

Subject	April 28, 2022
Notes	
Author: Bryan Tantisujjatham	

Todo list

include dataset description	2
citation	5
a little more here?	5
more RL citations	6

1 Carbon Free Emissions Plan

2 Nationwide Trends

3 Current Statistics

4 Quantitative Data Analysis

Population of NYS 19.45 million as of 2019 [2]
Population of NYC 8.149 million as of 2019 (cite census)

$$\text{LCOE} = \frac{\text{total annualized cost}}{\text{total annual energy output}}.$$

LCOE should be around 63/MWh for hydro, 32/MWh for land wind, 96/MWh for offshore-wind, 41/MWh for utility solar, 73/MWh for community distributed solar, 297/MWh based on 2019 NYISO numbers

5 Electricity Demand Forecast

6 Need

Amount of dispatchable need increases to 32,000 MW in 2040 under CLCPA assumptions
Load: Climiate change and electrification results in increase in summer load
Electrification will transition NY from summer peaking to winter peaking Winter peak load under CLCPA will dobule Projected by 2040: 47KMW, winter peak over 57kMW
Need for dispatchable resources that perform on a multi-day period to maintain bulk power system

reliability. No commercially available systems.

Analysis of load, generation, demand, transmission

Hyperparameter Tuning, 4 Linear layers with Dropout = 0.3 and ReLU activation

Batch size is 64, hidden dim is 64

include
dataset de-
scription

6.1 Related Methods

Fuzzy logic, genetic algorithms, regression

6.2 Methods

6.3 ARIMA

$$y_t^* = \Delta^d y_t$$

$$y_t^* = \mu + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^p \phi_i y_{t-i}^*}_{\text{AR}} + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^q \theta_i \epsilon_{t-i}}_{\text{MA}} + \epsilon_t$$

6.4 Support Vector Regression

Primal Formulation:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{minimize} \quad \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (\xi_i + \xi_i^*) \\ & \text{subject to} \quad \begin{cases} y_i - \langle w, x_i \rangle - b \leq \varepsilon + \xi_i \\ \langle w, x_i \rangle + b - y_i \leq \varepsilon + \xi_i^* \\ \xi_i, \xi_i^* \geq 0 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Figure 1

Dual Formulation:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{maximize} \quad \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{\ell} (\alpha_i - \alpha_i^*)(\alpha_j - \alpha_j^*) \langle x_i, x_j \rangle \\ -\varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (\alpha_i + \alpha_i^*) + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} y_i (\alpha_i - \alpha_i^*) \end{cases} \\ & \text{subject to} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (\alpha_i - \alpha_i^*) = 0 \text{ and } \alpha_i, \alpha_i^* \in [0, C] \end{aligned}$$

Figure 2

6.5 LSTM

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_t &= \sigma_g (W_f x_t + U_f c_{t-1} + b_f) \\
 i_t &= \sigma_g (W_i x_t + U_i c_{t-1} + b_i) \\
 o_t &= \sigma_g (W_o x_t + U_o c_{t-1} + b_o) \\
 c_t &= f_t \circ c_{t-1} + i_t \circ \sigma_c (W_c x_t + b_c) \\
 h_t &= o_t \circ \sigma_h (c_t)
 \end{aligned}$$

7 Levelized Cost of Energy

8 New sources of generation

8.1 Wind

8.1.1 Land

8.1.2 Offshore

New offshore wind projects in pipeline:

Empire Wind 1: 816 MW, solicited 2018 [1]

Empire Wind 2: 1260 MW, solicited 2020 [1]

Sunrise Wind: 880 MW, solicited 2018 [1]

Beacon Wind: 1230 MW, solicited 2020 [1]

5 total projects totaling greater than 4300 MW, leading offshore generation pipeline in the nation

Official target goal for offshore wind generation is 9000 MW by 2035. [?]

Substation Locations: Astoria Substation, Gowanus Substation, Barrett Substation, Holbrook Substation, East Hampton Substation

Proposed Port Facilities: South Brooklyn Marine Terminal, Holbrook Substation, East Hampton Substation. [1]

All projects are currently in the data collection phase. [1]

NYSERDA offers contracts to purchase offshore renewable energy certificates (OREC) from offshore wind developers. NYSERDA sells these to load serving entities (LSEs) like utilities, which are required by law to purchase renewable energy credits. [?]

8.1.3 Technology & Implementation

Advances in:

1. Materials
2. Engineering of turbine foundations
3. Turbine blade design

With respect to foundations: [5]

1. Monopiles - for depths up to 25m. Freestanding; cheap, easy to install and inexpensive to manufacture and transport. Used in 95% of installations worldwide.
2. Gravity - also for up to 25m, but used for less cohesive seabed compositions

3. Suction bucket - Can also be cost effective, but only for appropriate choice of seabed composition
4. Deepwater -
5. Tripod - 30m - 60m water depth
6. Jacket - > 50m water depth. Expensive and complex to install and manufacture; however, mature

8.1.4 Geography of the Long-Island Area

8.2 Solar

Official target: 10GW distributed solar by 2030 (cite NYSERDA)
Government sponsored incentives via tax credits, NYSun

8.3 Geothermal

9 Maintenance of mature technologies and infrastructure

9.1 Nuclear

10 Policy Changes

NYSERDA energy credits:

1. Tier 1 (new renewables)
2. Tier 2 (maintenance resources)
3. Tier 2 (competitive program)
4. Tier 4 (NYC renewable energy)

10.1 Pricing Changes

10.2 Economic viability

Need Fair distribution of costs between ISOs and RTOs. [5]

Renewable Portfolio Standards

Aggressive federal support amenable to policy decision to focus on offshore wind – allows ISOs to reach LCOE levels for offshore installation costs similar to those seen in the UK, given the tax subsidies [5]

11 Viability (outside of financial)

11.1 Resource constraints

11.2 Trend constraints

11.3 Location constraints

12 Background

The last two decades have brought marked improvements in energy efficient systems and infrastructure on a global scale. The penetration profile of electricity generation sources has seen a nationwide trend towards renewable and "clean" energy source – offshore wind, nuclear, biofuels, and geothermal – and a complementary shift away from traditional fossil fuels.

The NYISO region is uniquely characterized by the speed at which it has adopted – and integrated – new technologies and developed infrastructure. It remains the only coal free region in the US, and leads the nation in installed capacity of several renewable sources – most notably offshore wind [cite NYSERDA]. With five offshore wind projects in active development, New York is touted as the nation's "hub" for offshore wind, projecting to deliver approximately 4300 MW from these facilities alone, with goals to extend that to 9000 MW by 2040 . Quite remarkably, New York consumes less total energy per capita than all but two states, and .

citation

a little more here?

While the NYISO has released a comprehensive plan towards 70% renewable energy by 2030, and 100% carbon-free electricity by 2040, there are several areas which remain unexploited. Our work aims to assess these areas of untapped potential and improvements in policy that can be leveraged to accelerate progress towards this goal. We also provide a robust, quantitative analysis of projected energy demand and projected generation requirements needed to sustain this trend towards 100% carbon-free electricity in the next eight years.

12.1 Statewide Energy Profile

New York has consistently met nearly 90% of energy requirements through nuclear, hydropower and natural gas alone. [citation]

12.2 Technology

12.3 Policy

12.3.1 NYSUN

1. NYSun PV

12.3.2 Tax Credits and Subsidies

1. Solar Energy System Equipment Credits
2. OREC for offshore wind solicitatoin
- 3.

12.4 Infrastructure

12.5 Control

Recent innovations in in statistical modeling, in particular data-driven optimization and machine learning, have severely overhauled the standard procedures for management of electricity on the grid.

Interest in smart-buildings and online optimization techniques for realtime energy usage has exploded [8], [4], [6], especially with the advent of reinforcement learning and robust model predictive control [3], [7].

more RL
citations

13 Limitations

References

- [1] New York's Offshore Wind Projects.
- [2] U.S. Census Bureau QuickFacts: New York.
- [3] Wei-Han Chen and Fengqi You. Efficient Greenhouse Temperature Control with Data-Driven Robust Model Predictive. In *2020 American Control Conference (ACC)*, pages 1986–1991, Denver, CO, USA, July 2020. IEEE.
- [4] Muhammad Hilal Khan, Azzam Ul Asar, Nasim Ullah, Fahad R. Albogamy, and Muhammad Kashif Rafique. Modeling and Optimization of Smart Building Energy Management System Considering Both Electrical and Thermal Load. *Energies*, 15(2):574, January 2022.
- [5] Daniel Mitchell, Jamie Blanche, Sam Harper, Theodore Lim, Ranjeetkumar Gupta, Osama Zaki, Wenshuo Tang, Valentin Robu, Simon Watson, and David Flynn. A review: Challenges and opportunities for artificial intelligence and robotics in the offshore wind sector. *Energy and AI*, 8:100146, May 2022.
- [6] David Sembroiz, Davide Careglio, Sergio Ricciardi, and Ugo Fiore. Planning and operational energy optimization solutions for smart buildings. *Information Sciences*, 476:439–452, February 2019.
- [7] Shiyu Yang, Man Pun Wan, Wanyu Chen, Bing Feng Ng, and Deqing Zhai. An adaptive robust model predictive control for indoor climate optimization and uncertainties handling in buildings. *Building and Environment*, 163:106326, October 2019.
- [8] Liang Yu, Shuqi Qin, Meng Zhang, Chao Shen, Tao Jiang, and Xiaohong Guan. A Review of Deep Reinforcement Learning for Smart Building Energy Management. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, 8(15):12046–12063, August 2021. arXiv: 2008.05074.