# 9: Instrumentvariable

Videregående kvantitative metoder i studiet af politisk adfærd

Frederik Hjorth fh@ifs.ku.dk fghjorth.github.io @fghjorth

Institut for Statskundskah Københavns Universitet

14. november 2016

- 1 Formalia
- 2 Opsamling fra sidst
- 3 Instrumentvariable
- 4 Implementering i R
- 5 Case: Arunachalam & Watson
- 6 Kig fremad
- 7 Hvad f\*\*k skete der i tirsdags?

Uge	Dato	Tema	Litteratur	Case
1	5/9	Introduktion til R	Imai kap 1	
2	12/9	Regression I: OLS	GH kap 3, MM kap 2	Gilens & Page (2014
3	26/9	Regression II: Paneldata	GH kap 11	Larsen et al. (2016)
4	29/9	Regression III: Multileveldata, interaktioner	GH kap 12	Berkman & Plutzer
5	3/10	Introduktion til kausal inferens	Hariri (2012), Samii (2016)	
6	10/10	Matching	Justesen & Klemmensen (2014)	Ladd & Lenz (2009)
	17/10	*Efterårsferie*	` ,	,

Formalia

Uge	Dato	Tema	Litteratur	Case
	17/10	*Efterårsferie*		
7	24/10	Eksperimenter I	MM kap 1, GG kap $1+2$	Gerber et al. (2008)
8	31/10	Eksperimenter II	GG kap 3+4+5	Gerber & Green (2000)
9	14/11	Instrumentvariable	MM kap 3	Arunachalam & Watso
10	14/11	Regressionsdiskontinuitetsdesigns	MM kap 4	Eggers & Hainmueller
11	21/11	Difference-in-difference designs	MM kap 5	Enos (2016)
12	28/11	'Big data' og maskinlæring	Grimmer (2015), Varian (2014)	, ,
13	5/12	Scraping af data fra online-kilder	MRMN kap 9	
14	12/12	Tekst som data	Grimmer & Stewart (2013), Imai kap 5	

Formalia 0000

#### Eksamen

Formalia 0000

• Format: *seminaropgave* 

• Frist: 20/12

• Omfang: min. 10, max. 20 ns.

Rammebeskrivelse for seminaropgaven uploades 20/11

Mini-workshop om databehandling primo december (dato/lok. tbd)

# Spørgsmål?

Formalia

- Clustered assignment
- Brug af pre-treatment mål
- Brug af andre kovariater
- Blocking
- Noncompliance
- Case: Gerber & Green (2000)


Formalia Opsamling Instrumentvariable Implementering Case Kig fremad Hvad f\*\*k
0000 O● 000000 0 000000

Spørgsmål?

Frederik Hjorth

## Motiverende eksempel: KIPP charter schools

 $\label{eq:Figure 3.1} \mbox{Application and enrollment data from KIPP Lynn lotteries}$ 



Note: Numbers of Knowledge Is Power Program (KIPP) applicants are shown in parentheses.

#### KIPP tilbud opfylder tre kriterier:


- first stage effekt: instrumentet påvirker treatment (relevance criterion)
- 2 instrumentet er ukorreleret med evt. omitted variables (independence assumption)
- 3 instrumentet påvirker alene outcome gennem treatment (exclusion criterion)

Med kriterierne opfyldt identificerer forholdet ml. reduced form og first stage LATE

$$LATE = \frac{reduced\ form}{first\ stage} = \frac{E[Y_i|Z_i=1] - E[Y_i|Z_i=0]}{E[D_i|Z_i=1] - E[D_i|Z_i=0]} = \lambda = \frac{\rho}{\phi}$$
 (1)

## IV-estimat for KIPP-pladstilbud

FIGURE 3.2 IV in school: the effect of KIPP attendance on math scores



*Note:* The effect of Knowledge Is Power Program (KIPP) enrollment described by this figure is  $.48\sigma = .355\sigma/.741$ .

### Fire typer compliance:

- compliers
- never-takers
- 3 always-takers
- 4 defiers

Antagelse om  $\textit{monotonicitet}, \, \mathsf{dvs.} \, \, \mathsf{ingen} \, \, \mathsf{defiers} \, \rightarrow \,$ 

$$\lambda = LATE = E[Y_1 - Y_0|C = 1] = CACE \tag{2}$$

Alternativt effektbegreb: treatment effect on the treated (TOT)

$$TOT = E[Y_1 - Y_0|D = 1]$$
 (3)

Gruppen med D = 1 omfatter compliers med Z = 1 + always-takers  $\rightarrow$  i fravær af always-takers er TOT = LATE

IV-modeller kan estimeres med funktionen ivreg() i pakken AER:

ivreg( 
$$\sim$$
  ,  $\sim$  , data=)

### Udgangspunkt: korr. m. højde og stemmeadfærd




Fig. 1. Taller people support Conservatives Note: Running line smooth of 'supports Conservative party' on height, adjusted for age and gender. The Xs mark the  $10^{\rm th}$  and  $90^{\rm th}$  percentile of the height distribution; 95 per cent pointwise bootstrapped confidence intervals displayed.

### First stage

TABLE 4 Instrumental Variables: First Stage

	Whole	Whole	Female	Female	Male	Male
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
First Stage:						
Height (inches)	0.352*** (0.049)	0.211*** (0.047)	0.247*** (0.053)	0.162*** (0.051)	0.491*** (0.081)	0.239***
Controls:	,	,	,	,	,	,
Age, region Sex	X X	X X	X	X	X	X
Extended		X		X		X
F-Stat excl. instrument	47.678	16.684	23.413	10.413	30.049	7.009
N	11,303	11,001	6,145	6,004	5,158	4,997

Note: dependent variable is 'Real Income ('000s of pounds)'. First stage of 2SLS regression corresponding to Table 1. Extended controls include: married, white, years of schooling, religion. Full models reported in the Appendix. Heteroskedasticity-robust standard errors, clustered by household. Statistical significance: \*10%; \*\*5%; \*\*\*1%.

#### Second stage

TABLE 5 Support for Conservatives: Second-Stage IV and OLS

	Whole	Whole	Prime	Prime	Cog	Fam	Fam	F	F	M	М
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
IV Second Stage:											
Real Income (000s)	(0.005)	(0.011)	(0.020***	(0.009)	(0.023)	(0.012)	(0.010)	(0.009)	(0.015)	(0.006)	(0.016)
OLS:											
Real Income (000s)	(0.000)	(0.002***	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.002***	(0.002***	(0.002***	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.000)	(0.000)
Controls:						(				(0.000)	
Age, region	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Sex	X	X	X	X	X	X	X				
Extended		X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Cognitive ability					X						
Parents' schooling						X					
Father's HGS							X				
Prime age only			X	X							
F-stat	32.783	24.524	19.514	15.390	15.682	18.365	28.267	28.389	23.914	16.273	9.337
A-R Conf. interval N	[0.014, 0.036] 9,616	[0.015, 0.065] 9,377	[0.012, 0.033] 5,477	[0.013, 0.057] 5,419	[0.019, 0.138] 9,341	[0.011, 0.069] 7,917	[0.002, 0.047] 7,085	[0.007, 0.045] 5,104	[0.002, 0.079] 4,994	[0.013, 0.037] 4,512	[0.016, 0.1] 4,383


Note: dependent variable is 'supports Conservative Party'. The first row reports coefficients on income from second stage of 2SLS regressions; the second row reports coefficients on income from OLS regressions. Extended controls include: married, white, years of schooling, religion. Full models reported in the Appendix. Heteroskedasticity-robust standard errors, clustered by household. Statistical significance: \*10%; \*\*5%; \*\*\*1%.

Næste gang: RDD

Frederik Hjorth

Opsamling Instrumentvariable Implementering Case Kig fremad Hvad f\*\*k
00 00000 0 00000 0 00000


## 538's 'polls-only' på valgaftenen




Opsamling Instrumentvariable Implementering Case Kig fremad Hvad f\*\*k

OO OOOOOO O OOOOOO

#### 'Fundamentals' indikerede uao, et tæt resultat





 Opsamling
 Instrumentvariable
 Implementering
 Case
 Kig fremad
 Hvad f\*\*k

 00
 000000
 0
 000
 0
 00●000

# Polling-fejl var uens fordelt


#### Trump consistently outperformed polls in key states

	POLL AVERAGE	POPULAR VOTE COUNT	DIFFERENCE
National polls	+3 •••	Even	+3
Missouri	+9	+19	+10
Wisconsin	+6	+1 =	+7
Ohio	+2 ==	+9	+7
Iowa	+3	+10	+7
Maine	+9	+3 •••	+6
Minnesota	+6	+1 =	+5
Michigan	+3 ===	+1 =	+4
North Carolina	Even	+4	+4
Pennsylvania	+2 ==	+1 =	+3 •••
New Hampshire	+2 💷	Even	+2 💷
Colorado	+4	+2 ==	+2 💶
Georgia	+4	+6	+2 💷
Florida		+1 =	+2 📖
Arizona	+3	+4 ••••	+1 =
	+5	+5	No difference
Nevada	+1 =	+2 ==	+1 =

THE WASHINGTON POST


Opsamling Instrumentvariable Implementering Case Kig fremad **Hvad f\*\***k OO OOOOOO O OOO●OO


#### Tendens til stærkere land/by skel fortsætter



NYT om land ctr. by 2012/2016

## Tegn på smh. m. svage lokale økonomier





Hvad f\*\*k