homework 8

(finally)

Question 1

apply k-means and hierarchical clustering to the ORLface dataset, set k=2 in k-means and select 2 clusters in hierarchical clustering. do the clustering results match the two gender?

First, we load the dataset.

```
import numpy as np
from PIL import Image
data = []
for i in range(1, 41):
    for j in range(1,11):
        image dir = f"C:/Users/user/Desktop/ORL faces/{i} {j}.png"
        ima = Image.open(image dir)
        img array = np.asarray(img)
        data.append(img array.flatten())
data = np.array(data)
print(data.shape)
(400, 2576)
then, we use k-means to proceed data. We assume that the bigger group is group 1(man),
and the smaller group is group 0 (woman).
kmeans_labels = kmeans.labels
```

from sklearn.cluster import KMeans, AgglomerativeClustering kmeans = KMeans(n clusters=2, random state=622) kmeans.fit(data)

```
count0 = list(kmeans labels).count(0)
count1 = list(kmeans labels).count(1)
if count0 > count1:
    num = 0
    for i in kmeans.labels:
        if i == 0:
            kmeans.labels[num] = 1
        else:
            kmeans.labels[num] = 0
        num += 1
count0 = list(kmeans labels).count(0)
count1 = list(kmeans labels).count(1)
print(count0)
```

print(count1)

We can see the labels in 0 and 1 are balance, which are not we expected, but OK. Then, we use hierarchical clustering and do the same thing.

```
hierarchical = AgglomerativeClustering(n clusters=2)
hierarchical.fit(data)
hierarchical labels = hierarchical.labels
count0 = list(hierarchical labels).count(0)
count1 = list(hierarchical_labels).count(1)
if count0 > count1:
    num = 0
    for i in hierarchical labels:
        if i == 0:
            hierarchical labels[num] = 1
            hierarchical labels[num] = 0
        num += 1
count0 = list(hierarchical labels).count(0)
count1 = list(hierarchical labels).count(1)
print(count0)
print(count1)
195
205
```

We found that the label 0 and label 1 are balanced, too. Let apply the trye labels and see the result of some indexes.

```
gender =
,1,1,1,1,1
true labels = []
for i in gender:
   for in range (10):
       true labels.append(i)
true labels = np.array(true labels)
from sklearn.metrics import adjusted rand score,
normalized mutual info score
# Calculate the evaluation metrics for k-means clustering
kmeans ari = adjusted rand score(true labels, kmeans labels)
kmeans nmi = normalized mutual info score(true labels, kmeans labels)
# Calculate the evaluation metrics for hierarchical clustering
hierarchical ari = adjusted rand score(true labels,
hierarchical labels)
hierarchical nmi = normalized mutual info score(true labels,
hierarchical labels)
```

```
print("Evaluation metrics for K-means Clustering:")
print("ARI: {:.4f}".format(kmeans_ari))
print("NMI: {:.4f}".format(kmeans_nmi))
print()

print("Evaluation metrics for Hierarchical Clustering:")
print("ARI: {:.4f}".format(hierarchical_ari))
print("NMI: {:.4f}".format(hierarchical_nmi))

Evaluation metrics for K-means Clustering:
ARI: -0.0021
NMI: 0.0378

Evaluation metrics for Hierarchical Clustering:
ARI: -0.0002
NMI: 0.0062
```

The Adjusted Rand Index (ARI) measures the similarity between two clusterings, taking into account all pairs of samples and their labels. It returns a value between -1 and 1, where a higher value indicates better agreement between the clustering and true labels. The Normalized Mutual Information (NMI) measures the mutual information between two clusterings, normalized by the entropy of the clusterings. It also returns a value between 0 and 1, with a higher value indicating better agreement.

By comparing the ARI and NMI values for both k-means clustering and hierarchical clustering, you can assess their performance and determine which method gives better results for your dataset.

Question 2

drop the origin variable from AUTOMPG and apply k-means. hierarchical clustering, and DBSCAN to the AUTOMPG dataset. Check if the clustering result match the origin.

```
import numpy as np
import pandas as pd
from sklearn.cluster import KMeans, AgglomerativeClustering, DBSCAN
from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
data = pd.read_csv("C:/Users/user/Desktop/autompg.csv")
data.replace('?', np.nan, inplace=True)
data.dropna(inplace=True)

X = data.iloc[:, :-2] # -1 is car_name
y = data.iloc[:, -2]

print("no. of 1: ", list(y).count(1))
print("no. of 2: ", list(y).count(2))
print("no. of 3: ", list(y).count(3))
```

```
no. of 1: 245
no. of 2: 68
no. of 3: 79
We first apply StandardScaler, and fit three models.
scaler = StandardScaler()
scaled data = scaler.fit transform(X)
kmeans = KMeans(n clusters=3)
kmeans labels = kmeans.fit predict(scaled data)
hierarchical = AgglomerativeClustering(n clusters=3)
hierarchical labels = hierarchical.fit predict(scaled data)
dbscan = DBSCAN(eps=0.8, min samples=5)
dbscan labels = dbscan.fit predict(scaled data)
I want to decide which labels the models gave are american cars, japanese cars, and so on.
Therefore, I test six combinations and see which are the most possible combinations. We
use accuracy scores to decide how to sequence the datas.
from sklearn.metrics import accuracy score
# 利用 accuracy scores 來決定 123 怎麼排
k_means_best_accuracy = 0
k means best mapping = None
for mapping in [{0: 1, 1: 2, 2: 3}, {0: 1, 1: 3, 2: 2}, {0: 2, 1: 1,
2: 3, \{0: 2, 1: 3, 2: 1\}, \{0: 3, 1: 1, 2: 2\}, \{0: 3, 1: 2, 2: 1\}]:
    kmeans predicted labels = np.array([mapping[label] for label in
kmeans labels])
    kmeans_accuracy = accuracy_score(y, kmeans_predicted_labels)
    if kmeans accuracy > k means best accuracy:
        k means best accuracy = kmeans accuracy
        k means best mapping = mapping
kmeans predicted labels = np.array([k means best mapping[label] for
label in kmeans labels])
We use the same notion to map the hierarchical, too.
hierarchical best accuracy = 0
hierarchical best mapping = None
for mapping in [{0: 1, 1: 2, 2: 3}, {0: 1, 1: 3, 2: 2}, {0: 2, 1: 1,
2: 3, \{0: 2, 1: 3, 2: 1\}, \{0: 3, 1: 1, 2: 2\}, \{0: 3, 1: 2, 2: 1\}]:
    hierarchical_predicted_labels = np.array([mapping[label] for label
in hierarchical labels])
    hierarchical accuracy = accuracy score(y,
```

```
hierarchical predicted labels)
    if hierarchical accuracy > hierarchical best accuracy:
        hierarchical_best_accuracy = hierarchical_accuracy
        hierarchical best mapping = mapping
hierarchical predicted labels =
np.array([hierarchical best mapping[label] for label in
hierarchical labels])
# print(hierarchical predicted labels)
We use the same notion to map the DBSCAN, too. IF the dbscan model give use -1 or 3, we
alter them too 1, which are the biggest part of the origin of AUTOmpg dataset.
dbscan best accuracy = 0
dbscan best mapping = None
for mapping in [{-1: 1,3: 1, 0: 1, 1: 2, 2: 3}, {-1: 1,3: 1, 0: 1, 1:
3, 2: 2}, {-1: 1,3: 1, 0: 2, 1: 1, 2: 3},{-1: 1,3: 1, 0: 2, 1: 3, 2:
1},{-1: 1,3: 1, 0: 3, 1: 1, 2: 2},{-1: 1,3: 1, 0: 3, 1: 2, 2: 1}]:
    dbscan predicted labels = np.array([mapping[label] for label in
dbscan labels1)
    dbscan accuracy = accuracy score(y, dbscan predicted labels)
    if dbscan accuracy > dbscan best accuracy:
        dbscan best accuracy = dbscan accuracy
        dbscan best mapping = mapping
dbscan predicted labels = np.array([dbscan best mapping[label] for
label \overline{in} dbscan \overline{labels})
# print(dbscan predicted labels)
We now see the accuracy score, and we can see all of them perform very bad.
print("k means best accuracy", k means best accuracy)
print("hierarchical best accuracy", hierarchical best accuracy)
print("dbscan best accuracy", dbscan best accuracy)
k means best accuracy 0.45153061224489793
hierarchical best accuracy 0.45408163265306123
dbscan best accuracy 0.4770408163265306
I make a dataframe to see how the labels are distributed.
dic = {"true":y, "kmeans":kmeans predicted labels,
"hierarchical":hierarchical predicted labels,
"dbscan":dbscan predicted labels}
comparison = pd.DataFrame(dic)
print(comparison)
     true kmeans hierarchical dbscan
0
        1
                1
1
        1
                1
                               1
                                        1
```

2	1	1	1	1
3	1	1	1	1
4	1	1	1	1
393	1	3	3	3
394	2	3	3	1
395	1	3	3	3
396	1	3	3	3
397	1	3	3	3

[392 rows x 4 columns]

When we compare these result with supervised models, we can see our predictions are not precise at all in unsupervised learning methods. Here are some possible reason.

- 1. Labeled Data: Supervised learning relies on labeled data, which provides explicit guidance to the model, allowing it to learn patterns and relationships more effectively.
- 2. Exploiting Known Patterns: Supervised learning models are explicitly trained to recognize and exploit the patterns present in the labeled data, leading to more accurate predictions.
- 3. Evaluating Model Performance: Supervised learning methods can be evaluated using metrics that provide quantitative measures of performance, facilitating iterative improvement.
- 4. Task-Specific Optimization: Supervised learning methods are designed to solve specific tasks, allowing for fine-tuning and better performance optimization.
- 5. Bias Reduction: Supervised learning with diverse labeled data helps mitigate biases and improves generalization across different instances.

I think the reason 1 and 2 are the most important reasons for the result we observed.