

# MACHINE LEARNING ASSIGNMENT REPORT



GAURAV SOMAI  
2021A7PS2783H

VANSH RASTOGI  
2021A7PS0407H

BHAARATH K  
2021A7PS1816H

17<sup>th</sup> April-30<sup>th</sup> April 2023

## PART A-

### Model

We implemented Naive Bayes model from scratch, and trained it on a dataset which contained details of adults, and whether he was earning more than \$50k a year.

The dataset can be found at-

<https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/Adult>

### Parameters

The model was run 10 times, with 67% training data, and 33% testing data. The average of all runs was considered for evaluation

### Results

**Table A.1** shows the average metrics after 10 runs.

Model	Accuracy	Precision	Recall	F1 Score
Naive Bayes	0.83	0.62	0.75	0.68

**Table A.1**

### Comparison

We implemented KNN, and Log Reg using sklearn, and took average metrics of 10 runs. **Table A.2** shows the results of the same.

	Accuracy	Precision	Recall	F1 Score
Naive Bayes	0.83	0.62	0.75	0.68
KNN	0.83	0.67	1	0.82
LR	1	0.93	0.97	0.96

*Table A.2*

## Conclusion

The best model is Naive Bayes

## Model

We implemented a basic neural network using tensorflow and keras that can classify images of handwritten digits from the MNIST dataset.

## Parameters

- 15 different Neural Networks(By changing activation functions and architecture ) were trained and tested, accuracy and confusion matrix was calculated for each.
- Optimizer was used while training.
- Activation functions used for hidden layers are : Relu,Tanh and Sigmoid
- Activation function for the output layer was Softmax

## Results

**Table B.1** shows the metrics of 2 layer networks tested (for confusion matrix see o/p PDF)

Layer1/layer2	Accuracy
Relu/Relu	0.95
Relu/Sigmoid	0.93
Tanh/Sigmoid	0.9
Tanh/Relu	0.9
Relu/Tanh	0.87
Sigmoid/Relu	0.92
Tanh/Tanh	0.88

**Table B.1**

**Table B.2** shows the metrics of 3 layer networks tested (for confusion matrix see o/p PDF)

Layer1/layer2/Layer3	Accuracy
Relu/Relu/Relu	0.95
Relu/Relu/Sigmoid	0.94
Tanh/Tanh/Relu	0.88
Tanh/Tanh/Tanh	0.89
Sigmoid/Sigmoid/Relu	0.9
Sigmoid/Sigmoid/Sigmoid	0.89
Relu/Relu/Tanh	0.9

***Table B.2***

## Conclusion

There are no significant better models. But the Relu activation function with 2 hidden layers gave slightly better accuracy.