115B – Linear Algebra
University of California, Los Angeles

Duc Vu

Spring 2021

This is math 115B – Linear Algebra which is the second course of the undergrad linear algebra at UCLA – continuation of 115A(H). Similar to 115AH, this class is instructed by Professor Elman, and we meet weekly on MWF from 2:00 pm to 2:50 pm. There is no official textbook used for the class. You can find the previous linear algebra notes (115AH) with other course notes through my github. Any error in this note is my responsibility and please email me if you happen to notice it.

Contents

List of Theorems

List of Definitions

§1 | Lec 1: Mar 29, 2021

§1.1   Vector Spaces

<u>Notation</u>: if $\star : A \times B \to B$ is a map (= function) <u>write</u> $a \star b$ for $\star(a, b)$, e.g., $+ : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ where $\mathbb{Z} =$ the integer.

Definition 1.1 (Field) — A set F is called a FIELD under

- Addition: $+ : F \times F \to F$

- Multiplication: $\cdot : F \times F \to F$

if $\forall a, b, c \in F$, we have

A1) $(a + b) + c = a + (b + c)$

A2) $\exists\, 0 \in F \ni a + 0 = a = 0 + a$

A3) A2) holds and $\exists x \in F \ni a + x = 0 = x + a$

A4) $a + b = b + a$

M1) $(a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c)$

M2) A2) holds and $\exists 1 \neq 0 \in F$ s.t. $a \cdot 1 = a = 1 \cdot a$ (1 is unique and written 1 or 1_F)

M3) M2) holds and $\forall 0 \neq x \in F \;\; \exists y \in F \ni xy = 1 = yx$ (y is seen to be unique and written x^{-1})

M4) $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$

D1) $a \cdot (b + c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c$

D2) $(a + b) \cdot c = a \cdot c + b \cdot c$

Example 1.2

$\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ are fields as is

$$\mathbb{F}_2 := \{0, 1\} \text{ with } + : \text{ given by}$$

+	0	1
0	0	1
1	1	0

$\bullet$	0	1
0	0	0
1	0	1

Fact 1.1. Let $p > 0$ be a prime number in $\mathbb{Z}$. Then $\exists$ a field $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ having p^n elements <u>write</u> $|\mathbb{F}_{p^n}| = p^n \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Definition 1.3 (Ring) — Let R be a set with

- $+ : R \times R \to R$

- $\cdot : R \times R \to R$

satisfying A1) – A4), M1), M2), D1), D2), then R is called a <u>RING</u>.
A ring is called

  i) a <u>commutative ring</u> if it also satisfies M4).

  ii) an <u>(integral) domain</u> if it is a commutative ring and satisfies

$$\text{M 3'}) \, a \cdot b = 0 \implies a = 0 \text{ or } b = 0$$

  $(0 = \{0\}$ is also called a ring – the only ring with $1 = 0)$

Example 1.4 (Proof left as exercises)   1. $\mathbb{Z}$ is a domain and not a field.

  2. Any field is a domain.

  3. Let F be a field
$$F[t] := \{\text{polys coeffs in } F\}$$
  with usual $+, \cdot$ of polys, is a domain but not a field. So if $f \in F[t]$
$$f = a_0 + a_1 t + \ldots + a_n t^n$$
  where $a_0, \ldots, a_n \in F$.

  4. $\mathbb{Q} := \left\{\frac{a}{b} | a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, b \neq 0\right\} < \mathbb{C}$ ($<$ means $\subset$ and $\neq$) with usual $+, \cdot$ of fractions. (when does $\frac{a}{b} = \frac{c}{d}$?)

  5. If F is a field
$$F(t) := \left\{\frac{f}{g} | f, g \in F[t], \, g \neq 0\right\} \text{ (rational function)}$$
  with usual $+, \cdot$ of fractions is a field.

Example 1.5 (Cont'd from above) 6. $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{-1}] := \left\{ \alpha + \beta\sqrt{-1} \in \mathbb{C} \mid \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Q} \right\} < \mathbb{C}$.
Then $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{-1}]$ is a field and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}) &:= \left\{ \frac{a}{b} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{-1}], \, b \neq 0 \right\} \\
&= \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{-1}] \\
&= \left\{ \frac{a}{b} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}], \, b \neq 0 \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}] := \left\{ \alpha + \beta\sqrt{-1} \in \mathbb{C}, \, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} < \mathbb{C}$. How to show this? – rationalize ($\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]$ is a domain not a field, $F[t] < F(t)$ if F is a field so we have to be careful).

7. F a field
$$\mathbb{M}_n F := \{ n \times n \text{ matrices entries in } F \}$$

is a ring under $+, \cdot$ of matrices.

$$1_{\mathbb{M}_n F} = I_n = n \times n \text{ identity matrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$0_{\mathbb{M}_n F} = 0 = 0_n = n \times n \text{ zero matrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is <u>not</u> commutative if $n > 1$.

In the same way, if R is a ring we have

$$\mathbb{M}_n R = \{ n \times n \text{ matrices entries in } R \}$$

e.g., if R is a field $\mathbb{M}_n F[t]$.

8. Let $\emptyset \neq I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a subset, e.g., $[\alpha, \beta], \alpha < \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$C(I) = \{ f : I \to \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ continuous} \}$$

is a commutative ring and not a domain where

$$\begin{aligned}
(f \dotplus g)(x) &:= f(x) \dotplus g(x) \\
0(x) &= 0 \\
1(x) &= x
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in I$.

<u>Notation</u>: Unless stated otherwise F is always a field.

Definition 1.6 (Vector Space) — Let F be a field, V a set. Then V is called a VECTOR SPACE OVER F <u>write</u> V is a vector space over F under

- $+ : V \times V \to V$ – Addition

- $\cdot : F \times V \to V$ – Scalar multiplication

if $\forall x, y, z \in V \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in F.$

1. $(x + y) + z = x + (y + z)$

2. $\exists 0 \in V \ni x + 0 = x = 0 + x$ (0 is seen to be unique and written 0 or 0_V)

3. 2) holds and $\exists v \in V \ni x + v = 0 = v + x$ (v is seen to be unique and written $-x$)

4. $x + y = y + x$

5. $1_F \cdot x = x.$

6. $(\alpha \cdot \beta) \cdot x = \alpha \cdot (\beta \cdot x)$

7. $(\alpha + \beta) \cdot x = \alpha \cdot x + \beta \cdot x$

8. $\alpha \cdot (x + y) = \alpha \cdot x + \alpha \cdot y$

Remark 1.7. The usual properties we learned in 115A hold for V a vector space over F, e.g., $0_F V = 0_V$, general association law,...

§2 | Lec 2: Mar 31, 2021

§2.1　Vector Spaces (Cont'd)

Example 2.1

The following are vector space over F

1. $F^{m \times n} := \{m \times n$ matrices entries in $F\}$, usual $+$, scalar multiplication, i.e., if $A \in F^{m \times n}$, let $A_{ij} = ij^{\text{th}}$ entry of A. If $A, B \in F^{m \times n}$, then

$$(A + B)_{ij} := A_{ij} + B_{ij}$$
$$(\alpha A)_{ij} := \alpha A_{ij} \quad \forall \alpha \in F$$

   i.e., component-wise operations.

2. $F^n = F^{1 \times n} := \{(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \,|\, \alpha_i \in F\}$

3. Let V be a vector space over F, $\emptyset \neq S$ a set. Define

$$\mathcal{F}cn(S, V) := \{f : S \to V \,|\, f \text{ a fcn}\}$$

   Then $\mathcal{F}cn(S, V)$ is a vector space over F $\forall f, g \in \mathcal{F}cn(S, V)$, $\forall \alpha \in F$. For all $x \in S$,

$$f + g : x \mapsto f(x) + g(x)$$
$$\alpha f : x \mapsto \alpha f(x)$$

   i.e.

$$(f + g)(x) = f(x) + g(x)$$
$$(\alpha f)(x) = \alpha f(x)$$

   with 0 by $0(x) = 0_V \,\forall x \in S$.

4. Let R be a ring under $+, \cdot$, F a field $\ni F \subseteq R$ with $+, \cdot$ on F induced by $+, \cdot$ on R and $0_F = 0_R$, $1_F = 1_R$, i.e.

$$\underbrace{+}_{\text{on } R}\Big|\underbrace{F \times F}_{\text{restrict dom}} : F \times F \to F \text{ and } \underbrace{\cdot}_{\text{on } R}\Big|\underbrace{F \times F}_{\text{restrict dom}} : F \times F \to F$$

   i.e. closed under the restriction of $+, \cdot$ on R to F and also with $0_F = 0_R$ and $1_F = 1_R$ (we call F a $\underline{\text{subring}}$ of R). Then R is a vector space over F by restriction of scalar multiplication, i.e., same $+$ on R but scalar multiplication

$$\cdot\big|_{F \times R} : F \times R \to R$$

   e.g., $\mathbb{R} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ and $F \subseteq F[t]$.

   $\underline{Note}$: $\mathbb{C}$ is a vector space over $\mathbb{R}$ by the above but as a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ is different.

5. In 4) if R is also a field (so $F \subseteq R$ is a subfield). Let V be a vector space over R. Then V is also a vector space over F by restriction of scalars, e.g., $M_n\mathbb{C}$ is a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ so is a vector space over $\mathbb{R}$ so is a vector space over $\mathbb{Q}$.

§2.2   Subspaces

> **Definition 2.2** (Subspace) — Let V be a vector space under $+, \cdot, \emptyset \neq W \subseteq V$ a subset. We call W a subspace of V if $\forall w_1, w_2 \in W, \forall \alpha \in F$,
>
> $$\alpha w_1, \, w_1 + w_2 \in W$$
>
> with $0_W = 0_V$ is a vector space over F under $+|_{W \times W}$ and $\cdot|_{F \times W}$ i.e., closed under the operation on V.

> **Theorem 2.3**
>
> Let V be a vector space over F, $\emptyset \neq W \subseteq V$ a subset. Then W is a subspace of V iff $\forall \alpha \in F, \forall w_1, w_2 \in W, \alpha w_1 + w_2 \in W$.

> **Example 2.4**   1. Let $\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $C(I)$ the commutative ring of continuous function $f : I \to \mathbb{R}$. Then $C(I)$ is a vector space over $\mathbb{R}$ and a subspace of $\mathcal{F}cn(I, \mathbb{R})$.
>
> 2. $F[t]$ is a vector space over F and $n \geq 0$ in $\mathbb{Z}$.
>
> $$F[t]_n := \{f \,|\, f \in F[t], \, f = 0 \text{ or } \deg f \leq d\}$$
>
> is a subspace of $F[t]$ (it is not a ring).

Attached is a review of theorems about vector spaces from math 115A.

§2.3   Motivation

Problem 2.1. Can you break down an object into simpler pieces? If yes can you do it uniquely?

> **Example 2.5**
>
> Let $n > 1$ in $\mathbb{Z}$. Then n is a product of primes unique up to order.

Example 2.6

Let V be a finite dimensional inner product space over $\mathbb{R}$ (or $\mathbb{C}$) and $T : V \to V$ a hermitian (=self adjoint) operator. Then $\exists$ an ON basis for V consisting of eigenvectors for T. In particular, T is diagonalizable. This means

$$V = E_T(\lambda_1) \perp \ldots \perp E_T(\lambda_r) \tag{*}$$

$E_T(\lambda_i) := \{v \in V \,|\, Tv = \lambda_i v\} \neq 0$ eigenspace of λ_i; $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r$ the distinct eigenvalues of T. So

$$T\big|_{E_T(\lambda_i)} : E_T(\lambda_i) \to E_T(\lambda_i)$$

i.e., $E_T(\lambda_i)$ is T-invariant and

$$T\big|_{E_T(\lambda_i)} = \lambda_i 1_{E_T(\lambda_i)}$$

and (*) is unique up to order.

<u>Goal</u>: Generalize this to V any finite dimensional vector space over F, any F, and $T : V \to V$ linear. We have many problems to overcome in order to get a meaningful result, e.g.,

Problem 2.2.   1. V may not be an inner product space.

2. $F \neq \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ is possible.

3. $F \not\subseteq$ is possible, so cannot even define an inner product.

4. V may not have any eigenvalues for $T : V \to V$.

5. If we prove an existence theorem, we may not have a uniqueness one.

We shall show: given V a finite dimensional vector space over F and $T : V \to V$ a linear operator. Then V breaks up uniquely up to order into small T-invariant subspace that we shall show are completely determined by polys in $F[t]$ arising from T.

§2.4   Direct Sums

<u>Motivation</u>: Generalize the concept of linear independence, Spectral Theorem Decomposition, to see how pieces are put together (if possible).

Definition 2.7 (Span) — Let V be a vector space over F, $W_i \subseteq V$, $i \in I$ – may not be finite, subspaces. Let

$$\sum_{i \in I} W_i = \sum_{i \in I} W_i := \left\{ v \in V \,|\, \exists w_i \in W_i, \, i \in I, \text{ almost all } w_i = 0 \ni v = \sum_{i \in I} w_i \right\}$$

when almost all zero means only finitely many $w_i \neq 0$. <u>Warning</u>: In a vector space/F we can only take finite linear combination of vectors. So

$$\sum_{i \in I} W_i = \text{Span} \left(\bigcup_{i \in I} W_i \right) = \left\{ \text{finite linear combos of vectors in } \bigcup_{i \in I} W_i \right\}$$

e.g., if I is finite, i.e., $|I| < \infty$, say $I = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ then

$$\sum_{i \in I} W_i = W_1 + \ldots + W_n := \{ w_1 + \ldots + w_n \,|\, w_i \in W_i \, \forall i \in I \}$$

cf. Linear Combinations.

Definition 2.8 (Direct Sum) — Let V be a vector space over F, $W_i \subseteq V$, $i \in I$, subspace. Let $W \subseteq V$ be a subspace. We say that W is the (internal) <u>direct sum</u> of the W_i, $i \in I$ <u>write</u> $W = \bigoplus_{i \in I} W_i$ if

$$\forall w \in W \, \exists! \, w_i \in W_i \text{ almost all } 0 \ni w = \sum_{i \in I} w_i$$

e.g., if $I = \{1, \ldots, n\}$, then

$$w \in W_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus W_n \text{ means } \exists! \, w_i \in W_i \ni w = w_1 + \ldots + w_n$$

<u>Warning</u>: It may not exist.

§3 | Lec 3: Apr 2, 2021

§3.1   Direct Sums (Cont'd)

Definition 3.1 (Independent Subspace) — Let V be a vector space over F, $W_i \subseteq V$, $i \in I$ subspaces. We say the W_i, $i \in I$, are <u>independent</u> if whenever $w_i \in W_i$, $i \in I$, almost all $w_i = 0$, satisfy $\sum w_i = 0$, then $w_i = 0 \, \forall i \in I$.

> **Theorem 3.2**
>
> Let V be a vector space over F, $W_i \subseteq V$, $i \in I$ subspaces, $W \subseteq V$ a subspace. Then the following are equivalent:
>
> 1. $W = \bigoplus_{i \in I} W_i$
>
> 2. $W = \sum_{i \in I} W_i$ and $\forall i$
> $$W_i \cap \sum_{j \in I \setminus \{i\}} W_j = 0 := \{0\}$$
>
> 3. $W = \sum_{i \in I} W_i$ and the W_i, $i \in I$, are independent.

Proof. 1) $\implies$ 2) Suppose $W = \bigoplus_{i \in I} W_i$. Certainly, $W = \sum_{i \in I} W_i$. Fix i and suppose that
$$\exists x \in W_i \cap \sum_{j \in I \setminus \{i\}} W_j$$

By definition, $\exists w_i \in W_i$, $w_j \in W_j$, $j \in I \setminus \{i\}$ almost all 0 satisfying
$$w_i = x = \sum_{j \neq i} w_j$$

So
$$0_V = 0_W = w_i - \sum_{j \neq i} w_j$$

But
$$0_W = \sum_I 0_{W_k} \qquad 0_{W_k} = 0_V \, \forall k \in I$$

By uniqueness of 1), $w_i = 0$ so $x = 0$.

2) $\implies$ 3) Let $w_i \in W_i$, $i \in I$, almost all zero satisfy
$$\sum_{i \in I} w_i = 0$$

Suppose that $w_k \neq 0$. Then
$$w_k = - \sum_{i \in I \setminus \{k\}} w_i \in W_k \cap \sum_{i \neq k} w_i = 0,$$

a contradiction. So $w_i = 0 \, \forall i$

3) $\implies$ 1) Suppose $v \in \sum_{i \in I} W_i$ and $\exists w_i, w_i' \in W_i$, $i \in I$, almost all 0 $\ni$
$$\sum_{i \in I} w_i = v = \sum_{i \in I} w_i'$$

Then $\sum_{i \in I} (w_i - w_i') = 0$, $w_i - w_i' \in W_i \, \forall i$. So
$$w_i - w_i' = 0, \text{ i.e., } w_i = w_i' \quad \forall i$$

and the $w_i's$ are unique. $\qquad \square$

Warning: 2) DOES NOT SAY $W_i \cap W_j = 0$ if $i \neq j$. This is too weak. It says $W_i \cap \sum_{j \neq i} W_j = 0$.

> **Corollary 3.3**
>
> Let V be a vector space over F, $W_i \subseteq V$, $i \in I$ subspaces. Suppose $I = I_1 \cup I_2$ with $I_1 \cap I_2 = \emptyset$ and $V = \bigoplus_{i \in I} W_i$. Set
>
> $$W_{I_1} = \bigoplus_{i \in I_1} W_i \quad \text{and} \quad W_{I_2} = \bigoplus_{j \in I_2} W_j$$
>
> Then
>
> $$V = W_{I_1} \oplus W_{I_2}$$

Proof. Left as exercise – Homework.   □

Notation: Let V be a vector space over F, $v \in V$. Set

$$Fv := \{\alpha v | \alpha \in F\} = \mathrm{Span}(v)$$

if $v \neq 0$, then Fv is the line containing v, i.e., Fv is the one dimensional vector space over F with basis $\{v\}$.

Example 3.4

Let V be a vector space over F

1. If $\emptyset \neq S \subseteq V$ is a subset, then

$$\sum_{v \in S} Fv = \text{Span}(S)$$

   the span of S. So

$$\text{Span } S = \{\text{all finite linear combos of vectors in } S\}$$

2. If $\emptyset \neq S$ is linearly indep. (i.e. meaning every finite nonempty subset of S is linearly indep.), then

$$\text{Span}(S) = \bigoplus_{s \in S} Fs$$

3. If S is a basis for V, then $V = \bigoplus_{s \in S} Fs$

4. If $\exists$ a finite set $S \subseteq V \ni V = \text{Span}(S)$, then $V = \sum_{s \in S} Fs$ and $\exists$ a subset $\mathscr{B} \subseteq S$ that is a basis for V, i.e., V is a finite dimensional vector space over F and $\dim V = \dim_F V = |\mathscr{B}|$ is indep. of basis $\mathscr{B}$ for V.

5. Let V be a vector space over $F, W_1, W_2 \subseteq V$ finite dimensional subspaces. Then $W_1 + W_2$, $W_1 \cap W_2$ are finite dimensional vector space over F and

$$\dim(W_1 + W_2) = \dim W_1 + \dim W_2 - \dim(W_1 \cap W_2)$$

   So

$$W_1 + W_2 = W_1 \oplus W_2 \iff W_1 \cap W_2 = \emptyset$$

   (warning: be very careful if you wish to generalize this)

Definition 3.5 (Complementary Subspace) — Let V be a finite dimensional vector space over $F, W \subseteq V$ a subspace if

$$V = W \oplus W', \quad W' \subseteq V \text{ a subspace}$$

We call W' a complementary subspace of W in V.

> **Example 3.6**
>
> Let $\mathscr{B}_0$ be a basis of W. Extend $\mathscr{B}_0$ to a basis $\mathscr{B}$ for V (even works if V is not finite dimensional). Then
>
> $$W' = \bigoplus_{\mathscr{B}\backslash\mathscr{B}_0} Fv \text{ is a complement of } W \text{ in } V$$
>
> *Note*: W' is not the unique complement of W in V – counter-example?

Consequences: Let V be a finite dimensional vector space over $F, W_1, \ldots, W_n \subseteq V$ subspaces, $W_i \neq 0 \, \forall i$. Then the following are equivalent

1. $V = W_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus W_n$.

2. If $\mathscr{B}_i$ is a basis (resp., ordered basis) for $W_i \, \forall i$, then $\mathscr{B} = \mathscr{B}_1 \cup \ldots \cup \mathscr{B}_n$ is a basis (resp. ordered) – with obvious order – for V.

Proof. Left as exercise (good one)! $\qquad\square$

Notation: Let V be a vector space over F, $\mathscr{B}$ a basis for V, $x \in V$. Then, $\exists! \alpha_v \in F, v \in \mathscr{B}$, almost all $\alpha_v = 0$ (i.e., all but finitely many) s.t. $x = \sum_{\mathscr{B}} \alpha_v v$. Given $x \in V$,

$$x = \sum_{v \in \mathscr{B}} \alpha_v v$$

to mean α_v is the unique complement of x on v and hence $\alpha_v = 0$ for almost all $v \in \mathscr{B}$.

§3.2 Quotient Spaces

Idea: Given a surjective map $f : X \to Y$ and "nice", can we use properties of Y to obtain properties of X?

Example 3.7

Let $V = \mathbb{R}^3$, $W = X - Y$ plane. Let $X =$ plane parallel to W intersecting the z-axis at γ.

So

$$\begin{aligned}
X &= \{(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) | \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}\} \\
&= \{(\alpha, \beta, 0) + (0, 0, \gamma) | \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}\} \\
&= W + \gamma \underbrace{e_3}_{(0,0,1)}
\end{aligned}$$

<u>*Note*</u>: X is a vector space over $\mathbb{R} \iff \gamma = 0 \iff W = X$ (need 0_V). Let $v \in X$. So $v = (x, y, \gamma)$ some $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. So

$$\begin{aligned}
W + v &:= \left\{ \underbrace{(\alpha, \beta, 0)}_{\text{arbitrary}} + \underbrace{(x, y, \gamma)}_{\text{fixed}} \, | \, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \\
&= \{(\alpha + x, \beta + y, \gamma) \, | \, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}\} \\
&= W + \gamma_{e_3}
\end{aligned}$$

It follows if $v, v' \in V$, then

$$W + v = W + v' \implies v - v' \in W$$

Conversely, if $v, v' \in V$ with $X = W + v$, then

$$v' \in X \implies v' = w + v \text{ some } w \in W$$

hence

$$v' - v \in W$$

So for arbitrary $v, v' \in V$, we have the <u>conclusion</u> $W + v = W + v' \iff v - v' \in W$. We can also write $W + v$ as $v + W$.