HW 3 Discrete

Bayard Walsh

October 24, 2022

1 Euclidean Algorithm Warm-Up

```
\gcd(435, 667) = \gcd(667, 435 \mod 667)

\gcd(667, 435 \mod 667) = \gcd(667, 435)

\gcd(667, 435) = \gcd(435, 667 \mod 435)

\gcd(435, 667 \mod 435) = \gcd(435, 232)

\gcd(435, 232) = \gcd(232, 435 \mod 232)

\gcd(232, 435 \mod 232) = \gcd(232, 203)

\gcd(232, 203) = \gcd(203, 232 \mod 203)

\gcd(203, 232 \mod 203) = \gcd(203, 29)

\gcd(203, 29) = \gcd(29, 203 \mod 29)

\gcd(29, 203 \mod 29) = \gcd(29, 0)

\gcd(29, 0) = 29, as b=0 (by Euclidean Algorithm)

Therefore, \gcd(435, 667) = 29
```

2 Modular Arithmetic Warm-Up

A) Find an inverse of 7 modulo 11.

```
8 is an inverse of 7 modulo 11, as 8*7=56 and 56\equiv_{11}1 Found answer by looking for multiples of 7 that are 1 greater than 11 * N, where N is any integer. 5*11=55 and 8*7=56, which is 1 greater.
```

B) Find an integer x such that $7x \equiv_{11} 10$. **x=3**

7*3 = 21 and $21 \equiv_{11} 10$

Found answer by looking for multiples of 7 that are 10 greater than N * 11, where N is any integer.

```
C) Which elements of \{1, 2 ... 20\} are invertible modulo 21? Invertible elements= \{1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 10, 11, 13, 16, 17, 19, 20\} Multiples of 21 = \{1, 3, 7, 21\} To be invertible with respect to modulo 21, gcd(N,21) = 1 Therefore remove numbers in the set where gcd(N,21) \neq 1 gcd(3,21), gcd(6,21), gcd(9,21), gcd(12,21), gcd(15,21), gcd(18,21) = 3 gcd(7,21), gcd(14,21) = 7
```

3 Greatest Common Divisors

For each of the following statements, either prove that it holds for all integers a, b not both zero, or find a counterexample.

```
a) gcd(a,b) = gcd(a+b,ab)
Counterexample: a=3, b=6
\gcd(3,6)=3
\gcd(9,18)=9
Therefore gcd(a,b) \neq gcd(a+b,ab)
b) If qcd(a,b) = d, then qcd(a/d,b/d) = 1.
Because gcd(a,b) = d, there must exist some integers n and m, where n = a/d
and m = b/d.
Let a = nd and b = md
Therefore, gcd(a/d, b/d) = gcd(nd/d, md/d)
By definition of the gcd, d contains all factors that a and b have in common.
As n = a/d and m = b/d, n and m must be relatively prime, meaning that
gcd(n,m) = 1
Therefore, gcd(a/d, b/d) = 1.
c) For all integers c, if c|ab and gcd(a,b) = 1, then c|a or c|b.
Counterexample: a = 4, b = 7, c = 28
28|(4*7) is true and gcd(4,7) = 1
Neither 28|4 or 28|7 are true
Therefore, if c|ab and gcd(a,b) = 1, then c|a or c|b is not true.
```

4 Congruence Confluence

```
a) If k|n and a \equiv b \pmod{n}, then a \equiv b \pmod{k}
Let n, k be some positive integers and let a, b be some integers
Assume that k|n and a \equiv b \pmod{n}
If k|n, by definition of divisibility there must exist some integer d such that
k * d = n
If a \equiv b \pmod{n}, then n|(a-b)
Therefore kd|(a-b)
As n, k are positive numbers, and k * d = n, then d \neq 0
As d is a non-zero integer and kd|(a-b), then k|(a-b)
If k|(a-b), by the definition of congruent, a \equiv b \pmod{k}
Therefore, if k|n and a \equiv b \pmod{n}, then a \equiv b \pmod{k}
b) If k|n and a \equiv b \pmod{k}, then a \equiv b \pmod{n}
Counterexample: let k = 3, n = 15, a = 10, b = 7
Therefore, 3|15 and 10 \equiv 7 \pmod{3} are true.
However this would imply 10 \equiv 7 \pmod{15}, which is not true
Therefore the statement is false
c) If a \equiv b \pmod{n} and a \equiv b \pmod{k}, then a \equiv b \pmod{kn}
Counterexample: let k = 9, n = 3, a = 12, b = 3
As 12 \equiv 3 \pmod{3} and 12 \equiv 3 \pmod{9}, conditions are true
However, 12 \equiv 3 \pmod{3*9} is not true
27|9 is false, as no integer n exists such that 27*n=9
Therefore the proof is false
```

5 Primes

a) For all primes p and all integers a, b, if a and b are both invertible modulo p, then ab is invertible modulo p.

```
If a is invertible modulo p, then gcd(a,p)=1, by Theorem 9.7 If b is invertible modulo p, then gcd(b,p)=1, by Theorem 9.7 If gcd(a,p)=1, then p\not\mid a If gcd(b,p)=1, then p\not\mid a Gonsider Euclids Lemma, as p is prime and a,b are integers such that p|ab then p|a or p|b As p\not\mid b and p\not\mid a then p\not\mid ab, because of the contra positive of Euclids Lemma. Let d be an integer such that gcd(ab,p)=d. As p is prime, d must be 1 or ab However, as p\not\mid ab, d\neq ab Therefore, d=1, and gcd(ab,p)=1 If gcd(ab,p)=1, then ab is invertible modulo p.
```

```
Let p be a prime number, then by Wilson's Theorem, for all primes p, (p-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}

Let (p-1)! = (p-1)*(p-2)!, by definition of a factorial function Let (p-1)*(p-2)! = (p*(p-2)!) + (-1*(p-2)!)

Therefore, p(p-2)! - (p-2)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}

Reduce to -(p-2)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p} (by modular rules)

Therefore (p-2)! \equiv 1 \pmod{p}
```

6 Contributor List

Hunter Smith, Grey Sign, Nafis Khan