

Generation of decay of SM particles into millicharged particles

C. Campagnari, B. Marsh (UCSB)

June 21, 2019

1 Introduction

In this document we discuss the MC generation of decays and the calculation of branching ratios for processes of the type $A \rightarrow \zeta^+ \zeta^- X$ where A is a SM particle, ζ is a millicharged particle and X are other SM particles. We start from $A \rightarrow e^+ e^- X$, calculate the branching ratio for the decay into ζ 's (if kinematically allowed) and then discussed the methods to generate the actual decays.

We do not consider $Z \rightarrow \zeta \bar{\zeta}$ since we expect that the Drell Yan process should be dealt separately through an external MG model. This is because the coupling of the ζ to the Z are not given by a simple rescaling of the SM Zee vertex by Q^2 , where Q is the charge of the ζ in units of e .

The generation of the SM A particles is not discussed here.

2 Processes

The main processes for $A \rightarrow e^+ e^- X$ at the LHC are:

- $\pi^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^- \gamma$ (BR=1.17%, Dalitz decay)
- $\eta \rightarrow e^+ e^- \gamma$ (BR=0.7%, Dalitz decay)
- $\eta' \rightarrow e^+ e^- \gamma$ (BR=5e-4, Dalitz decay)
- $\omega \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+ e^-$ (BR=8e-4, Dalitz decay)

- $\eta' \rightarrow \omega e^+ e^-$ (BR=2e-4, Dalitz Decay)
- $\eta' \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^- \gamma$ (BR=2e-3, sort of Dalitz, skip for now)
- $V \rightarrow e^+ e^-$ ($V = \text{onia}, \phi, \rho, \omega$)

Note that if we are only interested on ζ masses above 100 MeV, Dalitz decays of π^0 and decays of η' into ω do not contribute. In all cases the BR for $A \rightarrow \zeta^+ \zeta^- X$ can be obtained by rescaling $A \rightarrow e^+ e^- X$ by a factor of Q^2 times an additional mass-dependent factor. In general this factor consists of a phase space piece $\sqrt{1 - (2m_\zeta/m_A)^2}$ plus an additional piece that arises from the matrix element.

3 Branching Ratios

3.1 Dalitz BR

The partial width for $A \rightarrow e^+ e^- \gamma$ can be written as[1, 2]:

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{dq^2} = \frac{2\alpha}{3\pi q^2} \left(1 + \frac{2m_e^2}{q^2}\right) \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_e^2}{q^2}} \left(1 - \frac{q^2}{m_A^2}\right)^3 |F(q^2)|^2 \Gamma(A \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) \quad (1)$$

where m_A is the mass of A , q^2 is the mass-squared of the $e^+ e^-$ pair, and $F(q^2)$ is a form factor. This form factor is such that $F(0) = 1$ and for pions is usually parametrized near $q^2 = 0$ as $F(q^2) = 1 + a \frac{q^2}{m_\pi^2}$ with $a \approx 0.03$. The form factor can also be estimated in the Vector Dominance Model (VDM) as

$$|F(q^2)|^2 = \frac{m_\rho^4 + m_\rho^2 \Gamma_\rho^2}{(m_\rho^2 - q^2)^2 + m_\rho^2 \Gamma_\rho^2} \quad (2)$$

where m_ρ and Γ_ρ are the mass and width of the ρ meson. The VDM model assumes that the decay proceeds through $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma V^*$, $V^* \rightarrow e^+ e^-$ and $V = \rho$ or ω ; equation 2 neglects the difference between ρ and ω .

In the case of $A \rightarrow e^+e^-X$, when X is not γ , the partial width can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\Gamma}{dq^2} = & \frac{\alpha}{3\pi q^2} \left(1 + \frac{2m_e^2}{q^2}\right) \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_e^2}{q^2}} \cdot \\ & \left[\left(1 + \frac{q^2}{m_A^2 - m_X^2}\right)^2 - \frac{4m_A^2 q^2}{(m_A^2 - m_X^2)^2} \right]^{3/2} |F_{AX}(q^2)|^2 \Gamma(A \rightarrow X\gamma) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where m_X is the mass of X , and the transition form factor F_{AX} can also be approximated as in equation 2.

For millicharged particles, Dalitz decays branching ratios can be obtained by integrating equation 1 or 3 from $q^2 = 4m_\zeta^2$ to the kinematical limit, substituting m_ζ for m_e , and rescaling by Q^2 . Some numerical results, for $Q = 1$ are given in Table 1. Note the sharp drop in branching ratios with mass, especially for the π^0 . The calculations with the electron and muon masses are in good agreeemnt with the PDG.

3.2 Vector meson branching ratios

At lowest order the SM decay rate for $V \rightarrow \ell\ell$ is given by the Van Royen-Weisskopf formula[3, 4]:

$$\Gamma(V \rightarrow \ell\ell) = 4\pi\alpha^2 \frac{f_V^2}{m_V} Q_q^2 (1 - 4x_\ell^2)^{1/2} (1 + 2x_\ell^2) \quad (4)$$

where f_V is the vector decay constant, m_V is the vector mass, Q_q is the charge of the quark that makes up the meson, $x_\ell = m_\ell/m_V$, and m_ℓ is the lepton mass.

Thus the ratio of BR for $V \rightarrow \zeta\zeta$ to $V \rightarrow ee$ is given by

$$\frac{\Gamma(V \rightarrow \zeta\zeta)}{\Gamma(V \rightarrow ee)} = Q^2 \frac{(1 - 4x_\zeta^2)^{1/2} (1 + 2x_\zeta^2)}{(1 - 4x_\ell^2)^{1/2} (1 + 2x_\ell^2)} \quad (5)$$

where $x_\zeta = m_\ell/m_V$, and m_ζ is the mass of ζ .

As a sanity check, we use equation 5 and $\text{BR}(\psi(2S) \rightarrow ee)=7.93\text{e-}3$ to predict $\text{BR}(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \tau\tau) = 3.1\text{e-}3$, in agreement with the PDG value of $(3.1 \pm 0.4)\text{e-}3$.

m_ζ (MeV)	$\pi^0 \rightarrow \zeta\zeta\gamma$	$\eta \rightarrow \zeta\zeta\gamma$	$\eta' \rightarrow \zeta\zeta\gamma$	$\eta' \rightarrow \zeta\zeta\omega$	$\omega \rightarrow \zeta\zeta\pi^0$
0.511 ($=m_e$) PDG for ee	1.17 e-2 (1.17 \pm 0.04)e-2	6.6 e-3 (6.9 \pm 0.4)e-4	4.6 e-4	1.8 e-4 (2.0 \pm 0.4)e-4	7.6 e-4 (7.7 \pm 0.6)e-4
10	2.8 e-3	2.9 e-3	2.5 e-4	5.7 e-5	3.7 e-4
30	3.5 e-4	1.6 e-3	1.8 e-4	1.7 e-5	2.3 e-4
50	1.2 e-5	1.0 e-3	1.4 e-4	4.3 e-6	1.6 e-4
60	2.7 e-7	8.2 e-4	1.3 e-4	1.7 e-6	1.4 e-4
90		4.3 e-4	1.0 e-4		9.2 e-5
105.7 ($=m_\mu$) PDG for $\mu\mu$		3.0 e-4 (3.1 \pm 0.4) e-4	9.2 e-5 (1.1 \pm 0.3) e-4		7.4 e-5 (1.3 \pm 0.2) e-4
150		8.9 e-5	6.8 e-5		3.7 e-5
200		1.2 e-5	4.8 e-5		1.5 e-5
250		1.0 e-7	3.2 e-5		3.6 e-6
400			5.6 e-7		

Table 1: Branching ratios for different Dalitz decay modes as a function of m_ζ for $Q = 1$ calculated based on equations 1, 2, and 3. When possible we compare with the values from the 2019 PDG.

4 Generation of the decays

We provide functions that take as input the lab frame 4-vector of either pseudoscalar (P) or vector (V) meson, and return the 4 vectors of the two ζ 's from the decay. We assume that the V 's are unpolarized.

4.1 Generation of Dalitz decays

The implementation goes as follows (this should also work for the Dalitz decay of the ω as long as the ω is unpolarized):

- Rotate the 4-vector of P from the lab frame into frame S_1 such that P is traveling in the z -direction.
- Boost along z into frame S_2 where P is at rest.
- Pick a q^2 according to equation 1.
- Generate a decay $P \rightarrow X\gamma^*$ where γ^* is a particle of $m^2 = q^2$. The γ^* direction is random in ϕ and random in $\cos\theta$.

- Rotate the γ^* 4-vector into a frame S_3 such that the γ^* is traveling in the z -direction.
- Boost along z into frame S_4 where γ^* is at rest.
- Generate a decay $\gamma^* \rightarrow \zeta^+ \zeta^-$ such that the angle ϕ of the ζ^+ is random and $\cos \theta$ is picked according to [5]

$$\frac{dN}{d\cos\theta} = 1 + \cos^2\theta + \frac{4m_\zeta^2}{q^2} \sin^2\theta \quad (6)$$

- Set the 3-vector of the ζ^- to be back-to-back with the ζ^+ .
- Boost the 4-vectors of the ζ 's from S_4 to S_3 .
- Rotate the 4-vectors of the ζ 's from S_3 to S_2 .
- Boost the 4-vectors of the ζ 's from S_2 to S_1 .
- Rotate the 4-vectors of the ζ 's from S_1 to the lab frame.

4.2 Generation of vector decays

The procedure is the following:

- Rotate the 4-vector of V from the lab frame into frame S_1 such that V is traveling in the z -direction.
- Boost along z into frame S_2 where V is at rest.
- Generate a decay $V \rightarrow \zeta^+ \zeta^-$ such that both the angle ϕ and the $\cos \theta$ of the ζ^+ are random.
- Set the 3-vector of the ζ^- to be back-to-back with the ζ^+ .
- Boost the 4-vectors of the ζ 's from S_2 to S_1 .
- Rotate the 4-vectors of the ζ 's from S_1 to the lab frame.

5 Code

Code to calculate the branching ratios and to generate the decays can be found at <https://github.com/bjmarsh/milliq-mcgen>

References

- [1] L. G. Landsberg, Phys. Rep. 128, 301 (1985).
- [2] See for example <http://cds.cern.ch/record/683210/files/soft-96-032.pdf>.
- [3] Aloni, D., Efrati, A., Grossman, Y. et al. J. High Energ. Phys. (2017) 2017: 19.
- [4] R. Van Royen and V. F. Weisskopf, Nuovo Cim. A 50, 617 (1967) Erratum: [Nuovo Cim. A 51, 583 (1967)].
- [5] P. Adlarson et al., Phys. Rev. C 95, 025202 (2007).