Table 2 - Effect of CJ visits on vote share for General Musharraf PML - Impact on Dicator's Party

| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
|-------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| CJ Visits | -2.832*** | -2.812*** | -1.060 | -1.820 |
| | (1.088) | (1.089) | (1.712) | (1.459) |
| Year FE | No | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Constituency FE | No | No | Yes | Yes |
| Controls | No | No | No | Yes |
| Mean | 28.49 | 28.49 | 28.49 | 28.49 |
| Observations | 1,287 | 1,287 | 1,287 | 1,287 |
| Adjusted R ² | 0.006 | 0.007 | 0.062 | 0.219 |
| F Statistic | 8.681^{***} (df = 1; 1285) | 5.513^{***} (df = 2; 1284) | 1.285^{***} (df = 298; 988) | 2.208^{***} (df = 299; 987) |

Notes:

Robust standard errors appear in brackets (clustered at the district level).

The table presents DiD estimation of the effect of Chief Justice visits to districts of Pakistan before 2008 elections on the share of votes in favour of PML party in 2008 provincial and national elections (compare to 2002 elections). Outcome variable is visit to a given district. Controls include: # of candidates in a district (count).

Table 2 - Effect of CJ visits on vote share for General Musharraf PML (restricted)

| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| CJ Visits | 4.313*** | 4.317*** | 7.450*** | 5.016** |
| | (1.466) | (1.467) | (2.381) | (1.987) |
| Year FE | No | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Constituency FE | No | No | Yes | Yes |
| Controls | No | No | No | Yes |
| Mean | 30.39 | 30.39 | 30.39 | 30.39 |
| Observations | 1,019 | 1,019 | 1,019 | 1,019 |
| Adjusted R ² | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.106 | 0.261 |
| F Statistic | 10.677*** (df = 1; 1017) | 5.455^{***} (df = 2; 1016) | 1.424^{***} (df = 285; 733) | 2.258^{***} (df = 286; 732) |

Notes:

Robust standard errors appear in brackets (clustered at the district level).

The table presents DiD estimation of the effect of Chief Justice visits to districts of Pakistan before 2008 elections on the share of votes in favour of PML party in 2008 provincial and national elections (compare to 2002 elections). Outcome variable is visit to a given district. Controls include: # of candidates in a district (count).

^{***}Significant at the 1 percent level.

^{**}Significant at the 5 percent level.

^{*}Significant at the 10 percent level.

^{***}Significant at the 1 percent level.

^{**}Significant at the 5 percent level.

^{*}Significant at the 10 percent level.

Table 3 - Effect of CJ visits on vote share for the Opposition (PML-N & PPP)

| | PML-N | PML-N | PPP | PPP |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| CJ Visits | 10.706*** | 10.378*** | 6.577*** | 6.597*** |
| | (1.822) | (1.768) | (1.829) | (1.800) |
| Year FE | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Constituency FE | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Controls | No | Yes | No | Yes |
| Mean | 19.35 | 19.35 | 28.93 | 28.93 |
| Observations | 1,190 | 1,190 | 1,476 | 1,476 |
| Adjusted R ² | 0.122 | 0.183 | 0.141 | 0.148 |
| F Statistic | 1.560^{***} (df = 295; 894) | 1.903^{***} (df = 296; 893) | 1.813^{***} (df = 297; 1178) | 1.863^{***} (df = 298; 1177) |

Notes:

Robust standard errors appear in brackets (clustered at the district level).

The table presents DiD estimation of the effect of Chief Justice visits to districts of Pakistan before 2008 elections on the share of votes in favour of opposition parties in 2008 provincial and national elections (compare to 2002 elections). Outcome variable is visit to a given district. Controls include: # of candidates in a district (count).

Table 3 - Effect of CJ visits on vote share for the Opposition (restricted)

| | PML-N | PML-N | PPP | PPP |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| CJ Visits | 2.520 | 2.019 | 13.536*** | 13.693*** |
| | (2.423) | (2.264) | (2.509) | (2.422) |
| Year FE | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Constituency FE | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Controls | No | Yes | No | Yes |
| Mean | 16.27 | 16.27 | 28.55 | 28.55 |
| Observations | 920 | 920 | 1,193 | 1,193 |
| Adjusted R ² | 0.104 | 0.204 | 0.212 | 0.221 |
| F Statistic | 1.383^{***} (df = 278; 641) 1 | $.843^{***}$ (df = 279; 640) | $2.099^{***} (df = 291; 901)$ | 2.159^{***} (df = 292; 900) |

Notes:

Robust standard errors appear in brackets (clustered at the district level).

The table presents DiD estimation of the effect of Chief Justice visits to districts of Pakistan before 2008 elections on the share of votes in favour of opposition parties in 2008 provincial and national elections (compare to 2002 elections). Outcome variable is visit to a given district. Controls include: # of candidates in a district (count).

^{***} Significant at the 1 percent level.

^{**}Significant at the 5 percent level.

^{*}Significant at the 10 percent level.

^{***} Significant at the 1 percent level.

^{**}Significant at the 5 percent level.

^{*}Significant at the 10 percent level.