our model, here the F1 value is bigger that in coarse grained (see Figure ??) and also false positive annotated words are decreased by 1. That means even we have a complete review of our entities and can see the precision of each entity, this domain, in total, is more precise that coarse grained model and all 3 domains in coarse and fine grained.

Table 3.24: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Election | 1,0000 | 0,9333 | 0,9655 |
| PoliticalParty | 0,9600 | 0,9231 | 0,9412 |
| Politician | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Totals | 0,9867 | 0,9610 | 0,9737 |

From here, with this small amount of data we can say that it's better to use a politics specific domain, in fine grained, than a global domain, because in global domain we have 28 words annotated as true positive, but in a politics specific coarse grained domain we have 74 words annotated as true positive, which is very big difference, if we want to be precise.

We repeated the experiment for sport specific domain, but in this case the results are exactly the same in coarse and fine grained (see Figure ?? and Figure ?? as well as in all 3 domains also in coarse and fine grained

Table 3.25: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| SPORT | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Totals | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |

Table 3.26: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Athlete | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Coach | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsClub | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsEvent | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsLeague | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsTeam | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Totals | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |

This model is really small, only 15 annotated words, that's because we cannot make a conclusion which model is better to use. But if we speak about performance, of course the better solution is specified domain.

The transportation model, like a sport domain, is also a very small, only 9 annotated words. We provide the experiments for coarse grained (see Figure ??) and we get same results as in all 3 domains (see Figure ??). Same is happening for the fine grained domain (see Figure ??). In such a small trained domain, we cannot clearly say which model is better to use.

Table 3.27: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| TRANSPORTATION | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Totals | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |

Table 3.28: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Aircraft | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| PublicTransitSystem | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Ship | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Totals | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |

In conclusion of this subsection, we can say that our strategy for creating a specific domain pays off, because in those domains we have a better results than in global domains. But let see in subsection ?? how domains will behave with a bigger data(text).

3. EXPERIMENTS

In subsection ?? we provide various experiments with different models. Now in this subsection we will repeat those experiments, but now we doubled the number of text to every domain, so we have 20 abstracts for every domain, in total 60 abstract. Those models, like previous, are trained in both coarse and fine grained. The first experiment in Figure ?? is now rerun with a bigger model and text. From Figure ?? we can see that there are more annotated words and surprisingly better results than in previous domain. Then we tested the model with politics domain text in coarse grained annotation. Again the results are quite better than previous experiment (see Figure ??), also now there is no false positive words, but this is still not satisfying. After that we tested this model with sport domain text in coarse grained annotation (see Figure ??). And like in previous experiment (see Figure ??) we have a perfect results, so we can say that this kind of model with very small domain annotated words is good to use. And finally we tested the model with transportation domain text in coarse grained annotation (see Figure ??). Figure ?? show us that the model annotated some false positive word with SPORT, which even we have a perfect F1 value for transportation domain, in overall calculation, because of that word we have a lower F1 value than experiment in Figure ??.

Table 3.29: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| POLITICS | 1,0000 | 0,9615 | 0,9804 |
| SPORT | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| TRANSPORTATION | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Totals | 1,0000 | 0,9780 | 0,9889 |

Table 3.30: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| POLITICS | 1,0000 | 0,3906 | 0,5618 |
| Totals | 1,0000 | 0,3906 | 0,5618 |

Table 3.31: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| SPORT | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Totals | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |

Table 3.32: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| SPORT | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| TRANSPORTATION | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Totals | 0,9231 | 1,0000 | 0,9600 |

Similarly like experiment with a coarse grained model, we repeated the same experiments, but now, of coarse, with fine grained model. We test the trained model with text from all 3 domains together in fine grained annotation, and how we can see from Figure ?? the results are quite worst that in experiment with coarse grained (see Figure ??), but better that the experiment where we have only 10 abstract (see Figure ??). Furthermore we test the model with politics text, and how we can see from Figure ?? again results are not even close to previous experiment with all 3 domains together where we have better results like overall also in politics domain. Then we move on with a sport text (see Figure ??) and again we have perfect results like in coarse grained (see Figure ??) and the experiment with 10 abstract (see Figure ??). And final experiment for this model is transportation text. Figure ?? shows us that results are worst that in coarse grained (see Figure ??). Now we do not have any false positive word annotated with SPORT domain, but we have a lower number of annotated words which results with a lower F1 value in total.

Table 3.33: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Aircraft | 1,0000 | 0,5000 | 0,6667 |
| Athlete | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Coach | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Infrastructure | 1,0000 | 0,5000 | 0,6667 |
| PoliticalParty | 1,0000 | 0,9512 | 0,9750 |
| Politician | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Ship | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SpaceShuttle | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SpaceStation | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsClub | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsEvent | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsLeague | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsTeam | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Totals | 1,0000 | 0,9560 | 0,9775 |

3. EXPERIMENTS

Table 3.34: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Election | 0,0000 | 0,0000 | 0,0000 |
| PoliticalParty | 1,0000 | 0,9512 | 0,9750 |
| Politician | 1,0000 | 0,2000 | 0,3333 |
| Totals | 1,0000 | 0,3906 | 0,5618 |

Table 3.35: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Athlete | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Coach | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsClub | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsEvent | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsLeague | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsTeam | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Totals | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |

Table 3.36: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Aircraft | 1,0000 | 0,5000 | 0,6667 |
| Infrastructure | 1,0000 | 0,5000 | 0,6667 |
| Ship | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SpaceShuttle | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SpaceStation | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsTeam | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| Totals | 0,9091 | 0,8333 | 0,8696 |

After we finish the experiments with models that are trained with texts from every domain, we do tests with models that are trained with texts from a particular domain (politics, sport and transportation). Figure ?? shows the output of politics coarse grain specified domain, where we can see that we have a way more better results than in experiment with a model trained with texts from all 3 domains (see Figure ?? and Figure ??). The politics specific domain finds 125 true positive words unlike the experiments with all 3 domains that gives us only 50 true positive annotated words. However we repeated this experiment, but now with model trained in fine grained. Figure ?? shows the result of experiment, where we can see that there is no false positive annotated word like in previous experiment (see Figure ??), which result with a better F1 value in total.

Table 3.37: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| POLITICS | 0,9921 | 0,9766 | 0,9843 |
| Totals | 0,9655 | 0,3636 | 0,5283 |

Table 3.38: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Election | 1,0000 | 0,9688 | 0,9841 |
| PoliticalParty | 1,0000 | 0,9512 | 0,9750 |
| Politician | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Totals | 1,0000 | 0,9766 | 0,9881 |

Sport specific domain do not disappointed us. Figure ?? show the output of experiment in coarse grained and Figure ?? show the output of experiment in fine grained. Both experiment same as experiments with all 3 domains together (see Figure ??, Figure ??, Figure ??, Figure ??) give us perfect results. But if you want to be more precise, we recommend to use the specific model, because is fastest of reading words.

Table 3.39: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| SPORT | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Totals | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |

Table 3.40: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Athlete | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Coach | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsClub | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsEvent | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsLeague | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsTeam | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Totals | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |

At the end we make experiments with a transportation specific domain. In Figure ?? we can see that our model gives us a worst results that experiment in all 3 domains. For instance experiment in Figure ?? gives us a perfect annotation with 1.0000 value at F1, also experiment in Figure ?? gives the same result like previous experiment, but here because of false positive annotated word in SPORT domain in total we have a lower value in F1. In overall experiments in all 3 domains gives a better result that the experiment in specific

3. EXPERIMENTS

domain. Of coarse we make an experiment with model that is trained with fine grained text. How Figure ?? shows that this model even gives worst result that the experiment with coarse grained model, and also worst results than the experiment in Figure ??.

Table 3.41: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| TRANSPORTATION | 1,0000 | 0,8333 | 0,9091 |
| Totals | 1,0000 | 0,8333 | 0,9091 |

Table 3.42: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Aircraft | 1,0000 | 0,5000 | 0,6667 |
| Infrastructure | 1,0000 | 0,5000 | 0,6667 |
| Ship | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SpaceShuttle | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SpaceStation | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Totals | 1,0000 | 0,7500 | 0,8571 |

Table 3.43: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| POLITICS | 0,9890 | 0,9375 | 0,9626 |
| SPORT | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| TRANSPORTATION | 1,0000 | 0,9846 | 0,9922 |
| Totals | 0,9960 | 0,9724 | 0,9841 |

Table 3.44: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| POLITICS | 0,9890 | 0,3529 | 0,5202 |
| Totals | 0,9890 | 0,3529 | 0,5202 |

Table 3.45: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| SPORT | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Totals | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |

Table 3.46: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| SPORT | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| TRANSPORTATION | 1,0000 | 0,9846 | 0,9922 |
| Totals | 0,9697 | 0,9846 | 0,9771 |

Table 3.47: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Aircraft | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Athlete | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Coach | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Infrastructure | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| PoliticalParty | 0,9863 | 0,9730 | 0,9796 |
| Politician | 1,0000 | 0,8182 | 0,9000 |
| PublicTransitSystem | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Ship | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SpaceShuttle | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SpaceStation | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsClub | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsEvent | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsLeague | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsTeam | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Totals | 0,9960 | 0,9764 | 0,9861 |

Table 3.48: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Election | 0,0000 | 0,0000 | 0,0000 |
| PoliticalParty | 0,9863 | 0,9730 | 0,9796 |
| Politician | 1,0000 | 0,1565 | 0,2707 |
| Totals | 0,9890 | 0,3529 | 0,5202 |

Table 3.49: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Athlete | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Coach | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsClub | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsEvent | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsLeague | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsTeam | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Totals | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |

3. EXPERIMENTS

Table 3.50: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Aircraft | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Infrastructure | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| PublicTransitSystem | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Ship | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SpaceShuttle | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SpaceStation | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsTeam | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| Totals | 0,9701 | 1,0000 | 0,9848 |

Table 3.51: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| POLITICS | 0,9921 | 0,9804 | 0,9862 |
| Totals | 0,9921 | 0,9804 | 0,9862 |

Table 3.52: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Election | 1,0000 | 0,9848 | 0,9924 |
| PoliticalParty | 0,9863 | 0,9730 | 0,9796 |
| Politician | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Totals | 0,9960 | 0,9882 | 0,9921 |

Table 3.53: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| SPORT | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Totals | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |

Table 3.54: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Athlete | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Coach | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsClub | 1,0000 | 0,9474 | 0,9730 |
| SportsEvent | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsLeague | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsTeam | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Totals | 1,0000 | 0,9890 | 0,9945 |

Table 3.55: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| TRANSPORTATION | 1,0000 | 0,9846 | 0,9922 |
| Totals | 1,0000 | 0,9846 | 0,9922 |

Table 3.56: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Aircraft | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Infrastructure | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| PublicTransitSystem | 1,0000 | 0,9630 | 0,9811 |
| Ship | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SpaceShuttle | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SpaceStation | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsTeam | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| Totals | 1,0000 | 0,9846 | 0,9922 |

Table 3.57: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| POLITICS | 0,9920 | 0,9612 | 0,9764 |
| SPORT | 0,9963 | 0,9926 | 0,9944 |
| TRANSPORTATION | 1,0000 | 0,9735 | 0,9865 |
| Totals | 0,9952 | 0,9766 | 0,9856 |

Table 3.58: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| POLITICS | 0,9920 | 0,3615 | 0,5299 |
| Totals | 0,9920 | 0,3615 | 0,5299 |

Table 3.59: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| SPORT | 0,9962 | 0,9888 | 0,9925 |
| Totals | 0,9962 | 0,9888 | 0,9925 |

Table 3.60: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| SPORT | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| TRANSPORTATION | 1,0000 | 0,9735 | 0,9865 |
| Totals | 0,9821 | 0,9735 | 0,9778 |

3. EXPERIMENTS

Table 3.61: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Aircraft | 1,0000 | 0,6957 | 0,8205 |
| Athlete | 1,0000 | 0,4167 | 0,5882 |
| Automobile | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Coach | 1,0000 | 0,6667 | 0,8000 |
| Infrastructure | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| PoliticalParty | 0,8774 | 0,6700 | 0,7598 |
| Politician | 1,0000 | 0,7455 | 0,8542 |
| PublicTransitSystem | 0,9744 | 0,7308 | 0,8352 |
| Ship | 1,0000 | 0,6000 | 0,7500 |
| SpaceShuttle | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SpaceStation | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsClub | 0,9512 | 0,9398 | 0,9455 |
| SportsEvent | 0,9737 | 0,8605 | 0,9136 |
| SportsLeague | 0,9500 | 0,8636 | 0,9048 |
| SportsManager | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsTeam | 1,0000 | 0,6364 | 0,7778 |
| Train | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Totals | 0,9452 | 0,7535 | 0,8385 |

Table 3.62: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Election | 0,0000 | 0,0000 | 0,0000 |
| PoliticalParty | 0,8774 | 0,6700 | 0,7598 |
| Politician | 1,0000 | 0,1285 | 0,2278 |
| SportsEvent | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| Totals | 0,8985 | 0,2580 | 0,4009 |

Table 3.63: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Athlete | 1,0000 | 0,4167 | 0,5882 |
| Coach | 1,0000 | 0,6667 | 0,8000 |
| SportsClub | 0,9506 | 0,9390 | 0,9448 |
| SportsEvent | 1,0000 | 0,8605 | 0,9250 |
| SportsLeague | 0,9500 | 0,8636 | 0,9048 |
| SportsManager | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsTeam | 1,0000 | 0,6250 | 0,7692 |
| Totals | 0,9683 | 0,7985 | 0,8753 |

Table 3.64: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Aircraft | 1,0000 | 0,6957 | 0,8205 |
| Automobile | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Infrastructure | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| PublicTransitSystem | 0,9744 | 0,7308 | 0,8352 |
| Ship | 1,0000 | 0,6000 | 0,7500 |
| SpaceShuttle | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SpaceStation | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsClub | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| SportsTeam | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| Train | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Totals | 0,9677 | 0,7965 | 0,8738 |

Table 3.65: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| POLITICS | 0,9956 | 0,9898 | 0,9927 |
| Totals | 0,9956 | 0,9898 | 0,9927 |

Table 3.66: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Election | 1,0000 | 0,9878 | 0,9939 |
| PoliticalParty | 0,9950 | 0,9852 | 0,9901 |
| Politician | 0,9937 | 0,9906 | 0,9922 |
| Totals | 0,9956 | 0,9883 | 0,9920 |

Table 3.67: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| SPORT | 0,9963 | 0,9963 | 0,9963 |
| Totals | 0,9963 | 0,9963 | 0,9963 |

3. EXPERIMENTS

Table 3.68: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Athlete | 1,0000 | 0,9722 | 0,9859 |
| Coach | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsClub | 1,0000 | 0,9878 | 0,9939 |
| SportsEvent | 1,0000 | 0,9767 | 0,9882 |
| SportsLeague | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsManager | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsTeam | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Totals | 1,0000 | 0,9888 | 0,9944 |

Table 3.69: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| TRANSPORTATION | 1,0000 | 0,9912 | 0,9956 |
| Totals | 1,0000 | 0,9912 | 0,9956 |

Table 3.70: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Aircraft | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Automobile | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Infrastructure | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| PublicTransitSystem | 1,0000 | 0,9808 | 0,9903 |
| Ship | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SpaceShuttle | 1,0000 | 0,6667 | 0,8000 |
| SpaceStation | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Train | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Totals | 1,0000 | 0,9735 | 0,9865 |

3.2.3 Experiments that have lower number of training abstracts in model

Table 3.71: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| POLITICS | 0,9804 | 0,9434 | 0,9615 |
| SPORT | 0,9832 | 0,9590 | 0,9709 |
| TRANSPORTATION | 0,9941 | 0,9754 | 0,9847 |
| Totals | 0,9849 | 0,9584 | 0,9714 |

Table 3.72: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| POLITICS | 0,9754 | 0,4082 | 0,5756 |
| SPORT | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| TRANSPORTATION | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| Totals | 0,9531 | 0,4082 | 0,5716 |

Table 3.73: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| POLITICS | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| SPORT | 0,9837 | 0,9588 | 0,9711 |
| TRANSPORTATION | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| Totals | 0,9805 | 0,9588 | 0,9695 |

Table 3.74: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| POLITICS | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| SPORT | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| TRANSPORTATION | 0,9939 | 0,9762 | 0,9806 |
| Totals | 0,9861 | 0,9822 | 0,9841 |

3. EXPERIMENTS

Table 3.75: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Aircraft | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Athlete | 1,0000 | 0,9899 | 0,9949 |
| Automobile | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Coach | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Infrastructure | 1,0000 | 0,9896 | 0,9948 |
| PoliticalParty | 0,9766 | 0,9486 | 0,9624 |
| Politician | 1,0000 | 0,9893 | 0,9946 |
| PublicTransitSystem | 0,9935 | 0,9776 | 0,9855 |
| Ship | 1,0000 | 0,9231 | 0,9600 |
| SpaceShuttle | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SpaceStation | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsClub | 0,9796 | 0,9658 | 0,9726 |
| SportsEvent | 1,0000 | 0,8636 | 0,9268 |
| SportsLeague | 0,9698 | 0,9835 | 0,9766 |
| SportsManager | 1,0000 | 0,9726 | 0,9861 |
| SportsTeam | 1,0000 | 0,9851 | 0,9925 |
| Train | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Totals | 0,9870 | 0,9709 | 0,9789 |

Table 3.76: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Aircraft | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| Election | 0,0000 | 0,0000 | 0,0000 |
| PoliticalParty | 0,9766 | 0,9484 | 0,9623 |
| Politician | 1,0000 | 0,2092 | 0,3460 |
| PublicTransitSystem | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| Ship | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| SportsClub | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| SportsLeague | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| Totals | 0,9619 | 0,4151 | 0,5799 |

Table 3.77: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Aircraft | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| Athlete | 1,0000 | 0,9899 | 0,9949 |
| Coach | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| PoliticalParty | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| Politician | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| SportsClub | 0,9794 | 0,9654 | 0,9724 |
| SportsEvent | 1,0000 | 0,8636 | 0,9268 |
| SportsLeague | 0,9696 | 0,9834 | 0,9765 |
| SportsManager | 1,0000 | 0,9726 | 0,9861 |
| SportsTeam | 1,0000 | 0,9850 | 0,9924 |
| Train | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| Totals | 0,9821 | 0,9721 | 0,9770 |

Table 3.78: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Aircraft | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Automobile | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Infrastructure | 1,0000 | 0,9896 | 0,9948 |
| PoliticalParty | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| Politician | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| PublicTransitSystem | 0,9934 | 0,9773 | 0,9853 |
| Ship | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SpaceShuttle | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SpaceStation | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsClub | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| SportsTeam | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| Train | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Totals | 0,9866 | 0,9866 | 0,9866 |

Table 3.79: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| POLITICS | 0,9866 | 0,9479 | 0,9669 |
| Totals | 0,9866 | 0,9479 | 0,9669 |

3. EXPERIMENTS

Table 3.80: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Election | 0,9975 | 0,9590 | 0,9779 |
| PoliticalParty | 0,9767 | 0,9530 | 0,9647 |
| Politician | 0,9977 | 0,9920 | 0,9948 |
| Totals | 0,9906 | 0,9717 | 0,9810 |

Table 3.81: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| SPORT | 0,9858 | 0,9676 | 0,9766 |
| Totals | 0,9858 | 0,8676 | 0,9766 |

Table 3.82: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Athlete | 1,0000 | 0,9731 | 0,9863 |
| Coach | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsClub | 0,9815 | 0,9715 | 0,9765 |
| SportsEvent | 1,0000 | 0,9091 | 0,9524 |
| SportsLeague | 0,9718 | 0,9787 | 0,9752 |
| SportsManager | 1,0000 | 0,9726 | 0,9850 |
| SportsTeam | 1,0000 | 0,9900 | 0,9796 |
| Totals | 0,9865 | 0,9727 | 0,9796 |

Table 3.83: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| TRANSPORTATION | 0,9954 | 0,9747 | 0,9850 |
| Totals | 0,9954 | 0,9747 | 0,9850 |

Table 3.84: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Aircraft | 1,0000 | 0,9835 | 0,9917 |
| Automobile | 1,0000 | 0,9583 | 0,9787 |
| Infrastructure | 1,0000 | 0,9948 | 0,9974 |
| PublicTransitSystem | 0,9934 | 0,9773 | 0,9853 |
| Ship | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SpaceShuttle | 1,0000 | 0,6667 | 0,8000 |
| SpaceStation | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Train | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Totals | 0,9970 | 0,9807 | 0,9888 |

Table 3.85: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| POLITICS | 0,9788 | 0,9444 | 0,9613 |
| SPORT | 0,9850 | 0,9596 | 0,9721 |
| TRANSPORTATION | 0,9962 | 0,9750 | 0,9855 |
| Totals | 0,9857 | 0,9587 | 0,9720 |

Table 3.86: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| POLITICS | 0,9734 | 0,4095 | 0,5765 |
| SPORT | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| TRANSPORTATION | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| Totals | 0,9549 | 0,4095 | 0,5732 |

Table 3.87: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| POLITICS | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| SPORT | 0,9849 | 0,9594 | 0,9720 |
| TRANSPORTATION | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| Totals | 0,9788 | 0,9594 | 0,9690 |

Table 3.88: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| POLITICS | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| SPORT | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| TRANSPORTATION | 0,9961 | 0,9769 | 0,9864 |
| Totals | 0,9870 | 0,9769 | 0,9819 |

3. EXPERIMENTS

Table 3.89: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Aircraft | 1,0000 | 0,9929 | 0,9964 |
| Athlete | 1,0000 | 0,9896 | 0,9948 |
| Automobile | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Coach | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Infrastructure | 1,0000 | 0,9783 | 0,9890 |
| PoliticalParty | 0,9775 | 0,9403 | 0,9585 |
| Politician | 1,0000 | 0,9874 | 0,9937 |
| PublicTransitSystem | 0,9944 | 0,9807 | 0,9875 |
| Ship | 1,0000 | 0,9259 | 0,9615 |
| SpaceShuttle | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SpaceStation | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsClub | 0,9756 | 0,9553 | 0,9654 |
| SportsEvent | 1,0000 | 0,8796 | 0,9360 |
| SportsLeague | 0,9700 | 0,9810 | 0,9755 |
| SportsManager | 1,0000 | 0,9780 | 0,9889 |
| SportsTeam | 1,0000 | 0,9831 | 0,9915 |
| Train | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Totals | 0,9866 | 0,9669 | 0,9766 |

Table 3.90: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Aircraft | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| Election | 0,0000 | 0,0000 | 0,0000 |
| PoliticalParty | 0,9774 | 0,9400 | 0,9583 |
| Politician | 1,0000 | 0,2171 | 0,3567 |
| PublicTransitSystem | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| Ship | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| SportsClub | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| SportsLeague | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| Totals | 0,9631 | 0,4138 | 0,5789 |

Table 3.91: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Aircraft | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| Athlete | 1,0000 | 0,9896 | 0,9948 |
| Coach | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| PoliticalParty | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| Politician | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| SportsClub | 0,9753 | 0,9549 | 0,9650 |
| SportsEvent | 1,0000 | 0,8796 | 0,9360 |
| SportsLeague | 0,9717 | 0,9810 | 0,9763 |
| SportsManager | 1,0000 | 0,9780 | 0,9889 |
| SportsTeam | 1,0000 | 0,9831 | 0,9915 |
| Train | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| Totals | 0,9785 | 0,9690 | 0,9737 |

Table 3.92: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Aircraft | 1,0000 | 0,9927 | 0,9963 |
| Automobile | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Infrastructure | 1,0000 | 0,9783 | 0,9890 |
| PoliticalParty | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| Politician | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| PublicTransitSystem | 0,9943 | 0,9804 | 0,9873 |
| Ship | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SpaceShuttle | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SpaceStation | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsClub | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| SportsTeam | 0,0000 | 1,0000 | 0,0000 |
| Train | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Totals | 0,9884 | 0,9833 | 0,9858 |

Table 3.93: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| POLITICS | 0,9808 | 0,9450 | 0,9626 |
| Totals | 0,9808 | 0,9450 | 0,9626 |

3. EXPERIMENTS

Table 3.94: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Election | 0,9915 | 0,9393 | 0,9647 |
| PoliticalParty | 0,9777 | 0,9502 | 0,9637 |
| Politician | 0,9962 | 0,9877 | 0,9919 |
| Totals | 0,9890 | 0,9648 | 0,9768 |

Table 3.95: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| SPORT | 0,9856 | 0,9706 | 0,9780 |
| Totals | 0,9856 | 0,9706 | 0,9780 |

Table 3.96: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Athlete | 1,0000 | 0,9791 | 0,9894 |
| Coach | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsClub | 0,9771 | 0,9630 | 0,9700 |
| SportsEvent | 1,0000 | 0,9074 | 0,9515 |
| SportsLeague | 0,9755 | 0,9848 | 0,9801 |
| SportsManager | 1,0000 | 0,9780 | 0,9889 |
| SportsTeam | 1,0000 | 0,9915 | 0,9957 |
| Totals | 0,9861 | 0,9731 | 0,9796 |

Table 3.97: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| TRANSPORTATION | 0,9974 | 0,9756 | 0,9864 |
| Totals | 0,9974 | 0,9756 | 0,9864 |

Table 3.98: TABLE

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Aircraft | 1,0000 | 0,9781 | 0,9889 |
| Automobile | 1,0000 | 0,8800 | 0,9362 |
| Infrastructure | 1,0000 | 0,9870 | 0,9934 |
| PublicTransitSystem | 0,9915 | 0,9804 | 0,9860 |
| Ship | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SpaceShuttle | 1,0000 | 0,6667 | 0,8000 |
| SpaceStation | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Train | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| Totals | 0,9961 | 0,9769 | 0,9864 |

3.2.4 MIXED

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Aircraft | 0,9242 | 0,5755 | 0,7093 |
| Athlete | 0,8182 | 0,3778 | 0,5169 |
| Automobile | 0,9565 | 0,3607 | 0,5238 |
| Coach | 1,0000 | 0,2000 | 0,3333 |
| Infrastructure | 1,0000 | 0,9896 | 0,9948 |
| Locomotive | 0,0000 | 0,0000 | 0,0000 |
| Motorcycle | 0,0000 | 0,0000 | 0,0000 |
| OrganisationMember | 0,0000 | 0,0000 | 0,0000 |
| PoliticalParty | 0,7656 | 0,5819 | 0,6613 |
| Politician | 0,8925 | 0,4099 | 0,5618 |
| PublicTransitSystem | 0,8291 | 0,6178 | 0,7080 |
| Ship | 0,9375 | 0,3409 | 0,5000 |
| SpaceShuttle | 1,0000 | 0,3750 | 0,5455 |
| SpaceStation | 1,0000 | 0,3333 | 0,5000 |
| SportsClub | 0,8009 | 0,4276 | 0,5575 |
| SportsEvent | 0,9559 | 0,3171 | 0,4762 |
| SportsLeague | 0,8071 | 0,5912 | 0,6824 |
| SportsManager | 0,9643 | 0,2903 | 0,4463 |
| SportsTeam | 0,8856 | 0,5838 | 0,7037 |
| Train | 1,0000 | 0,5455 | 0,7059 |
| Totals | 0,8206 | 0,4837 | 0,6087 |

Table 3.99: All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 300 With All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 500 Links And All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 500 Links With Lower PageRank

3. EXPERIMENTS

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Aircraft | 0,9735 | 0,6934 | 0,8099 |
| Athlete | 0,9101 | 0,6222 | 0,7391 |
| Automobile | 1,0000 | 0,4098 | 0,5814 |
| Coach | 1,0000 | 0,3000 | 0,4615 |
| Infrastructure | 0,8885 | 0,5218 | 0,6575 |
| Locomotive | 0,0000 | 0,0000 | 0,0000 |
| Motorcycle | 0,0000 | 0,0000 | 0,0000 |
| OrganisationMember | 0,0000 | 0,0000 | 0,0000 |
| PoliticalParty | 0,8393 | 0,7403 | 0,7876 |
| Politician | 0,9271 | 0,6593 | 0,7706 |
| PublicTransitSystem | 0,9027 | 0,7389 | 0,8126 |
| Rocket | 0,0000 | 0,0000 | 0,0000 |
| Ship | 0,9615 | 0,5682 | 0,7143 |
| SpaceShuttle | 1,0000 | 0,4375 | 0,6087 |
| SpaceStation | 1,0000 | 0,6667 | 0,8000 |
| SportsClub | 0,8722 | 0,6071 | 0,7159 |
| SportsEvent | 0,9000 | 0,4829 | 0,6286 |
| SportsLeague | 0,8622 | 0,7357 | 0,7939 |
| SportsManager | 0,9787 | 0,4946 | 0,6571 |
| SportsTeam | 0,9276 | 0,7514 | 0,8302 |
| Train | 1,0000 | 0,5455 | 0,7059 |
| Totals | 0,8844 | 0,6592 | 0,7553 |

Table 3.100: All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 500 Links With All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 500 Links And All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 500 Links With Lower Page Rank

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Aircraft | 0,6667 | 0,1111 | 0,1905 |
| Athlete | 0,4675 | 0,1268 | 0,1994 |
| Automobile | 0,0000 | 0,0000 | 0,0000 |
| Coach | 0,0000 | 0,0000 | 0,0000 |
| Infrastructure | 0,5352 | 0,1387 | 0,2203 |
| Locomotive | 0,0000 | 0,0000 | 0,0000 |
| Motorcycle | 0,0000 | 0,0000 | 0,0000 |
| OrganisationMember | 0,0000 | 0,0000 | 0,0000 |
| PoliticalParty | 0,5462 | 0,4097 | 0,4682 |
| Politician | 0,5962 | 0,1867 | 0,2844 |
| PublicTransitSystem | 0,6943 | 0,4098 | 0,5154 |
| Rocket | 0,0000 | 0,0000 | 0,0000 |
| Ship | 0,0000 | 0,0000 | 0,0000 |
| SpaceShuttle | 0,0000 | 0,0000 | 0,0000 |
| SpaceStation | 0,0000 | 0,0000 | 0,0000 |
| SportsClub | 0,6370 | 0,2675 | 0,3768 |
| SportsEvent | 0,2667 | 0,0412 | 0,0714 |
| SportsLeague | 0,6395 | 0,4125 | 0,5015 |
| SportsManager | 0,6000 | 0,0316 | 0,0600 |
| SportsTeam | 0,6316 | 0,2975 | 0,4045 |
| Train | 0,0000 | 0,0000 | 0,0000 |
| Totals | 0,5983 | 0,2670 | 0,3692 |

Table 3.101: All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 500 Links With All 3 Domains
Fine Grained Top 500 Links With Lower PageRank

3. EXPERIMENTS

| Entity | P | R | F1 |
|---------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Aircraft | 0,9950 | 0,9706 | 0,9826 |
| Athlete | 0,0000 | 0,0000 | 0,0000 |
| Automobile | 1,0000 | 0,8500 | 0,9189 |
| Coach | 0,0000 | 0,0000 | 0,0000 |
| Infrastructure | 1,0000 | 0,9820 | 0,9909 |
| PoliticalParty | 0,0000 | 0,0000 | 0,0000 |
| Politician | 0,0000 | 0,0000 | 0,0000 |
| PublicTransitSystem | 0,9835 | 0,9676 | 0,9755 |
| Ship | 1,0000 | 0,9655 | 0,9825 |
| SpaceShuttle | 1,0000 | 0,6667 | 0,8000 |
| SpaceStation | 1,0000 | 1,0000 | 1,0000 |
| SportsClub | 0,0000 | 0,0000 | 0,0000 |
| SportsEvent | 0,0000 | 0,0000 | 0,0000 |
| SportsLeague | 0,0000 | 0,0000 | 0,0000 |
| SportsManager | 0,0000 | 0,0000 | 0,0000 |
| SportsTeam | 0,0000 | 0,0000 | 0,0000 |
| Train | 1,0000 | 0,9091 | 0,9524 |
| Totals | 0,9909 | 0,3491 | 0,5163 |

Table 3.102: Transportation Fine Grained Top 500 Links Runned With All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 300 Links And Transportation Fine Grained Top 300 Links

3.2.5 Experiments with lower training abstracts on model, but higher abstracts on test file

```

CRFClassifier tagged 97089 words in 1 documents at 2905,12 words per second.
Entity P      R      F1      TP      FP      FN
Aircraft 1,0000 0,0435 0,0833 1       0       22
Athlete  1,0000 0,0278 0,0541 1       0       35
Automobile 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0       0       3
Coach    1,0000 0,3333 0,5000 1       0       2
Infrastructure 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0       0       22
PoliticalParty 0,6458 0,3054 0,4147 62      34      141
Politician 1,0000 0,0727 0,1356 4       0       51
PublicTransitSystem 0,8750 0,1346 0,2333 7       1       45
Ship     1,0000 0,2000 0,3333 1       0       4
SpaceShuttle 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0       0       6
SpaceStation 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0       0       1
SportsClub 0,0000 0,1084 0,1935 9       1       74
SportsEvent 1,0000 0,0233 0,0455 1       0       42
SportsLeague 0,6667 0,2121 0,3218 14      7       52
SportsManager 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0       0       6
SportsTeam 1,0000 0,0303 0,0588 1       0       32
Train    0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0       0       1
Totals   0,7034 0,1591 0,2595 102     43     539

C:\Dev\stanford-ner-2017-06-09>java -cp stanford-ner.jar edu.stanford.nlp.ie.crf.CRFClassifier -loadClassifier modelsWithOneAnnotation\Top10Links\ner-All3DomainsTop10LinksFineGrained.ser.gz -testFile domainsWithOneAnnotation\Top100Links\All3DomainsTop100LinksTextWithSameProcessedLinksOnAbstractFineGrainedSpecified.tsv

```

Figure 3.1: All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 10 Links Runned With All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 100 Links

3.2. List of experiments

```
CRFClassifier tagged 270361 words in 1 documents at 3542,70 words per second.
Entity P R F1 TP FP FN
Aircraft 1,0000 0,0098 0,0194 1 0 101
Athlete 1,0000 0,0050 0,0099 1 0 201
Automobile 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 20
Coach 1,0000 0,2500 0,4000 1 0 3
Infrastructure 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 111
PoliticalParty 0,5517 0,2192 0,3137 112 91 399
Politician 0,8000 0,0288 0,0556 4 1 135
PublicTransitSystem 0,6364 0,0282 0,0541 7 4 241
Ship 1,0000 0,0667 0,1250 1 0 14
SpaceShuttle 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 6
SpaceStation 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 1
SportsClub 0,8750 0,0403 0,0771 14 2 333
SportsEvent 1,0000 0,0152 0,0299 1 0 65
SportsLeague 0,6102 0,1173 0,1967 36 23 271
SportsManager 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 52
SportsTeam 1,0000 0,0065 0,0129 1 0 153
Train 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 6
Totals 0,5967 0,0781 0,1382 179 121 2112

C:\Dev\stanford-ner-2017-06-09>java -cp stanford-ner.jar edu.stanford.nlp.ie.crf.CRFClassifier -loadClassifier modelsWithOneAnnotation\Top10Links\ner-All3DomainsTop10LinksFineGrained.ser.gz -testFile domainsWithOneAnnotation\Top300Links\All3DomainsTop300LinksTextWithSameProcessedLinksOnAbstractFineGrainedSpecified.tsv
```

Figure 3.2: All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 10 Links Runned With All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 300 Links

```
CRFClassifier tagged 423454 words in 1 documents at 3197,54 words per second.
Entity P R F1 TP FP FN
Aircraft 1,0000 0,0071 0,0142 1 0 139
Athlete 1,0000 0,0026 0,0052 1 0 382
Automobile 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 25
Coach 1,0000 0,1667 0,2857 1 0 5
Infrastructure 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 230
PoliticalParty 0,5385 0,1957 0,2870 154 132 633
Politician 0,8000 0,0167 0,0328 4 1 235
PublicTransitSystem 0,4118 0,0193 0,0369 7 10 355
Ship 1,0000 0,0370 0,0714 1 0 26
SpaceShuttle 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 7
SpaceStation 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 2
SportsClub 0,8148 0,0351 0,0673 22 5 605
SportsEvent 1,0000 0,0093 0,0183 1 0 107
SportsLeague 0,5556 0,0854 0,1480 45 36 482
SportsManager 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 91
SportsTeam 1,0000 0,0295 0,0574 7 0 230
Train 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 6
Totals 0,5701 0,0641 0,1153 244 184 3560

C:\Dev\stanford-ner-2017-06-09>java -Xmx11g -cp stanford-ner.jar edu.stanford.nlp.ie.crf.CRFClassifier -loadClassifier modelsWithOneAnnotation\Top10Links\ner-All3DomainsTop10LinksFineGrained.ser.gz -testFile domainsWithOneAnnotation\Top500Links\All3DomainsTop500LinksTextWithSameProcessedLinksOnAbstractFineGrainedSpecified.tsv
```

Figure 3.3: All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 10 Links Runned With All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 500 Links

3. EXPERIMENTS

```
CRFClassifier tagged 336613 words in 1 documents at 2416,32 words per second.
Entity P      R      F1      TP      FP      FN
Aircraft 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      72
Athlete 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      284
Automobile 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      36
Coach 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      14
Infrastructure 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      274
Locomotive 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      2
Motorcycle 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      1
OrganisationMember 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      1
PoliticalParty 0,3916 0,1176 0,1809 56      87      420
Politician 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      166
PublicTransitSystem 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      10
Rocket 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      5
Ship 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      17
SpaceShuttle 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      9
SpaceStation 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      1
SportsClub 0,5455 0,0187 0,0361 12      10      631
SportsEvent 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      97
SportsLeague 0,4348 0,0500 0,0897 20      26      380
SportsManager 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      95
SportsTeam 1,0000 0,0331 0,0640 4      0      117
Train 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      5
Totals 0,4089 0,0308 0,0573 92      133      2893

C:\Dev\stanford-ner-2017-06-09>java -Xmx11g -cp stanford-ner.jar edu.stanford.nlp.ie.crf.CRFClassifier -loadClassifier modelsWithOneAnnotation\Top10Links\ner-All3DomainsTop10LinksFineGrained.ser.gz -testFile domainsWithOneAnnotation\Top500Links\All3DomainsTop500LinksTextWithSameProcessedLinksOnAbstractFineGrainedSpecifiedWithLowerPageRank.tsv
```

Figure 3.4: All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 10 Links Runned With All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 500 Links With Lower PageRank

```
CRFClassifier tagged 423454 words in 1 documents at 2649,15 words per second.
Entity P      R      F1      TP      FP      FN
Aircraft 0,9677 0,2143 0,3509 30      1      110
Athlete 1,0000 0,0392 0,0754 15      0      368
Automobile 1,0000 0,1600 0,2759 4      0      21
Coach 1,0000 0,3333 0,5000 2      0      4
Infrastructure 0,8065 0,1087 0,1916 25      6      205
PoliticalParty 0,7288 0,4473 0,5543 352      131      435
Politician 0,8851 0,3222 0,4724 77      10      162
PublicTransitSystem 0,8217 0,3564 0,4971 129      28      233
Ship 1,0000 0,1111 0,2000 3      0      24
SpaceShuttle 1,0000 0,8571 0,9231 6      0      1
SpaceStation 1,0000 0,5000 0,6667 1      0      1
SportsClub 0,8193 0,3254 0,4658 204      45      423
SportsEvent 0,9048 0,3519 0,5067 38      4      70
SportsLeague 0,8392 0,4953 0,6229 261      50      266
SportsManager 1,0000 0,0769 0,1429 7      0      84
SportsTeam 1,0000 0,1814 0,3071 43      0      194
Train 1,0000 0,5000 0,6667 3      0      3
Totals 0,8136 0,3155 0,4546 1200      275      2604

C:\Dev\stanford-ner-2017-06-09>java -Xmx11g -cp stanford-ner.jar edu.stanford.nlp.ie.crf.CRFClassifier -loadClassifier modelsWithOneAnnotation\Top100Links\ner-All3DomainsTop100LinksFineGrained.ser.gz -testFile domainsWithOneAnnotation\Top500Links\All3DomainsTop500LinksTextWithSameProcessedLinksOnAbstractFineGrainedSpecifiedWithLowerPageRank.tsv
```

Figure 3.5: All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 100 Links Runned With All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 500 Links

3.2. List of experiments

```
CRFClassifier tagged 336613 words in 1 documents at 3519,92 words per second.
Entity P R F1 TP FP FN
Aircraft 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 1 72
Athlete 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 284
Automobile 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 36
Coach 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 14
Infrastructure 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 7 274
Locomotive 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 2
Motorcycle 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 1
OrganisationMember 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 1
PoliticalParty 0,5022 0,2374 0,3224 113 112 363
Politician 0,5517 0,0964 0,1641 16 13 150
PublicTransitSystem 0,7255 0,1391 0,2334 37 14 229
Rocket 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 5
Ship 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 17
SpaceShuttle 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 9
SpaceStation 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 1
SportsClub 0,6093 0,1431 0,2317 92 59 551
SportsEvent 0,1667 0,0103 0,0194 1 5 96
SportsLeague 0,6092 0,2650 0,3693 106 68 294
SportsManager 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 1 95
SportsTeam 0,8571 0,0496 0,0937 6 1 115
Train 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 5
Totals 0,5690 0,1243 0,2040 371 281 2614

C:\Dev\stanford-ner-2017-06-09>java -Xmx11g -cp stanford-ner.jar edu.stanford.nlp.ie.crf.CRFClassifier -loadClassifier modelsWithOneAnnotation\Top100Links\ner-All3DomainsTop100LinksFineGrained.ser.gz -testFile domainsWithOneAnnotation\Top500Links\PoliticsFineGrainedTop500LinksTextWithSameProcessedLinksOnAbstractFineGrainedSpecifiedWithLowerPageRank.tsv
```

Figure 3.6: All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 100 Links Runned With All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 500 Links With Lower PageRank

```
CRFClassifier tagged 164060 words in 1 documents at 3254,58 words per second.
Entity P R F1 TP FP FN
Election 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 494
PoliticalParty 0,7282 0,4483 0,5549 351 131 432
Politician 0,9398 0,0739 0,1371 78 5 977
SportsEvent 0,0000 1,0000 0,0000 0 1 0
SportsLeague 0,0000 1,0000 0,0000 0 5 0
Totals 0,7513 0,1840 0,2956 429 142 1903

C:\Dev\stanford-ner-2017-06-09>java -Xmx11g -cp stanford-ner.jar edu.stanford.nlp.ie.crf.CRFClassifier -loadClassifier modelsWithOneAnnotation\Top100Links\ner-All3DomainsTop100LinksFineGrained.ser.gz -testFile domainsWithOneAnnotation\Top500Links\PoliticsFineGrainedTop500Links.tsv
```

Figure 3.7: All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 100 Links Runned With Politics Fine Grained Top 500 Links

```
CRFClassifier tagged 117053 words in 1 documents at 3034,66 words per second.
Entity P R F1 TP FP FN
Election 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 197
Infrastructure 0,0000 1,0000 0,0000 0 2 0
PoliticalParty 0,5045 0,2379 0,3233 113 111 362
Politician 0,6667 0,0245 0,0473 16 8 636
PublicTransitSystem 0,0000 1,0000 0,0000 0 3 0
SportsEvent 0,0000 1,0000 0,0000 0 2 0
SportsLeague 0,0000 1,0000 0,0000 0 3 0
Totals 0,5000 0,0974 0,1631 129 129 1195

C:\Dev\stanford-ner-2017-06-09>java -Xmx11g -cp stanford-ner.jar edu.stanford.nlp.ie.crf.CRFClassifier -loadClassifier modelsWithOneAnnotation\Top100Links\ner-All3DomainsTop100LinksFineGrained.ser.gz -testFile domainsWithOneAnnotation\Top500Links\PoliticsFineGrainedTop500LinksTextWithSameProcessedLinksOnAbstractFineGrainedSpecifiedWithLowerPageRank.tsv
```

Figure 3.8: All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 100 Links Runned With Politics Fine Grained Top 500 Links With Lower PageRank

3. EXPERIMENTS

```
CRFClassifier tagged 142322 words in 1 documents at 3035,30 words per second.
Entity P      R      F1      TP      FP      FN
Athlete 1,0000  0,0392  0,0754  15      0      368
Coach 1,0000  0,3333  0,5000  2       0      4
Infrastructure 0,0000  1,0000  0,0000  0       1      0
PoliticalParty 0,0000  1,0000  0,0000  0       1      0
Politician 0,0000  1,0000  0,0000  0       1      0
SportsClub 0,8185  0,3269  0,4672  203     45     418
SportsEvent 0,9268  0,3519  0,5101  38      3      70
SportsLeague 0,8497  0,4952  0,6258  260     46     265
SportsManager 1,0000  0,0769  0,1429  7       0      84
SportsTeam 1,0000  0,1780  0,3022  42      0      194
Totals 0,8539  0,2878  0,4305  567     97     1403

C:\Dev\stanford-ner-2017-06-09>java -Xmx11g -cp stanford-ner.jar edu.stanford.nlp.ie.crf.CRFClassifier -loadClassifier modelsWithOneAnnotation\Top100Links\ner-All3DomainsTop100LinksFineGrained.ser.gz -testFile domainsWithOneAnnotation\Top500Links\SportFineGrainedTop500Links.tsv
```

Figure 3.9: All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 100 Links Runned With Sport Fine Grained Top 500 Links

```
CRFClassifier tagged 118469 words in 1 documents at 3761,28 words per second.
Entity P      R      F1      TP      FP      FN
Athlete 0,0000  0,0000  0,0000  0       0      271
Coach 0,0000  0,0000  0,0000  0       0      14
Infrastructure 0,0000  1,0000  0,0000  0       1      0
OrganisationMember 0,0000  0,0000  0,0000  0       0      1
Politician 0,0000  1,0000  0,0000  0       4      0
SportsClub 0,6216  0,1467  0,2374  92      56     535
SportsEvent 0,2500  0,0104  0,0200  1       3      95
SportsLeague 0,6082  0,2620  0,3662  104     67     293
SportsManager 0,0000  0,0000  0,0000  0       1      89
SportsTeam 0,8571  0,0504  0,0952  6       1      113
Totals 0,6042  0,1258  0,2082  203     133    1411

C:\Dev\stanford-ner-2017-06-09>java -Xmx11g -cp stanford-ner.jar edu.stanford.nlp.ie.crf.CRFClassifier -loadClassifier modelsWithOneAnnotation\Top100Links\ner-All3DomainsTop100LinksFineGrained.ser.gz -testFile domainsWithOneAnnotation\Top500Links\LowerPageRank\SportFineGrainedTop500Links\LowerPageRank.tsv
```

Figure 3.10: All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 100 Links Runned With Sport Fine Grained Top 500 Links With Lower PageRank

```
CRFClassifier tagged 117072 words in 1 documents at 3791,68 words per second.
Entity P      R      F1      TP      FP      FN
Aircraft 0,9677  0,2190  0,3571  30      1      107
Automobile 1,0000  0,1600  0,2759  4       0      21
Infrastructure 0,8333  0,1087  0,1923  25      5      205
Politician 0,0000  1,0000  0,0000  0       3      0
PublicTransitSystem 0,8217  0,3603  0,5010  129     28     229
Ship 1,0000  0,1875  0,3158  3       0      13
SpaceShuttle 1,0000  1,0000  1,0000  6       0      0
SpaceStation 1,0000  0,5000  0,6667  1       0      1
SportsClub 0,0000  1,0000  0,0000  0       1      0
SportsTeam 0,0000  1,0000  0,0000  0       1      0
Train 1,0000  0,6000  0,7500  3       0      2
Totals 0,8375  0,2580  0,3945  201     39     578

C:\Dev\stanford-ner-2017-06-09>java -Xmx11g -cp stanford-ner.jar edu.stanford.nlp.ie.crf.CRFClassifier -loadClassifier modelsWithOneAnnotation\Top100Links\ner-All3DomainsTop100LinksFineGrained.ser.gz -testFile domainsWithOneAnnotation\Top500Links\TransportationFineGrainedTop500Links.tsv
```

Figure 3.11: All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 100 Links Runned With Transportation Fine Grained Top 500 Links

3.2. List of experiments

```
CRFClassifier tagged 101091 words in 1 documents at 3831,82 words per second.
Entity P R F1 TP FP FN
Aircraft 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 1 72
Automobile 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 36
Infrastructure 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 4 272
Locomotive 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 2
Motorcycle 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 1
PoliticalParty 0,0000 1,0000 0,0000 0 1 0
Politician 0,0000 1,0000 0,0000 0 1 0
PublicTransitSystem 0,7083 0,1399 0,2337 34 14 209
Rocket 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 5
Ship 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 12
SpaceShuttle 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 9
SpaceStation 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 1
SportsClub 0,0000 1,0000 0,0000 0 3 0
Train 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 5
Totals 0,5862 0,0517 0,0950 34 24 624

C:\Dev\stanford-ner-2017-06-09>java -Xmx11g -cp stanford-ner.jar edu.stanford.nlp.ie.crf.CRFClassifier -loadClassifier modelsWithOneAnnotation\Top100Links\ner-All3DomainsTop100LinksFineGrained.ser.gz -testFile domainsWithOneAnnotation\Top500Links\ner-All3DomainsTop500LinksTextWithSameProcessedLinksOnAbstractFineGrainedSpecified.tsv
```

Figure 3.12: All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 100 Links Runned With Transportation Fine Grained Top 500 Links With Lower PageRank

```
CRFClassifier tagged 423454 words in 1 documents at 2349,18 words per second.
Entity P R F1 TP FP FN
Aircraft 0,9573 0,8000 0,8716 112 5 28
Athlete 0,8889 0,5849 0,7055 224 28 159
Automobile 0,9565 0,8800 0,9167 22 1 3
Coach 1,0000 0,6667 0,8000 4 0 2
Infrastructure 0,9034 0,5696 0,6987 131 14 99
PoliticalParty 0,8854 0,7268 0,7983 572 74 215
Politician 0,9664 0,6025 0,7423 144 5 95
PublicTransitSystem 0,8966 0,7901 0,8399 286 33 76
Ship 1,0000 0,5556 0,7143 15 0 12
SpaceShuttle 1,0000 0,8571 0,9231 6 0 1
SpaceStation 1,0000 0,5000 0,6667 1 0 1
SportsClub 0,9018 0,6443 0,7516 404 44 223
SportsEvent 0,9688 0,5741 0,7209 62 2 46
SportsLeague 0,9079 0,7856 0,8423 414 42 113
SportsManager 0,9811 0,5714 0,7222 52 1 39
SportsTeam 0,9784 0,7637 0,8578 181 4 56
Train 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 6 0 0
Totals 0,9124 0,6930 0,7877 2636 253 1168

C:\Dev\stanford-ner-2017-06-09>java -Xmx11g -cp stanford-ner.jar edu.stanford.nlp.ie.crf.CRFClassifier -loadClassifier modelsWithOneAnnotation\Top300Links\ner-All3DomainsTop300LinksFineGrained.ser.gz -testFile domainsWithOneAnnotation\Top500Links\ner-All3DomainsTop500LinksTextWithSameProcessedLinksOnAbstractFineGrainedSpecified.tsv
```

Figure 3.13: All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 300 Links Runned With All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 500 Links

3. EXPERIMENTS

```
CRFClassifier tagged 336613 words in 1 documents at 3545,42 words per second.
Entity P      R      F1      TP      FP      FN
Aircraft 0,6667 0,1389 0,2299 10      5      62
Athlete 0,5000 0,0986 0,1647 28      28     256
Automobile 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      36
Coach 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      14
Infrastructure 0,5667 0,0620 0,1118 17      13     257
Locomotive 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      2
Motorcycle 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      1
OrganisationMember 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      1
PoliticalParty 0,5191 0,3424 0,4127 163     151    313
Politician 0,5946 0,1325 0,2167 22      15     144
PublicTransitSystem 0,6846 0,3835 0,4916 102     47     164
Rocket 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      5
Ship 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      1      17
SpaceShuttle 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      9
SpaceStation 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      1
SportsClub 0,6043 0,2162 0,3184 139     91     504
SportsEvent 0,7500 0,0309 0,0594 3        1      94
SportsLeague 0,6009 0,3350 0,4302 134     89     266
SportsManager 0,6667 0,0211 0,0408 2        1      93
SportsTeam 0,5490 0,2314 0,3256 28      23     93
Train 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      5
Totals 0,5822 0,2171 0,3163 648     465    2337

C:\Dev\stanford-ner-2017-06-09>java -Xmx11g -cp stanford-ner.jar edu.stanford.nlp.ie.crf.CRFClassifier -loadClassifier modelsWithOneAnnotation\Top300Links\ner-All3DomainsTop300LinksFineGrained.ser.gz -testFile domainsWithOneAnnotation\Top500Links\PoliticsFineGrainedTop500LinksTextWithSameProcessedLinksOnAbstractFineGrainedSpecifiedWithLowerPageRank.tsv
```

Figure 3.14: All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 300 Links Runned With All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 500 Links With Lower PageRank

```
CRFClassifier tagged 164060 words in 1 documents at 4066,23 words per second.
Entity P      R      F1      TP      FP      FN
Athlete 0,0000 1,0000 0,0000 0      9      0
Election 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      494
PoliticalParty 0,8853 0,7292 0,7997 571     74     212
Politician 0,9792 0,1336 0,2352 141     3      914
PublicTransitSystem 0,0000 1,0000 0,0000 0      2      0
Ship 0,0000 1,0000 0,0000 0      1      0
SportsClub 0,0000 1,0000 0,0000 0      1      0
SportsLeague 0,0000 1,0000 0,0000 0      2      0
SportsManager 0,0000 1,0000 0,0000 0      1      0
Totals 0,8845 0,3053 0,4539 712     93     1620

C:\Dev\stanford-ner-2017-06-09>java -Xmx11g -cp stanford-ner.jar edu.stanford.nlp.ie.crf.CRFClassifier -loadClassifier modelsWithOneAnnotation\Top300Links\ner-All3DomainsTop300LinksFineGrained.ser.gz -testFile domainsWithOneAnnotation\Top500Links\PoliticsFineGrainedTop500Links.tsv
```

Figure 3.15: All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 300 Links Runned With Politics Fine Grained Top 500 Links

```
CRFClassifier tagged 117053 words in 1 documents at 4211,90 words per second.
Entity P      R      F1      TP      FP      FN
Athlete 0,0000 1,0000 0,0000 0      6      0
Election 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      197
Infrastructure 0,0000 1,0000 0,0000 0      1      0
PoliticalParty 0,5209 0,3411 0,4122 162     149    313
Politician 0,5882 0,0307 0,0583 20      14     632
PublicTransitSystem 0,0000 1,0000 0,0000 0      9      0
SportsLeague 0,0000 1,0000 0,0000 0      2      0
SportsTeam 0,0000 1,0000 0,0000 0      1      0
Totals 0,5000 0,1375 0,2156 182     182    1142

C:\Dev\stanford-ner-2017-06-09>java -Xmx11g -cp stanford-ner.jar edu.stanford.nlp.ie.crf.CRFClassifier -loadClassifier modelsWithOneAnnotation\Top300Links\ner-All3DomainsTop300LinksFineGrained.ser.gz -testFile domainsWithOneAnnotation\Top500Links\PoliticsFineGrainedTop500Links\ner-All3DomainsTop300LinksFineGrained.ser.gz -testFile domainsWithOneAnnotation\Top500Links\PoliticsFineGrainedTop500Links\ner-All3DomainsTop300LinksFineGrained.ser.gz
```

Figure 3.16: All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 300 Links Runned With Politics Fine Grained Top 500 Links With Lower PageRank

3.2. List of experiments

```
CRFClassifier tagged 142322 words in 1 documents at 4466,12 words per second.
Entity P R F1 TP FP FN
Aircraft 0,0000 1,0000 0,0000 0 1 0
Athlete 0,9218 0,5849 0,7157 224 19 159
Automobile 0,0000 1,0000 0,0000 0 1 0
Coach 1,0000 0,6667 0,8000 4 0 2
PoliticalParty 0,0000 1,0000 0,0000 0 1 0
Politician 0,0000 1,0000 0,0000 0 3 0
SportsClub 0,9009 0,6441 0,7512 400 44 221
SportsEvent 0,9688 0,5741 0,7209 62 2 46
SportsLeague 0,9097 0,7867 0,8437 413 41 112
SportsManager 1,0000 0,5714 0,7273 52 0 39
SportsTeam 0,9783 0,7627 0,8571 180 4 56
Train 0,0000 1,0000 0,0000 0 1 0
Totals 0,9194 0,6777 0,7802 1335 117 635

C:\Dev\stanford-ner-2017-06-09>java -Xmx11g -cp stanford-ner.jar edu.stanford.nlp.ie.crf.CRFClassifier -loadClassifier modelsWithOneAnnotation\Top300Links\ner-All3DomainsTop300LinksFineGrained.ser.gz -testFile domainsWithOneAnnotation\Top500Links\SportFineGrainedTop500Links.tsv
```

Figure 3.17: All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 300 Links Runned With Sport Fine Grained Top 500 Links

```
CRFClassifier tagged 118469 words in 1 documents at 4461,27 words per second.
Entity P R F1 TP FP FN
Athlete 0,5833 0,1033 0,1755 28 20 243
Coach 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 14
OrganisationMember 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 1
PoliticalParty 0,0000 1,0000 0,0000 0 3 0
Politician 0,0000 1,0000 0,0000 0 3 0
SportsClub 0,6096 0,2217 0,3251 139 89 488
SportsEvent 0,7500 0,0313 0,0600 3 1 93
SportsLeague 0,6000 0,3325 0,4270 132 88 265
SportsManager 0,6667 0,0225 0,0435 2 1 87
SportsTeam 0,5833 0,2353 0,3353 28 20 91
Totals 0,5961 0,2057 0,3058 332 225 1282

C:\Dev\stanford-ner-2017-06-09>java -Xmx11g -cp stanford-ner.jar edu.stanford.nlp.ie.crf.CRFClassifier -loadClassifier modelsWithOneAnnotation\Top300Links\ner-All3DomainsTop300LinksFineGrained.ser.gz -testFile domainsWithOneAnnotation\Top500Links\WithLowerPageRank\SportFineGrainedTop500Links\WithLowerPageRank.tsv
```

Figure 3.18: All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 300 Links Runned With Sport Fine Grained Top 500 Links With Lower PageRank

```
CRFClassifier tagged 117072 words in 1 documents at 4190,42 words per second.
Entity P R F1 TP FP FN
Aircraft 0,9569 0,8102 0,8775 111 5 26
Automobile 1,0000 0,8800 0,9362 22 0 3
Infrastructure 0,9034 0,5696 0,6987 131 14 99
Politician 0,0000 1,0000 0,0000 0 2 0
PublicTransitSystem 0,8959 0,7933 0,8415 284 33 74
Ship 1,0000 0,8750 0,9333 14 0 2
SpaceShuttle 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 6 0 0
SpaceStation 1,0000 0,5000 0,6667 1 0 1
SportsClub 0,0000 1,0000 0,0000 0 3 0
SportsTeam 0,0000 1,0000 0,0000 0 1 0
Train 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 5 0 0
Totals 0,9082 0,7368 0,8136 574 58 205

C:\Dev\stanford-ner-2017-06-09>java -Xmx11g -cp stanford-ner.jar edu.stanford.nlp.ie.crf.CRFClassifier -loadClassifier modelsWithOneAnnotation\Top300Links\ner-All3DomainsTop300LinksFineGrained.ser.gz -testFile domainsWithOneAnnotation\Top500Links\TransportationFineGrainedTop500Links.tsv
```

Figure 3.19: All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 300 Links Runned With Transportation Fine Grained Top 500 Links

3. EXPERIMENTS

```
CRFClassifier tagged 101091 words in 1 documents at 3283,24 words per second.
Entity P R F1 TP FP FN
Aircraft 0,6667 0,1389 0,2299 10 5 62
Athlete 0,0000 1,0000 0,0000 0 2 0
Automobile 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 36
Infrastructure 0,5862 0,0625 0,1130 17 12 255
Locomotive 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 2
Motorcycle 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 1
PublicTransitSystem 0,6714 0,3868 0,4909 94 46 149
Rocket 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 5
Ship 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 1 12
SpaceShuttle 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 9
SpaceStation 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 1
SportsClub 0,0000 1,0000 0,0000 0 2 0
SportsLeague 0,0000 1,0000 0,0000 0 1 0
SportsTeam 0,0000 1,0000 0,0000 0 2 0
Train 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 5
Totals 0,6302 0,1839 0,2847 121 71 537

C:\Dev\stanford-ner-2017-06-09>java -Xmx11g -cp stanford-ner.jar edu.stanford.nlp.ie.crf.CRFClassifier -loadClassifier modelsWithOneAnnotation\Top300Links\ner-All3DomainsTop300LinksFineGrained.ser.gz -testFile domainsWithOneAnnotation\Top500Links\TransportationFineGrainedTop500Links\LowerPageRank.tsv
```

Figure 3.20: All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 300 Links Runned With Transportation Fine Grained Top 500 Links With Lower PageRank

3.2.6 Experiments with higher training abstracts on model, but lower abstracts on test file

```
CRFClassifier tagged 10336 words in 1 documents at 2532,71 words per second.
Entity P R F1 TP FP FN
Aircraft 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 1 0 0
Athlete 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 1 0 0
Coach 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 1 0 0
PoliticalParty 0,9545 0,8077 0,8750 21 1 5
Politician 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 4 0 0
PublicTransitSystem 1,0000 0,8571 0,9231 6 0 1
Ship 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 1 0 0
SportsClub 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 7 0 0
SportsEvent 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 1 0 0
SportsLeague 1,0000 0,7500 0,8571 3 0 1
SportsTeam 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 1 0 0
Totals 0,9792 0,8704 0,9216 47 1 7

C:\Dev\stanford-ner-2017-06-09>java -Xmx11g -cp stanford-ner.jar edu.stanford.nlp.ie.crf.CRFClassifier -loadClassifier modelsWithOneAnnotation\Top300Links\ner-All3DomainsTop300LinksFineGrained.ser.gz -testFile domainsWithOneAnnotation\Top10Links\All3DomainsTop10LinksTextWithSameProcessedLinksOnAbstractFineGrainedSpecified.tsv
```

Figure 3.21: All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 300 Links Runned With All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 10 Links

3.2. List of experiments

```
CRFClassifier tagged 97089 words in 1 documents at 4145,56 words per second.
Entity P R F1 TP FP FN
Aircraft 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 23 0 0
Athlete 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 36 0 0
Automobile 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 3 0 0
Coach 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 3 0 0
Infrastructure 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 22 0 0
PoliticalParty 0,9848 0,9606 0,9726 195 3 8
Politician 1,0000 0,8909 0,9423 49 0 6
PublicTransitSystem 0,9800 0,9423 0,9608 49 1 3
Ship 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 5 0 0
SpaceShuttle 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 6 0 0
SpaceStation 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 1 0 0
SportsClub 0,9639 0,9639 0,9639 80 3 3
SportsEvent 1,0000 0,9767 0,9882 42 0 1
SportsLeague 0,9403 0,9545 0,9474 63 4 3
SportsManager 1,0000 0,8333 0,9091 5 0 1
SportsTeam 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 33 0 0
Train 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 1 0 0
Totals 0,9825 0,9610 0,9716 616 11 25

C:\Dev\stanford-ner-2017-06-09>java -Xmx11g -cp stanford-ner.jar edu.stanford.nlp.ie.crf.CRFClassifier -loadClassifier modelsWithOneAnnotation\Top300Links\ner-All3DomainsTop300LinksFineGrained.ser.gz -testFile domainsWithOneAnnotation\Top100Links\All3DomainsTop100LinksTextWithSameProcessedLinksOnAbstractFineGrainedSpecified.tsv
```

Figure 3.22: All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 300 Links Runned With All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 100 Links

```
CRFClassifier tagged 4504 words in 1 documents at 2969,02 words per second.
Entity P R F1 TP FP FN
Election 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 15
PoliticalParty 0,9545 0,8077 0,8750 21 1 5
Politician 1,0000 0,1111 0,2000 4 0 32
Totals 0,9615 0,3247 0,4854 25 1 52

C:\Dev\stanford-ner-2017-06-09>java -Xmx11g -cp stanford-ner.jar edu.stanford.nlp.ie.crf.CRFClassifier -loadClassifier modelsWithOneAnnotation\Top300Links\ner-All3DomainsTop300LinksFineGrained.ser.gz -testFile domainsWithOneAnnotation\Top100Links\PoliticsFineGrainedTop100Links.tsv
```

Figure 3.23: All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 300 Links Runned With Politics Fine Grained Top 10 Links

```
CRFClassifier tagged 40673 words in 1 documents at 4019,47 words per second.
Entity P R F1 TP FP FN
Election 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 164
PoliticalParty 0,9848 0,9606 0,9726 195 3 8
Politician 1,0000 0,1536 0,2663 49 0 270
Totals 0,9879 0,3557 0,5230 244 3 442

C:\Dev\stanford-ner-2017-06-09>java -Xmx11g -cp stanford-ner.jar edu.stanford.nlp.ie.crf.CRFClassifier -loadClassifier modelsWithOneAnnotation\Top300Links\ner-All3DomainsTop300LinksFineGrained.ser.gz -testFile domainsWithOneAnnotation\Top100Links\PoliticsFineGrainedTop100Links.tsv
```

Figure 3.24: All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 300 Links Runned With Politics Fine Grained Top 100 Links

3. EXPERIMENTS

```
CRFClassifier tagged 2854 words in 1 documents at 2994,75 words per second.
Entity P      R      F1      TP      FP      FN
Athlete 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 1       0       0
Coach 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 1       0       0
SportsClub 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 7       0       0
SportsEvent 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 1       0       0
SportsLeague 1,0000 0,7500 0,8571 3       0       1
SportsTeam 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 1       0       0
Totals 1,0000 0,9333 0,9655 14      0       1

C:\Dev\stanford-ner-2017-06-09>java -Xmx11g -cp stanford-ner.jar edu.stanford.nlp.ie.crf.CRFClassifier -loadClassifier modelsWithOneAnnotation\Top300Links\ner-All3DomainsTop300LinksFineGrained.ser.gz -testFile domainsWithOneAnnotation\Top10Links\SportFineGrainedTop10Links.tsv
```

Figure 3.25: All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 300 Links Runned With Sport Fine Grained Top 10 Links

```
CRFClassifier tagged 31872 words in 1 documents at 3691,88 words per second.
Entity P      R      F1      TP      FP      FN
Athlete 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 36      0       0
Coach 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 3       0       0
SportsClub 0,9634 0,9634 0,9634 79      3       3
SportsEvent 1,0000 0,9767 0,9882 42      0       1
SportsLeague 0,9403 0,9545 0,9474 63      4       3
SportsManager 1,0000 0,8333 0,9091 5       0       1
SportsTeam 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 32      0       0
Totals 0,9738 0,9701 0,9720 260     7       8

C:\Dev\stanford-ner-2017-06-09>java -Xmx11g -cp stanford-ner.jar edu.stanford.nlp.ie.crf.CRFClassifier -loadClassifier modelsWithOneAnnotation\Top300Links\ner-All3DomainsTop300LinksFineGrained.ser.gz -testFile domainsWithOneAnnotation\Top10Links\SportFineGrainedTop100Links.tsv
```

Figure 3.26: All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 300 Links Runned With Sport Fine Grained Top 100 Links

```
CRFClassifier tagged 2978 words in 1 documents at 2863,46 words per second.
Entity P      R      F1      TP      FP      FN
Aircraft 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 1       0       0
PublicTransitSystem 1,0000 0,8571 0,9231 6       0       1
Ship 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 1       0       0
Totals 1,0000 0,8889 0,9412 8       0       1

C:\Dev\stanford-ner-2017-06-09>java -Xmx11g -cp stanford-ner.jar edu.stanford.nlp.ie.crf.CRFClassifier -loadClassifier modelsWithOneAnnotation\Top300Links\ner-All3DomainsTop300LinksFineGrained.ser.gz -testFile domainsWithOneAnnotation\Top10Links\TransportationFineGrainedTop10Links.tsv
```

Figure 3.27: All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 300 Links Runned With Transportation Fine Grained Top 10 Links

3.2. List of experiments

```
CRFClassifier tagged 24544 words in 1 documents at 4180,55 words per second.
Entity P R F1 TP FP FN
Aircraft 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 23 0 0
Automobile 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 3 0 0
Infrastructure 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 22 0 0
PublicTransitSystem 0,9800 0,9423 0,9608 49 1 3
Ship 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 5 0 0
SpaceShuttle 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 6 0 0
SpaceStation 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 1 0 0
SportsClub 0,0000 1,0000 0,0000 0 1 0
SportsTeam 0,0000 1,0000 0,0000 0 1 0
Train 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 1 0 0
Totals 0,9735 0,9735 0,9735 110 3 3

C:\Dev\stanford-ner-2017-06-09>java -Xmx11g -cp stanford-ner.jar edu.stanford.nlp.ie.crf.CRFClassifier -loadClassifier modelsWithOneAnnotation\Top300Links\ner-All3DomainsTop300LinksFineGrained.ser.gz -testFile domainsWithOneAnnotation\Top100Links\TransportationFineGrainedTop100Links.tsv
```

Figure 3.28: All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 300 Links Runned With Transportation Fine Grained Top 100 Links

```
CRFClassifier tagged 10336 words in 1 documents at 3459,17 words per second.
Entity P R F1 TP FP FN
Aircraft 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 1 0 0
Athlete 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 1 0 0
Coach 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 1 0 0
PoliticalParty 0,9545 0,8077 0,8750 21 1 5
Politician 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 4 0 0
PublicTransitSystem 0,8333 0,7143 0,7692 5 1 2
Ship 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 1 0 0
SportsClub 0,8750 1,0000 0,9333 7 1 0
SportsEvent 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 1 0 0
SportsLeague 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 4 0 0
SportsTeam 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 1 0 0
Totals 0,9400 0,8704 0,9038 47 3 7

C:\Dev\stanford-ner-2017-06-09>java -Xmx11g -cp stanford-ner.jar edu.stanford.nlp.ie.crf.CRFClassifier -loadClassifier modelsWithOneAnnotation\Top500Links\ner-All3DomainsTop500LinksFineGrained.ser.gz -testFile domainsWithOneAnnotation\Top100Links\All3DomainsTop100LinksTextWithSameProcessedLinksOnAbstractFineGrainedSpecified.tsv
```

Figure 3.29: All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 500 Links Runned With All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 10 Links

```
CRFClassifier tagged 97089 words in 1 documents at 3675,94 words per second.
Entity P R F1 TP FP FN
Aircraft 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 23 0 0
Athlete 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 36 0 0
Automobile 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 3 0 0
Coach 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 3 0 0
Infrastructure 1,0000 0,9545 0,9767 21 0 1
PoliticalParty 0,9799 0,9606 0,9701 195 4 8
Politician 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 55 0 0
PublicTransitSystem 0,9796 0,9231 0,9505 48 1 4
Ship 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 5 0 0
SpaceShuttle 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 6 0 0
SpaceStation 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 1 0 0
SportsClub 0,9529 0,9759 0,9643 81 4 2
SportsEvent 1,0000 0,8837 0,9383 38 0 5
SportsLeague 0,9275 0,9697 0,9481 64 5 2
SportsManager 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 6 0 0
SportsTeam 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 33 0 0
Train 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 1 0 0
Totals 0,9779 0,9657 0,9717 619 14 22

C:\Dev\stanford-ner-2017-06-09>java -Xmx11g -cp stanford-ner.jar edu.stanford.nlp.ie.crf.CRFClassifier -loadClassifier modelsWithOneAnnotation\Top500Links\ner-All3DomainsTop500LinksFineGrained.ser.gz -testFile domainsWithOneAnnotation\Top100Links\All3DomainsTop100LinksTextWithSameProcessedLinksOnAbstractFineGrainedSpecified.tsv
```

Figure 3.30: All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 500 Links Runned With All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 100 Links

3. EXPERIMENTS

```
CRFClassifier tagged 270361 words in 1 documents at 2605,86 words per second.
Entity P      R      F1      TP      FP      FN
Aircraft 1,0000  1,0000  1,0000  102     0      0
Athlete  1,0000  0,9802  0,9900  198     0      4
Automobile 1,0000  1,0000  1,0000  20      0      0
Coach    1,0000  1,0000  1,0000  4       0      0
Infrastructure 1,0000  0,9640  0,9817  107     0      4
PoliticalParty 0,9718  0,9432  0,9573  482     14     29
Politician 1,0000  1,0000  1,0000  139     0      0
PublicTransitSystem 0,9918  0,9718  0,9817  241     2      7
Ship     1,0000  1,0000  1,0000  15      0      0
SpaceShuttle 1,0000  1,0000  1,0000  6       0      0
SpaceStation 1,0000  1,0000  1,0000  1       0      0
SportsClub 0,9709  0,9625  0,9667  334     10     13
SportsEvent 1,0000  0,8333  0,9091  55      0      11
SportsLeague 0,9551  0,9707  0,9628  298     14     9
SportsManager 1,0000  0,9615  0,9804  50      0      2
SportsTeam 1,0000  0,9805  0,9902  151     0      3
Train    1,0000  1,0000  1,0000  6       0      0
Totals   0,9822  0,9642  0,9731  2209    40     82

C:\Dev\stanford-ner-2017-06-09>java -Xmx11g -cp stanford-ner.jar edu.stanford.nlp.ie.crf.CRFClassifier -loadClassifier modelsWithOneAnnotation\Top500Links\ner-All3DomainsTop500LinksFineGrained.ser.gz -testFile domainsWithOneAnnotation\Top300Links\All3DomainsTop300LinksTextWithSameProcessedLinksOnAbstractFineGrainedSpecified.tsv
```

Figure 3.31: All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 500 Links Runned With All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 300 Links

```
CRFClassifier tagged 4504 words in 1 documents at 2429,34 words per second.
Entity P      R      F1      TP      FP      FN
Election 0,0000  0,0000  0,0000  0       0      15
PoliticalParty 0,9545  0,8077  0,8750  21      1      5
Politician 1,0000  0,1111  0,2000  4       0      32
Totals   0,9615  0,3247  0,4854  25      1      52

C:\Dev\stanford-ner-2017-06-09>java -Xmx11g -cp stanford-ner.jar edu.stanford.nlp.ie.crf.CRFClassifier -loadClassifier modelsWithOneAnnotation\Top500Links\ner-All3DomainsTop500LinksFineGrained.ser.gz -testFile domainsWithOneAnnotation\Top100Links\PoliticsFineGrainedTop100Links.tsv
```

Figure 3.32: All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 500 Links Runned With Politics Fine Grained Top 10 Links

```
CRFClassifier tagged 40673 words in 1 documents at 4212,64 words per second.
Entity P      R      F1      TP      FP      FN
Election 0,0000  0,0000  0,0000  0       0      164
PoliticalParty 0,9799  0,9606  0,9701  195     4      8
Politician 1,0000  0,1724  0,2941  55      0      264
Totals   0,9843  0,3644  0,5319  250     4      436

C:\Dev\stanford-ner-2017-06-09>java -Xmx11g -cp stanford-ner.jar edu.stanford.nlp.ie.crf.CRFClassifier -loadClassifier modelsWithOneAnnotation\Top500Links\ner-All3DomainsTop500LinksFineGrained.ser.gz -testFile domainsWithOneAnnotation\Top100Links\PoliticsFineGrainedTop100Links.tsv
```

Figure 3.33: All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 500 Links Runned With Politics Fine Grained Top 100 Links

3.2. List of experiments

```
CRFClassifier tagged 107491 words in 1 documents at 2506,26 words per second.
Entity P      R      F1      TP      FP      FN
Election 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      322
PoliticalParty 0,9718 0,9432 0,9573 482    14     29
Politician 1,0000 0,1980 0,3305 136    0      551
PublicTransitSystem 0,0000 1,0000 0,0000 0      2      0
Ship 0,0000 1,0000 0,0000 0      1      0
SportsLeague 0,0000 1,0000 0,0000 0      1      0
Totals 0,9717 0,4066 0,5733 618    18     902

C:\Dev\stanford-ner-2017-06-09>java -Xmx11g -cp stanford-ner.jar edu.stanford.nlp.ie.crf.CRFClassifier -loadClassifier modelsWithOneAnnotation\Top500Links\ner-All3DomainsTop500LinksFineGrained.ser.gz -testFile domainsWithOneAnnotation\Top300Links\PoliticsFineGrainedTop300Links.tsv
```

Figure 3.34: All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 500 Links Runned With Politics Fine Grained Top 300 Links

```
CRFClassifier tagged 2854 words in 1 documents at 2679,81 words per second.
Entity P      R      F1      TP      FP      FN
Athlete 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 1      0      0
Coach 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 1      0      0
SportsClub 0,8750 1,0000 0,9333 7      1      0
SportsEvent 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 1      0      0
SportsLeague 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 4      0      0
SportsTeam 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 1      0      0
Totals 0,9375 1,0000 0,9677 15      1      0

C:\Dev\stanford-ner-2017-06-09>java -Xmx11g -cp stanford-ner.jar edu.stanford.nlp.ie.crf.CRFClassifier -loadClassifier modelsWithOneAnnotation\Top500Links\ner-All3DomainsTop500LinksFineGrained.ser.gz -testFile domainsWithOneAnnotation\Top100Links\SportFineGrainedTop100Links.tsv
```

Figure 3.35: All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 500 Links Runned With Sport Fine Grained Top 10 Links

```
CRFClassifier tagged 31872 words in 1 documents at 4022,72 words per second.
Entity P      R      F1      TP      FP      FN
Athlete 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 36     0      0
Coach 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 3      0      0
SportsClub 0,9524 0,9756 0,9639 80     4      2
SportsEvent 1,0000 0,8837 0,9383 38     0      5
SportsLeague 0,9275 0,9697 0,9481 64     5      2
SportsManager 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 6      0      0
SportsTeam 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 32     0      0
Totals 0,9664 0,9664 0,9664 259     9      9

C:\Dev\stanford-ner-2017-06-09>java -Xmx11g -cp stanford-ner.jar edu.stanford.nlp.ie.crf.CRFClassifier -loadClassifier modelsWithOneAnnotation\Top500Links\ner-All3DomainsTop500LinksFineGrained.ser.gz -testFile domainsWithOneAnnotation\Top100Links\SportFineGrainedTop100Links.tsv
```

Figure 3.36: All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 500 Links Runned With Sport Fine Grained Top 100 Links

3. EXPERIMENTS

```
CRFClassifier tagged 89224 words in 1 documents at 3135,95 words per second.
Entity P      R      F1      TP      FP      FN
Athlete 1,0000 0,9802 0,9900 198     0      4
Coach 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 4        0      0
Politician 0,0000 1,0000 0,0000 0        2      0
SportsClub 0,9707 0,9622 0,9664 331     10     13
SportsEvent 1,0000 0,8333 0,9091 55       0     11
SportsLeague 0,9582 0,9707 0,9644 298     13     9
SportsManager 1,0000 0,9615 0,9804 50       0     2
SportsTeam 1,0000 0,9804 0,9901 150      0     3
Train 0,0000 1,0000 0,0000 0        1     0
Totals 0,9766 0,9628 0,9696 1086    26    42

C:\Dev\stanford-ner-2017-06-09>java -Xmx11g -cp stanford-ner.jar edu.stanford.nlp.ie.crf.CRFClassifier -loadClassifier modelsWithOneAnnotation\Top500Links\ner-All3DomainsTop500LinksFineGrained.ser.gz -testFile domainsWithOneAnnotation\Top300Links\SportFineGrainedTop300Links.tsv
```

Figure 3.37: All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 500 Links Runned With Sport Fine Grained Top 300 Links

```
CRFClassifier tagged 2978 words in 1 documents at 2749,77 words per second.
Entity P      R      F1      TP      FP      FN
Aircraft 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 1        0      0
PublicTransitSystem 0,8333 0,7143 0,7692 5        1      2
Ship 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 1        0      0
Totals 0,8750 0,7778 0,8235 7        1      2

C:\Dev\stanford-ner-2017-06-09>java -Xmx11g -cp stanford-ner.jar edu.stanford.nlp.ie.crf.CRFClassifier -loadClassifier modelsWithOneAnnotation\Top500Links\ner-All3DomainsTop500LinksFineGrained.ser.gz -testFile domainsWithOneAnnotation\Top10Links\TransportationFineGrainedTop10Links.tsv
```

Figure 3.38: All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 500 Links Runned With Transportation Fine Grained Top 10 Links

```
CRFClassifier tagged 24544 words in 1 documents at 3821,86 words per second.
Entity P      R      F1      TP      FP      FN
Aircraft 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 23       0      0
Automobile 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 3        0      0
Infrastructure 1,0000 0,9545 0,9767 21       0      1
PublicTransitSystem 0,9796 0,9231 0,9505 48       1      4
Ship 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 5        0      0
SpaceShuttle 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 6        0      0
SpaceStation 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 1        0      0
SportsClub 0,0000 1,0000 0,0000 0        1      0
SportsTeam 0,0000 1,0000 0,0000 0        1      0
Train 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 1        0      0
Totals 0,9730 0,9558 0,9643 108     3      5

C:\Dev\stanford-ner-2017-06-09>java -Xmx11g -cp stanford-ner.jar edu.stanford.nlp.ie.crf.CRFClassifier -loadClassifier modelsWithOneAnnotation\Top500Links\ner-All3DomainsTop500LinksFineGrained.ser.gz -testFile domainsWithOneAnnotation\Top100Links\TransportationFineGrainedTop100Links.tsv
```

Figure 3.39: All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 500 Links Runned With Transportation Fine Grained Top 100 Links

3.2. List of experiments

```
CRFClassifier tagged 73646 words in 1 documents at 3209,68 words per second.
Entity P      R      F1      TP      FP      FN
Aircraft 1,0000  1,0000  1,0000  102     0      0
Automobile 1,0000  1,0000  1,0000  20      0      0
Infrastructure 1,0000  0,9640  0,9817  107     0      4
Politician 0,0000  1,0000  0,0000  0        1      0
PublicTransitSystem 0,9917  0,9715  0,9815  239     2      7
Ship 1,0000  1,0000  1,0000  14      0      0
SpaceShuttle 1,0000  1,0000  1,0000  6        0      0
SpaceStation 1,0000  1,0000  1,0000  1        0      0
SportsClub 0,0000  1,0000  0,0000  0        3      0
SportsTeam 0,0000  1,0000  0,0000  0        1      0
Train 1,0000  1,0000  1,0000  5        0      0
Totals 0,9860  0,9782  0,9821  494     7      11

C:\Dev\stanford-ner-2017-06-09>java -Xmx11g -cp stanford-ner.jar edu.stanford.nlp.ie.crf.CRFClassifier -loadClassifier modelsWithOneAnnotation\Top500Links\ner-All3DomainsTop500LinksFineGrained.ser.gz -testFile domainsWithOneAnnotation\Top300Links\TransportationFineGrainedTop300Links.tsv
```

Figure 3.40: All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 500 Links Runned With Transportation Fine Grained Top 300 Links

```
CRFClassifier tagged 97089 words in 1 documents at 7883,80 words per second.
Entity P      R      F1      TP      FP      FN
Aircraft 0,0000  0,0000  0,0000  0        0      23
Athlete 0,0000  0,0000  0,0000  0        0      36
Automobile 0,0000  0,0000  0,0000  0        0      3
Coach 0,0000  0,0000  0,0000  0        0      3
Election 0,0000  1,0000  0,0000  0      136     0
Infrastructure 0,0000  0,0000  0,0000  0        0      22
PoliticalParty 0,7831  0,7291  0,7551  148     41      55
Politician 0,1541  0,9273  0,2642  51     280     4
PublicTransitSystem 0,0000  0,0000  0,0000  0        0      52
Ship 0,0000  0,0000  0,0000  0        0      5
SpaceShuttle 0,0000  0,0000  0,0000  0        0      6
SpaceStation 0,0000  0,0000  0,0000  0        0      1
SportsClub 0,0000  0,0000  0,0000  0        0      83
SportsEvent 0,0000  0,0000  0,0000  0        0      43
SportsLeague 0,0000  0,0000  0,0000  0        0      66
SportsManager 0,0000  0,0000  0,0000  0        0      6
SportsTeam 0,0000  0,0000  0,0000  0        0      33
Train 0,0000  0,0000  0,0000  0        0      1
Totals 0,3034  0,3105  0,3069  199    457     442

C:\Dev\stanford-ner-2017-06-09>java -Xmx11g -cp stanford-ner.jar edu.stanford.nlp.ie.crf.CRFClassifier -loadClassifier modelsWithOneAnnotation\Top300Links\ner-PoliticsTop300LinksFineGrained.ser.gz -testFile domainsWithOneAnnotation\Top100Links\All3DomainsTop100LinksTextWithSameProcessedLinksOnAbstractFineGrainedSpecified.tsv
```

Figure 3.41: Politics Fine Grained Top 300 Links Runned With All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 100 Links

3. EXPERIMENTS

```
CRFClassifier tagged 97089 words in 1 documents at 5175,60 words per second.
Entity P      R      F1      TP      FP      FN
Aircraft 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      23
Athlete 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      36
Automobile 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      3
Coach 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      3
Election 0,0000 1,0000 0,0000 0      163      0
Infrastructure 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      22
PoliticalParty 0,9652 0,9557 0,9604 194      7      9
Politician 0,1471 1,0000 0,2564 55      319      0
PublicTransitSystem 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      52
Ship 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      5
SpaceShuttle 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      6
SpaceStation 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      1
SportsClub 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      83
SportsEvent 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      43
SportsLeague 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      66
SportsManager 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      6
SportsTeam 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      33
Train 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      1
Totals 0,3374 0,3885 0,3611 249      489      392

C:\Dev\stanford-ner-2017-06-09>java -Xmx11g -cp stanford-ner.jar edu.stanford.nlp.ie.crf.CRFClassifier -loadClassifier modelsWithOneAnnotation\Top500Links\ner-PoliticsTop500LinksFineGrained.ser.gz -testFile domainsWithOneAnnotation\Top100Links\All3DomainsTop100LinksTextWithSameProcessedLinksOnAbstractFineGrainedSpecified.tsv
```

Figure 3.42: Politics Fine Grained Top 500 Links Runned With All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 100 Links

```
CRFClassifier tagged 270361 words in 1 documents at 4572,62 words per second.
Entity P      R      F1      TP      FP      FN
Aircraft 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      102
Athlete 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      202
Automobile 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      20
Coach 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      4
Election 0,0000 1,0000 0,0000 0      337      0
Infrastructure 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      111
PoliticalParty 0,9569 0,9550 0,9559 488      22      23
Politician 0,1518 0,9784 0,2628 136      760      3
PublicTransitSystem 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      248
Ship 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      15
SpaceShuttle 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      6
SpaceStation 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      1
SportsClub 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      347
SportsEvent 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      66
SportsLeague 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      307
SportsManager 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      52
SportsTeam 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      154
Train 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0      0      6
Totals 0,3580 0,2724 0,3094 624      1119      1667

C:\Dev\stanford-ner-2017-06-09>java -Xmx11g -cp stanford-ner.jar edu.stanford.nlp.ie.crf.CRFClassifier -loadClassifier modelsWithOneAnnotation\Top500Links\ner-PoliticsTop500LinksFineGrained.ser.gz -testFile domainsWithOneAnnotation\Top300Links\All3DomainsTop300LinksTextWithSameProcessedLinksOnAbstractFineGrainedSpecified.tsv
```

Figure 3.43: Politics Fine Grained Top 500 Links Runned With All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 300 Links

3.2. List of experiments

```
CRFClassifier tagged 97089 words in 1 documents at 5454,44 words per second.
Entity P R F1 TP FP FN
Aircraft 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 23
Athlete 0,2817 0,5556 0,3738 20 51 16
Automobile 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 3
Coach 1,0000 0,6667 0,8000 2 0 1
Infrastructure 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 22
PoliticalParty 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 203
Politician 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 55
PublicTransitSystem 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 52
Ship 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 5
SpaceShuttle 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 6
SpaceStation 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 1
SportsClub 0,8795 0,8795 0,8795 73 10 10
SportsEvent 0,9706 0,7674 0,8571 33 1 10
SportsLeague 0,8852 0,8182 0,8504 54 7 12
SportsManager 0,5000 1,0000 0,6667 6 6 0
SportsTeam 0,9583 0,6970 0,8070 23 1 10
Train 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 1
Totals 0,7352 0,3292 0,4547 211 76 430

C:\Dev\stanford-ner-2017-06-09>java -Xmx11g -cp stanford-ner.jar edu.stanford.nlp.ie.crf.CRFClassifier -loadClassifier modelsWithOneAnnotation\Top300Links\ner-SportTop300LinksFineGrained.ser.gz -testFile domainsWithOneAnnotation\Top100Links\All3DomainsTop100LinksTextWithSameProcessedLinksOnAbstractFineGrainedSpecified.tsv
```

Figure 3.44: Sport Fine Grained Top 300 Links Runned With All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 100 Links

```
CRFClassifier tagged 97089 words in 1 documents at 5434,29 words per second.
Entity P R F1 TP FP FN
Aircraft 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 23
Athlete 0,4359 0,9444 0,5965 34 44 2
Automobile 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 3
Coach 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 3 0 0
Infrastructure 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 22
PoliticalParty 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 203
Politician 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 55
PublicTransitSystem 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 52
Ship 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 5
SpaceShuttle 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 6
SpaceStation 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 1
SportsClub 0,8889 0,9639 0,9249 80 10 3
SportsEvent 1,0000 0,8837 0,9383 38 0 5
SportsLeague 0,8889 0,9697 0,9275 64 8 2
SportsManager 0,4286 1,0000 0,6000 6 8 0
SportsTeam 0,9697 0,9697 0,9697 32 1 1
Train 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 1
Totals 0,7835 0,4009 0,5304 257 71 384

C:\Dev\stanford-ner-2017-06-09>java -Xmx11g -cp stanford-ner.jar edu.stanford.nlp.ie.crf.CRFClassifier -loadClassifier modelsWithOneAnnotation\Top500Links\ner-SportTop500LinksFineGrained.ser.gz -testFile domainsWithOneAnnotation\Top100Links\All3DomainsTop100LinksTextWithSameProcessedLinksOnAbstractFineGrainedSpecified.tsv
```

Figure 3.45: Sport Fine Grained Top 500 Links Runned With All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 100 Links

3. EXPERIMENTS

```
CRFClassifier tagged 270361 words in 1 documents at 5259,02 words per second.
Entity P R F1 TP FP FN
Aircraft 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 102
Athlete 0,5640 0,9604 0,7106 194 150 8
Automobile 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 20
Coach 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 4 0 0
Infrastructure 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 111
PoliticalParty 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 511
Politician 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 139
PublicTransitSystem 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 248
Ship 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 15
SpaceShuttle 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 6
SpaceStation 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 1
SportsClub 0,9123 0,9597 0,9354 333 32 14
SportsEvent 1,0000 0,8636 0,9268 57 0 9
SportsLeague 0,9492 0,9739 0,9614 299 16 8
SportsManager 0,7937 0,9615 0,8696 50 13 2
SportsTeam 0,9684 0,9935 0,9808 153 5 1
Train 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 6
Totals 0,8346 0,4758 0,6061 1090 216 1201

C:\Dev\stanford-ner-2017-06-09>java -Xmx11g -cp stanford-ner.jar edu.stanford.nlp.ie.crf.CRFClassifier -loadClassifier modelsWithOneAnnotation\Top500Links\ner-SportTop500LinksFineGrained.ser.gz -testFile domainsWithOneAnnotation\Top300Links\All13DomainsTop300LinksTextWithSameProcessedLinksOnAbstractFineGrainedSpecified.tsv
```

Figure 3.46: Sport Fine Grained Top 500 Links Runned With All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 300 Links

```
CRFClassifier tagged 97089 words in 1 documents at 4669,54 words per second.
Entity P R F1 TP FP FN
Aircraft 1,0000 0,6957 0,8205 16 0 7
Athlete 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 36
Automobile 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 3 0 0
Coach 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 3
Infrastructure 0,8750 0,9545 0,9130 21 3 1
PoliticalParty 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 203
Politician 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 55
PublicTransitSystem 0,8696 0,7692 0,8163 40 6 12
Ship 1,0000 0,4000 0,5714 2 0 3
SpaceShuttle 1,0000 0,8333 0,9091 5 0 1
SpaceStation 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 1
SportsClub 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 83
SportsEvent 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 43
SportsLeague 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 66
SportsManager 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 6
SportsTeam 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 33
Train 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 1 0 0
Totals 0,9072 0,1373 0,2385 88 9 553

C:\Dev\stanford-ner-2017-06-09>java -Xmx11g -cp stanford-ner.jar edu.stanford.nlp.ie.crf.CRFClassifier -loadClassifier modelsWithOneAnnotation\Top300Links\ner-TransportationTop300LinksFineGrained.ser.gz -testFile domainsWithOneAnnotation\Top100Links\All13DomainsTop100LinksTextWithSameProcessedLinksOnAbstractFineGrainedSpecified.tsv
```

Figure 3.47: Transportation Fine Grained Top 300 Links Runned With All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 100 Links

3.3. Summary of results

```
CRFClassifier tagged 97089 words in 1 documents at 5359.00 words per second.
Entity P R F1 TP FP FN
Aircraft 1,0000 0,9565 0,9778 22 0 1
Athlete 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 36
Automobile 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 3 0 0
Coach 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 3
Infrastructure 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 22 0 0
PoliticalParty 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 203
Politician 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 55
PublicTransitSystem 0,9412 0,9231 0,9320 48 3 4
Ship 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 5 0 0
SpaceShuttle 1,0000 0,6667 0,8000 4 0 2
SpaceStation 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 1 0 0
SportsClub 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 83
SportsEvent 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 43
SportsLeague 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 66
SportsManager 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 6
SportsTeam 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 33
Train 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 1 0 0
Totals 0,9725 0,1654 0,2827 106 3 535

C:\Dev\stanford-ner-2017-06-09>java -Xmx11g -cp stanford-ner.jar edu.stanford.nlp.ie.crf.CRFClassifier -loadClassifier modelsWithOneAnnotation\Top500Links\ner-TransportationTop500LinksFineGrained.ser.gz -testFile domainsWithOneAnnotation\Top100Links\All3DomainsTop100LinksTextWithSameProcessedLinksOnAbstractFineGrainedSpecified.tsv
```

Figure 3.48: Transportation Fine Grained Top 500 Links Runned With All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 100 Links

```
CRFClassifier tagged 270361 words in 1 documents at 3536.53 words per second.
Entity P R F1 TP FP FN
Aircraft 0,9900 0,9706 0,9802 99 1 3
Athlete 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 202
Automobile 1,0000 0,8500 0,9180 17 0 3
Coach 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 4
Infrastructure 1,0000 0,9820 0,9909 109 0 2
PoliticalParty 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 511
Politician 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 139
PublicTransitSystem 0,9795 0,9637 0,9715 239 5 9
Ship 1,0000 0,9333 0,9655 14 0 1
SpaceShuttle 1,0000 0,6667 0,8000 4 0 2
SpaceStation 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 1 0 0
SportsClub 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 347
SportsEvent 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 66
SportsLeague 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 307
SportsManager 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 52
SportsTeam 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0 0 154
Train 1,0000 0,8333 0,9091 5 0 1
Totals 0,9879 0,2130 0,3504 488 6 1803

C:\Dev\stanford-ner-2017-06-09>java -Xmx11g -cp stanford-ner.jar edu.stanford.nlp.ie.crf.CRFClassifier -loadClassifier modelsWithOneAnnotation\Top500Links\ner-TransportationTop500LinksFineGrained.ser.gz -testFile domainsWithOneAnnotation\Top300Links\All3DomainsTop300LinksTextWithSameProcessedLinksOnAbstractFineGrainedSpecified.tsv
```

Figure 3.49: Transportation Fine Grained Top 500 Links Runned With All 3 Domains Fine Grained Top 300 Links

3.3 Summary of results

3.3.1 Graphs

Conclusion

Contents of CD