

## Procedure for proving a estimate is minimax

- Derive an upper bound:

$$\begin{aligned} \exists \hat{\theta}, \quad s.t., \quad R(\theta, \hat{\theta}) \leq r_{upper} \\ \forall \theta \in \Theta \end{aligned}$$

- Derive a lower bound

$$\forall \hat{\theta}, \exists \theta \quad s.t., \quad R(\theta, \hat{\theta}) \geq r_{lower}$$

If  $r_{upper}$  and  $r_{lower}$  are the same then we found the minimax estimate with respect to our risk function.

**Theorem 22.1** (minimax risk is looser then worst-case bayes risk).

$$R^* \geq R_B^* := \sup_{\pi \in M(\theta)} \inf_{\hat{\theta}} E_{\pi} [E_{p_{\theta}}[l(\theta, \hat{\theta})]]$$

## Example

$$\begin{aligned} X &\sim N(\theta, 1) \\ l(\theta, \hat{\theta}) &= (\theta - \hat{\theta})^2 \\ \hat{\theta} &= X \end{aligned}$$

Let,

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{\hat{\theta}} \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} E_{\theta} [l(\theta, \hat{\theta})] &\leq 1 \\ \Pi(\theta) &\sim N(0, \sigma^2) \end{aligned}$$

Then, we can write,

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\pi} [E_{p(\theta)}[l(\theta, \hat{\theta})]] &= \sup_{\pi} \inf_{\hat{\theta}} \left( \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + 1} \right) \leq 1 \quad ; \forall \sigma^2 > 0 \\ \text{if } \sigma^2 \rightarrow \infty &\implies \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + 1} \rightarrow 1 \\ R_{Bayes}^* &\geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can say that the minimax Risk is one.

## Another perspective

$$R^* \geq R^*_{Bayes} = \underbrace{\sup_{\pi \in M(\theta)} R_\pi}_{\text{Dual of } R^*}$$

This inequality is a weak duality, if we can show strong duality that would give us an equality.

Suppose  $\Theta$  is a finite set,  $|\Theta| < \infty$ , then

$$R^* = \min_{\hat{\theta}} \max_{\theta} E_{\theta} [l(\theta, \hat{\theta})]$$

is a convex function if  $l$  is convex. We can find a dual by minimization.

$$R^* = \min_{\hat{\theta}, t} t \quad s.t., E_{\theta} [l(\theta, \hat{\theta})] \leq t; \quad \forall \theta \in \Theta$$

Let  $u \geq 0$ , we can write the Lagrangian as

$$\begin{aligned} L(\hat{\theta}, t, u) &= t + u^T [E_{\theta} [l(\theta, \hat{\theta})] - t] \\ &= (1 - u^T \mathbb{1})t + u^T E_{\theta} [l(\theta, \hat{\theta})] \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $L$  is  $\infty$  unless the term  $u^T \mathbb{1} = 1$ .  $u$  is a probability distribution on  $\Theta$ .

The dual problem can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} &\max_{\substack{u \geq 0 \\ u^T \mathbb{1} = 1}} \min_{\hat{\theta}, t} L(\hat{\theta}, t, u) \\ &= \max_{\pi \in M(\Theta)} \min_{\hat{\theta}} R_{\pi}(\hat{\theta}) \\ &= \max_{\pi \in M(\Theta)} R_{\pi}^* \end{aligned}$$

## Maximum Likelihood

For parametric models MLE's are asymptotically minimax (under some conditions).

Consider the square error loss

$$R(\theta, \hat{\theta}) = Var(\hat{\theta}) + Bias^2(\hat{\theta})$$

. This bias variance decomposition has an MLE which is bound as

$$Bias = O(n^{-2}), Var = O(n^{-1})$$

Under right regularity conditions (for fisher information  $\mathbb{I}_\theta$ )

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_{MLE}) = \frac{C}{n\mathbb{I}_\theta}$$

As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , variance dominates and for large  $n$   $MSE \approx \text{Var}$  which is the Cramer-Rao lower bound. Therefore the MSE will provide an efficient estimator or a minimax estimator.

## The Hodges Estimator

Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be iid  $N(\theta, 1)$ ,

$$\hat{\theta}_{MLE} = \bar{X}$$

Let  $J_n = \left[-\frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{4}}}, \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{4}}}\right]$

$$\tilde{\theta} = \begin{cases} \bar{X} & \text{if } \bar{X} \notin J_n \\ 0 & \text{if } \bar{X} \in J_n \end{cases}$$

There are two cases possible: Case 1, suppose  $\theta \neq 0$ , then choose  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $I = (\theta - \epsilon, \theta + \epsilon)$  does not contain zero. By LLN  $p(\bar{X} \in I) \rightarrow 1$ . At the same time  $J_n$  shrinks with high probability.

Case 2, suppose  $\theta = 0$  then  $P(\bar{X} \in J_n) = P(|\bar{X}| \leq n^{-\frac{1}{4}}) = P(\sqrt{n}|\bar{X}| \leq n^{\frac{1}{4}}) = P(|N(0, 1)| \leq n^{\frac{1}{4}}) \rightarrow 1$

For large  $n$ ,  $\tilde{\theta} = 0 = \theta$  with high probability.

## James-Stein Estimator

Let  $X \sim N_p(\theta, I - p)$ ,  $\hat{\theta}(x) = x$  is minimax for  $l(\theta, \hat{\theta}) = \|\theta - \hat{\theta}\|_2^2$

$X = \hat{\theta}(x) = \underset{a}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|a - x\|_2^2$ . This is for the minimax case, we look at the other case below.

$\hat{\theta}_{JS}$  has the property that  $\sup_{\theta} E \left[ \|\theta - \hat{\theta}_{js}\|_2^2 \right] = \sup_{\theta} E \left[ \|\theta - x\|_2^2 \right]$

But for almost all  $\theta$   $E \left[ \|\theta - \hat{\theta}_{js}\|_2^2 \right] = E \left[ \|\theta - x\|_2^2 \right]$ .

We can write  $\hat{\theta}_{js}(x) = \left(1 - \frac{p-2}{\|x\|_2^2}\right) x$

This gives us better risk everywhere as long as  $p \geq 3$ . Further, as  $\|\theta\|_2^2 \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $R(\theta, \hat{\theta}_{js})$  converges upward to  $R(\theta, x)$

We can shrink the estimator to any value  $v \in R^p$  as below:

$$\hat{\theta}_{js} = \left(1 - \frac{p-2}{\|x-v\|_2^2}\right) (x-v) + v$$