Bekir Kagan Yildiz

Instructor's Name: Selim Temizer

23 April 2017

## Part 4 Report:

In this part I have created a CSVSolver script that creates a new data file from old data. In order to make it more flexible I have added window size and shift as argvs and script invoked by using the invocation below:

— python CSVSolver.py <filename> <window size> <shift>

By using CSVSolver script I have created two files one OHLC and the other is Volume and In order to make prediction more accurate I have set window size 4 and shift as 1.

By doing so I have two files (GOOG-2016-OHLC.csv and GOOG-2016-Volume.csv) and I have used those files in order to get predictions from other parts .

## Part1:

w0 (1.0) = 25.0180484716 w1 (OHLC1)=0.0217625751833 w2 (OHLC2)=0.0435864447894 w3 (OHLC3)=-0.38267669434 w4 (OHLC4)=1.28346748979

RMS Error = 7.40935990126

Those values are that I found using the Analytical Solver for OHLC's.

1

w0(1.0) = 459328.740498

```
w1 (Volume1)=-0.0224868087849
w2 (Volume2)=0.084772740245
w3 (Volume3)=0.062841322304
w4 (Volume4)=0.627078775299
```

RMS Error = 652029.219218

Those values are that I found using the Analytical Solver for Volume's .

Part 2:

In this part I had some difficulties because if I use the same mu and same continuation constant It only took one iteration to stop and it gives the values below :

Iteration 1 : [0.0014858002786295543, 1.107086566822945, 1.1068460693922595, 1.1066100099240437, 1.10638778149028], RMS Error = 2550.8988834

```
w0 (1.0) = 0.00148580027863
w1 (OHLC1)=1.10708656682
w2 (OHLC2)=1.10684606939
w3 (OHLC3)=1.10661000992
w4 (OHLC4)=1.10638778149
```

RMS Error = 2550.8988834

It's most probably because of the mu value because if it's too much Iteration goes to inf and cause problem to execution that's why I decreased the value of mu.

If I use the 0.0000001 0.000001 respectively for mu and continuation constant It takes too much iteration I have seen 10k iteration and stopped to process using keyboard Interrupt.

I increased to values of continuation constant in order to decrease the iteration but still has the same problem continuation constant 0.00001 and 0.0001.

So I increased the value to 0.001 and I get this output for OHLC

Iteration 0:[0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 0.0], RMS Error = 743.692493064

Iteration 1: [0.00014858002786295545, 0.11070865668229452,

0.11068460693922594, 0.11066100099240436, 0.110638778149028], RMS Error = 414.330573586

Iteration 2 : [0.00023133940520913873, 0.17236296703527704, 0.17232724237641647, 0.17229470517039183, 0.17226650351010273], RMS Error = 230.995534732

0.20662260327400883, 0.20659746980645943], RMS Error = 129.071865595

Iteration 4: [0.0003031231904594481, 0.22581345699555222, 0.22577200513807655,

0.22574250307408777, 0.22572547642263327], RMS Error = 72.6332837959

Iteration 5: [0.00031743509684283017, 0.236455723800998, 0.23641546532424118,

0.23639229169870707, 0.23638617801162035], RMS Error = 41.7654303573

Iteration 6: [0.0003254136985322025, 0.242377950712478, 0.24234007614814917,

0.2423246426744037, 0.24233100472054805], RMS Error = 25.4750052353

Iteration 7: [0.0003298649592900854, 0.24567134646645356, 0.24563651879326184,

0.24562961158226515, 0.24564931962899939], RMS Error = 17.5838937306

Iteration 8: [0.0003323516636701335, 0.24750061203142856, 0.2474692004068718,

0.24747125713966922, 0.24750479576489307], RMS Error = 14.2755544229

Iteration 9: [0.0003337442062204748, 0.2485144288720399, 0.24848663880957725,

0.24849790310880035, 0.2485455420802551], RMS Error = 13.0802598625

Iteration 10: [0.0003345273541264769, 0.2490740808319005, 0.24905002670326967,

0.24907063411051075, 0.24913252355719057], RMS Error = 12.6864968502

Iteration 11: [0.00033497109899656023, 0.24938078533862307,

 $0.2493605307540843, \, 0.24939055661508816, \, 0.24946678003306066], \, {\rm RMS\ Error} = 0.2493605307540843, \, 0.24939055661508816, \, 0.24946678003306066], \, {\rm RMS\ Error} = 0.2493605307540843, \, 0.24939055661508816, \, 0.24946678003306066], \, {\rm RMS\ Error} = 0.2493605307540843, \, 0.24939055661508816, \, 0.24936678003306066], \, {\rm RMS\ Error} = 0.2493605307540843, \, 0.2493605306066$ 

12.5617193902

Iteration 12 : [0.0003352258129491723, 0.24954661065623338, 0.24953019095438997, 0.24956967708876332, 0.2496602808628187], RMS Error = 12.522634656

 $\begin{aligned} & \text{Iteration 13:} \ [0.0003353752458983891, \, 0.249633973325636, \, 0.2496214080991643, \\ & 0.24967037765409916, \, 0.24977538749986455], \, \text{RMS Error} = 12.5103590476 \end{aligned}$ 

 $\begin{aligned} & \text{Iteration 14:} \ [0.0003354660424494751, \, 0.2496776363068188, \, 0.24966893637868698, \\ & 0.2497274021017581, \, 0.24984683222315104 \end{bmatrix}, \\ & \text{RMS Error} = 12.5064218144 \end{aligned}$ 

 $\begin{aligned} & \text{Iteration 15:} \ [0.0003355241813658034, \, 0.24969696086795629, \\ & 0.24969213217683695, \, 0.24976010102354526, \, 0.24989395921302726], \, \text{RMS Error} = \\ & 12.5050732893 \end{aligned}$ 

Iteration 16 : [0.00033556413154774476, 0.24970273028830245, 0.24970177605255747, 0.24977925175250637, 0.24992754223167468], RMS Error = 12.5045279911

 $w0\ (1.0) = 0.000335564131548$ 

w1 (OHLC1)=0.249702730288

w2 (OHLC2)=0.249701776053

w3 (OHLC3)=0.249779251753

w4 (OHLC4)=0.249927542232

RMS Error = 12.5045279911

Part 3:

In part 3 I have used 2016 GOOG datas for training data and the first row of the 2017 data for prediction

python WeightedKNNSolver.py GOOG-2016-OHLC1.csv 5 4 829.38999975 831.9199982499999 827.8887482499999 820.3367310000001

And I found this solutions:

k = 5

```
Attribute 1 (OHLC1) = 829.38999975
Attribute 2 (OHLC2) = 831.91999825
Attribute 3 (OHLC3) = 827.88874825
Attribute 4 (OHLC4) = 820.336731
```

```
\label{eq:linear_solution} \begin{split} & \text{Instance 1: Index} = 45 \text{ , } [802.17750525, 811.542496, 809.50250225, 796.96749875] \text{ , } \\ & \text{Class Label} = 800.06748975 \text{ , Distance} = 45.1656674122 \text{ , Weight} = 0.022140711237 \\ & \text{Instance 2: Index} = 46 \text{ , } [811.542496, 809.50250225, 796.96749875, \\ & 800.0674897499999] \text{ , Class Label} = 800.59249875 \text{ , Distance} = 46.7765254001 \text{ , Weight} = \\ & 0.021378244567 \\ & \text{Instance 3: Index} = 44 \text{ , } [797.8349915, 802.17750525, 811.542496, 809.50250225] \text{ , } \\ & \text{Class Label} = 796.96749875 \text{ , Distance} = 47.5911221841 \text{ , Weight} = 0.0210123223431 \\ & \text{Instance 4: Index} = 43 \text{ , } [803.1999969999999, 797.8349915, 802.17750525, \\ \end{split}
```

Instance 5: Index = 47, [809.50250225, 796.96749875, 800.0674897499999, 800.59249875], Class Label = 792.57124325, Distance = 52.7356322592, Weight = 0.0189625108709

811.542496], Class Label = 809.50250225, Distance = 50.8538151473, Weight =

Weighted class label (Label) = 799.965414808

0.0196642080266

Prediction is 799.96 but the real value is 816.3325044999999 it may caused because of the unstable stock and recently trending and we could get better prediction using last 3 months datas which could give us better prediction.