

Propagation of simulated millicharged particles to the Milliquan detector

C. Campagnari, B. Marsh (UCSB), F. Golf (UNL)

September 6, 2019

1 Introduction

In this note we describe the procedure used to propagate simulated millicharged particles (mCPs) through the CMS environment to the Milliquan detector face. The goals of this are to (1) calculate the acceptance of the Milliquan detector (and thus, when combined with $\sigma \times \mathcal{B}$, the rate of incidence of mCPs), and (2) generate “hits” on the Milliquan detector face (position and momentum of incoming mCPs) that can be fed into more sophisticated Geant4 simulations of the detector.

There are four main components to this simulation, listed here and then described in more detail in the following sections:

1. Propagation through the CMS magnetic field
2. Multiple scattering while traveling through matter
3. Energy loss while propagating through matter
4. Computation of intersection with Milliquan detector face

All of the code for the propagation is contained in the [MilliquanSim](#) GitHub repository.

2 Propagation through the CMS magnetic field

At its core the simulation uses a fourth-order Runge-Kutta integrator to step a charged particle through a magnetic field. The basic equations of motion are

$$\frac{d\vec{x}}{dt} = \vec{v} = \frac{\vec{p}c^2}{E} = \frac{\vec{p}c^2}{\sqrt{(\vec{p}c)^2 + (mc^2)^2}}, \quad (1)$$




Figure 1: Magnitdue of the CMS magnetic field, in the $y = 0$ plane.

$$\frac{d(\vec{p}c)}{dt} = qc \vec{v} \times \vec{B}. \quad (2)$$

If B is in Tesla, t in ns, velocity in m/ns, charge in units of e , and $\vec{p}c$ in MeV, the latter becomes

$$\frac{d(\vec{p}c)}{dt} = 89.8755 q \vec{v} \times \vec{B}. \quad (3)$$

The magnetic field for CMS has been extracted from CMSSW in a 3-dimensional cylindrical grid in increments of $\Delta r = 10$ cm, $\Delta z = 10$ cm, $\Delta\theta = 5^\circ$, out to $r = 10$ m and $z = \pm 15$ m. A map of the magnitude in the $y = 0$ plane is shown in Fig. 1. *[Note: we extracted this B-field map from CMSSW, which isn't public. But there are CMS publications (e.g. [3]) that measure this, so I guess we can plausibly say we got it from those.]*

At each timestep, the magnetic field is determined from ths pre-loaded map and used in the equation of motion (3). The field is interpolated between the two nearest points in r (not z or θ , since for the region of interest the field is essentially flat over these variables).

For now, the simulation uses a timestep of $dt = 0.1$ ns, corresponding to a distance $dx \approx 3$ cm for a particle traveling near the speed of light. This can probably be increased without meaningfully changing the results, in order to speed up computation time.




Figure 2: A map of material $\rho Z/A$ as a function of radius for CMS, as extracted from a public ROOT model of CMS [4]. Note that ECAL is missing, so we add 25 cm of PbWO_4 between radii of 1.25 and 1.50 m.

3 Multiple scattering through matter

In order to simulate the interactions of particles with matter, a model of CMS has been extracted from a public ROOT model [4]. At each point in space, it is possible to get the material as well as its properties. A plot of $\rho Z/A$ as a function of radius is shown in Fig. 2. This is used to construct a simplified model of CMS for the purposes of particle propagation. For simplicity, all material lengths are converted into “equivalent distance of iron” by scaling by the $\rho Z/A$ ratio. Then concentric cylinders of iron are placed between the following radii:

- $1.80 \leq r < 2.80$ m (tracker + HCAL)
- $3.15 \leq r < 3.50$ m (magnet)
- $3.85 \leq r < 4.05$ m (return yoke 1)
- $4.60 \leq r < 4.95$ m (return yoke 2)
- $5.35 \leq r < 5.95$ m (return yoke 3)
- $6.35 \leq r < 7.15$ m (return yoke 4)

The total amount of iron is 3.30 m. In addition, 25 cm of PbWO_4 is placed between $1.25 < r < 1.50$ m to account for the ECAL crystals.

Rock is placed between $16 < R < 33$ m to account for the 17 meters of rock between the IP and Millikan. All space not filled by iron, PbWO_4 , or rock by the above rules is set to air.




Figure 3: Diagram showing the defined θ_{plane} and y_{plane} variables used to describe multiple scattering.

The implementation of multiple scattering is taken from the PDG chapter on the passage of particles through matter [2]. This assumes a small-angle gaussian scattering, and does not take into account non-gaussian tails from rare hard scatters. However, we are interested only in the “bulk” of the distribution, so this approximation should be fine.

The RMS of the scattering angle distribution is given by

$$\theta_0 = \frac{13.6 \text{ MeV}}{\beta c p} z \sqrt{\frac{x}{X_0}} \left[1 + 0.088 \log_{10} \left(\frac{x z^2}{X_0 \beta^2} \right) \right], \quad (4)$$

where p , βc , and z are the momentum, velocity, and charge number of the incident particle, x is the distance traversed, and X_0 is the radiation length of the material.

In addition to an angular deflection θ_{plane} , there is a correlated transverse deviation y_{plane} (see Fig. 3). The correlation coefficient turns out to be $\sqrt{3}/2 \approx 0.87$, and it is sufficient to generate two independent gaussian random variables z_1, z_2 and compute

$$y_{\text{plane}} = z_1 x \theta_0 / \sqrt{12} + z_2 x \theta_0 / 2 \quad (5)$$

$$\theta_{\text{plane}} = z_2 \theta_0.$$

This is done independently in both directions orthogonal to the direction of travel.

4 Energy loss

In addition to multiple scattering, particles lose energy as they propagate through matter. This is implemented using the Bethe formula

$$\left\langle -\frac{dE}{dx} \right\rangle = K z^2 \frac{Z}{A} \frac{1}{\beta^2} \left[\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{2 m_e c^2 \beta^2 \gamma^2 W_{\text{max}}}{I^2} - \beta^2 - \frac{\delta(\beta \gamma)}{2} \right]. \quad (6)$$

For definitions of all terms and parameters, see [2]. All material constants are taken from [1].

5 Putting it all together

At each timestep, the following steps are taken:

1. Evaluate $d\vec{x}/dt$ and $d\vec{p}/dt$ using the current position/momentum \vec{x}_x/\vec{p}_i inserted into Eqs. 1 and 3 (actually, evaluate four times as per the Runge-Kutta method). Use the Runge-Kutta method to compute $\Delta\vec{x}_{B,i}$ and $\Delta\vec{p}_{B,i}$ for the timestep.
2. Look up the material at \vec{x}_i , and plug the material constants into Eq. 4. Then use Eq. 5 to compute a transverse displacement and angular deflection (independently for both transverse directions). Combine to get $\Delta\vec{x}_{MS,i}$ and $\Delta\vec{p}_{MS,i}$ due to multiple scattering.
3. Use material constants to evaluate dE/dx with Eq. 6. Multiply by $\delta x = v\delta t$ to get δE , and use this to compute $\Delta\vec{p}_{EL,i}$.
4. The total changes in position and momentum for the timestep are then $\Delta\vec{x}_i = \Delta\vec{x}_{B,i} + \Delta\vec{x}_{MS,i}$ and $\Delta\vec{p}_i = \Delta\vec{p}_{B,i} + \Delta\vec{p}_{MS,i} + \Delta\vec{p}_{EL,i}$.

This is done for N_{steps} timesteps (or until a specified distance cutoff is reached). At the end we get an array of $(t, x, y, z, p_x, p_y, p_z)$ values at each timestep.

6 Intersection with the Millikan Detector

To facilitate acceptance and rate calculations, tools have been added to the simulation to compute intersections with various detector models.

For simple applications, one can find intersections with an external plane and retrieve the position and momentum at the intersection. This is useful for gathering four-vectors to feed into an external simulation of the detector.

The ability to construct a “Millikan-type” detector with arbitrary numbers of bars has also been added. The entry/exit points for each individual bar can be computed, so that for each trajectory one can determine the number of bars hit, whether those bars are in a line, the length of the path traversed within the bar, etc. An example of two mCPs hitting the Millikan demonstrator is shown in Fig. 4.




Figure 4: Visualization of two mCP trajectories passing through the Millikan demonstrator.

References

- [1] PDG, “Atomic and Nuclear Properties of Materials”. [link]
- [2] PDG, chapter 33. “Passage of particles through matter”. [link]
- [3] CMS Collaboration, “Precise mapping of the magnetic field in the CMS barrel yoke using cosmic rays”. *Journal of Instrumentation* **5** (2010) no. 3, T03021. doi:10.1088/1748-0221/5/03/t03021.
- [4] ROOT, <https://root.cern/files/cms.root>