


The Path to DPDK Speeds for AF_XDP

 $magnus. karls son@intel.com,\ bjorn.topel@intel.com$

Linux Plumbers Conference, Vancouver, 2018


XDP 101



AF_XDP 101

- Ingress
 - userspace XDP packet sink
 - XDP_REDIRECT to socket via XSKMAP
- Egress
 - no XDP program
- Register userspace memory to kernel (UMEM)
- Pass packet buffer ownership via rings with descriptors
- Fill ring (to kernel) / Rx ring (from kernel)
- Tx ring (to kernel) / Completion ring (from kernel)
- copy mode (DMA to/from kernel allocated frames, copy data to user)
- zero-copy mode (DMA to/from user allocated frames)

AF_XDP 101




Baseline and blueprint

- Baseline: 64B @ ~15-22 Mpps
- Blueprint
 - do less (instructions)
 - talk less (coherency traffic)
 - do more at the same time (batching, i\$)
 - Land of Spectres: fewer retpolines, fewer retpolines, fewer repolines

Ingress


- XDP_ATTACH and bpf_xsk_redirect, attach at-most one socket per netdev queue, load built-in XDP program, 2-level hierarchy
- remove indirect call, bpf_prog_run_xdp
- remove indirect call, XDP actions switch-statement ($>= 5 \implies \text{jump table}$)
- driver optimizations (batching, code restructure)
- bpf_prog_run_xdp, xdp_do_redirect and xdp_do_flush_map: per-CPU struct bpf_redirect_info + struct xdp_buff + struct xdp_rxq_info vs explicit, stack-based context

Ingress, results, data not touched




Egress

- Tx performance capped per HW queue
 ⇒ multiple Tx sockets per UMEM
- Larger/more batching, larger descriptor rings
- Dedicated AF_XDP Tx queues
- In-order complettion, setsockopt
 XDP_INORDER_COMPLETION




Egress, results, data not touched



Busy poll() vs run-to-completion

Busy poll() Application Run-to-completion Application Rx/TxRx/TxCore 1 Core 1 Core 2


Busy poll() vs run-to-completion, results



Comparison with DPDK

- Userspace, vectorized drivers
- "Learning from the DPDK" http://vger.kernel.org/netconf2018_files/ StephenHemminger_netconf2018.pdf

Comparison with DPDK, results



Next steps

Upstream!

- XDP: switch-statement
- Rx/Tx: drivers
- Rx: XDP_ATTTACH and bpf_xsk_redirect
- Tx: multiple Tx sockets per UMEM
- General leftovers still to-be-upstreamed: libbpf AF_XDP support (easier to consume), selftest

Future work

- hugepage support, less fill ring traffic (get_user_pages)
- fd.io/VPP work vectors (i\$, explicit batching in function calls)
- "XDP first" drivers
- collaborate/share code with RDMA (e.g. get_user_pages)
- Type-writer model (currently not planned)

Thanks!

- Ilias Apalodimas
- Daniel Borkmann
- Jesper Dangaard Brouer
- Willem De Bruijn
- Eric Dumazet
- Alexander Duyck
- Mykyta Iziumtsev
- Jakub Kicinski
- Song Liu

- David S. Miller
- Sridhar Samudrala
- Yonghong Song
- Alexei Starovoitov
- William Tu
- Anil Vasudevan
- Jingjing Wu
- Qi Zhang

Questions?

