Project 3 on Machine Learning: Solving partial differential equations with neural networks

Bjørn Grønntun

December 6, 2019

Abstract

This is rather abstract.

1 Heat equation - physical interpretation and analytical solution

If we have a rod of length L=1 with no lateral temperature loss, the temperature in the interior points of the rod can be modelled by a function u(x,t), where $x \in (0,1)$ is the distance from left point of the rod and t is time. It is well established that the interior temperature will conform to the heat equation

$$u_t = \alpha^2 u_{xx} \tag{1}$$

For simplicity, we will let $\alpha = 1$. As boundary condition, we will impose that the endpoints of the rod be kept at temperature 0. Initially, the temperature profile is given by $u(x,0) = \sin(\pi x)$. Thus, the intitial-boundary value problem we are going to study is as follows:

$$u_t = u_{xx} \tag{2}$$

$$u(0,t) = u(1,t) = 0 (3)$$

$$u(x,0) = \sin(\pi x) \tag{4}$$

The analytical solution to this problem can be found by the classical technique of separation by variables. Even if this derivation is given in every text on PDEs, we now proceed to give a quick sketch of this method. To start with, we look for solutions of the form u(x,t) = X(x)T(t). This substitution transforms the PDE to X(x)T'(t) = X''(x)T(t), which means that

$$\frac{T'(t)}{T(t)} = \frac{X''(x)}{X(x)} \tag{5}$$

As the left side of this equation depends solely on t and the right side depends solely on x, the two sides must be equal to a common constant. Furthermore, this constant must be negative. (Explanation). This leads to the two ODEs

$$T'(t) + \lambda^2 T(t) = 0 \tag{6}$$

and

$$X''(x) + \lambda^2 X(x) = 0 \tag{7}$$

These equations are very easy to solve. (6) has the general solution

$$T(t) = Ce^{-\lambda^2 t} \tag{8}$$

whereas (7) has the general solution

$$X(x) = D\sin(\lambda x) + E\cos(\lambda x) \tag{9}$$

Here, C, D and E are arbitrary constants. Thus the PDE is satisfied by all functions of the form

$$u(x,t) = e^{-\lambda^2 t} [A\sin(\lambda x) + B\cos(\lambda x)]$$
(10)

where A and B are arbitrary constants.

Now, we want to bring in the boundary conditions, the first of which is u(0,t)=0. Putting x=0 in (10), we obtain $Be^{-\lambda^2 t}=0$, so B must be equal to 0. The second boundary condition, u(1,t), now yields

$$Ae^{-\lambda^2 t}\sin(\lambda) = 0\tag{11}$$

As can be seen, we must either have A=0, leading to the trivial solution $u\equiv 0$, or $\sin(\lambda)=0$. Thus, λ has to be an integer multiple of π . This leads to an entire family of solutions satisfying both the PDE and the boundary conditions. This family is given by

$$u_n(x,t) = A_n e^{-(n\pi)^2 t} \sin(n\pi x)$$
 (12)

where $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

It is easily verified that any linear combination of solutions satisfying the PDE and the boundary conditions will again be a solution. This leads us to consider solutions of the form

$$u(x,t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n e^{-(n\pi)^2 t} \sin(n\pi x)$$
 (13)

In order that the solution satisfy the initial condition $u(x,0) = \sin(\pi x)$, we put

$$u(x,0) = \sin(\pi x) \tag{14}$$

that is

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \sin(n\pi x) = \sin(\pi x) \tag{15}$$

In order to satisfy this equation, we simply let $A_1 = 1$ and $A_n = 0$ for $n \neq 1$. Now we finally have reached our analytical solution:

$$u(x,t) = e^{-\pi^2 t} \sin(\pi x) \tag{16}$$

It is easily verified that this solution satisfy the PDE, the boundary conditions and the initial condition. It can be shown that the solution is unique.

2 Numerical methods - overview

We seek a bivariate function, defined on $[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^+$. Of course, in a numerical setting we have to constrain the function domain somewhat: We choose to construct a grid of points on $[0,1] \times [0,1]$. If there are n+1 grid lines parallell to the t axis and t grid lines parallell to the t axis, the distance between the grid lines when we move in the t direction is t grid lines when we move in the t direction is t grid lines for t and t will thus be given by

$$x_j = jh (17)$$

where j = 0, 1, ..., n

$$t_i = ik \tag{18}$$

where i = 0, 1, ..., m.

3 Finite difference scheme - theory

In order to construct a finite-difference scheme to solve the heat equation, we use truncated forms of the Taylor series for u to obtain the following approximations:

$$u_t = \frac{1}{k} [u(x, t+k) - u(x, k)]$$
(19)

and

$$u_{xx} = \frac{1}{h^2} [u(x+h,t) - 2u(x,t) + u(x-h,t)]$$
 (20)

By using the notation $u_{i,j}$ for $u(x_j,t_i)$, we can write these equations as

$$u_t = \frac{1}{k} [u_{i+1,j} - u_{i,j}] \tag{21}$$

and

$$u_{xx} = \frac{1}{h^2} [u_{i,j+1} - 2u_{i,j} + u_{i,j-1}]$$
 (22)

The form of the heat equation we are studying demands that these be equal to each other. Solving for $u_{i+1,j}$, we obtain

$$u_{i+1,j} = u_{i,j} + \frac{k}{h^2} [u_{i,j+1} - 2u_{i,j} + u_{i,j-1}]$$
(23)

We now have a solution at each time step in terms of the solution at the previous time step. This gives us the following algorithm:

4 Finite difference scheme - implementation and results

The above algorithm is implemented in src/difference.py. The testing is in notebooks/solving-pde.ipynb. In order to meet the stability criterion for the forward method, we begin by constructing a 11×201 grid, corresponding to step sizes of $\Delta x = 0.1$, $\Delta t = 0.005$. This just meets the stability criterion, since

$$\frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x^2} = \frac{0.005}{0.01} = 0.5\tag{24}$$

In order to obtain even better approximations, we also construct a 101×20001 grid, corresponding to step sizes of $\Delta x = 0.01$, $\Delta t = 0.00005$. Again, this just meets the stability criterion, since

$$\frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x^2} = \frac{0.00005}{0.0001} = 0.5 \tag{25}$$

- 5 Neural networks
- 6 Comparison of methods
- 7 Eigenvalue problems
- 8 Wrapping up