# Generation of decay of SM particles into millicharged particles

C. Campagnari, B. Marsh (UCSB)

June 13, 2019

### 1 Introduction

In this document we discuss the MC generation of decays and the calculation of branching ratios for processes of the type  $A \to \zeta^+ \zeta^- X$  where A is a SM particle,  $\zeta$  is a millicharged particle and X are other SM particles. We start from  $A \to e^+ e^- X$ , calculate the branching ratio for the decay into  $\zeta$ 's (if kinematically allowed) and then discussed the methods to generate the actual decays.

We do not consider  $Z \to \zeta \zeta$  since we expect that the Drell Yan process should be dealt separately through an external MG model. This is because the coupling of the  $\zeta$  to the Z are not given by a simple rescaling of the SM Zee vertex by  $Q^2$ , where Q is the charge of the  $\zeta$  in units of e.

The generation of the SM A particles is not discussed here.

## 2 Processes

The main processes for  $A \to e^+e^-X$  at the LHC are:

- $\pi^0 \to e^+ e^- \gamma$  (BR=1.17%, Dalitz decay)
- $\eta \to e^+e^-\gamma$  (BR=0.7%, Dalitz decay)
- $\eta' \to e^+ e^- \gamma$  (BR=5e-4, Dalitz decay)
- $\omega \to \pi^0 e^+ e^-$  (BR=8e-4, Dalitz decay)

- $\eta' \to \omega e^+ e^-$  (BR=2e-4, Dalitz Decay)
- $\eta' \to \pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^- \gamma$  (BR=2e-3, sort of Dalitz, skip for now)
- $V \to e^+e^- \ (V = \text{onia}, \phi, \rho, \omega)$

Note that if we are only interested on  $\zeta$  masses above 100 MeV, Dalitz decays of  $\pi^0$  and decays of  $\eta'$  into  $\omega$  do not contribute. In all cases the BR for  $A \to \zeta^+ \zeta^- X$  can be obtained by rescaling  $A \to e^+ e^- X$  by a factor of  $Q^2$  times an additional mass-dependent factor. In general this factor consists of a phase space piece  $\sqrt{1 - (2m_{\zeta}/m_A)^2}$  plus an additional piece that arises from the matrix element.

## 3 Branching Ratios

#### 3.1 Dalitz BR

The partial width for  $A \to e^+e^-\gamma$  can be written as[1, 2]:

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{dq^2} = \frac{2\alpha}{3\pi q^2} \left(1 + \frac{2m_e^2}{q^2}\right) \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_e^2}{q^2}} \left(1 - \frac{q^2}{m_A^2}\right)^3 |F(q^2)|^2 \Gamma(A \to \gamma\gamma)$$
(1)

where  $m_A$  is the mass of A,  $q^2$  is the mass-squared of the  $e^+e^-$  pair, and  $F(q^2)$  is a form factor. This form factor is such that F(0) = 1 and for pions is usually parametrized near  $q^2 = 0$  as  $F(q^2) = 1 + a \frac{q^2}{m_{\pi}^2}$  with  $a \approx 0.03$ . The form factor can also be estimated in the Vector Dominance Model (VDM) as

$$|F(q^2)|^2 = \frac{m_\rho^4 + m_\rho^2 \Gamma_\rho^2}{(m_\rho^2 - q^2)^2 + m_\rho^2 \Gamma_\rho^2}$$
 (2)

where  $m_{\rho}$  and  $\Gamma_{\rho}$  are the mass and width of the  $\rho$  meson. The VDM model assumes that the decay proceeds through  $\pi^0 \to \gamma V^*, V^* \to e^+e^-$  and  $V = \rho$  or  $\omega$ ; equation 2 neglects the difference between  $\rho$  and  $\omega$ .

In the case of  $A \to e^+e^-X$ , when X is not  $\gamma$ , the partial width can be written as

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{dq^2} = \frac{\alpha}{3\pi q^2} \left(1 + \frac{2m_e^2}{q^2}\right) \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_e^2}{q^2}} \cdot \left[ \left(1 + \frac{q^2}{m_A^2 - m_X^2}\right)^2 - \frac{4m_A^2 q^2}{(m_A^2 - m_X^2)^2} \right]^{3/2} |F_{AX}(q^2)|^2 \Gamma(A \to X\gamma) \tag{3}$$

where  $m_X$  is the mass of X, and the transition form factor  $F_{AX}$  can also be approximated as in equation 2.

For millicharged particles, Dalitz decays branching ratios can be obtained by integrating equation 1 or 3 from  $q^2 = 4m_{\zeta}^2$  to the kinematical limit, substituting  $m_{\zeta}$  for  $m_e$ , and rescaling by  $Q^2$ . Some numerical results, for Q = 1 are given in Table 1. Note the sharp drop in branching ratios with mass, especially for the  $\pi^0$ . The calculations with the electron and muon masses are in good agreeemnt with the PDG.

### 3.2 Vector meson branching ratios

At lowest order the SM decay rate for  $V \to \ell\ell$  is given by the Van Royen-Weisskopf formula[3, 4]:

$$\Gamma(V \to \ell\ell) = 4\pi\alpha^2 \frac{f_V^2}{m_V} Q_q^2 (1 - 4x_\ell^2)^{1/2} (1 + 2x_\ell^2)$$
 (4)

where  $f_V$  is the vector decay constant,  $m_V$  is the vector mass,  $Q_q$  is the charge of the quark that makes up the meson,  $x_\ell = m_\ell/m_V$ , and  $m_\ell$  is the lepton mass.

Thus the ratio of BR for  $V \to \zeta\zeta$  to  $V \to ee$  is given by

$$\frac{\Gamma(V \to \zeta\zeta)}{\Gamma(V \to ee)} = Q^2 \frac{(1 - 4x_{\zeta}^2)^{1/2} (1 + 2x_{\zeta}^2)}{(1 - 4x_{\ell}^2)^{1/2} (1 + 2x_{\ell}^2)}$$
(5)

where  $x_{\zeta} = m_{\ell}/m_{V}$ , and  $m_{\zeta}$  is the mass of  $\zeta$ .

As a sanity check, we use equation 5 and BR( $\psi(2S) \to ee$ )=7.93e-3 to predict BR( $\psi(2S) \to \tau\tau$ ) = 3.1e-3, in agreement with the PDG value of (3.1  $\pm$  0.4)e-3.

$m_{\zeta} \; ({ m MeV})$	$\pi^0 \to \zeta \zeta \gamma$	$\eta \to \zeta \zeta \gamma$	$\eta' \to \zeta \zeta \gamma$	$\eta' \to \zeta \zeta \omega$	$\omega \to \zeta \zeta \pi^0$
$0.511 \ (=m_e)$	1.17 e-2	6.6 e-3	4.6 e-4	1.8 e-4	7.6 e-4
PDG for ee	$(1.17 \pm 0.04)$ e-2	$(6.9 \pm 0.4)$ e-4		$(2.0 \pm 0.4)$ e-4	$(7.7 \pm 0.6)$ e-4
10	2.8 e-3	2.9 e-3	2.5 e-4	5.7 e-5	3.7 e-4
30	3.5 e-4	1.6 e-3	1.8 e-4	1.7 e-5	2.3 e-4
50	1.2 e-5	1.0 e-3	1.4 e-4	4.3 e-6	1.6 e-4
60	2.7 e-7	8.2 e-4	1.3 e-4	1.7 e-6	1.4 e-4
90		4.3  e-4	1.0 e-4		9.2 e-5
$105.7 \ (=m_{\mu})$		3.0 e-4	9.2 e-5		7.4 e-5
PDG for $\mu\mu$		$(3.1 \pm 0.4) \text{ e-4}$	$(1.1 \pm 0.3) \text{ e-4}$		$(1.3 \pm 0.2) \text{ e-4}$
150		8.9 e-5	6.8 e-5		3.7 e-5
200		1.2  e-5	4.8 e-5		1.5 e-5
250		1.0 e-7	3.2 e-5		3.6 e-6
400			5.6 e-7		

Table 1: Branching ratios for different Dalitz decay modes as a function of  $m_{\zeta}$  for Q=1 calculated based on equations 1, 2, and 3. When possible we compare with the values from the 2019 PDG.

## 4 Generation of the decays

We provide functions that take as input the lab frame 4-vector of either pseudoscalar (P) or vector (V) meson, and return the 4 vectors of the two  $\zeta$ 's from the decay. We assume that the V's are unpolarized.

## 4.1 Generation of Dalitz decays

The implementation goes as follows (this should also work for the Dalitz decay of the  $\omega$  as long as the  $\omega$  is unpolarized):

- Rotate the 4-vector of P from the lab frame into frame  $S_1$  such that P is traveling in the z-direction.
- $\bullet$  Boost along z into frame  $S_2$  where P is at rest.
- Pick a  $q^2$  according to equation 1.
- Generate a decay  $P \to X\gamma^*$  where  $\gamma^*$  is a particle of  $m^2 = q^2$ . The  $\gamma^*$  direction is random in  $\phi$  and random in  $\cos \theta$ .

- Rotate the  $\gamma^*$  4-vector into a frame  $S_3$  such that the  $\gamma^*$  is traveling in the z-direction.
- Boost along z into frame  $S_4$  where  $\gamma^*$  is at rest.
- Generate a decay  $\gamma^* \to \zeta^+ \zeta^-$  such that the angle  $\phi$  of the  $\zeta^+$  is random and  $\cos \theta$  is picked according to [5]

$$\frac{dN}{d\cos\theta} = 1 + \cos^2\theta + \frac{4m_{\zeta}^2}{q^2}\sin^2\theta \tag{6}$$

- Set the 3-vector of the  $\zeta^-$  to be back-to-back with the  $\zeta^+$ .
- Boost the 4-vectors of the  $\zeta$ 's from  $S_4$  to  $S_3$ .
- Rotate the 4-vectors of the  $\zeta$ 's from  $S_3$  to  $S_2$ .
- Boost the 4-vectors of the  $\zeta$ 's from  $S_2$  to  $S_1$ .
- Rotate the 4-vectors of the  $\zeta$ 's from  $S_1$  to the lab frame.

#### 4.2 Generation of vector decays

The procedure is the following:

- Rotate the 4-vector of V from the lab frame into frame  $S_1$  such that V is traveling in the z-direction.
- Boost along z into frame  $S_2$  where V is at rest.
- Generate a decay  $V \to \zeta^+ \zeta^-$  such that both the angle  $\phi$  and the  $\cos \theta$  of the  $\zeta^+$  are random.
- Set the 3-vector of the  $\zeta^-$  to be back-to-back with the  $\zeta^+$ .
- Boost the 4-vectors of the  $\zeta$ 's from  $S_2$  to  $S_1$ .
- Rotate the 4-vectors of the  $\zeta$ 's from  $S_1$  to the lab frame.

#### 5 Code

Code to calculate the branching ratios and to generate the decays can be found at https://github.com/bjmarsh/milliq\_mcgen

## References

- [1] L. G. Landsberg, Phys. Rep. 128, 301 (1985).
- [2] See for example http://cds.cern.ch/record/683210/files/soft-96-032.pdf.
- [3] Aloni, D., Efrati, A., Grossman, Y. et al. J. High Energ. Phys. (2017) 2017: 19.
- [4] R. Van Royen and V. F. Weisskopf, Nuovo Cim. A 50, 617 (1967) Erratum: [Nuovo Cim. A 51, 583 (1967)].
- [5] P. Adlarson et al., Phys. Rev. C 95, 025202 (2007).