# Policymaking Institutions and Environmental Policy

POLI 307 Environmental Policy

Spring 2015

 Government institutions give public policy three distinctive characteristics

- Government institutions give public policy three distinctive characteristics
  - ▶ Legitimacy: legal obligations; democratic; the Constitution

- Government institutions give public policy three distinctive characteristics
  - ▶ Legitimacy: legal obligations; democratic; the Constitution
  - Universality: extends to all citizens

- Government institutions give public policy three distinctive characteristics
  - ▶ Legitimacy: legal obligations; democratic; the Constitution
  - Universality: extends to all citizens
  - Coercion: imprison violators

- Government institutions give public policy three distinctive characteristics
  - ▶ Legitimacy: legal obligations; democratic; the Constitution
  - Universality: extends to all citizens
  - ► Coercion: imprison violators
- Government institutions provide structure to the process of policymaking

- Government institutions give public policy three distinctive characteristics
  - ▶ Legitimacy: legal obligations; democratic; the Constitution
  - Universality: extends to all citizens
  - Coercion: imprison violators
- Government institutions provide structure to the process of policymaking
  - Institutions help to facilitate group decision—making through rules

▶ Policy actors: Those involved in the policymaking process that seek to see their preferences for policy enacted

- Policy actors: Those involved in the policymaking process that seek to see their preferences for policy enacted
- Rational: Have preferences and make choices based on those preferences

- Policy actors: Those involved in the policymaking process that seek to see their preferences for policy enacted
- Rational: Have preferences and make choices based on those preferences
  - Preferences are ranked

- Policy actors: Those involved in the policymaking process that seek to see their preferences for policy enacted
- Rational: Have preferences and make choices based on those preferences
  - Preferences are ranked
- ► A simple example: three friends choosing a movie

- Policy actors: Those involved in the policymaking process that seek to see their preferences for policy enacted
- Rational: Have preferences and make choices based on those preferences
  - Preferences are ranked
- ► A simple example: three friends choosing a movie
  - ► Larry (L): Action movie (A)

- Policy actors: Those involved in the policymaking process that seek to see their preferences for policy enacted
- Rational: Have preferences and make choices based on those preferences
  - Preferences are ranked
- A simple example: three friends choosing a movie
  - ► Larry (L): Action movie (A)
  - ► Curly (C): Horror movie (H)

- Policy actors: Those involved in the policymaking process that seek to see their preferences for policy enacted
- Rational: Have preferences and make choices based on those preferences
  - Preferences are ranked
- A simple example: three friends choosing a movie
  - ► Larry (L): Action movie (A)
  - Curly (C): Horror movie (H)
  - Moe (M): Comedy (C)

# **Group Choice**

► Preference rankings

L	С	М
А	Н	С
Н	C	Н
С	А	Α

# **Group Choice**

► Preference rankings

L	С	М
А	Н	С
Н	C	Н
C	Α	Α

▶ How does the group decide?

# **Group Choice**

Preference rankings

L	С	М
Α	Н	С
Н	C	Н
С	Α	Α

- ▶ How does the group decide?
  - ▶ Option 1: Majority rule

L	С	М
Α	Н	С
Н	C	Н
C	Α	Α

Option 2: Round robin tournament

L	С	М
Α	Н	С
Н	C	Н
С	Α	Α

- ► Option 2: Round robin tournament
  - A vs. H

L	С	М
A	Н	С
Н	С	Н
С	Α	Α

- Option 2: Round robin tournament
  - A vs. H
    - ► H wins 2-1 (C, M)

L	С	М
Α	Н	С
Н	C	Н
C	А	Α

- Option 2: Round robin tournament
  - A vs. H
    - ► H wins 2-1 (C, M)
  - A vs. C

L	С	М
Α	Н	С
Н	C	Н
C	Α	Α

- Option 2: Round robin tournament
  - A vs. H
    - ► H wins 2-1 (C, M)
  - A vs. C
    - ► C wins 2-1 (C, M)

L	С	М
A	Н	С
Н	C	Н
С	Α	Α

- Option 2: Round robin tournament
  - A vs. H
    - ► H wins 2-1 (C, M)
  - A vs. C
    - ► C wins 2-1 (C, M)
  - ► C vs. H

L	С	М
Α	Н	С
Н	C	Н
С	А	Α

- Option 2: Round robin tournament
  - A vs. H
    - ► H wins 2-1 (C, M)
  - A vs. C
    - ► C wins 2-1 (C, M)
  - ► C vs. H
    - ► H wins 2-1 (L, C)

 L
 C
 M

 A
 H
 C

 H
 C
 A

 C
 A
 H

► Round robin tournament

Η	C
	C
С	Α
Д	Н

- ▶ Round robin tournament
  - A vs. H

L	С	М
Α	Н	С
Н	C	Α
C	Α	Н

- ► Round robin tournament
  - A vs. H
    - ► A wins 2-1 (L, M)

L	С	М
Α	Н	С
Н	С	Α
C	Α	Н

- ▶ Round robin tournament
  - A vs. H
    - ► A wins 2-1 (L, M)
  - A vs. C

L	С	М
Α	Н	С
Н	C	Α
С	А	Н

- Round robin tournament
  - A vs. H
    - ► A wins 2-1 (L, M)
  - A vs. C
    - ► C wins 2-1 (C, M)

L	С	М
Α	Н	С
Н	С	Α
C	Α	Н

- Round robin tournament
  - A vs. H
    - ► A wins 2-1 (L, M)
  - A vs. C
    - ► C wins 2-1 (C, M)
  - ► C vs. H

L	С	М
Α	Н	С
Н	C	Α
С	Α	Н

- Round robin tournament
  - A vs. H
    - ► A wins 2-1 (L, M)
  - A vs. C
    - ► C wins 2-1 (C, M)
  - ► C vs. H
    - ► H wins 2-1 (L, C)

▶ Option 3: Agenda procedure—an agenda-setter decides the order of the pairwise comparisons

Agenda II	Agenda III
С	Н
Α	C
Н	Α
	C A H

#### Preferences

L	С	М
Α	Н	С
Н	C	Α
C	Α	Н

Preferences		
L	С	М
Α	Н	С
Н	C	Α
C	Α	Н

Agenda I	Agenda II	Agenda III
А	С	Н
Н	Α	С
C	Н	A
	•	

#### Preferences

L	C	M
Α	Н	С
Н	C	Α
C	Α	Н

	T	
Agenda I	Agenda II	Agenda III
Α	С	Н
Н	Α	С
C	Н	A
	•	

Agenda I: C wins

#### Preferences

L	C	M
Α	Н	С
Н	C	А
С	А	Η

Agenda I	Agenda II	Agenda III
A	С	Н
Н	Α	С
С	Н	A

Agenda I: C wins

► Agenda II: H wins

#### Preferences

L	C	M
Α	Н	С
Н	C	Α
С	А	Η

Agenda II	Agenda III
С	Н
Α	С
Н	A
	Agenda II C A H

Agenda I: C wins

► Agenda II: H wins

► Agenda III: A wins

▶ Takeaways

- Takeaways
  - ▶ Institutions matter

- ▶ Takeaways
  - ▶ Institutions matter
  - ▶ Institutions can be understood as *rules* for decision-making

- Takeaways
  - ▶ Institutions matter
  - ▶ Institutions can be understood as *rules* for decision-making
  - Agenda-setting matters

- Takeaways
  - Institutions matter
  - ▶ Institutions can be understood as *rules* for decision-making
  - Agenda-setting matters
- Policymaking Institutions

- Takeaways
  - Institutions matter
  - ▶ Institutions can be understood as *rules* for decision-making
  - Agenda-setting matters
- Policymaking Institutions
  - Established by the Constitution

- Takeaways
  - Institutions matter
  - ▶ Institutions can be understood as *rules* for decision-making
  - Agenda-setting matters
- Policymaking Institutions
  - Established by the Constitution
  - "Separate branches sharing power"

- Takeaways
  - Institutions matter
  - Institutions can be understood as rules for decision-making
  - Agenda-setting matters
- Policymaking Institutions
  - Established by the Constitution
  - "Separate branches sharing power"
  - Each branch is an institution; it contains sets of rules regarding decision-making