

# Special Relativity Regime Compiler (SRRC)

A Reduced Instruction Set for Relativistic Invariants

Adrian Diamond

2026

## Abstract

Special Relativity specifies invariant structure but does not provide an operational mechanism for isolating that structure in a reduced, executable form. This paper introduces the *Semantic Special Relativity Compiler* (SSRC), a semantic kernel obtained by lifting Special Relativity into Semantic Regime Theory and descending to invariant operational primitives. Relativistic observational outcomes are quotiented by Lorentz equivalence, yielding a finite instruction set sufficient to express observer-independent meaning. The result is a compiler-oriented formulation of Special Relativity that preserves theory-level correctness while enabling reduced, compositional reasoning. This work establishes a bridge between relativistic semantics and executable systems without modifying physical laws.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Motivation and Scope</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	Why a Compiler Metaphor Is Justified . . . . .	3
<b>2</b>	<b>Semantic Regimes in Special Relativity</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Equivalence Classes and Invariants</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Quotient Structure and Semantic Descent</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>The Semantic Relativity Kernel</b>	<b>3</b>
5.1	Finiteness and Minimal Generating Sets . . . . .	4
<b>6</b>	<b>Opcode Set and Instruction Semantics</b>	<b>4</b>
6.1	Instructions as Semantic Roles . . . . .	4
<b>7</b>	<b>Compiler Architecture</b>	<b>4</b>
7.1	Semantic Completeness Without Execution . . . . .	4

<b>8</b>	<b>Semantic Descent and Operational Meaning</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>Universality and Factorization Property</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>Non-Goals and Scope Limits</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>11</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Appendix: References</b>	<b>5</b>

# 1 Motivation and Scope

This paper introduces an operational artifact derived from Special Relativity through semantic descent. The goal is not reinterpretation of relativistic physics, but extraction of a reduced instruction set sufficient to capture relativistic invariants used in practice.

The compiler presented here is a semantic object: it executes meaning-preserving operations rather than numerical simulation.

## 1.1 Why a Compiler Metaphor Is Justified

# 2 Semantic Regimes in Special Relativity

Special Relativity implicitly defines semantic regimes via invariance under Lorentz transformation. Observational descriptions differing by admissible frame change correspond to the same semantic content.

**Definition 2.1** (Relativistic Semantic Regime). A relativistic semantic regime is an equivalence class of observational outcomes under Lorentz transformation preserving operational predictions.

Semantic regimes are quotient objects, not interpretive choices.

# 3 Equivalence Classes and Invariants

**Definition 3.1** (Observational Equivalence). Two observational outcomes are equivalent if they are related by a Lorentz transformation and yield identical invariant predictions.

**Definition 3.2** (Semantic Invariant). A semantic invariant is a quantity constant across an equivalence class of observational outcomes.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Only Lorentz-invariant quantities descend to regime-level semantic structure.*

*Proof.* Lorentz invariance is precisely the condition required for well-definedness on the quotient space of observational equivalence classes.  $\square$

# 4 Quotient Structure and Semantic Descent

# 5 The Semantic Relativity Kernel

The semantic kernel of Special Relativity consists of the minimal set of operations required to generate all regime-level invariants.

**Definition 5.1** (Semantic Relativity Kernel). The Semantic Relativity Kernel is the algebra generated by invariant-preserving operations under semantic descent.

This kernel forms the theoretical basis of the compiler.

## 5.1 Finiteness and Minimal Generating Sets

# 6 Opcode Set and Instruction Semantics

The compiler instruction set consists of a finite collection of semantic operations corresponding to invariant-preserving transformations.

*Remark 6.1.* Each opcode represents a semantic operation, not a numerical procedure.

Opcode semantics are defined entirely at the regime level.

## 6.1 Instructions as Semantic Roles

# 7 Compiler Architecture

The Semantic Special Relativity Compiler operates by mapping observational input to semantic regimes, executing invariant-preserving instructions, and emitting regime-consistent outputs.

**Definition 7.1** (Semantic Compilation). Semantic compilation is the process of mapping representational input to invariant operational structure.

The compiler architecture enforces semantic correctness by construction.

## 7.1 Semantic Completeness Without Execution

# 8 Semantic Descent and Operational Meaning

Semantic descent eliminates representational degrees of freedom while preserving meaning.

**Theorem 8.1** (Operational Completeness). *The Semantic Relativity Kernel is sufficient to express all observer-independent relativistic content.*

*Proof.* All observer-independent content corresponds to Lorentz invariants, which are generated by kernel operations. □

## 9 Universality and Factorization Property

## 10 Non-Goals and Scope Limits

This work makes no claims about implementation strategies, data structures, or instruction realizations.

## 11 Conclusion

Special Relativity admits a reduced, executable semantic form. By quotienting observational structure and descending to invariants, relativistic meaning becomes operational. The Semantic Special Relativity Compiler demonstrates that theory-level rigor and executable simplicity are not in conflict.

Meaning is not computed. It is preserved.

## A Appendix: References

### References

- [1] A. Einstein, *On the Electrodynamics of Moving Bodies*, Annalen der Physik, 1905.
- [2] H. Minkowski, *Space and Time*, 1908.
- [3] R. M. Wald, *General Relativity*, University of Chicago Press, 1984.
- [4] S. Mac Lane, *Categories for the Working Mathematician*, Springer, 1998.
- [5] A. Diamond, *Semantic Regime Theory*, 2026.
- [6] A. Diamond, *Quantum Observation Theory*, 2026.