# Random Forests for Medical Image Segmentation Ben Kompa

# 1 Introduction

# 2 Literature Review

### 3 Methods

# 4 Results

Structure	Mean Dice (Standard Deviation)	Median Dice (IQR)
Left Lung	98.80% (0.43%)	98.84% (0.73%)
Left Lower Lobe	$97.72\% \ (0.71\%)$	$97.95\% \ (0.96\%)$
Left Upper Lobe	$97.80\% \ (0.42\%)$	$97.87\% \ (0.44\%)$
Right Lung	$98.99\% \ (0.45\%)$	$99.09\% \ (0.44\%)$
Right Lower Lobe	$97.54\% \ (0.60\%)$	$97.55\% \ (0.85\%)$
Right Upper Lobe	$97.62\% \ (0.57\%)$	$97.73\% \ (0.55\%)$
Right Middle Lobe	95.87% (1.30%)	$95.90\% \ (0.87\%)$

Table 1: Train results for lung data set with N=30.

Structure	Mean Dice (Standard Deviation)	Median Dice (IQR)
Left Lung	97.54% (2.83%)	98.47% (1.12%)
Left Lower Lobe	88.57% (6.58%)	$89.94\% \ (7.22\%)$
Left Upper Lobe	$89.13\% \ (9.36\%)$	$92.29\% \ (6.77\%)$
Right Lung	$98.26\% \ (1.14\%)$	$98.65\% \ (0.67\%)$
Right Lower Lobe	$90.19\% \ (4.66\%)$	$92.31\% \ (5.21\%)$
Right Upper Lobe	85.64%~(12.04%)	$88.73\% \ (4.86\%)$
Right Middle Lobe	73.03% (14.10%)	$75.78\% \ (17.02\%)$

Table 2: Test results for lung data set with N=65.

Structure	Mean Dice (Standard Deviation)	Median Dice (IQR)
Whole Tumor	94.80% (1.94%)	95.22%~(2.19%)
Tumor Core	96.15%~(2.22%)	$96.72\% \ (2.16\%)$
Edema	87.84% (8.95%)	$90.40\% \ (5.24\%)$

Table 3: SP-train

Structure	Mean Dice (Standard Deviation)	Median Dice (IQR)
Whole Tumor	88.06% (8.14%)	$92.73\% \ (10.14\%)$
Tumor Core	$92.83\% \ (4.95\%)$	95.03%~(4.33%)
Edema	$64.95\% \ (26.44\%)$	$73.09\% \ (25.93\%)$

Table 4: Test results for glioblastoma data set with N=10.

Structure	Mean Dice (Standard Deviation)	Median Dice (IQR)
Whole Tumor	95.63% (2.53%)	96.34% (2.75%)
Tumor Core	$95.74\% \ (2.36\%)$	$96.33\% \ (2.29\%)$
Edema	$90.73\% \ (6.07\%)$	92.17%~(5.09%)
Enhancing Tumor	83.54% (8.08%)	$84.53\% \ (11.64\%)$

Table 5: Train results for BRATS 2018 HGG tumors with N=75.

Structure	Mean Dice (Standard Deviation)	Median Dice (IQR)
Whole Tumor	85.97% (13.83%)	90.68% (8.87%)
Tumor Core	$81.30\% \ (20.61\%)$	$88.75\% \ (12.16\%)$
Edema	$73.84\% \ (17.92\%)$	80.31%~(22.47%)
Enhancing Tumor	$73.28\% \ (17.66\%)$	$77.02\% \ (13.54\%)$

Table 6: Test results for BRATS 2018 HGG tumors with N=135.

Structure	Mean Dice (Standard Deviation)	Median Dice (IQR)
Whole Tumor	97.34% (1.05%)	97.54% (1.25%)
Tumor Core	$95.64\% \ (2.16\%)$	$95.81\% \ (2.41\%)$
Edema	87.08% (8.00%)	$89.61\% \ (12.24\%)$
Enhancing Tumor	$53.40\% \ (43.33\%)$	$83.78\% \ (91.77\%)$

Table 7: Train results for BRATS 2018 LGG tumors with N=30.

Structure	Mean Dice (Standard Deviation)	Median Dice (IQR)
Whole Tumor	82.57% (16.73%)	89.12% (11.81%)
Tumor Core	$57.47\% \ (25.79\%)$	$61.90\% \ (42.56\%)$
Edema	$52.00\% \ (19.73\%)$	55.92%~(22.16%)
Enhancing Tumor	$25.32\% \ (26.55\%)$	14.95% (46.47%)

Table 8: Test results for BRATS 2018 LGG tumors with N=45.

### 5 Future Work & Conclusions