POLI 307: Environmental Policy

College of Charleston

Spring 2015

Time TR 12:15-1:30 p.m.

Place Maybank 307

Instructor Professor Matthew C. Nowlin

Email nowlinmc@cofc.edu

Office Hours Wednesdays, 1:00–3:00 p.m., 114 Wentworth Office

102

Course Website http://mnowlin.github.io/poli307/

Course Description

Catalog course description

This course is an advanced undergraduate course. We will examine the central dimensions of environmental politics and policy in the United States.

The course will provide an overview of the development of environmental policy issues, including a brief history on the develop of environmental politics in the U.S. In addition, the course will also provide a theoretical base for understanding policy changes in the U.S. Major portions of the course will be devoted to examining the fundamental beliefs and attitudes that have shaped environmental policies; the major political institutions in the U.S. that conceive, design, implement, and revise environmental policies; the role of science and risk assessment in environmental policy; and the application of economic principles to environmental issues.

The format of the course will be a mixture of lecture, discussion, and group work. Students should come to each class prepared to participate in discussion. This means you have reviewed your notes from previous classes and have read the readings in advance of class. You are encouraged to bring your internet capable device (laptop, tablet, smart–phone) to class for discussion and group work, however these devices are not to be used in a way that is distracting to your professor or your classmates

Course Goals and Learning Objectives

The goals for this course are to:

- Develop an understanding of the evolution of environmental policy and politics in the U.S.
- Develop an understanding of the major policymaking institutions

including the Congress, the President, Executive Agencies, the Courts, and their role in environmental policymaking.

- Develop an understanding of the process of policymaking in the U.S. with regard to environmental issues.
- Develop an understanding of how science is used (or not) in the environmental policy making process.
- Develop an understanding of how basic principles of economics can be used in the design of policies to address environmental issues.
- Develop in-depth knowledge about a particular topic within the broad field of environmental policy.

Course Requirements and Grading

Performance in this course will be evaluated on the basis of three papers, a mid-term exam, final exam (presentation), and your participation in the course.

Points will be distributed as follows:

• Readings Quizzes: (5 @20 pts each) 100 points

• Paper 1: 100 points

• Paper 2: 100 points • Paper 3: 100 points

Mid–Term Exam: 100 points

• Final Exam (presentation): 100 points

• Participation: 100 points

• Total: 700 points

Assignments

Reading Quizzes: There will be five "pop" reading quizzes throughout the semester. These quizzes will be given randomally in class. They will cover the assigned reading for the week (see the schedule below) and can only be taken in class. No make-up quizzes will be possible.

Papers: Papers will be expected to be the equivalent of 3–4 double spaced pages, with 1" margins, and 12-point Times New Roman font. Because the papers are short, I will expect well organized, clear, concise, and professional quality writing. Full and appropriate citations are expected, with any citation style (e.g., MLA, APA, Chicago) you prefer. Consider making use of the Writing Lab available on campus. More information about the requirements, including due date, for

each paper are under the Assignments tab on the course homepage. Note that paper 3 will include papers 1 and 2. No late papers will be accepted.

Mid-term: The mid-term exam will be given on **February 26th**. All material from the readings, lectures, media articles, and in-class discussions are fair game for the mid-term exam.

Final Exam: The final exam will consist of a presentation of the topic you explored for your papers. The presentations will be given during the scheduled time for the final exam. You will only have 5 minutes for your presentation, so it needs to be consise and informative.

Participation: The participation portion of your grade is based on in-class participation, participation (attendance) in on-campus lectures/events related to environmental policy broadly defined, and class attendance.

- In-class participation (40%): This is a discussion based course and to make it work you need to have read assigned material and be prepared to participate in course discussions. Each week you will write 4 or 5 sentences on a quiz in OAKS about how you contributed to in-class discussion and these quizzes will form the basis of your in-class participation grade. Note that the professor has final say over what does or does not count as adequate participation.
- Campus participation (40%): For the campus participation portion you must attend three lectures/events outside of class and to submit a one page response to EACH event/lecture linking the topic of the event/lecture to a class reading/discussion. The lectures/events are **subject to instructor approval** and will be announced in class. Students may also suggest on-campus events that they feel would be appropriate, but prior approval must be obtained.
- Attendance (20%): Attendance will be taken for each class.

Grades

There are 700 possible points for this course. Grades will be allocated based on your earned points and calculated as a percentage of 700.

- A: 94 to 100%
- A-: 90 to 93%
- B+: 87 to 89%
- B: 83 to 86%
- B-: 80 to 82%

- C+: 77 to 79%
- C: 73 to 76%
- C-: 70 to 72%
- D+: 67 to 69%
- D: 63 to 67%
- D-: 60 to 62%
- F: 59% and below

Paper Topics

You are able to choose any topic related to environmental policy that is of interest to you. Approval from me for your topic is required before beginning to work on your papers. Below are some suggested topic areas, however your papers will be about a specific aspect of the broader topic area. Readings about each topic area are posted on OAKS.

- Environmental Justice
- Natural Resource Management
- Toxic and Hazardous Substances
- Energy
- Natural Disasters

Course Outline and Schedule

SUBJECT TO CHANGE. Check the course website often for updates. Journal article links are provided and book chapters will be made available on OAKS.

I. Laying the Groundwork

JAN 13TH-15TH: INTRODUCTION AND COURSE OVERVIEW

• Layzer, Judith A. 2012. "A Policymaking Framework: Defining Problems and Portraying Solutions in U.S. Environmental Politics", Chapter 1 in The Environmental Case: Translating Values into Policy. CQ Press, Washington D.C. 3rd Ed., pgs 1-27 on OAKS

JAN 20TH-22TH: VALUES AND VALUE CONFLICT

Controversies in environmental policy often deal with competing values and core beliefs. What are the types of values that influence thinking about the environment?

- Jones, Michael D. 2011. "Leading the Way to Compromise? Cultural Theory and Climate Change Opinion." PS: Political Science & Politics 44(04): 720-25.
- Dunlap, Riley E., Kent D. Van Liere, Angela G. Mertig, and Robert Emmet Jones. 2000. "New Trends in Measuring Environmental Attitudes: Measuring Endorsement of the New Ecological Paradigm: A Revised NEP Scale." Journal of Social Issues 56(3): 425-42.
- The Lorax

JAN 27TH-29TH: EVOLUTION OF ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY IN THE UNITED STATES

How has environmental policy evolved in the United States? What was the role of the environmental movement?

- Kline, Benjamin. 2011. "The 1970s: The Conservation Movement Matures", **Chapter 7** in First Along the River: A Brief History of the U.S. Environmental Movement. Rowman and Littlefield, Lanham, Maryland. 4th Edition., pgs 95–111 on OAKS
- Johnson, Erik W., and Scott Frickel. 2011. "Ecological Threat and the Founding of U.S. National Environmental Movement Organizations, 1962–1998." Social Problems 58(3): 305–29.
- Layzer, Judith A. 2012. "Basklash: Wise Use, Property Rights, and Antienvironmental Activism in the 1990s", Chapter 13 in The Environmental Case: Translating Values into Policy. CQ Press, Washington D.C. 3rd Ed., pgs 383-414 on OAKS
- World Health Organization Statement on DDT
- Major Environmental Laws: EPA website
- National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)

II. Policymaking Institutions

Feb 3rd-5th: Institutions and Congress

Institutions are defined as the "rules of the game", how do these rules influence policymaking? How is Congress organized?

- Analyzing Politics on OAKS
- Kraft, E. Michael. 2013. "Environmental Policy in Congress", Chapter 5 in Environmental Policy: New Directions for the 21st Century. eds. Michael E. Kraft and Norman J. Vig. CQ Press, Washington D.C. 8th Ed., pgs. 109-134 on OAKS

• Layzer, Judith A. 2012. "The Nation Tackles Pollution: The Environmental Protection Agency and the Clean Air and Clean Water Acts", Chapter 2 in The Environmental Case: Translating Values into Policy. CQ Press, Washington D.C. 3rd Ed., pgs 28-55 on OAKS

History of the Clean Air Act

FEB 10TH-12TH: CONGRESS, THE PRESIDENT, AND THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH

How does polarization in Congress impact environmental policymaking? What is the role of the president in environmental policy?

- Shipan, Charles R., and William R. Lowry. 2001. "Environmental Policy and Party Divergence in Congress." Political Research Quarterly 54(2): 245-263.
- Hird, John A. 1993. "Congressional Voting on Superfund: Self-Interest or Ideology?" Public Choice 77(2): 333-357.
- Vig, Norman J. 2013. "Presidential Power and Environmental Policy", Chapter 4 in Environmental Policy: New Directions for the 21st Century. eds. Michael E. Kraft and Norman J. Vig. CQ Press, Washington D.C. 8th Ed., pgs. 84-108 on OAKS

FEB 17TH-19TH: THE BUREAUCRACY

What is the role of the bureaucracy in environmental policy? How does the bureaucracy interact with Congress and the president when making environmental policy?

- Rosenbaum, Walter A. 2014. "Command and Control in Action: Air and Water Pollution Regulation", Chapter 6 in Environmental Politics and Policy. CQ Press, Washington D.C. 9th Ed., pgs. 197-238 on OAKS
- Rosenbaum, Walter A. 2013. "Science, Politics, and Policy at the EPA", **Chapter 7** in Environmental Policy: New Directions for the 21st Century. eds. Michael E. Kraft and Norman J. Vig. CQ Press, Washington D.C. 8th Ed., pgs. 158–187 on OAKS
- Rinfret, Sara R. 2011. "Frames of Influence: U.S. Environmental Rulemaking Case Studies." Review of Policy Research 28(3): 231–46.
- Wood, B. Dan, and Richard W. Waterman. 1993. "The Dynamics of Political-Bureaucratic Adaptation." American Journal of Political Science 37(2): 497-528.

Feb 24TH-26TH: THE COURTS

How do the courts influence environmental policy? How do they engage with other institutions?

- O'Leary, Rosemary. 2013. "Environmental Policy in the Courts",
 Chapter 6 in Environmental Policy: New Directions for the 21st Century.
 eds. Michael E. Kraft and Norman J. Vig. CQ Press, Washington D.C. 8th Ed., pgs. 135–156 on OAKS
- Lucas v. South Carolina Coastal Council
- Massachusetts v. Environmental Protection Agency

FEB 26TH: MIDTERM EXAM

MARCH 1ST-8TH: SPRING BREAK

III. Policymaking System and Process

MARCH 10TH–12TH: SYSTEM AND ACTORS: POLITICAL ECONOMY AND PUBLIC OPINION

Policy is not made only by siloed institutions, but within a system of mulitple institutions and policy actors. Within the policymaking system, do interest groups influence policy? If so, how? Does public opinion influence policymaking?

- Oates, Wallace E. and Paul R. Portney. 2003. "The Political Economy of Environmental Policy" PDF, **Chapter 8** in *Handbook of Environmental Economics Volume 1*. eds. Karl-Goran Maler and Jeffrey R. Vincent.
- Johnson, Martin, Paul Brace, and Kevin Arceneaux. 2005. "Public Opinion and Dynamic Representation in the American States: The Case of Environmental Attitudes." Social Science Quarterly 86(1): 87–108.

MARCH 17TH-19TH: AGENDA SETTING

What is the policymaking agenda? How do environmental issues get on the agenda?

- Clark, Brad T. 2004. "Agenda Setting and Issue Dynamics: Dam Breaching on the Lower Snake River." *Society and Natural Resources* 17(7): 599–609.
- Pralle, Sarah B. 2009. "Agenda-Setting and Climate Change." *Environmental Politics* 18(5): 781–99.

• Liu, Xinsheng, Eric Lindquist, and Arnold Vedlitz. 2011. "Explaining Media and Congressional Attention to Global Climate Change, 1969-2005: An Empirical Test of Agenda-Setting Theory." Political Research Quarterly 64(2): 405–19.

MARCH 24TH-26TH: SUBSYSTEMS, COALITIONS, AND ENVIRONMENTAL POL-**ICY**

Policy choices are made by multiple policymakers within and across multiple policy subsystems. What are policy subsystems and how is policy made within them? Who participates in policy subsystems?

- Ellison, Brian A. 1998. "The Advocacy Coalition Framework and Implementation of the Endangered Species Act: A Case Study in Western Water Politics." Policy Studies Journal 26(1): 11-29.
- Elgin, Dallas J., and Christopher M. Weible. 2013. "A Stakeholder Analysis of Colorado Climate and Energy Issues Using Policy Analytical Capacity and the Advocacy Coalition Framework." *Review of Policy Research* 30(1): 114–33.

MARCH 31ST: FEDERALISM AND ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY

How do states and the federal government interact in environmental policy?

- Rabe, Barry G. 2013. "Racing to the Top, the Bottom, or the Middle of the Pack? The Evolving State Government Role in Environmental Protection", Chapter 2 in Environmental Policy: New Directions for the 21st Century. eds. Michael E. Kraft and Norman J. Vig. CQ Press, Washington D.C. 8th Ed., pgs. 30-53 on OAKS
- "The New Era of Climate Federalism" Brookings

APRIL 2ND: NO CLASS

APRIL 7TH-9TH: SCIENCE AND ENVIRONMENTAL RISK

What is the role of science in environmental policy? How are risks understood by experts and the public?

- Rosenbaum, Walter A. 2014. "Common Policy Challenges: Risk Assessment and Environmental Justice", Chapter 4 in Environmental Politics and Policy. CQ Press, Washington D.C. 9th Ed., pgs. 129-159 on OAKS
- Kasperson, Roger E., Ortwin Renn, Paul Slovic, Halina S. Brown, Jacque Emel, Robert Goble, Jeanne X. Kasperson, and Samuel Ratick. 1988. "The Social Amplification of Risk: A Conceptual Framework." Risk Analysis 8(2): 177-87.

• Silva, Carol L., and Jenkins-Smith Hank C. 2007. "The Precautionary Principle in Context: U.S. and E.U. Scientists' Prescriptions for Policy in the Face of Uncertainty." Social Science Quarterly 88(3): 640-64.

IV. Environmental Economics

APRIL 14TH-16TH: BENEFIT-COST ANALYSIS

- Rosenbaum, Walter A. 2014. "More Choice: The Battle over Regulatory Economics", Chapter 5 in Environmental Politics and Policy. CQ Press, Washington D.C. 9th Ed., pgs. 165–193 on OAKS
- Keohane, Nathaniel O. and Shelia M. Olmstead. 2007. "Economic Efficiency and Environmental Protection", Chapter 2 in Markets and the Environment. Island Press, Washington D.C. pgs. 11-30 on **OAKS**
- Keohane, Nathaniel O. and Shelia M. Olmstead. 2007. "The Benefits and Costs of Environmental Protection", Chapter 3 in Markets and the Environment. Island Press, Washington D.C. pgs. 31-52 on **OAKS**
- Stavins, Robert. 2009. "Is Benefit-Cost Analysis Helpful for Environmental Regulation?"

APRIL 21ST-23RD: MARKET-BASED POLICY INSTRUMENTS

- Keohane, Nathaniel O. and Shelia M. Olmstead. 2007. "The Efficiency of Markets", Chapter 4 in Markets and the Environment. Island Press, Washington D.C. pgs. 54-63 on OAKS
- Keohane, Nathaniel O. and Shelia M. Olmstead. 2007. "Market Failures in the Environmental Realm", Chapter 5 in Markets and the Environment. Island Press, Washington D.C. pgs. 65-81 on OAKS
- Keohane, Nathaniel O. and Shelia M. Olmstead. 2007. "Principles of Market-Based Environmental Policy", Chapter 8 in Markets and the Environment. Island Press, Washington D.C. pgs. 125-151 on **OAKS**
- Layzer, Judith A. 2012. "Market-Based Solutions: Acid Rain and the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990", Chapter 14 in The Environmental Case: Translating Values into Policy. CQ Press, Washington D.C. 3rd Ed., pgs 414-446 on OAKS

Students with Disabilities:

The College will make reasonable accommodations for persons with documented disabilities. Students should apply at the Center for Disability Services located on the first floor of the Lightsey Center, Suite 104. Students approved for accommodations are responsible for notifying me as soon as possible and for contacting me at least one week before any accommodation is needed.

Cheating or Plagiarism:

A grade of zero will be given to anyone cheating on any exam, homework assignment or committing plagiarism in a paper. As commonly defined, plagiarism consists of passing off as ones own ideas, the words, writings, music, graphs/charts, etc that were created by another. In accordance with this definition, you are committing plagiarism if you copy the work of another person and turn it in as your own, even if you have the permission of that person. It does not matter from where the material is borrowed-a book, article, material off the web, another student's paper-all constitute plagiarism unless the source of the work is fully identified and credited. Plagiarism is cheating and a violation of academic and personal integrity and will not be tolerated. It carries extremely serious consequences. To avoid plagiarism it is necessary when using a phrase, a distinctive idea, concept or sentence from another source to reference that source in your text, a footnote, or end-note. Please contact me if you need assistance in citing a source.

Religious Holiday Policy:

It is the policy of the College to excuse absences of students that result from religious observances and to provide without penalty for the rescheduling of examinations and additional required class work that may fall on religious holidays. Please see me immediately if you will need to miss class any time during this semester.