Deliverable 2 (Revised): ML-Powered Emission Analysis and Airline Ranking Dashboard

This deliverable details a comprehensive machine learning and data pipeline solution for predicting CO emissions and ranking airline efficiency. It has evolved from a basic script into a full-fledged, interactive Streamlit application. The system now dynamically collects data from multiple sources, trains a suite of advanced ML models, and provides in-depth analysis through a user-friendly interface.

0.1 Data Collection and Preparation

- 1. **Dynamic Data Sourcing**: Instead of loading a static CSV, the system, via the EFlightCarbonCalculator class, collects data from multiple sources to create a rich, comprehensive dataset.
 - AviationStack API: Fetches real-world historical and scheduled flight data.
 - OpenSky Network: Gathers real-time flight state vectors for live tracking insights.
 - Synthetic Data Generation: Creates a large volume of realistic flight routes to ensure model robustness and broad coverage.
- 2. Advanced Feature Engineering: Raw data is processed to create meaningful features for the ML models.
 - Sophisticated CO Calculation: Emissions are not a simple target variable but are calculated using a detailed formula incorporating aircraft type, load factor, route distance, flight type (domestic/international), and penalties for takeoff/landing cycles.
 - Engineered Features (X): The feature set is significantly expanded to capture non-linear relationships.
 - Core Features: distance_km, passenger_capacity, load_factor.
 - Polynomial & Transformed Features: distance_squared, distance_log.
 - Interaction Features: distance_capacity_interaction, distance_load_interaction.
 - Categorical Features: One-hot encoded aircraft_category (e.g., narrow-body, wide-body) and a binary is_domestic_encoded flag.
 - Target (y): The primary prediction target remains co2_per_passenger_kg, which is calculated during the data preparation phase.

0.2 Training Advanced ML Models for Prediction

- Multi-Model Approach: The system moves beyond a single OLS model to train and compare a suite of powerful regression algorithms from scikit-learn and statsmodels. This allows for a more accurate and nuanced prediction by capturing both linear and complex non-linear patterns. The models include:
 - Linear Regression

- Random Forest Regressor
- Gradient Boosting Regressor
- Support Vector Regressor (SVR)
- Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) (for baseline comparison)

2. Model Evaluation and Comparison:

- The dataset is properly split into training and testing sets using train_test_split for robust validation.
- Performance is evaluated using metrics like Mean Squared Error (MSE), Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE), and R-squared (R^2) .
- Results are displayed in a comparison table and a multi-model scatter plot of actual vs. predicted emissions.
- 3. **Interactive Prediction**: The Streamlit interface allows a user to input flight details (origin, destination, aircraft type). The application then provides emission predictions from **all trained ML models** alongside the formula-based calculation, offering a comprehensive view.

4. Visualization and Interpretability:

- Actual vs. Predicted Plot: A scatter plot visualizes the performance of all models against a "perfect prediction" line.
- Feature Importance: A bar chart shows the most influential features as determined by the Random Forest model, providing insight into the key drivers of emissions.
- **OLS Summary**: The detailed statistical summary from the **statsmodels** OLS model is provided for in-depth analysis of coefficients and p-values.

0.3 Ranking Airlines by Efficiency

1. Robust Aggregation:

- The compare_airlines_efficiency logic is enhanced for reliability. It first filters the data to include only airlines with a minimum of 10 flights in the dataset, preventing skewed results from insufficient data.
- It then groups the data by airline_name and aggregates key metrics, including the mean co2_per_passenger_per_km.
- 2. Enhanced Visualization: The ranking is displayed using two separate Plotly bar charts: "Top 10 Most Efficient Airlines" and "Top 10 Least Efficient Airlines," providing a clear and immediate understanding of performance.
- 3. **Benchmark Against Industry Reports**: The application programmatically includes sustainability data for major airlines. A comparative bar chart directly visualizes the **calculated efficiency** from the dataset against the **self-reported emissions intensity** from airline ESG reports, offering a valuable validation and accountability check.

0.4 Integrated Streamlit Application Pipeline

The entire workflow is encapsulated in a sophisticated, multi-tab Streamlit application, replacing the simple pipeline script.

- 1. **On-Demand Pipeline Execution**: The pipeline is triggered via a button in the "Data Collection" tab. A user can configure the desired dataset size, and the app executes the full data collection, processing, calculation, and cleaning workflow, showing progress with a spinner.
- 2. **Multi-Tab Dashboard**: The application is organized into logical tabs for a structured user experience:
 - Data Collection: Configure and run the data gathering pipeline.
 - Dataset Analysis: Explore the generated dataset with summary statistics and visualizations (histograms, correlation matrices, box plots).
 - ML Models: Train the suite of ML models and view detailed performance comparisons.
 - Predictions: Use the interactive tool to predict emissions for a specific flight route.
 - Airline Comparison: View the efficiency rankings and benchmark against sustainability reports.
- 3. Session State Management: The collected DataFrame and trained models are stored in Streamlit's session_state, ensuring data persistence across tabs and preventing redundant computations.

0.5 Application Structure

The previous two-notebook structure (emission_prediction.ipynb, airline_ranking.ipynb) is now obsolete. All functionalities—data collection, analysis, model training, prediction, and ranking—are integrated into a single, unified Python script that runs the Streamlit application. This creates a cohesive and maintainable codebase.

0.6 Documentation and Limitations

• Models: The prediction engine uses a multi-model framework. The relationship is no longer a simple linear assumption but a complex function learned by algorithms capable of capturing non-linear interactions:

 $CO_2 \sim f(\text{distance, capacity, load_factor, aircraft_category,}\dots)$

- Ranking: The ranking methodology is based on the mean CO per passenger-kilometer. Its reliability is improved by a flight count filter $(n \ge 10)$.
- **Pipeline**: The pipeline is an on-demand, user-triggered workflow within an interactive Streamlit dashboard. It can be scheduled for automation (e.g., via cron) if deployed on a server environment.

• Limitations:

- API Dependency: The system is dependent on external APIs (AviationStack, OpenSky) and requires valid API keys. It is susceptible to rate limits or service changes.
- Synthetic Data Quality: While designed to be realistic, the synthetic data may not capture all the nuances of real-world airline operations.

- **Simplifying Assumptions**: The logic for determining domestic vs. international flights and mapping callsigns to airlines is based on simplified heuristics.
- Data Granularity: The model does not yet incorporate hyper-granular data like real-time
 weather, flight altitude profiles, or specific air traffic control routing, which are known to
 affect fuel burn.