Appendix tables for: Empowering women through targeting information or role models: Evidence from an experiment in agricultural extension in Uganda

January 19, 2021

Table A.1: Knowledge

	mean in ctrl	ps	target women	se	target couple	se	t-test	sqou
female outcome	-0.078	(0.605)	K	$Knowledge\ index \ (0.048)$	$ndex \ 0.106 \ ^*$	(0.050)	0.608	2753
male outcome	0.081	(0.607)	-0.154 **	(0.048)	+ 060.0-	(0.049)	0.207	2647
joint outcome	0.002	(0.693)	-0.036	(0.053)	0.009	(0.054)	0.405	3042
		Kr_{a}	sowledge about p	roper spac	Knowledge about proper spacing and seeds per hill	er hill		
female outcome	0.126	(0.332)	* 290.0	(0.030)	0.049	(0.032)	0.564	2753
male outcome	0.263	(0.440)	-0.118 **	(0.032)	-0.025	(0.033)	0.006 **	2647
joint outcome	0.055	(0.228)	-0.017	(0.017)	0.017	(0.018)	0.056	3042
		Kr_{c}	$cowledge\ combin$	ing practic	Knowledge combining practices and starting small	small		
female outcome	0.818	(0.386)	0.055 +	(0.029)	0.048	(0.030)	0.799	2753
male outcome	0.892	(0.311)	-0.089 **	(0.028)	-0.038	(0.028)	$0.082 ^{+}$	2647
joint outcome	0.577	(0.494)	-0.026	(0.038)	-0.009	(0.039)	0.661	3042
			Knowledge	e about opt	Knowledge about optimal weeding			
female outcome	0.880	(0.325)	0.022	(0.026)	0.019	(0.027)	0.913	2753
male outcome	0.883	(0.322)	0.023	(0.026)	-0.031	(0.027)	0.052 +	2647
joint outcome	0.628	(0.484)	0.014	(0.037)	-0.025	(0.038)	0.296	3042
		Kn	sowledge about b	est ways to	$Knowledge\ about\ best\ ways\ to\ fight\ fall\ armyworm$	worm		
female outcome	0.209	(0.407)	-0.043	(0.032)	0.004	(0.033)	0.149	2753
male outcome	0.237	(0.425)	-0.036	(0.034)	-0.004	(0.035)	0.379	2647
joint outcome	0.059	(0.235)	-0.009	(0.019)	0.024	(0.019)	0.086 +	3042

and column 4 reports standard error; column 5 reports average treatment effects for targeting the couple with information and column 6 reports standard error; column 7 reports p-value for the hypothesis that average treatment effects for targeting the woman co-head only with information is equal to average treatment effects for targeting the couple with information; column 8 reports number of observations used. **, * and + indicates column; column 3 reports average treatment effects for targeting the woman co-head only with information First column reports sample means in control (recipient = male co-head); standard deviations are in the second signficance at the 1, 5 and 10 percent level.

Table A.2: Decision making

	mean in ctrl	70	tarret women	Table A.z. Decision making	tarmet count	9	+-+00+	adon
	mean m con	ממ	talget wollten	מם	rarger coupie	2	1-1000 r	enon
			Deci	Decision making index	index			
female outcome	-0.092	(0.731)	0.208**	(0.066)	0.014	(0.068)	0.003 **	2645
male outcome	0.163	(0.883)	-0.429 **	(0.068)	-0.203 **	(0.070)	0.001 **	2645
joint outcome	-0.005	(0.783)	-0.049	(0.062)	0.061	(0.068)	0.094 +	2645
			Decision abou	t planting	Decision about planting maize on the plow	t		
female outcome	0.159	(0.338)	0.055 +	(0.029)	-0.027	(0.030)	0.006 **	2714
male outcome	0.328	(0.439)	-0.150 **	(0.034)	-0.084 *	(0.035)	0.053 +	2714
joint outcome	0.515	(0.472)	-0.005	(0.038)	0.060	(0.040)	0.094 +	2714
			Decision ab	out when t	Decision about when to start planting			
female outcome	0.162	(0.339)	* 090.0	(0.030)	0.009	(0.031)	0.091 +	2714
male outcome	0.349	(0.449)	-0.176 **	(0.034)	-0.071 *	(0.036)	0.003**	2714
joint outcome	0.475	(0.469)	-0.012	(0.038)	0.008	(0.040)	0.610	2714
			Decision about	t plant spa	Decision about plant spacing and seed rate	te		
female outcome	0.149	(0.332)	0.085 **	(0.029)	-0.008	(0.030)	0.002^{**}	2714
male outcome	0.383	(0.459)	-0.188 **	(0.035)	-0.081 *	(0.037)	0.003 **	2714
joint outcome	0.473	(0.473)	-0.039	(0.038)	0.056	(0.040)	0.014 *	2714
			Decision a	bout ways	Decision about ways to fight striga			
female outcome	0.114	(0.296)	0.087 **	(0.027)	0.032	(0.028)	0.045*	2645
male outcome	0.299	(0.432)	-0.195 **	(0.032)	-0.102 **	(0.033)	0.004 **	2645
joint outcome	0.420	(0.462)	-0.024	(0.038)	0.029	(0.040)	0.166	2645
			Decisio	n timina f	Decision timina for weedina			
female outcome	0.176	(0.355)	0.083**	$(0.031)^{\circ}$	0.018	(0.032)	0.038 *	2714
male outcome	0.347	(0.446)	-0.182 **	(0.034)	-0.075 *	(0.035)	0.002*	2714
joint outcome	0.535	(0.468)	-0.052	(0.038)	-0.034	(0.040)	0.651	2714

treatment effects for targeting the woman co-head only with information is equal to average treatment effects column; column 3 reports average treatment effects for targeting the woman co-head only with information information and column 6 reports standard error; column 7 reports p-value for the hypothesis that average for targeting the couple with information; column 8 reports number of observations used. **, * and + indicates and column 4 reports standard error; column 5 reports average treatment effects for targeting the couple with First column reports sample means in control (recipient = male co-head); standard deviations are in the second signficance at the 1, 5 and 10 percent level.

Table A.3: Adoption of recommended practices

	mean in ctrl	ps	target women	se	target couple	se	t-test	sqou
			7	Adoption index	dex			
female outcome	-0.080	(0.489)	0.079	(0.052)	0.050	(0.054)	0.568	2478
male outcome	0.006	(0.584)	-0.078	(0.051)	-0.023	(0.053)	0.285	2478
joint outcome	-0.030	(0.550)	0.012	(0.051)	0.101 +	(0.053)	0.081^{+}	2478
			$Adopted\ time$	ly planting	Adopted timely planting recommendation	u		
female outcome	0.042	(0.178)	-0.010	(0.017)	0.005	(0.018)	0.388	2542
male outcome	0.057	(0.209)	-0.025	(0.018)	-0.026	(0.019)	0.940	2542
joint outcome	0.109	(0.292)	-0.004	(0.025)	0.031	(0.026)	0.157	2542
		A	doption of reco	mmended s	doption of recommended spacing and seed rate	rate		
female outcome	0.001	(0.034)	0.001	(0.000)	0.004	(0.006)	0.660	2713
male outcome	0.009	(0.089)	-0.005	(0.008)	-0.005	(0.008)	0.941	2713
joint outcome	0.014	(0.111)	0.019	(0.012)	0.027 *	(0.012)	0.466	2713
		A_{ϵ}	doption of recor	nmended st	Adoption of recommended strategy to fight striga	triga		
female outcome	0.082	(0.255)	0.079 **	(0.025)	0.038	(0.026)	0.103	2644
male outcome	0.145	(0.325)	-0.048 +	(0.026)	0.000	(0.027)	0.062 +	2644
joint outcome	0.321	(0.436)	0.001	(0.036)	0.037	(0.038)	0.319	2644
			Adoption of re	commended	Adoption of recommended timing of meeding	, and		
female outcome	0.156	(0.336)	0.071^{-3}	(0.030)	0.009	(0.031)	0.037 *	2713
male outcome	0.205	(0.377)	-0.025	(0.030)	0.033	(0.031)	0.055^{+}	2713
joint outcome	0.476	(0.469)	-0.031	(0.038)	-0.014	(0.040)	0.656	2713

and column 4 reports standard error; column 5 reports average treatment effects for targeting the couple with information and column 6 reports standard error; column 7 reports p-value for the hypothesis that average treatment effects for targeting the woman co-head only with information is equal to average treatment effects for targeting the couple with information; column 8 reports number of observations used. **, * and + indicates First column reports sample means in control (recipient = male co-head); standard deviations are in the second column; column 3 reports average treatment effects for targeting the woman co-head only with information signficance at the 1, 5 and 10 percent level.

Table A.4: Input use

	mean in ctrl	ps	target women	se	target couple	se	t-test	sqou
			,	Input use index	lex			
female outcome	-0.057	(0.391)	0.117 **	(0.042)	0.016	(0.044)	0.018 *	2714
male outcome joint outcome	0.014 -0.042	(0.500) (0.449)	0.019 0.034	(0.040) (0.040)	0.020 0.116 **	(0.042) (0.042)	$0.973 \\ 0.042 ^*$	2714 2714
				$Used\ DAP$				
female outcome	0.016	(0.119)	0.014	(0.011)		(0.012)	0.254	2714
male outcome joint outcome	$0.070 \\ 0.051$	(0.245) (0.210)	-0.009 0.015	(0.017) (0.018)	-0.016 0.005	(0.018) (0.019)	$0.697 \\ 0.588$	2714 2714
				Used UBEA	4			
female outcome	0.002	(0.038)	0.017 **	(0.007)	0.014 *	(0.007)	0.696	2714
male outcome	0.019	(0.125)	0.008	(0.010)	0.011	(0.011)	0.786	2714
joint outcome	0.015	(0.115)	-0.002	(0.010)	0.011	(0.010)	0.208	2714
			Use	Used organic fertilizer	tilizer			
female outcome	0.017	(0.124)	0.007	(0.012)	-0.002	(0.012)	0.413	2714
male outcome joint outcome	0.035 0.050	(0.175) (0.207)	$0.001 \\ 0.018$	(0.014) (0.019)	$-0.011 \\ 0.059 **$	(0.015) (0.020)	$0.416 \\ 0.032 *$	$\frac{2714}{2714}$
,		,	I	ITand hashmid and	Poor	,		
female outcome	0.013	(0.110)	0.018 +	(0.010)	.eeu -0.001	(0.011)	0.061 +	2714
male outcome	0.055	(0.216)	0.008	(0.017)	0.021	(0.017)	0.443	2714
joint outcome	0.027	(0.150)	0.006	(0.014)	0.020	(0.015)	0.367	2714
				$Used\ OPV$				
female outcome	0.020	(0.130)	0.014	(0.012)	-0.011	(0.012)	0.039 *	2714
male outcome	0.020	(0.079)	0.002	(0.007)	0.005	(0.007)	0.720	2754
joint outcome	0.021	(0.085)	0.001	(0.007)	0.007	(0.007)	0.388	2754

and column 4 reports standard error; column 5 reports average treatment effects for targeting the couple with information and column 6 reports standard error; column 7 reports p-value for the hypothesis that average treatment effects for targeting the woman co-head only with information is equal to average treatment effects First column reports sample means in control (recipient = male co-head); standard deviations are in the second column; column 3 reports average treatment effects for targeting the woman co-head only with information for targeting the couple with information; column 8 reports number of observations used. $*^*$, * and + indicates signficance at the 1, 5 and 10 percent level.

outcomes	
related	
Production	
A.5:	
Table	

	mean in ctrl	ps	target women se target co	se	target couple	88	t-test	sqou
female outcome	-0.097	(0.573)	P	$Production\ index \ (0.062)$	dex = 0.033	(0.064)	0.018 *	2644
male outcome	0.036	(0.781)	0.000	(0.062)	900.0	(0.064)	0.921	2644
joint outcome	0.020	(0.750)	-0.071	(0.061)	0.055	(0.063)	0.039 *	2644
			L	$\it Total\ production$	ion			
female outcome	38.721	(154.430)	22.545	(16.517)	13.389	(17.149)	0.584	2754
male outcome	129.181	(334.700)	-15.817	(26.098)	-40.157	(27.095)	0.357	2754
joint outcome	238.811	(475.554)	-63.648 +	(33.204)	-6.425	(34.474)	0.089 +	2754
				Area				
female outcome	0.104	(0.330)	* 840.0	(0.037)	0.029	(0.038)	0.185	2754
male outcome	0.296	(0.676)	0.002	(0.056)	-0.003	(0.059)	0.930	2754
joint outcome	0.589	(0.904)	-0.063	(0.068)	-0.030	(0.071)	0.632	2754
			Ha	Has yield improved?	oved?			
female outcome	0.025	(0.145)	$0.025 ^{+}$	(0.015)	0.005	(0.015)	0.187	2644
male outcome	0.045	(0.183)	0.018	(0.016)	0.030 +	(0.017)	0.438	2644
joint outcome	0.132	(0.326)	-0.014	(0.026)	0.065 *	(0.027)	0.003 **	2644
				Yield				
female outcome	58.850	(244.257)	69.170*	(24.594)	7.374	(25.534)	0.013 *	2754
male outcome	135.845	(403.162)	-24.573	(26.644)	-38.599	(27.663)	0.603	2754
joint outcome	223.450	(383.693)	-26.866	(30.090)	18.531	(31.240)	0.137	2754

column 4 reports standard error; column 5 reports average treatment effects for targeting the couple with information and column 6 reports standard error; column 7 reports p-value for the hypothesis that average treatment effects for targeting the woman co-head only with information is equal to average treatment effects for targeting the couple with information; column 8 reports number of observations used. **, * and + indicates significance at First column reports sample means in control (recipient = male co-head); standard deviations are in the second column; column 3 reports average treatment effects for targeting the woman co-head only with information and the 1, 5 and 10 percent level.

Table A.6: Knowledge

	mean in ctrl	ps	female messenger	r se	couple messenger	se	t-test	sqou
			K	Knowledge index	idex			
female outcome	-0.005	(0.595)	0.029	(0.049)	-0.006	(0.049)	0.475	2753
male outcome	-0.015	(0.591)	0.064	(0.047)	-0.008	(0.047)	0.131	2647
joint outcome	0.007	(0.687)	-0.024	(0.052)	-0.022	(0.053)	0.979	3042
			Knowledge about	proper space	Knowledge about proper spacing and seeds per hil	1		
female outcome	0.169	(0.375)	-0.012	(0.031)	0.002	(0.031)	0.646	2753
male outcome	0.187	(0.390)	0.070 *	(0.031)	0.019	(0.031)	0.107	2647
joint outcome	0.057	(0.232)	-0.010	(0.017)	0.001	(0.017)	0.527	3042
			$Knowledge\ combin$	nina practic	Knowledge combining practices and starting smal	7		
female outcome	0.848	(0.359)	0.024	(0.029)	-0.010	(0.029)	0.238	2753
male outcome	0.849	(0.358)	0.010	(0.027)	-0.003	(0.027)	0.617	2647
joint outcome	0.573	(0.495)	0.003	(0.037)	-0.027	(0.038)	0.424	3042
			Knowledg	ie about opta	Knowledge about optimal weeding			
female outcome	0.888	(0.316)	0.015	(0.026)	0.001	(0.026)	0.596	2753
male outcome	0.888	(0.316)	-0.002	(0.026)	-0.019	(0.026)	0.515	2647
joint outcome	0.634	(0.482)	-0.013	(0.037)	-0.014	(0.037)	0.959	3042
			Knowledge about	hoet mane to	Knowledge about heet wane to fight fall arminioury			
female outcome	0.203	(0.403)	- 11 00 0 more and a community of the co	ocst ways w (0.032)	. Jegre Jan arnegeorn -0.020	(0.033)	0.655	2753
male outcome	0.229	(0.421)	-0.002	(0.033)	-0.015	(0.033)	0.687	2647
joint outcome	0.071	(0.257)	-0.021	(0.019)	-0.001	(0.019)	0.291	3042

the video featuring a female role-model farmer is equal to the average treatment effect for the video featuring a couple role-model; column 8 reports number of observations used. **, * and + indicates significance at the 1, 5 and column 6 reports standard error; column 7 reports p-value for the hypothesis that the average treatment effect for column; column 3 reports average treatment effects for the video featuring a female role-model farmer and column 4 reports standard error; column 5 reports average treatment effects for the video featuring a couple role-model and First column reports sample means in control (messenger = male co-head); standard deviations are in the second 10 percent level.

Table A.7: Decision making

female outcome 0.010 male outcome 0.017 joint outcome 0.005 female outcome 0.301 ioint outcome 0.301	(
			7	Decision making index	g index	(0)	÷	9
	0.010 0.017	(0.830) (0.838)	-0.097 -0.066	(0.067) (0.069)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.035 \\ -0.157 \end{array} ^*$	(0.068) (0.070)	0.049° 0.189	2645 2645
	-0.005	(0.792)	0.018	(0.067)	-0.015	(0.067)	0.625	2645
			Decision about	t planting 1	Decision about planting maize on the plot			
	0.184	(0.359)	$-0.051 ^{+}$	(0.030)	0.011	(0.030)	0.037 *	2714
	$0.301 \\ 0.524$	(0.426) (0.469)	-0.068 • 0.010	(0.034) (0.039)	$-0.093^{**} 0.014$	(0.035) (0.039)	$0.450 \\ 0.929$	$2714 \\ 2714$
		,	Decision abo	out when to	Decision about when to start nlanting	•		
female outcome 0.1	0.197	(0.367)	-0.031	(0.030)	0.001	(0.031)	0.301	2714
	0.289	(0.425)	-0.034	(0.035)	-0.044	(0.035)	0.780	2714
joint outcome 0.4	0.471	(0.465)	0.007	(0.039)	0.000	(0.039)	0.860	2714
			$Decision\ about$	plant spac	Decision about plant spacing and seed rate			
	0.180	(0.356)	-0.031	(0.030)	0.025	(0.030)	0.062 +	2714
	0.306	(0.434)	0.001	(0.036)	-0.052	(0.036)	0.139	2714
joint outcome 0.4	0.481	(0.471)	0.007	(0.039)	-0.020	(0.040)	0.478	2714
			Decision al	bout ways	Decision about ways to fight striga			
	0.152	(0.339)	-0.007	(0.028)	0.021	(0.028)	0.322	2645
	0.228	(0.393)	-0.027	(0.033)	-0.071 *	(0.033)	0.178	2645
joint outcome 0.4	0.423	(0.464)	-0.004	(0.039)	-0.003	(0.039)	0.986	2645
			Decision	Decision timing for weeding	r weeding			
female outcome 0.5	0.227	(0.393)	-0.050	(0.031)	0.009	(0.032)	0.063 +	2714
	0.275	(0.418)	-0.003	(0.034)	-0.049	(0.035)	0.189	2714
joint outcome 0.5	0.512	(0.470)	0.015	(0.039)	-0.035	(0.039)	0.197	2714

4 reports standard error; column 5 reports average treatment effects for the video featuring a couple role-model and column 6 reports standard error; column 7 reports p-value for the hypothesis that the average treatment effect for the video featuring a female role-model farmer is equal to the average treatment effect for the video featuring a couple role-model; column 8 reports number of observations used. **, * and + indicates significance at the 1, 5 and 10 percent First column reports sample means in control (messenger = male co-head); standard deviations are in the second column; column 3 reports average treatment effects for the video featuring a female role-model farmer and column

Table A.8: Adoption of recommended practices

	mean in ctrl	ps	female messenger se couple messe	8	couple messenger	Se	t-test	sqou
				Adontion index	der			
female outcome	-0.001	(0.602)	-0.069	(0.053)	-0.029	(0.054)	0.445	2478
male outcome	-0.006	(0.621)	-0.022	(0.053)	-0.050	(0.053)	0.584	2478
joint outcome	0.002	(0.598)	0.025	(0.052)	-0.015	(0.053)	0.440	2478
			$Adopted\ timeli$	y planting	Adopted timely planting recommendation			
female outcome	0.050	(0.194)	-0.014	(0.018)	-0.014	(0.018)	0.972	2542
male outcome	0.057	(0.213)	-0.009	(0.018)	-0.043 *	(0.019)	0.063 +	2542
joint outcome	0.125	(0.307)	0.007	(0.026)	-0.031	(0.026)	0.132	2542
			Adoption of recon	<i>mended</i> s	Adoption of recommended spacing and seed rate			
female outcome	0.005	(0.069)	-0.003	(0.000)	-0.003	(0.000)	1.000	2713
male outcome	0.012	(0.105)	-0.016 +	(0.008)	-0.003	(0.008)	0.141	2713
joint outcome	0.024	(0.147)	0.006	(0.012)	0.008	(0.012)	0.872	2713
			Adoption of recom	mended st	Adoption of recommended strategy to fight striga	1		
female outcome	0.116	(0.302)	-0.008	(0.025)	0.027	(0.025)	0.166	2644
male outcome	0.126	(0.306)	0.011	(0.026)	-0.008	(0.026)	0.475	2644
joint outcome	0.328	(0.439)	0.004	(0.037)	0.010	(0.037)	0.883	2644
			Adontion of rec	popuo aumo	Adontion of recommended timing of weeding			
female outcome	0.205	(0.379)	-0.057 +	(0.030)	-0.004	(0.030)	0.078 +	2713
male outcome	0.189	(0.363)	0.041	(0.031)	0.010	(0.031)	0.320	2713
joint outcome	0.478	(0.468)	0.002	(0.039)	-0.056	(0.039)	0.139	2713

column; column 3 reports average treatment effects for the video featuring a female role-model farmer and column 4 reports standard error; column 5 reports average treatment effects for the video featuring a couple role-model and column 6 reports standard error; column 7 reports p-value for the hypothesis that the average treatment effect for the video featuring a female role-model farmer is equal to the average treatment effect for the video featuring a couple role-model; column 8 reports number of observations used. **, * and + indicates significance at the 1, 5 and 10 percent First column reports sample means in control (messenger = male co-head); standard deviations are in the second

Table A.9: Input use

	mean in ctrl	ps	female messenger	ger se	couple messenger	se	t-test	sqou
				Input use index	dex			
female outcome	-0.025	(0.499)	0.011	(0.043)		(0.043)	0.552	2714
male outcome	0.005	(0.521)	0.041	(0.041)	0.026	(0.042)	0.717	2714
joint outcome	-0.021	(0.475)	0.046	(0.041)	0.037	(0.041)	0.815	2714
				Used DAP	0			
female outcome	0.020	(0.133)	-0.001	(0.012)	0.002	(0.012)	0.794	2714
male outcome	0.055	(0.217)	0.008	(0.017)	0.013	(0.017)	0.787	2714
joint outcome	0.048	(0.201)	0.020	(0.019)	0.011	(0.019)	0.651	2714
				$Used\ UREA$	A			
female outcome	0.011	(0.099)	0.005	(0.007)	0.003	(0.007)	0.785	2714
male outcome	0.015	(0.114)	0.017	(0.010)	0.012	(0.011)	0.645	2714
joint outcome	0.011	(0.095)	0.007	(0.010)	0.013	(0.010)	0.550	2714
			U,	Used organic fertilize	rtilizer			
female outcome	0.016	(0.111)	0.003	(0.012)	0.005	(0.012)	0.831	2714
male outcome	0.045	(0.196)	-0.015	(0.014)	-0.022	(0.015)	0.624	2714
joint outcome	0.065	(0.235)	0.022	(0.019)	0.007	(0.020)	0.460	2714
				Used hybrid seed				
female outcome	0.017	(0.116)	0.002	(0.011)		(0.011)	0.951	2714
male outcome	0.048	(0.204)	0.014	(0.017)	0.035 *	(0.017)	0.206	2714
joint outcome	0.036	(0.176)	-0.003	(0.015)	0.001	(0.015)	0.751	2714
				$Used\ OPV$	7			
female outcome	0.019	(0.129)	0.000	(0.012)		(0.012)	0.433	2714
male outcome	0.022	(0.087)	0.005	(0.007)	-0.004	(0.007)	0.200	2754
joint outcome	0.024	(0.091)	0.000	(0.007)	-0.002	(0.007)	0.764	2754
								١

column 6 reports standard error; column 7 reports p-value for the hypothesis that the average treatment effect for the video featuring a female role-model farmer is equal to the average treatment effect for the video featuring a First column reports sample means in control (messenger = male co-head); standard deviations are in the second column; column 3 reports average treatment effects for the video featuring a female role-model farmer and column 4 reports standard error; column 5 reports average treatment effects for the video featuring a couple role-model and couple role-model; column 8 reports number of observations used. **, * and + indicates signficance at the 1, 5 and 10 percent level.

outcomes
related
roduction
A.10: P
Fable

	mean in ctrl	ps	female messenger	ssenger se couple	couple messenger	se	t-test	sqou
			P	Production index	dex			
female outcome	0.026	(0.801)	-0.092	(0.063)	-0.049	(0.064)	0.497	2644
male outcome	-0.010	(0.748)	0.086	(0.063)	0.056	(0.064)	0.635	2644
joint outcome	-0.012	(0.703)	0.073	(0.062)	-0.005	(0.062)	0.207	2644
			L	$\it Total\ production$	ion			
female outcome	64.273	(227.836)	-25.137	(16.758)	-14.007	(16.997)	0.508	2754
male outcome	108.352	(354.496)	8.827	(26.478)	-1.337	(26.855)	0.702	2754
joint outcome	222.311	(417.654)	-9.445	(33.688)	-16.278	(34.168)	0.840	2754
				Area				
female outcome	0.152	(0.431)	-0.029	(0.037)	-0.004	(0.038)	0.502	2754
male outcome	0.268	(0.748)	0.044	(0.057)	0.037	(0.058)	0.905	2754
joint outcome	0.586	(0.874)	-0.027	(0.069)	-0.061	(0.070)	0.619	2754
			Ha_{c}	Has yield improved?	ved?			
female outcome	0.041	(0.177)	-0.010	(0.015)	-0.005	(0.015)	0.744	2644
male outcome	0.047	(0.194)	0.021	(0.016)	0.023	(0.016)	0.887	2644
joint outcome	0.119	(0.306)	0.072 **	(0.027)	0.012	(0.027)	0.026 *	2644
				Yield				
female outcome	112.428	(410.309)	-46.444 +	(24.952)	-31.421	(25.307)	0.549	2754
male outcome	105.176	(302.629)	26.657	(27.032)	1.767	(27.417)	0.359	2754
joint outcome	207.692	(340.322)	14.330	(30.528)	21.059	(30.963)	0.826	2754

First column reports sample means in control (messenger = male co-head); standard deviations are in the second column; column 3 reports average treatment effects for the video featuring a female role-model farmer and column 4 reports standard error; column 5 reports average treatment effects for the video featuring a couple role-model and column 6 reports standard error; column 7 reports p-value for the hypothesis that the average treatment effect for the video featuring a female role-model farmer is equal to the average treatment effect for the video featuring a couple role-model; column 8 reports number of observations used. **, * and + indicates signficance at the 1, 5 and 10 percent level.