

CS 4873: Computing, Society & Professionalism

Blair MacIntyre | Professor | School of Interactive Computing

Week 4: Social Contract Theory

February 10, 2021


Deontology Review

Deontology

- Morality is based on reason
 - An act is right *iff* it conforms to the relevant moral obligation; and it is wrong *iff* it violates the relevant moral obligation.
- Unlike utilitarianism: the consequences of an action are irrelevant to moral evaluation
- The value of an action lies in motive – especially motives of obligation
 - You're not on the hook for sending your allergic aunt flowers in the hospital!

Kantianism

- Based on the writing of philosopher Immanuel Kant (1724 – 1804)
 - People should be guided by universal moral laws. Must be based on reason.
- The only thing that is good without qualification is good will.
 - Morality derived from this starting premise.
- A person has good will only if the motive of his or her action is based on moral obligation, derived from universally valid norms.



Categorical Imperative: Formulation One

Act only from moral rules that you can at the same time will to be universal moral laws.

- In layman's terms: If it's okay for you now, it should be okay for everyone at anytime.
- Remember: Kant's argument is not based on consequences. He argues that breaking the categorical imperative is illogical / against reason.

Categorical Imperative: Formulation Two


Act so that you always treat both yourself and other people as ends in themselves and never only as a means to an end.

- In layman's terms: Do unto others as you would have them do unto you.
- Human beings have inherent value because we can "rise above our instincts"
- Objects have instrumental value (e.g., car keys)
- By treating a human as a means to an end, you are neglecting their inherent value and treating them like an instrument.

Social Contract Theory

Social contract theory

- Based on the writings of English philosopher Thomas Hobbes.
- Lived during the English civil war (mid-1600s) and saw the consequences of social anarchy.
- In his book, Leviathan, Hobbes wrote:
 - “In a state of nature, no one would do anything productive because someone else would just ruin it”
 - “a war of all against all”



Hobbes' "Life in a State of Nature"

- No laws or government.
- No rules or morality.
- Everyone for themselves.

- Why?
 - Equality of need
 - Scarcity of resources
 - Essential equality of human power
 - Limited altruism

The Tragedy of the Commons




Photo credit: <https://blogs.ntu.edu.sg/hp3203-2018-29/files/2017/11/Picture14-21nrrzy.png>

Conflict for resources between individual interests and the common good.



Social contract theory

- Moral rules “are necessary if we are to gain the benefits of social living.”
 - Basic idea: Everyone agrees to give up some liberties (e.g., obey property rights) in order to reap the benefits of a civilized society
- We need two things:
 - A set of moral rules to govern relations among citizens
 - A government capable of enforcing them

Morality in social contract theory

- Morality is the set of rules that rational people will agree to obey, for their mutual benefit, provided that other people will obey them as well.



Need for rules

- Five main reasons that laws are required in society (Pollock, 2007):
 - **The harm principle:** to prevent the serious physical assault against others that would be victimized.
 - **The offense principle:** to prevent behavior that would offend those who might otherwise be victimized.
 - **Legal paternalism:** to prevent harm against everyone in general with regulations.
 - **Legal moralism:** to prevent immoral activities
 - **Benefit to others:** to prevent actions that are detrimental to a segment of the population

The language of rights

- Negative right: freedoms / liberties (example: free speech)
- Positive right: obligations to you from others, and to others from you (example: free education, healthcare)
- Absolute right: guaranteed without exception
- Limited right: Restricted under some circumstances
- Negative rights are usually absolute
- Positive rights are usually limited

The Stop Sign with Social Contract Theory

You are driving out in the desert. You can see in all directions for miles. No one else is around. You see a stop sign. Do you stop? Why or why not?



How do we form the
contract?

SCT is not necessarily authoritarian

- Hobbes was a pessimist about human nature and an absolute monarchist, but SCT is compatible with many forms of governance.
- Jean-Jacques Rousseau:
 - Believed that humans are born inherently good
 - Notion of private property resulted in a “fall from grace” for mankind
 - Posited that Hobbes’ social contract was made at the suggestion of the rich and powerful who tricked the general population into surrendering their liberties and instituted inequality as a fundamental feature of society
 - Advocated for direct democracy: community will determine the rules for its members, and no individual could be above the rules.

John Rawles

- To prevent people from promoting selfish interests, each person must voice their opinion behind a **veil of ignorance**:
 - They must agree before they know what place they will hold in society
 - Ignorant of their own: sex, race, ethnicity, intellectual capacity etc.
- Assuming this is possible, there are two key principles to the social contract:

Rawl's principles of justice

- Each person may claim a “fully adequate” number of basic rights and liberties so long as these claims are consistent with everyone else having a claim to the same rights and liberties.
 - Examples: freedom of thought and speech, freedom of association, the right to be safe from harm, the right to own property, right to privacy
- Any social and economic inequalities must satisfy two conditions:
 - They are associated with positions in society that everyone has a fair and equal opportunity to assume
 - They are “to be of the greatest benefit to the least-advantaged members of society” (**difference principle**)

Example: The Proxy Server

- Your company has blocked access to social media websites. You have access to a proxy server. You want to read reddit on your lunch hour.
- According to SCT, is it ethical for you to access your proxy server to access reddit? Why or why not?
- What if, instead of reddit, it was an “adult” website?

The Proxy Server

- Rational agents:
 - You
 - Your employer
- “Rights” of the rational agents
 - You: Do you have a “right” to do as you please on your lunch hour? To access your own property (the proxy server)?
 - Employer: Does your employer have a “right” to govern and restrict what you do while they are paying you?
- Context:
 - How do these rights vary based on situational context? Is reddit okay but an adult website not okay?
- Not easy to “solve” outright, requires deliberation.

SCT Advantages

- It is framed in the language of rights
- Has explanatory power:
 - Explains why people act in self interest in the absence of an agreement
 - “tragedy of the commons”
 - Explains why civil disobedience is sometimes ethical. Why?

SCT Disadvantages

- None of us signed the contract
- Doesn't explain what to do when you have conflicting rights
 - Difficult to apply to dilemmas
- May be unfair to people who can't hold up their side of the contract
 - for those who do not (or cannot agree) to the contract, no moral obligations exist (they have none to us and we have none to them).
 - animals and people with mental or physical health impairments are not owed any duties on the social contract view

SCT vs. Other Rule-Based Frameworks

Reason for making rules is different

- **Kantianism:** Can the rule be universalized without resulting in a logical inconsistency?
- **Rule Utilitarianism:** Does the rule result in the greatest happiness?
- **Social Contract Theory:** Would rational people collectively accept the rule as binding because of its benefit to the community?

Application of rules is different

- **Kantianism:** Evaluate the rule against the categorical imperative.
- **Rule Utilitarianism:** Calculate total happiness.
- **Social Contract Theory:** Evaluate the agreed upon “rights” of the rational agents involved.

