

Table 1: Impact of of IVR and SMS treatments on knowledge (conditional on access to mobile phone)

	Mean	+IVR	p-value	N	+SMS	p-value	N
Knows optimal spacing (yes=1)	0.174 (0.380)	-0.015 (0.020)	0.392	3,002	0.002 (0.022)	0.941	2,736
Knows inputs best combined (yes=1)	0.905 (0.293)	-0.013 (0.011)	0.173	3,002	0.005 (0.012)	0.699	2,736
Knows optimal time for weeding (yes=1)	0.945 (0.228)	0.003 (0.011)	0.742	3,002	0.007 (0.012)	0.618	2,736
Knows how to fight armyworm (yes=1)	0.338 (0.474)	-0.009 (0.021)	0.690	3,002	0.008 (0.023)	0.720	2,736
Knowledge index	-0.109 (0.610)	-0.023 (0.027)	0.317	3,002	0.018 (0.030)	0.613	2,736

Note: In the first column, means (and standard deviations) in the control group are presented for each variable. Column 2 reports differences between video only and video+ivr (and standard error) with its corresponding p-value in column 3 and number of observations in column 4; column 5 reports differences between video+ivr and video+ivr+SMS (and standard error) with its corresponding p-value in column 6; sample size is reported in column 7. Reported p-values are based on randomization inference (10,000 permutations). All specifications control for the other orthogonal factors in the factorial design.

Table 2: 2SLS estimates of impact of IVR and SMS treatments on household level knowledge

	Mean	+IVR	p-value	+SMS	p-value	N
Knows optimal spacing (yes=1)	0.160 (0.367)	-0.360 (0.351)	0.306	0.014 (0.026)	0.596	3,619
Knows inputs best combined (yes=1)	0.908 (0.290)	-0.331 (0.210)	0.115	0.014 (0.015)	0.379	3,619
Knows optimal time for weeding (yes=1)	0.954 (0.210)	0.178 (0.191)	0.350	0.000 (0.015)	0.979	3,619
Knows how to fight armyworm (yes=1)	0.336 (0.473)	-0.286 (0.363)	0.431	0.023 (0.028)	0.401	3,619
Knowledge index	-0.077 (0.562)	-0.396 (0.473)	0.376	0.026 (0.036)	0.458	3,619

Note: In the first column, means (and standard deviations) in the comparison group are presented for each variable. Column 2 reports differences between video only and video+ivr (and standard error) with its corresponding p-value in column 3; column 4 reports differences between video+ivr and video+ivr+SMS (and standard error) with its corresponding p-value in column 5; sample size is reported in column 6. All specifications control for the other orthogonal factors in the factorial design.

Table 3: Impact of IVR and SMS treatments on agronomic practices (conditional on phone access)

	Mean	+IVR	p-value	N	+SMS	p-value	N
Planted immediately after start of rains (yes=1)	0.365 (0.483)	0.013 (0.022)	0.559	2,924	-0.020 (0.024)	0.433	2,673
Used spacing of 75cm x 30cm with a reduced seed rate (yes=1)	0.030 (0.171)	-0.011 (0.013)	0.360	2,962	0.014 (0.014)	0.331	2,707
Removed striga early on (yes=1)	0.690 (0.464)	-0.003 (0.020)	0.867	2,962	0.021 (0.022)	0.376	2,707
First weeding after 18-20 days (yes=1)	0.435 (0.497)	0.019 (0.023)	0.331	2,962	0.002 (0.025)	0.950	2,707
Recommended practices index	-0.088 (0.482)	0.008 (0.024)	0.685	2,924	0.014 (0.026)	0.584	2,673

Note: In the first column, means (and standard deviations) in the control group are presented for each variable. Column 2 reports differences between video only and video+ivr (and standard error) with its corresponding p-value in column 3 and number of observations in column 4; column 5 reports differences between video+ivr and video+ivr+SMS (and standard error) with its corresponding p-value in column 6; sample size is reported in column 7. Reported p-values are based on randomization inference (10,000 permutations). All specifications control for the other orthogonal factors in the factorial design.

Table 4: 2SLS estimates of impact of IVR and SMS treatments on agronomic practices

	Mean	+IVR	p-value	+SMS	p-value	N
Planted immediately after start of rains (yes=1)	0.370 (0.484)	0.163 (0.391)	0.677	-0.002 (0.029)	0.940	3,500
Used spacing of 75cm x 30cm with a reduced seed rate (yes=1)	0.026 (0.158)	-0.089 (0.210)	0.670	0.020 (0.017)	0.231	3,560
Removed striga early on (yes=1)	0.685 (0.465)	-0.170 (0.339)	0.617	0.033 (0.027)	0.215	3,560
First weeding after 18-20 days (yes=1)	0.426 (0.495)	0.228 (0.383)	0.552	-0.012 (0.030)	0.693	3,560
Recommended practices index	-0.086 (0.478)	0.102 (0.411)	0.804	0.028 (0.031)	0.364	3,500

Note: In the first column, means (and standard deviations) in the comparison group are presented for each variable. Column 2 reports differences between video only and video+ivr (and standard error) with its corresponding p-value in column 3; column 4 reports differences between video+ivr and video+ivr+SMS (and standard error) with its corresponding p-value in column 5; sample size is reported in column 6. All specifications control for the other orthogonal factors in the factorial design.

Table 5: Impact of IVR and SMS treatments on fertilizer and improved seed use (conditional on access to mobile phone)

	Mean	+IVR	p-value	N	+SMS	p-value	N
				<i>fertilizer use</i>			
Used DAP/NPK on at least one plot? (yes=1)	0.285 (0.453)	0.029 (0.020)	0.095	2,962	-0.022 (0.022)	0.408	2,707
Used urea on at least one plot? (yes=1)	0.050 (0.218)	0.013 (0.014)	0.288	2,962	-0.031 (0.015)	0.050	2,707
Used organic fertilizer on at least one plot? (yes=1)	0.150 (0.358)	-0.044** (0.019)	0.011	2,962	0.037 (0.021)	0.083	2,707
Fertilizer index	-0.074 (0.531)	-0.002 (0.027)	0.908	2,962	-0.015 (0.029)	0.675	2,707
				<i>seed use</i>			
Used hybrid maize seed on at least one plot? (yes=1)	0.330 0.471	0.023 0.021	0.234	2,962	-0.053 0.023	0.028*	2,707
Used Open Pollinated Varieties on at least one plot? (yes=1)	0.275 0.448	0.011 0.021	0.543	2,962	0.026 0.023	0.386	2,707
Seed index	0.010 0.701	0.038 0.030	0.161	2,962	-0.026 0.033	0.475	2,707

Note: In the first column, means (and standard deviations) in the control group are presented for each variable. Column 2 reports differences between video only and video+ivr (and standard error) with its corresponding p-value in column 3 and number of observations in column 4; column 5 reports differences between video+ivr and video+ivr+SMS (and standard error) with its corresponding p-value in column 6; sample size is reported in column 7. Reported p-values are based on randomization inference (10,000 permutations); ** and * denote that the difference is significant at the 5 and 10 percent level, respectively, after correcting for multiple hypothesis testing using a family-wise sharp null (10,000 permutations). All specifications control for the other orthogonal factors in the factorial design.

Table 6: 2SLS estimates of impact of IVR and SMS treatments on fertilizer and improved seed use

	Mean	+IVR	p-value	+SMS	p-value	N
				<i>fertilizer use</i>		
Used DAP/NPK on at least one plot? (yes=1)	0.264 (0.442)	0.393 (0.325)	0.228 (0.025)	-0.011 (0.025)	0.661	3,560
Used urea on at least one plot? (yes=1)	0.051 (0.221)	0.225 (0.226)	0.320 (0.018)	-0.030 (0.044)	0.092	3,560
Used organic fertilizer on at least one plot? (yes=1)	0.157 (0.365)	-0.704 (0.337)	0.037 (0.025)	0.044 (0.025)	0.077	3,560
Fertilizer index	-0.057 (0.547)	-0.078 (0.443)	0.846	-0.003 (0.035)	0.994	3,560
				<i>seed use</i>		
Used hybrid maize seed on at least one plot? (yes=1)	0.289 (0.454)	0.732 (0.367)	0.046 (0.027)	-0.063 (0.027)	0.022	3,560
Used Open Polinated Varieties on at least one plot? (yes=1)	0.302 (0.460)	0.164 (0.345)	0.635 (0.027)	0.033 (0.027)	0.220	3,560
Seed index	0.032 (0.700)	0.242 (0.447)	0.605	0.004 (0.036)	0.876	3,560

Note: In the first column, means (and standard deviations) in the control group are presented for each variable. Column 2 reports differences between video only and video+ivr (and standard error) with its corresponding p-value in column 3 and number of observations in column 4; column 5 reports differences between video+ivr and video+ivr+SMS (and standard error) with its corresponding p-value in column 6; sample size is reported in column 7. Reported p-values are based on randomization inference (10,000 permutations); ***, ** and * denote that the difference is significant at the 1, 5 and 10 percent level, respectively, after correcting for multiple hypothesis testing using a family-wise sharp null (10,000 permutations). All specifications control for the other orthogonal factors in the factorial design.

Table 7: Impact of IVR and SMS treatments on household level production (conditional on access to mobile phone)

	Mean	+IVR	p-value	N	+SMS	p-value	N
Maize production (log(kg))	5.921 (0.706)	0.057 (0.036)	0.146	2,742	-0.001 (0.039)	0.894	2,511
Maize area (log(acre))	0.054 (0.554)	-0.018 (0.029)	0.492	2,782	0.004 (0.031)	0.997	2,545
Maize yield (log(kg/acre))	5.879 (0.654)	0.042 (0.031)	0.203	2,756	0.011 (0.034)	0.737	2,518
Yield better than normal (yes=1)	0.405 (0.492)	-0.005 (0.023)	0.812	2,962	0.036 (0.024)	0.166	2,707
Labour(log(mandays))	4.157 (0.571)	-0.009 (0.027)	0.729	2,802	0.021 (0.028)	0.515	2,549
Labour productivity (log(kg/mandays))	1.673 (0.708)	0.060 (0.036)	0.082	2,780	-0.012 (0.039)	0.672	2,543
Production index	-0.052 (0.378)	0.016 (0.017)	0.306	2,756	0.009 (0.018)	0.572	2,518

Note: In the first column, means (and standard deviations) in the control group are presented for each variable. Column 2 reports differences between video only and video+ivr (and standard error) with its corresponding p-value in column 3 and number of observations in column 4; column 5 reports differences between video+ivr and video+ivr+SMS (and standard error) with its corresponding p-value in column 6; sample size is reported in column 7. Reported p-values are based on randomization inference (10,000 permutations). All specifications control for the other orthogonal factors in the factorial design.

Table 8: 2SLS estimates of impact of IVR and SMS treatments on production

	Mean	+IVR	p-value	+SMS	p-value	N
Maize production (log(kg))	5.814 (0.765)	0.912 (0.670)	0.173	0.054 (0.050)	0.276	3,344
Maize area (log(acre))	0.018 (0.580)	-0.240 (0.476)	0.614	0.031 (0.038)	0.415	3,341
Maize yield (log(kg/acre))	5.850 (0.658)	0.690 (0.568)	0.224	0.005 (0.041)	0.898	3,302
Yield better than normal (yes=1)	0.387 (0.488)	0.008 (0.374)	0.983	0.048 (0.030)	0.103	3,560
Labour(log(mandays))	4.132 (0.577)	-0.056 (0.421)	0.895	0.037 (0.036)	0.296	3,370
Labour productivity (log(kg/mandays))	1.650 (0.720)	1.185 (0.667)	0.076	0.000 (0.048)	0.996	3,341
Production index	-0.053 (0.365)	0.159 (0.297)	0.625	0.007 (0.022)	0.702	3,302

Note: In the first column, means (and standard deviations) in the control group are presented for each variable. Column 2 reports differences between video only and video+ivr (and standard error) with its corresponding p-value in column 3; column 4 reports differences between video+ivr and video+ivr+SMS (and standard error) with its corresponding p-value in column 5; sample size is reported in column 6. All specifications control for the other orthogonal factors in the factorial design.