


## The Path to DPDK Speeds for AF\_XDP

 $magnus. karls son@intel.com,\ bjorn.topel@intel.com$ 

Linux Plumbers Conference, Vancouver, 2018


### **XDP 101**



### AF\_XDP 101

- Ingress
  - userspace XDP packet sink
  - XDP\_REDIRECT to socket via XSKMAP
- Egress
  - no XDP program
- Register userspace memory to kernel (UMEM)
- Pass packet buffer ownership via rings with descriptors
- Fill ring (to kernel) / Rx ring (from kernel)
- Tx ring (to kernel) / Completion ring (from kernel)
- copy mode (DMA to/from kernel allocated frames, copy data to user)
- zero-copy mode (DMA to/from user allocated frames)

### AF\_XDP 101




## **Baseline and blueprint**

- Baseline: 64B @ ~15-22 Mpps
- Blueprint
  - do less (instructions)
  - talk less (coherency traffic)
  - do more at the same time (batching, i\$)
  - Land of Spectres: fewer retpolines, fewer retpolines, fewer repolines

### **Ingress**


- XDP\_ATTACH and bpf\_xsk\_redirect, attach at-most one socket per netdev queue, load built-in XDP program, 2-level hierarchy
- remove indirect call, bpf\_prog\_run\_xdp
- remove indirect call, XDP actions switch-statement ( $>= 5 \implies \text{jump table}$ )
- driver optimizations (batching, code restructure)
- bpf\_prog\_run\_xdp, xdp\_do\_redirect and xdp\_do\_flush\_map: per-CPU struct bpf\_redirect\_info + struct xdp\_buff + struct xdp\_rxq\_info vs explicit, stack-based context

### Ingress, results, data not touched




### **Egress**

- Tx performance capped per HW queue
  multiple Tx sockets per UMEM
- Larger/more batching, larger descriptor rings
- Dedicated AF\_XDP Tx queues
- In-order complettion, setsockopt
  XDP\_INORDER\_COMPLETION




## Egress, results, data not touched



# Busy poll() vs run-to-completion

Busy poll() Application Run-to-completion Rx/TxRx/TxApplication Core 1 Core 2 Core 1


# Busy poll() vs run-to-completion, results



## **Comparison with DPDK**

- Userspace, vectorized drivers
- "Learning from the DPDK" http://vger.kernel.org/netconf2018\_files/ StephenHemminger\_netconf2018.pdf

## Comparison with DPDK, results



### **Next steps**

### Upstream!

- XDP: switch-statement
- Rx/Tx: drivers
- Rx: XDP\_ATTTACH and bpf\_xsk\_redirect
- Tx: multiple Tx sockets per UMEM
- General leftovers still to-be-upstreamed: libbpf AF\_XDP support (easier to consume), selftest

#### **Future work**

- hugepage support, less fill ring traffic (get\_user\_pages)
- fd.io/VPP work vectors (i\$, explicit batching in function calls)
- "XDP first" drivers
- collaborate/share code with RDMA (e.g. get\_user\_pages)
- Type-writer model (currently not planned)

### Thanks!

- Ilias Apalodimas
- Daniel Borkmann
- Jesper Dangaard Brouer
- Willem De Bruijn
- Eric Dumazet
- Alexander Duyck
- Mykyta Iziumtsev
- Jakub Kicinski
- Song Liu

- David S. Miller
- Sridhar Samudrala
- Yonghong Song
- Alexei Starovoitov
- William Tu
- Anil Vasudevan
- Jingjing Wu
- Qi Zhang

# **Questions?**

