Gender bias in customer perceptions: The case of agro-input dealers

in Uganda

Anusha De*[†], Caroline Miehe*, and Bjorn Van Campenhout[‡]*

September 22, 2022

Abstract

Faced with incomplete and imperfect information, economic actors rely predominantly on perceptions and often base decisions on heuristics prone to bias. Gender bias in perceptions favoring men has been found in a wide variety of settings and may be an important reason why some sectors remain dominated by men and gender gaps persist. Using ratings of agro-input dealers provided by smallholder farmers in their vicinity, we test if farmers perceive male-managed agro-input shops differently than agro-input shops managed by women. After explicitly controlling for quality differences between male- and female-managed agro-input shops and including fixed-effects to account for farmer-level heterogeneity, we find that farmers rate male-managed agro-input outlets higher on a range of attributes related to the dealership in general, as well as on the quality of inputs sold by the dealer. Our results show that gender bias in customer perceptions persists and continues to be a severe comparative disadvantage and an important entry barrier for female agro-input dealers, and we conclude that policies and interventions designed to challenge gender norms and customs are needed to correct this bias.

keywords: gender bias, agro-input dealer, perceptions, maize, Uganda

Acknowledgments

This research was partly funded by the Consultative Group for International Agricultural Research (CGIAR) Research Program on Policies, Institutions, and Markets (PIM), led by the International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI) and carried out with support from the CGIAR Fund contributors (https://www.cgiar.org/funders/). The authors also acknowledge financial support from The Netherlands – CGIAR research programme on Seed Systems

*LICOS Centre for Institutions and Economic Performance, KU Leuven, Belgium

†Department of Agricultural Economics and Rural Development, University of Göttingen, Germany

[‡]Development Strategy and Governance Division, International Food Policy Research Institute, United States

1

Development, grant number W08.240.105, funded by the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO-WOTRO), and from the Belgian Public Service for Foreign Affairs, Foreign Trade and Development Cooperation, grant number 302015.002.002 (bridge funding). Acknowledgment is also extended to the Fonds Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek – Vlaanderen (FWO), Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique – FNRS (EOS project G0G4318N) and the KU Leuven Methusalem program. We thank Leocardia Nabwire, Marc Charles Wanume, Richard Ariong and Wilberforce Walukano for excellent field support. We also thank Gbenga Akinwehinmi, Gero Laurenz Höhn, Hannah Ameye and Hyejin Lee for their feedback. The analysis is the sole responsibility of the authors and does not reflect the views of any funding agency or organization mentioned here.