AUTHOR et al.: TITLE

APPENDIX A

With Equation (14), the second-order Taylor expansion of $H(\boldsymbol{w}^{q+1})$ is

$$H(\boldsymbol{w}^{q+1}) = H(\boldsymbol{w}^{q}) + (\boldsymbol{w}^{q+1} - \boldsymbol{w}^{q})^{T} \nabla H(\boldsymbol{w}^{q})$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{w}^{q+1} - \boldsymbol{w}^{q})^{T} \nabla^{2} H(\boldsymbol{w}^{q}) (\boldsymbol{w}^{q+1} - \boldsymbol{w}^{q})$$

$$\leq H(\boldsymbol{w}^{q}) + (\boldsymbol{w}^{q+1} - \boldsymbol{w}^{q})^{T} \nabla H(\boldsymbol{w}^{q})$$

$$+ \frac{l}{2} ||\boldsymbol{w}^{q+1} - \boldsymbol{w}^{q}||^{2}.$$
(1)

Let $\eta = l^{-1}$. According to Equation (11), the expect of loss function is

$$\mathbb{E}(H(\boldsymbol{w}^{q+1})) \leq \mathbb{E}(H(\boldsymbol{w}^{q}) - \eta(\nabla H(\boldsymbol{w}^{q}) - o)^{T} \nabla H(\boldsymbol{w}^{q}) + \frac{l\eta^{2}}{2} ||\nabla H(\boldsymbol{w}^{q}) - o||^{2})$$

$$= \mathbb{E}(H(\boldsymbol{w}^{q})) - \frac{1}{2l} \mathbb{E}(||\nabla H(\boldsymbol{w}^{q})||^{2}) + \frac{1}{2l} \mathbb{E}(||o||^{2}),$$
(2)

where the equation is established based on the property of expect. Furthermore,

$$\mathbb{E}(||o||^{2})$$

$$=\mathbb{E}\left(\|\nabla H(\boldsymbol{w}^{q}) - G/Q\|^{2}\right)$$

$$=\mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|-\frac{(N-Q)\sum_{m\in Y}\sum_{u_{m}\in X}\sum_{i_{u_{m}}=1}^{n_{u_{m}}}\nabla h(\boldsymbol{x}_{u_{m}i_{u_{m}}}, y_{u_{m}i_{u_{m}}}, \boldsymbol{w}^{q})}{NQ}\right\|_{n_{u_{m}, m}}$$

$$+ \frac{\sum\limits_{m \in Y} \sum\limits_{u_m \notin X} \sum\limits_{i_{u_m}=1}^{n_{u_m}} \nabla h(\boldsymbol{x}_{u_m i}, y_{u_m i_{u_m}}, \boldsymbol{w}^q)}{N}$$

$$+ \left. rac{\sum\limits_{m
otin Y}^{\sum\limits_{u_m=1}^{N_m}} \sum\limits_{i_{u_m=1}}^{n_{u_m}}
abla h(oldsymbol{x}_{u_m i_{u_m}}, y_{u_m i_{u_m}}, oldsymbol{w}^q)}{N}
ight\|^2
ight\}$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E} \left\{ \frac{(N-Q) \sum\limits_{m \in Y} \sum\limits_{u_m \in X} \sum\limits_{i_{u_m}=1}^{n_{u_m}} \left\| \nabla h(\boldsymbol{x}_{u_m i_{u_m}}, y_{u_m i_{u_m}}, \boldsymbol{w}^q) \right\|}{NQ} \right.$$

$$+ \frac{\sum\limits_{m \in Y} \sum\limits_{u_m \notin X} \sum\limits_{i_{u_m}=1}^{n_{u_m}} \left\| \nabla h(\boldsymbol{x}_{u_m i_{u_m}}, y_{u_m i_{u_m}}, \boldsymbol{w}^q) \right\|}{N}$$

$$+ \frac{\sum\limits_{m \notin Y} \sum\limits_{u_m=1}^{U_m} \sum\limits_{i_{u_m}=1}^{n_{u_m}} \nabla h(\boldsymbol{x}_{u_m i_{u_m}}, y_{u_m i_{u_m}}, \boldsymbol{w}^q)}{N} \right\}^2,$$

where $X = \{u_m | I_{u_m} = 1, \tau_{u_m m} = 1, Z_{u_m} = 1\}, Y =$ $\{m|I_m=1,\pi_m=1,Z_m^{up}=1\}$. The inequality is established based on the triangle inequality.

Let $\xi(\boldsymbol{w}^q) = \sqrt{\xi_1 + \xi_2 ||\nabla H(\boldsymbol{w}^q)||^2}$. According to Equation (15), $\|\nabla h(\boldsymbol{x}_{u_m i_{u_m}}, y_{u_m i_{u_m}}, \boldsymbol{w}^q)\| \leq \xi(\boldsymbol{w}^q)$. Then,

$$\begin{array}{ll} \sum_{m \in Y} \sum_{u_m \in X} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{u_m m}} \left\| \nabla h(\boldsymbol{x}_{u_m i_{u_m}}, y_{u_m i_{u_m}}, \boldsymbol{w}^q) \right\| & \leq \\ \xi(\boldsymbol{w}^q) Q, \sum_{m \in Y} \sum_{u_m \notin X} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{u_m m}} \left\| \nabla h(\boldsymbol{x}_{u_m i_{u_m}}, y_{u_m i_{u_m}}, \boldsymbol{w}^q) \right\| \\ \leq \xi(\boldsymbol{w}^q) z, \sum_{m \notin Y} \sum_{u_m = 1}^{U_m} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{u_m m}} \left\| \nabla h(\boldsymbol{x}_{u_m i_{u_m}}, y_{u_m i_{u_m}}, \boldsymbol{w}^q) \right\| \\ \leq & \xi(\boldsymbol{w}^q) \left(N - Q - z \right), \quad \text{where} \quad z = \\ \sum_{m=1}^{A+1} \left[Z_m^{u_p} \, \pi_m I_m \sum_{u_m = 1}^{U_m} \left(1 - I_{u_m} \tau_{u_m m} Z_{u_m} \right) n_{u_m m} \right]. \\ \text{So, } \mathbb{E}(||o||^2) \leq \frac{4}{N^2} \left(\xi_1 + \xi_2 \mathbb{E}(||\nabla H(\boldsymbol{w}^q)||^2) \right) \mathbb{E}(N - Q)^2. \\ \text{With } N \geq N - Q \geq 0, \, \mathbb{E}(Z_{u_m}) = 1 - z_{u_m}, \, \mathbb{E}(Z_m^{up}) = \\ 1 - z_m^{u_p}, \, \text{it is known that} \end{array}$$

$$\mathbb{E}(||o||^2) \leq \frac{4}{N} \left(\xi_1 + \xi_2 \mathbb{E}(||\nabla H(\boldsymbol{w}^q)||^2) \right) \mathbb{E}(N - Q)$$

$$= \frac{4F}{N} \left(\xi_1 + \xi_2 \mathbb{E}(||\nabla H(\boldsymbol{w}^q)||^2) \right), \tag{4}$$

where
$$F = \sum_{m=1}^{A+1} \left\{ \sum_{u_m=1}^{U_m} n_{u_m m} - I_m \pi_m \left(1 - z_m^{up}\right) \sum_{u_m=1}^{U_m} \left[I_{u_m} \cdot \tau_{u_m m} \left(1 - z_{u_m}\right) n_{u_m m}\right] \right\}.$$

Based on Equation (2) and (4) in APPENDIX A, we have

$$\mathbb{E}(H(\boldsymbol{w}^{q+1})) \leq \mathbb{E}(H(\boldsymbol{w}^{q})) + \frac{2\xi_{1}F}{lN} - \frac{1}{2l}\left(1 - \frac{4\xi_{2}F}{N}\right)\mathbb{E}(||\nabla H(\boldsymbol{w}^{q})||^{2}).$$
 (5)

Then,

$$\mathbb{E}(H(\boldsymbol{w}^{q+1}) - H(\boldsymbol{w}^*))$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E}(H(\boldsymbol{w}^q) - H(\boldsymbol{w}^*)) + \frac{2\xi_1 F}{lN}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2l} \left(1 - \frac{4\xi_2 F}{N}\right) \mathbb{E}(||\nabla H(\boldsymbol{w}^q)||^2).$$
(6)

According to (17) and (19), it is known that $||\nabla H(\boldsymbol{w}^q)||^2 \ge$ $\begin{array}{ll} 2\zeta(H(\boldsymbol{w}^q) - H(\boldsymbol{w}^*)). \ \ \text{Then,} \ \ \mathbb{E}(H(\boldsymbol{w}^{q+1}) - H(\boldsymbol{w}^*)) \leq \\ B\mathbb{E}(H(\boldsymbol{w}^q) - H(\boldsymbol{w}^*)) + \frac{2\xi_1 F}{lN}, \ \text{where} \ B = 1 - \frac{\zeta}{l} + \frac{4\zeta\xi_2 F}{lN}. \ \text{Fursion} \end{array}$ thermore, with the recursive method, we have $\mathbb{E}(H(\boldsymbol{w}^{q+1}) H(\boldsymbol{w}^*) \le B^{q+1} \mathbb{E}(H(\boldsymbol{w}^0) - H(\boldsymbol{w}^*)) + G$, where $G = \frac{2\xi_1 F}{lN} \times \frac{(1-B^{q+1})}{1-B}$.

APPENDIX B

With **Theorem 1**, when B < 1, $\mathbb{E}(H(\boldsymbol{w}^{q+1}) - H(\boldsymbol{w}^*)) \le$ $\leq \mathbb{E} \left\{ \frac{(N-Q)\sum\limits_{m \in Y}\sum\limits_{u_m \in X}\sum\limits_{i_{u_m}=1}^{n_{m_m}}\left\|\nabla h(\boldsymbol{x}_{u_mi_{u_m}},y_{u_mi_{u_m}},\boldsymbol{w}^q)\right\|}{NQ} \right. \\ \frac{\zeta}{l} + \frac{4\zeta\xi_2F}{lN} < 1. \text{ With Equation (14), } \zeta/l < 1. \text{ Then, } \frac{4\zeta\xi_2F}{lN} - \frac{\zeta}{l} < 0. \text{ So, } \xi_2 < \frac{N}{4F}, \text{ which is valid for all variables. So, } \xi_2 < \frac{N}{2} \leq \frac{N}{4F}$ G. Meanwhile, the federated learning is convergent. Then, 1- $\xi_2 < \frac{N}{\max_{f, h, t} 4F}$. Meanwhile, according to Equation (15), it is known the conclusion in **Proposition 1**.