

# Greedy maximizing social influence

February 23, 2018

In this document we present the results of some experimentation with the algorithm presented in the paper "Maximizing the Spread of Influence through a Social Network" by Kempe / Kleinberg / Tardos.

## 1 Implementation

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be the graph we want to analyze. Let  $A \subseteq V$  be the output of the algorithm, the subset of nodes we will target. Let  $k := |A|$  be the size of the desired initial active node set. Let  $\sigma(A)$  be the expected number of nodes that are activated after a simulation with the cascade / linear threshold model, given the initial set  $A$ .

The algorithm starts with an empty set, and adds at each iteration a node to the set  $A$  : it choses greedily the node that, if added to  $A$ , increments the most our objective function  $\sigma(A)$  in this step. Because we cannot evaluate the expected value analytically, we simulate the process *num\_sim* times, and approximate the expected value with the average value.

The pseudocode of the algorithm is the following :

---

**Algorithm 1** Greedy Algorithm

---

```
 $A := \emptyset$ 
while  $|A| < k$  do
  for all nodes  $v \in V \setminus A$  do
    for  $num\_sim$  iterations do
      Simulate IC / LT with initial nodes  $A \cup v$ 
    end for
    Calculate an average activation score for  $v$ 
  end for
  Determine the node  $v$  with the highest average score
  Set  $A := A \cup v$ 
end while
return  $A$ 
```

---

Obviously, the algorithm can produce different results whether used with the linear threshold model or with the independent cascade model.

## 2 Graph Used

We used an undirected graph of anonymized facebook data, with  $|V| = 52$  and  $|E| = 292$ .

## 3 Results

We first observe how  $\sigma(A)$  increases in both models when we allow for a bigger size  $k$  of the initial set. We use  $num\_sim = 100000$  as in the paper.

INSERT GRAPH

We then want to analyze how imprecise the approximated value of  $\sigma(A)$  is when  $num\_sim$  is low. We find that on our graph, even with only 10 simulations, the estimation is quite near the value for 100000 simulations.

INSERT GRAPH