Official-Gate Tokenization Methodology — Version 1.2 (standalone)

Audience: Internal Compliance, Legal, Risk, Audit

Purpose: Provide a plain-English, law-anchored standard for deciding when a token **is an asset** (vs a claim), route **every** proposal to exactly **one** method, and score enforceability and settlement feasibility.

Abstract

We define tokenization as making a token the operative **credential** and **command** at an **Official Gate**—the place where a change must be recorded **for it to count** (e.g., securities register/TA or CSD, land/vehicle registry, negotiable e-document platform, custodian/bailee system, device/API controller, or the money rail). The framework is grounded in the **IFRS Conceptual Framework** (asset = **right** controlled by the entity), in modern "control" regimes for electronic records (**UCC Article 12**; **UNCITRAL MLETR**; UK **ETDA 2023**), and in statutes that let DLT be a **register of record** (**Germany eWpG**; **Swiss ledger-based securities**). We add a **deterministic routing table** (first-match) and **Score v2** (0–100) with **ceiling rules** to avoid "claim inflation." (**IFRS Foundation**)

Executive summary

Definition (what "counts")

A tokenization is **real** only if the token (or a bound agent) is both the **required key** (the Gate won't act without it) **and** an **auto-execute command** (with conditions met, the Gate changes the record without extra discretion) at the relevant **Official Gate**. If not, it's a **Tokenized Claim**—a promise wrapped in a token. This matches IFRS's asset notion (a **right** controlled by the entity). (IFRS Foundation)

Deterministic routing

Every proposal is routed to **one** method via a **first-match precedence table** (Section 3). If nothing qualifies, we fall back to **SPV/Trust** or **Attestation-Only**—no overlaps or ambiguity.

Score v2 (what we measure)

We grade 10 levers (sum = 100): Official Gate identified (6), **Required key** (10),

Auto-execute (10), recognized control regime (6), Perfection & priority (14), Negotiability/take-free (12), Insolvency & segregation (12), Conflicts-of-law predictability (5), Registry/infra quality (5), Atomic settlement (DvP/PvP) (20). Ceilings: if the D-test fails (Claim) cap at 59; if no take-free protection, cap at 79. BIS work justifies the heavy weight on atomic cash legs. (Bank for International Settlements)

What Compliance gets

An auditable, single-page outcome per case: **Asset / Claim / Out-of-scope**, method selected, Score v2 with evidence, cash-leg design, conflicts-of-law analysis, and IFRS classification.

1) Scope and core terms

- Thing (scope): any legally cognizable object of rights (res), including physical things, rights in rem / in personam, and digital/data objects recognized as property or as the subject of enforceable rights. IFRS defines an asset as a present economic resource controlled by the entity; an economic resource is a right with potential to produce economic benefits. (IFRS Foundation)
- Official Gate (authoritative control point): the place where a change must be recorded for it to count (securities register/TA or CSD, land/vehicle registry, ETR platform, custodian/bailee system, device/API, or the money rail).
- Required key (necessary credential): without it, the Gate will not change the record.
- Auto-execute (sufficient instruction): with the key and rulebook conditions, the Gate does change the record without ad-hoc discretion.
- Atomic settlement (DvP/PvP): both sides move together—or not at all; strongest when
 cash and asset are co-located or legally coupled (BIS "unified ledger"). (Bank for
 International Settlements)
- IFRS lens (financial vs other): a financial asset is cash, an equity instrument of another entity, or a contractual right to receive cash/another financial asset; many crypto holdings are intangibles unless inventory. (IFRS Foundation)

2) Decision tree (A \rightarrow F)

A — Is there a right?

Is there an **assignable or excludable** right recognized by law? If $no \rightarrow Out$ of scope. IFRS treats assets as rights. (IFRS Foundation)

B — Is it your IFRS asset?

Does the entity **control** that right as a result of past events? If **no**, it's a "thing" but **not your asset** yet. (IFRS Foundation)

C — Classify & name the candidate Official Gate

Real property \rightarrow land registry; tangibles \rightarrow possession or **document of title** (bills/receipts); securities \rightarrow **register/TA/CSD**; cash/deposits \rightarrow bank/CB ledger; receivables/chattel paper \rightarrow debtor/documentary regime; IP/licences \rightarrow registry/device/API; data-native \rightarrow protocol recognized by law (e.g., **CER** or "data objects"). (<u>UNCITRAL</u>)

D — Tokenization test (asset vs claim)

At the named Gate, is the token (or bound agent) the **required key and** an **auto-execute** command under **statute/rulebook/contract**?

Anchors: UCC Article 12 (control, take-free for CERs), MLETR/ETDA (electronic transferable records via legal control), eWpG/Swiss CO 973d ff (DLT as register of record). If yes → Tokenized Asset; else Tokenized Claim. (Uniform Law Commission)

E — Cash leg & finality

Is the settlement asset **co-located or atomically coupled** with enforceable finality? If **yes**, risk/capital compression follows; if **no**, expect reconciliation risk. (Bank for International Settlements)

F — Failure modes & enforceability

Key loss, dispute resolution, **insolvency priority**, forks. Note **UCC 9-105** control of **electronic chattel paper** when relevant. Undefined paths \rightarrow **downgrade**. (<u>Legal Information Institute</u>)

3) Deterministic routing (first-match precedence)

Use: test prerequisites **top-down** and **stop at the first match**. If no method qualifies, route to **SPV/Trust**; if even that is unavailable, classify **Attestation-Only**.

1. Register-of-Record (statutory)

DLT ledger is the official securities register.

Prereqs: Jurisdiction with statute (e.g., **Germany eWpG**; **Swiss ledger-based securities**) and an approved operator.

Outcome: Asset. (BaFin)

2. Title / Land / Vehicle Registry Gate

Public title/land/DMV registry acts on token instruction or via an appointed on-chain

operator.

Prereqs: Statute or rulebook binding; API/operator in place (e.g., electronic title/lien programs in motor-vehicle registries).

Outcome: Asset when binding exists; else SPV. (California DMV)

3. ETR / Negotiable e-Document (MLETR / ETDA 2023)

Electronic bill/note/receipt with legal "control" equivalent to paper.

Prereqs: MLETR adoption and compliant platform (e.g., **UK ETDA**—in force **20 Sept 2023**).

Outcome: Asset (possession substituted by electronic control). (UNCITRAL)

4. Electronic Chattel Paper (UCC 9-105)

Authoritative electronic copy + control in a qualifying system.

Prereqs: US transaction with 9-105 "authoritative copy" controls satisfied.

Outcome: Asset (US). (<u>Legal Information Institute</u>)

5. Intermediated / TA-of-Record (securities & funds)

TA/CSD rulebook treats token control as the operational key.

Prereqs: Operator declares the **official record** is maintained in a blockchain-integrated TA/CSD system (e.g., Franklin OnChain).

Outcome: Asset if rulebook makes token required key + auto-execute; otherwise Claim. (SEC)

6. CER-Native Property (digital/data-native)

Jurisdiction recognizes digital objects as property with **control** (e.g., **UCC Article 12**; UK "data objects" reforms in progress).

Outcome: Asset where recognized; IFRS often classifies holdings as **intangible**. (<u>Uniform Law Commission</u>)

7. Movable-Collateral Registry (secured interest)

Public filing/notation system (UCC Article 9 filing, vehicle lien notation) acts programmatically from token control to file/amend/release the **lien/charge**.

Outcome: Asset for the secured interest when binding exists; else Claim. (Tokenizes the security interest, not title to the goods.) (AAMVA)

8. Custody-Bound Immobilization

Custodian releases/allocates only on token command per rulebook.

Outcome: Asset if binding is explicit; else Claim.

9. SPV/Trust Wrapper

Token represents SPV equity/beneficial interest that owns the thing.

Outcome: Claim.

10. Attestation-Only (incl. Proof-of-Reserve)

Assurance without a Gate that acts.

Outcome: Claim/credential (never Asset).

Money legs (cash/deposits) are evaluated in parallel: CBDC or tokenized deposits qualify where the bank/CB ledger honors token control (ideal for atomic DvP); MiCA classifies EMTs/ARTs as regulated claims, applicable from 30 Jun 2024 (Titles III–IV) and 30 Dec 2024 (rest). (Bank for International Settlements)

4) Conflicts-of-law triad (evaluate in order)

- 1. **lex registrii** law of the **Official Gate** (register/system/platform).
- 2. **lex contractus** governing law of the instrument/rulebook.
- 3. **lex situs / debtor-location** for **perfection & priority** of intangibles (e.g., Article 9 in the US).

Tangibles: use **lex rei sitae** (location of the goods) for **title**, and debtor-location rules (e.g., Article 9) for **perfection/priority** of security interests. **Shares/money:** add **lex societatis** (place of incorporation) and **lex monetae** (currency law) as relevant. (UNCITRAL)

5) Score v2 (0-100) — the rubric & ceilings

Lever	Wt	What "good" looks like
Official Gate identified	6	Named operator/system (registry, TA/CSD, custodian, ETR platform, device/API, money rail)
Required key (necessary)	10	Gate will not change record without token control
Auto-execute (sufficient)	10	With key + conditions, rulebook/contract causes change without ad-hoc approvals
Recognized control regime	6	UCC12 CER / MLETR-ETDA / eWpG-Swiss ledger / 9-105 e-chattel paper

Perfection & priority	14	Clear filing/control/notation path (e.g., UCC Article 9; title/charge registers)
Negotiability / take-free	12	Good-faith/qualifying purchaser protection (e.g., Article 12; negotiable e-docs)
Insolvency & segregation	12	Client-asset segregation/trust; custodian failure playbook
Conflicts-of-law predictability	5	Triad aligned; governing law clear
Registry/infra quality	5	Identifiers, APIs, SLAs, auditability
Atomic settlement (DvP/PvP)	20	Co-located money rail or enforceable atomic coupling & finality (BIS)

Ceiling rules:

- If the D-test (Section 2D) fails → cap total score at 59 (it's a Claim).
- If no take-free/negotiability protection → cap at 79 even if other levers are perfect.
 (Uniform Law Commission)

6) Conditions by asset category (what must be true)

- Real property (land/fixtures) → The land/title registry must bind to on-chain control or appoint an operator; otherwise it's SPV units (a claim), never "tokenized land." (Digital transformation at HMLR ≠ DLT register of record yet.) (GOV.UK)
- Vehicles → Electronic lien/title regimes exist (ELT). To "assetize," the ELT operator must accept token control for file/amend/release. If not, it's a secured-interest claim at best. (California DMV)
- Tangible goods via documents of title → Use ETR (MLETR; ETDA in force) so
 electronic control substitutes for possession. Without it, you hold a bailee's promise
 (claim). (UNCITRAL)
- Investment securities → Either the DLT is the register (eWpG; Swiss ledger-based securities), or the TA/CSD rulebook binds token control. Franklin OnChain explicitly states the TA maintains the official record and can limit/correct transfers. (BaFin)

- Cash & deposits → CBDC / tokenized deposits qualify when the bank/CB ledger honors token control; EMTs/ARTs under MiCA are regulated claims unless the issuer's ledger is itself the Official Gate for redemption/transfer (application dates split 30 Jun 2024 / 30 Dec 2024). (EUR-Lex)
- Receivables / notes / chattel paper → If embodied as electronic chattel paper with
 9-105 control (authoritative copy), treat as Asset; anti-assignment clauses route to SPV or require re-papering. (<u>Legal Information Institute</u>)
- Digital/data-native → Treat as CER-native property where recognized (Article 12; UK "data-objects" bill progressing); IFRS often treats holdings as intangible. (<u>Uniform Law Commission</u>)

7) Evidence package we archive for each case

- 1. Official Gate named (operator and role).
- 2. Binding basis (statute / rulebook / contract clause).
- Control regime (UCC 12; MLETR/ETDA; register of record; 9-105). (<u>Uniform Law</u> Commission)
- 4. Cash-leg finality (CBDC/deposit rails; if "stablecoin," MiCA status). (EUR-Lex)
- 5. **Perfection/priority** path (filings, control, notations).
- 6. **Insolvency/segregation** model (client asset trust, omnibus vs segregated).
- 7. Conflicts-of-law triad resolved.
- 8. **IFRS classification** and required disclosures. (<u>IFRS Foundation</u>)
- 9. **Citations** (primary sources) and operator attestations (TA/CSD/custodian/platform).

8) Control framework (what Compliance should insist on)

- Legal & registry controls: cite the specific section (e.g., eWpG crypto-securities register rules; ETDA commencement; UCC Article 12; UCC 9-105). Add a state-enactment check for Article 12—adoption is state-by-state. (BaFin)
- **Key management:** hardware/MPC custody; four-eyes for privileged actions; emergency revocation consistent with the Gate's rulebook.
- Transfer restrictions & AML: if the Gate is a TA/CSD/bank, enforce allow-lists and jurisdictional filters per rulebook and law (e.g., MiCA for EMT/ART issuers and CASPs). (EUR-Lex)
- **Settlement controls:** document **atomic DvP/PvP** with the money Gate and legal finality (BIS rationale). (Bank for International Settlements)
- Recordkeeping & audit: transaction hashes, signed messages, registry extracts, custodian receipts, approvals; for e-chattel paper, preserve the authoritative copy metadata. (<u>Legal Information Institute</u>)

9) Edge-case guardrails (so the tool can't be gamed)

- Anti-assignment/consent in receivables → do not call it "title"; route to SPV or ETR with debtor consent.
- Attestations / Proof-of-Reserve → never a tokenization method (no Gate acts).
- Omnibus custody without trust/segregation → cap scores; document insolvency risk.
- Registry-native instruments (carbon/allowances) → if the registry won't act on token, it's a Claim.
- Public-chain finality → if a Gate relies on L1/L2, encode the recognized confirmation rule and reorg tolerance in the rulebook.
- US scope → for chattel paper, ensure 9-105 control before calling it assetized. (<u>Legal Information Institute</u>)

10) Illustrative outcomes (how routing resolves)

- Germany listed bond on crypto-securities register
 Match: Register-of-Record (DLT is the register). → Tokenized Asset. Add cash-leg
 DvP design. (BaFin)
- UK electronic bill of lading
 Match: ETR (ETDA 2023 in force) → Tokenized Asset once control requirements are
 met. (Legislation.gov.uk)
- US auto floorplan with e-chattel paper
 Match: UCC 9-105 authoritative copy + control → Tokenized Asset; scoring depends on filing/priority and take-free environment. (<u>Legal Information Institute</u>)
- US money market fund with blockchain-integrated TA
 Match: Intermediated / TA-of-Record. The transfer agent maintains the official
 record and can limit/correct transfers under the rulebook → Tokenized Asset if token
 is the required key + auto-execute; else Claim. (SEC)
- EU stablecoin cash leg
 Match: MiCA EMT/ART (regulated claim). Count as Claim unless the issuer's system is
 the Official Gate for redemption/transfer; still valuable for DvP if atomic coupling is
 achieved. (EUR-Lex)
- Switzerland ledger-based shares
 Match: Register-of-Record (CO 973d ff). → Tokenized Asset. (Newsd)
- Market infrastructure precedent DTCC Project Ion
 Note: live parallel production; DTC classic remains the authoritative record—useful context for TA/CSD routing. (DTCC)

11) IFRS & disclosure notes we apply

- IFRS asset definition (embed once): "Asset = present economic resource controlled by the entity; economic resource = right with potential benefits." Use this in A/B of the tree. (IFRS Foundation)
- **Financial assets**: cash; equity instrument of another entity; **contractual right** to receive cash/another financial asset (IAS 32). (IFRS Foundation)
- Crypto holdings: generally intangible assets unless inventory (IFRS IC 2019). (IFRS Foundation)

12) Minimal inputs & instance metadata (for reproducibility)

- Method-selection tuple: Asset Class × Gate Jurisdiction × Right to Represent (primary).
- Instance-binding: Anchor Identifier (registry/ETR/receipt ID), Recognized Controller (as seen by the Gate), Governing Instrument Ref (rulebook clause / contract section / URL/hash).
- **Flags:** title_form: legal|beneficial; assignment_constraints: none|consent|prohibited.

13) One-page diligence checklist

- 1. Name the **Official Gate** and operator.
- 2. Cite the **binding basis** (statute/rulebook/contract section).
- 3. Prove required key + auto-execute at that Gate.
- 4. Specify the **control** regime (UCC 12 / MLETR-ETDA / register / 9-105). (<u>Uniform Law Commission</u>)
- 5. Map **perfection & priority** and **take-free** (if any).
- Confirm insolvency & segregation.
- 7. Resolve the **conflicts-of-law triad** (add **lex rei sitae** where relevant).
- 8. Design the cash leg and atomic DvP/PvP path. (Bank for International Settlements)
- 9. Record **IFRS classification** and disclosures. (**IFRS Foundation**)
- 10. Compute Score v2 (apply ceilings) and declare Asset / Claim / Out of scope.

14) Appendix A — Capabilities registry (per jurisdiction/platform)

Fields to maintain:

- supports_register_of_record: yes/no (e.g., eWpG / Swiss ledger-based).
 (BaFin)
- supports_ETR_control: yes/no (ETDA/MLETR implementer). (Legislation.gov.uk)
- supports_9_105_echattel_control: yes/no.(<u>Legal Information Institute</u>)
- supports_custody_binding: yes/no (operator rulebook exists).
- supports_tokenized_deposits/CBDC: yes/no.
- supports_movable_collateral_e_filing: yes/no (API + token binding; e.g., ELT for liens). (AAMVA)
- article12_in_force: yes/no + citation (state-by-state adoption). (<u>Uniform Law Commission</u>)
- notes: operator, SLA, identifiers (ISIN/LEI), service windows.

15) Appendix B — Quote-box (short anchors)

- ETDA 2023 is in force: commencement 20 Sep 2023. (Legislation.gov.uk)
- UCC Article 12 (2022 Amendments): creates controllable electronic records (CERs) and take-free for qualifying purchasers; adoption is state-by-state. (<u>Uniform Law Commission</u>)
- UCC 9-105: control of electronic chattel paper via an authoritative copy system.
 (Legal Information Institute)
- eWpG: DLT crypto-securities register may be the official register. (BaFin)

- Swiss DLT reforms: ledger-based securities transferable via the ledger. (Newsd)
- **BIS unified ledger:** co-located money rails enable **atomic settlement** and the biggest efficiency gains. (Bank for International Settlements)
- MiCA application dates: Titles III–IV (ART/EMT) from 30 Jun 2024; rest from 30 Dec 2024. (<u>EUR-Lex</u>)
- UK digital-assets property reform: Property (Digital Assets etc) Bill passed
 Commons second reading, 16 Jul 2025 (progressing; not yet in force). (House of Commons Library)

Final policy line (what we commit to internally)

- We will call Tokenized Asset only when the token is the required key and auto-execute at the Official Gate.
- We will label everything else Tokenized Claim (or Out of scope) and apply the right controls.
- We will route every case through the first-match table and publish the Score v2 (with ceilings) and the evidence package.

Primary sources cited

IFRS Conceptual Framework; IAS 32/IFRS 9; IFRS IC 2019 crypto holdings; UCC Article 12 (ULC materials); UCC 9-105; UNCITRAL MLETR; UK ETDA 2023; Germany eWpG; Switzerland CO 973d ff; BIS Annual Economic Report 2023 — Ch. III; DTCC Project Ion; Franklin OnChain (SEC filing); MiCA Reg. 2023/1114 application dates; UK Property (Digital Assets etc) Bill progress. (IFRS Foundation)

This version 1.2 is self-contained and written for readers with no prior digital-assets background, while preserving the legal rigor Compliance requires.