

More details about this lecture can be found on [Boucheron et al. \(2013\)](#) in chapter 2.

12.1 Sub-gamma Random Variables

Definition 12.1 (Sub-gamma random variables). *A real-valued centered random variable X is said to be **sub-gamma on the right tail with variance factor σ and scale parameter c** if*

$$\psi_X(\lambda) = \log \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}] \leq \frac{\lambda^2 \sigma}{2(1 - c\lambda)} \quad \text{for } \forall \lambda \in (0, \frac{1}{c}) \quad (12.1)$$

We denote as $X \sim \Gamma_+(\sigma, c)$. Similarly, X is said to be **sub-gamma on the left tail with variance factor σ and scale parameter c** if $-X$ is sub-gamma on the right tail with variance factor σ and scale parameter c . We denote as $X \sim \Gamma_-(\sigma, c)$. Finally, X is said to be **sub-gamma with variance factor σ and scale parameter c** if X is sub-gamma both on the right and left tails with the same variance factor σ and scale parameter c . We denote as $X \sim \Gamma(\sigma, c)$.

Observe that $\Gamma(\sigma, 0) = \mathcal{G}(\sigma)$.

Example 12.2 (centered gamma variable is a typical example of a sub-gamma variable). *Let $Y \sim \text{Gamma}(a, b)$, that is Y has density*

$$f(y) = \frac{y^{a-1} e^{-y/b}}{\Gamma(a) b^a}, \quad y \geq 0 \quad (12.2)$$

Also, we have $\mathbb{E}[Y] = ab$ and $\text{Var}[Y] = ab^2$. Let $X = Y - \mathbb{E}[Y]$. We first consider the right tail of X . Then for all $0 < \lambda < 1/b$,

$$\psi_X(\lambda) = a(-\log(1 - \lambda b) - \lambda b) \leq \frac{\lambda^2 ab^2}{2(1 - b\lambda)} \quad (12.3)$$

that is $X \sim \Gamma_+(ab^2, b)$. Similarly we can prove that for the left tail $X \sim \Gamma_-(ab^2, 0)$. Thus $X \sim \Gamma(ab^2, b)$.

Theorem 12.3. *Let X be a centered random variable. Then for some $v > 0$, the following statements are equivalent*

1. $\mathbb{E}[X^{2q}] \leq q!(8v)^q + (2q)!(4c)^{2q}$ for every integer $q \geq 1$
2. $X \in \Gamma(4(8v + 16c^2), 8c)$
3. $\mathbb{P}[X > \sqrt{8(8v + 16c^2)t} + 8ct] \vee \mathbb{P}[-X > \sqrt{8(8v + 16c^2)t} + 8ct] \leq e^{-t}$ for every $t > 0$

12.2 Maximal Inequalities

Intuition: If we know the information about the Cramér transform of random variables in a finite collection, how can we use this information to bound the expected maximum of these random variables?

For example, if Z_1, \dots, Z_n follows i.i.d. σ -sub-Gaussian, we want to get an upper bound for $\mathbb{E} \left[\max_{i=1, \dots, n} Z_i \right]$. By Jensen's inequality

$$\exp(\lambda \mathbb{E} \left[\max_{i=1, \dots, n} Z_i \right]) \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\exp(\lambda \max_{i=1, \dots, n} Z_i) \right] \quad (12.4)$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[\max_{i=1, \dots, n} e^{\lambda Z_i} \right] \quad (12.5)$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\lambda Z_i} \right] \quad (12.6)$$

$$\leq n e^{\lambda^2 \sigma^2 / 2} \quad (12.7)$$

Take logarithms on both sides, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\max_{i=1, \dots, n} Z_i \right] \leq \frac{\log n}{\lambda} + \frac{\lambda \sigma^2}{2} \quad (12.8)$$

for any $\lambda > 0$. This upper bound is minimized for $\lambda = \sqrt{2 \log n / \sigma^2}$, which yields

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\max_{i=1, \dots, n} Z_i \right] \leq \sigma \sqrt{2 \log n} \quad (12.9)$$

Theorem 12.4. *Let Z_1, \dots, Z_n be independent random variables such that for any $\lambda \in (0, b)$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$, $\psi_{Z_i}(\lambda) \leq \psi(\lambda)$, where ψ is a convex and continuously differentiable function on $[0, b)$, with $0 < b \leq \infty$ and $\psi(0) = \psi'(0) = 0$. Then*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\max_{i=1, \dots, n} Z_i \right] \leq \psi^{*-1}(\log n) \quad (12.10)$$

where

$$\psi^*(t) = \sup_{\lambda \in (0, b)} (\lambda t - \psi(\lambda)) \quad (12.11)$$

Useful results:

1. If $Z_i \in \Gamma_+(v, c)$, then $\mathbb{E} \left[\max_{i=1, \dots, n} Z_i \right] \leq \sqrt{2v \log n} + c \log n$
2. If $Z_i \sim \chi^2(p) - p$, then $Z_i \in \Gamma_+(2p, 2)$

12.3 Inequalities for sum of independent random variables

Theorem 12.5 (Hoeffding's Inequality). *Let X_1, \dots, X_n be independent random variables such that X_i takes its values in $[a_i, b_i]$ almost surely for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then for every $\delta > 0$,*

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mathbb{E}[X_i]) \geq \delta \right] \leq \exp \left(- \frac{2\delta^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i)^2} \right) \quad (12.12)$$

Furthermore, if X_1, \dots, X_n are i.i.d. random variables and each X_i takes its values in $[a, b]$ almost surely, then for every $\delta > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\bar{X} - \mathbb{E}[X] \geq \delta \right] \leq \exp \left(- \frac{2n\delta^2}{(b - a)^2} \right) \quad (12.13)$$

Theorem 12.6 (Bennett's Inequality). *Let X_1, \dots, X_n be independent random variables with finite variance such that $X_i \leq b$ for some $b > 0$ almost surely for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let $v = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[X_i^2]$. Then for every $\delta > 0$,*

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mathbb{E}[X_i]) \geq \delta \right] \leq \exp \left(-\frac{v}{b^2} h\left(\frac{b\delta}{v}\right) \right) \quad (12.14)$$

where

$$h(u) = (1+u) \log(1+u) - u \quad (12.15)$$

for $u > 0$.

Corollary 12.7 (Bernstein's Inequality). *Let X_1, \dots, X_n be independent random variables with finite variance such that $X_i \leq b$ for some $b > 0$ almost surely for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let $v = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[X_i^2]$. Then for every $\delta > 0$,*

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mathbb{E}[X_i]) \geq \delta \right] \leq \exp \left(-\frac{\delta^2}{2(v + b\delta/3)} \right) \quad (12.16)$$

Proof hint: use $h(u) = (1+u) \log(1+u) - u \geq \frac{u^2}{2(1+u/3)}$

Example 12.8 (Gaussian chaos of order two). *Let $X \sim N_n(0, I)$, A be a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix with 0 along the diagonal. Let $Z = X^t A X$. Then for every $\delta > 0$,*

$$\mathbb{P}[Z > \delta] \leq \exp \left(-\frac{\delta^2}{4\|A\|_F^2 + \|A\| \delta} \right) \quad (12.17)$$

Proof:(sketch)

1. $Z \sim \sum_{i=1}^n u_i (X_i^2 - 1)$, where u_i are eigenvalues of A
2. $\psi_{X_i^2-1}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2}(-\log(1-2\lambda) - 2\lambda) \leq \frac{\lambda^2}{1-2\lambda}$, for all $\lambda < 1/2$
3. $\psi_Z(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2}(-\log(1-2u_i\lambda) - 2u_i\lambda) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{u_i^2 \lambda^2}{1-2(u_i)+\lambda} \leq \frac{\lambda^2 \|A\|_F^2}{1-2\lambda\|A\|}$, for all $\lambda \in (0, 1/(2\max_i u_i))$

Example 12.9 (application to ecdf). *Let X_1, \dots, X_n be i.i.d. random variables. Consider the empirical cumulative distribution function (ecdf) $\hat{F}(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n I(X_i \leq x)$. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$,*

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\left| \hat{F}(x) - F(x) \right| > \epsilon \right] \leq 2e^{-2n\epsilon^2} \quad (12.18)$$

Meanwhile, we have DKW inequality

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\sup_x \left| \hat{F}(x) - F(x) \right| > \epsilon \right] \leq 2e^{-2n\epsilon^2} \quad (12.19)$$

Proof:(sketch) Define $Y_i = I(X_i \leq x)$, then $Y_i \in \{0, 1\}$, $\mathbb{E}[Y_i] = \mathbb{E}[I(X_i \leq x)] = F(x)$. By applying Hoeffding's Inequality in [Equation 12.13](#) with $b = 1$, $a = 0$, we can prove the first inequality.

References

BOUCHERON, S., LUGOSI, G., AND MASSART, P. (2013), *Concentration Inequalities*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK.