

# Homework 2

Alessandro Bianchetti

November 25, 2021

*Note: calculations of this work were cross-checked with the students Mila Racca and Kai Aidan Growcoat. This report is however independent and different from theirs.*

## 1 Exercise 4

The purpose here is to prove that the characteristic function of a MVN is given by

$$\phi(\vec{k}) = \exp(-i\vec{\mu}^T \cdot \vec{k} - \frac{1}{2}\vec{k}^T C^{-1} \vec{k}) \quad (1)$$

Let's first remind the definition of characteristic function:

$$\phi(\vec{k}) = \int d^n x \exp(-i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) p(\vec{x}) \quad (2)$$

where  $p(\vec{x})$  is whatever distribution. In our case it's the MVN function

$$\mathcal{N}(\vec{x}|\mu, C) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{N/2} \sqrt{\det C}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}(\vec{x} - \mu)^T C^{-1}(\vec{x} - \mu)\right] \quad (3)$$

So let's plug this definition inside 2 and see what happens. We need to do this in two different ways: first, we're going to apply the square completion technique, thus solving the Fourier Transform. Then we're going to do it by rotating the inverse of the covariance matrix  $C^{-1}$  making it diagonal.

### 1.1 Method 1: square completion

For simplicity, from now on we refer to the normalization factor of the multivariate Gaussian as  $B$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\vec{k}) &= \int d^n x \exp(-i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) B \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\vec{x} - \vec{\mu})^T C^{-1}(\vec{x} - \vec{\mu})\right\} = \\ &= \int d^N u B \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\vec{u}^T C^{-1} \vec{u} - i\vec{k}^T(\vec{u} + \vec{\mu})\right] \end{aligned}$$

where we replaced  $\vec{u} = \vec{x} - \vec{\mu}$ . Let's play on the exponent a bit in order to build a new squared quantity at the exponent, this time involving the frequency  $\vec{k}$ . The formula of the square completion is given by

$$\vec{x}^T A \vec{x} + \vec{b} \cdot \vec{x} + c = (\vec{x} + \vec{m})^T A (\vec{x} + \vec{m}) + n \quad (4)$$

$$\text{where} \quad \vec{m} = \frac{1}{2} A^{-1} \vec{b} \quad n = c - \frac{1}{4} \vec{b}^T A^{-1} \vec{b} \quad (5)$$

So, in our case the exponent is

$$-\frac{1}{2} \vec{u}^T C^{-1} \vec{u} - i \vec{k} \cdot (\vec{u} + \vec{\mu}) = -\frac{1}{2} \left[ \vec{u}^T C^{-1} \vec{u} + 2i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{u} + 2i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{\mu} \right].$$

Therefore, if we keep the  $-\frac{1}{2}$  out for a minute, we can define the following:

$$\begin{cases} A = C^{-1} \\ \vec{b} = 2i \vec{k} \\ c = 2i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{\mu} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} \vec{m} = i C \vec{k} \\ n = 2i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{\mu} + \vec{k}^T C \vec{k} \end{cases}$$

Now we apply 4 and factor  $-\frac{1}{2}$  in again, and the exponent will look like

$$-\frac{1}{2} \vec{u}^T C^{-1} \vec{u} - i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{u} - i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{\mu} = -\frac{1}{2} \left[ (\vec{u} + i C \vec{k})^T C^{-1} (\vec{u} + i C \vec{k}) \right] - \frac{1}{2} \vec{k}^T C \vec{k} - i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{\mu}$$

We now apply this to the integral we were working on:

$$\phi(\vec{k}) = \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \vec{k}^T C \vec{k} - i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{\mu} \right] \int d^N u B \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} (\vec{u} + i C \vec{k})^T C^{-1} (\vec{u} + i C \vec{k}) \right]$$

and we can again change variable  $\vec{t} = \vec{u} + i C \vec{k}$ , so that

$$\phi(\vec{k}) = \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \vec{k}^T C \vec{k} - i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{\mu} \right] \int d^N t B \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \vec{t}^T C^{-1} \vec{t} \right]$$

but in this last equation the integral is a perfectly normalised Gaussian integrated over infinity, meaning it corresponds to 1. Finally:

$$\phi(\vec{k}) = \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \vec{k}^T C \vec{k} - i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{\mu} \right]$$

**Alternative solution** It is perhaps possible to try out a different path. In fact, after the first substitution (introducing  $\vec{u}$ ), we can immediately make the following change of variables, switching to  $\vec{t}$ :

$$\sqrt{C} \vec{t} = \vec{u}$$

where  $\sqrt{C}$  is defined as the squared root of the matrix C, meaning a matrix such that  $\sqrt{C} \sqrt{C} = C$ . This means that, working on the exponent, we have

$$\vec{u}^T C \vec{u} = (\sqrt{C} \vec{t})^T C^{-1} \sqrt{C} \vec{t} = \vec{t} \cdot \vec{t} = t^2.$$

Such a change of coordinates will also introduce the determinant of the transformation matrix  $\sqrt{C}$  in the integral. Moreover,  $\det \sqrt{C} = \sqrt{\det C}$  due to Binet's Theorem ( $\det(A \cdot B) = \det A \det B$  for any couple of matrices  $A$  and  $B$ ).

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(\vec{k}) &= \int d^N u B \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \vec{u}^T C^{-1} \vec{u} - i \vec{k}^T (\vec{u} + \vec{\mu}) \right\} = \\ &= B \sqrt{\det C} \int d^N t e^{-i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{\mu}} e^{-i \vec{k} \sqrt{C} \vec{t}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} t^2} = B \sqrt{\det C} e^{-i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{\mu}} \int d^N t e^{-\frac{1}{2} [t^2 + 2i \vec{k} \sqrt{C} \vec{t}]}\end{aligned}$$

Here we perform a square completion by adding and subtracting the term  $\frac{1}{2} \vec{k}^T C \vec{k}$  to the exponent (or applying the formula for square completion 4 if you prefer).

$$\phi(\vec{k}) = B \sqrt{\det C} e^{-i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{\mu}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \vec{k}^T C \vec{k}} \int d^N t e^{-\frac{1}{2} [\vec{t} + i \sqrt{C} \vec{k}]^2}$$

A final substitution  $\vec{y} = \vec{t} + i \sqrt{C} \vec{k}$  leads to

$$\phi(\vec{k}) = B \sqrt{\det C} e^{-i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{\mu}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \vec{k}^T C \vec{k}} \int d^N y e^{-\frac{1}{2} y^2}$$

But the integral in the latter is equal to  $(2\pi)^{N/2}$ , and therefore it cancels out with the term  $B \sqrt{\det C} = (2\pi)^{-N/2}$ . So we're left with

$$\phi(\vec{k}) = e^{-i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{\mu}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \vec{k}^T C \vec{k}}$$

which is again the expected result.

## 1.2 Method 2: diagonalization

This time we try introducing a rotation, governed by a rotation matrix.

$$R(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \quad (6)$$

With a change of coordinates imposed by a rotation matrix there is no need to worry about introducing the determinant of the Jacobian as we did in the previous section, since  $\det R(\theta) = 1 \forall \theta$ . We choose  $R$  so to make the inverse of the covariance matrix diagonal  $R^T C^{-1} R = \Lambda$ .

So let's start again from the point where we've already made the shift of coordinates, introducing  $\vec{u} = \vec{x} - \vec{\mu}$ :

$$\phi(\vec{k}) = \int d^N u B \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \vec{u}^T C^{-1} \vec{u} - i \vec{k}^T (\vec{u} + \vec{\mu}) \right]$$

Now we set  $\vec{u} = R\vec{y}$

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(\vec{k}) &= \int d^N y B \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \vec{y}^T R^T C^{-1} R \vec{y} - i \vec{k}^T (R \vec{y} + \vec{\mu}) \right] = \\ &= \int d^N y B \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \vec{y}^T \Lambda \vec{y} - i \vec{k}^T R \vec{y} - i \vec{k}^T \vec{\mu} \right]\end{aligned}$$

Now we better define  $\vec{z} = \vec{k}^T R$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(\vec{k}) &= \int d^N y B \exp \left[ \sum_i \left( \frac{1}{2} \lambda_i y_i^2 - h_i y_i \right) - i \vec{k}^T \vec{\mu} \right] \\ &= B \exp -i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{\mu} \int d^n y \Pi_i \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \lambda_i y_i^2 - z_i y_i \right]\end{aligned}$$

And we proceed again by completing the square:

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(\vec{k}) &= B \exp -i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{\mu} \Pi_i \int d^n y \exp \left[ -\left( \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_i}{2}} y_i + \frac{z_i}{\sqrt{2\lambda_i}} \right)^2 \right] \exp \frac{z_i^2}{2\lambda_i} = \\ &= B \exp \left[ -i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{\mu} \right] \Pi_i \sqrt{\frac{2}{\lambda_i}} (2\pi)^{1/2} \exp \frac{h_i^2}{2\lambda_i}\end{aligned}$$

Remember  $B = (2\pi)^{-N/2} (\det C)^{-1/2}$ , and  $\det C^{-1/2} = \Pi_i \sqrt{\lambda_i}$  using eigenvalues.

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(\vec{k}) &= (2\pi)^{-N/2} \Pi_i \sqrt{\lambda_i} \exp -i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{\mu} (2\pi)^{N/2} \Pi_i \sqrt{\lambda_i} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\lambda_i}} \exp \frac{z_i^2}{2\lambda_i} \\ &= \exp \left[ -i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{\mu} \right] \Pi_i \exp \left[ \frac{z_i^2}{2\lambda_i} \right] = \exp \left[ -i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{\mu} \right] \exp \left[ -\frac{\vec{k}^T R \Lambda^{-1} R^T \vec{k}}{2} \right]\end{aligned}$$

but  $R \Lambda^{-1} R^T = C$ , so finally

$$\phi(\vec{k}) = \exp \left[ -i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{\mu} - \frac{1}{2} \vec{k}^T C \vec{k} \right]$$

which is the result we wanted to achieve.

## 2 Exercise 5

The characteristic function also has the property of generating momenta, if we derive on the generic components  $-ik_\alpha$ . We want to test such property

$$E[x_\alpha^{n_\alpha} \cdots x_\beta^{n_\beta}] = \frac{\partial^{n_\alpha + \cdots + n_\beta} \phi(\vec{k})}{\partial^{n_\alpha} (-ik_\alpha) \cdots \partial^{n_\beta} (-ik_\beta)} \Big|_{\vec{k}=0} \quad (7)$$

for two simple cases: the mean of a single component  $x_\alpha$  and the covariance of two components  $x_\alpha$  and  $x_\beta$ .

## 2.1 Mean

For the first step, we try to compute the derivative in the RHS of 7 for  $n_\alpha = 1$  and  $n_\gamma = 0 \ \forall \gamma \neq \alpha$ . Therefore

$$\left. \frac{\partial \phi(\vec{k})}{\partial(-ik_\alpha)} \right|_{\vec{k}=0} = i \left. \frac{\partial \phi(\vec{k})}{\partial k_\alpha} \right|_{\vec{k}=0} = i \left[ T_\alpha \phi(\vec{k}) \right] \Big|_{\vec{k}=0} \quad (8)$$

where  $T_\alpha$  is the internal derivative, meaning the derivative of the exponent with respect to  $k_\alpha$ .

$$T_\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial k_\alpha} \left[ -i \sum_i k_i \mu_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \sum_j k_i C_{ij} k_j \right] = -i \mu_\alpha \sum_j C_{\alpha j} k_j$$

Now let's evaluate the expression at  $\vec{k} = 0$ . Actually  $\phi(\vec{k})|_{\vec{k}=0} = 1$  and  $T_\alpha|_{\vec{k}=0} = -i \mu_\alpha$ . So 8 becomes

$$\left. \frac{\partial \phi(\vec{k})}{\partial(-ik_\alpha)} \right|_{\vec{k}=0} = i \cdot (-i \mu_\alpha) = \mu_\alpha$$

but that is exactly  $E[x_\alpha]$ , so we correctly generated a first-order momentum of expectation, proving the generating equation right.

## 2.2 Covariance

The model we have to follow is the same as before, but this time we have to perform a second derivative, over the coordinates  $k_\alpha$  and  $k_\beta$ . Therefore we're setting  $n_\alpha = 1$ ,  $n_\beta = 1$  and  $n_\gamma = 0 \ \forall \gamma \neq \alpha, \beta$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{\partial^2 \phi(\vec{k})}{(-i)^2 \partial k_\alpha \partial k_\beta} \right|_{\vec{k}=0} &= - \left. \frac{\partial \phi(\vec{k})}{\partial k_\alpha \partial k_\beta} \right|_{\vec{k}=0} = - \frac{\partial}{\partial k_\alpha} \left[ \left. \frac{\partial \phi(\vec{k})}{\partial k_\beta} \right] \right|_{\vec{k}=0} = \\ &= - \frac{\partial}{\partial k_\alpha} \left[ T_\beta \phi(\vec{k}) \right] \Big|_{\vec{k}=0} = \left[ - \frac{\partial T_\beta}{\partial k_\alpha} - T_\alpha T_\beta \phi(\vec{k}) \right] \Big|_{\vec{k}=0} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\frac{\partial T_\beta}{\partial k_\alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial k_\alpha} \left[ -i \mu_\beta - \sum_j C_{\beta j} k_j \right] = -C_{\alpha \beta}.$$

This means that

$$\left. \frac{\partial^2 \phi(\vec{k})}{(-i)^2 \partial k_\alpha \partial k_\beta} \right|_{\vec{k}=0} = [C_{\alpha \beta} - T_\alpha T_\beta] \phi(\vec{k}) \Big|_{\vec{k}=0} = [C_{\alpha \beta} - (-i \mu_\alpha)(-i \mu_\beta)] = C_{\alpha \beta} + \mu_\alpha \mu_\beta$$

This is the result we wanted to reach. In fact

$$Cov[x_\alpha x_\beta] = E[x_\alpha x_\beta] - E[x_\alpha]E[x_\beta] = C_{\alpha \beta} + \mu_\alpha \mu_\beta - \mu_\alpha \mu_\beta = C_{\alpha \beta} \quad (9)$$

which is the correct result.

### 3 Exercise 6

The idea here is proving that the characteristic function we derived is again Gaussian-shaped. So we will just integrate  $\phi(\vec{k})$  over the frequency space and hope we get a simple constant.

$$\int d^n k \exp \left[ -i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{\mu} - \frac{1}{2} \vec{k}^T C \vec{k} \right]$$

Again we complete the square of the exponent by applying 4. This time

$$\begin{cases} \vec{m} = -iC^{-1}\vec{\mu} \\ n = \mu^T C^{-1} \mu \end{cases}$$

and the exponent becomes

$$-i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{\mu} - \frac{1}{2} \vec{k}^T C \vec{k} = (\vec{k} - iC^{-1}\vec{\mu})^T C (\vec{k} - iC^{-1}\vec{\mu}) + \mu^T C^{-1} \mu$$

Therefore, the integral becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \int d^n k \exp \left[ -i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{\mu} - \frac{1}{2} \vec{k}^T C \vec{k} \right] &= \int d^n k \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} (\vec{k}^T C \vec{k} + 2i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{\mu}) \right] = \\ &= \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} (\mu^T C^{-1} \mu) \right] \int d^N k \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} (\vec{k} - iC^{-1}\vec{\mu})^T C (\vec{k} - iC^{-1}\vec{\mu}) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Now inside the integral there is clearly a Gaussian with mean  $iC^{-1}\mu$ . So the integral is equal to  $(2\pi)^{N/2}(\det C)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ .

$$\int d^n k \exp \left[ -i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{\mu} - \frac{1}{2} \vec{k}^T C \vec{k} \right] = \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \mu^T C^{-1} \mu \right] (2\pi)^{N/2} (\det C)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

which is simply a constant quantity. We have thus proved that the characteristic function is an unnormalised Gaussian function.