

# What is essential?

- A pilot survey on views about the requirements metamodel of reqT.org

Björn Regnell

Lund University

March 14, 2016

[refsq.org/2016](http://refsq.org/2016)

[github.com/bjornregnell/reqT-survey](https://github.com/bjornregnell/reqT-survey)

## 1 Objective

- Research Question
- Approach

## 2 Background

- reqT

## 3 Methodology

- Data Collection
- Data Analysis

## 4 Results

- Essentiality

## 5 Conclusion

- Future Work

# Research question

In the context of software requirements engineering education:

- How to choose a set of **essential requirements engineering concepts** that allows for **sufficient expressiveness**, without overloading the metamodel with esoteric concepts just for the sake of **completeness**?

# Approach

Make a survey among RE scholars:

- How to quantify "essentiality"?

# Approach

Make a survey among RE scholars:

- How to quantify "essentiality"?
- One possible quantification:  
The more scholars that **agree** on a definition of a concept  
and  
the more scholars that **use** the concept,  
the more essential is the concept.

What is essential?

└ Background

└ reqT

# reqT

What is essential?

└ Methodology

└ Data Collection

# Data Collection

What is essential?

└ Methodology

└ Data Analysis

# Data Analysis



# Essentiality

# Future Work