

Software Design Description

for

TODO: Task Management System

CS 471

Draft 0.1.0

Prepared by:
Blake Eggemeyer

November 21, 2011

Contents

| | | |
|----------|---------------------------------|----------|
| 1 | Introduction | 2 |
| 1.1 | Overview | 2 |
| 1.2 | Stakeholders | 2 |
| 1.3 | Definitions | 2 |
| 1.4 | References | 2 |
| 1.5 | Revision tracking | 3 |
| 2 | Design Considerations | 3 |
| 2.1 | Programming Languages | 3 |
| 2.2 | Project Management | 3 |
| 3 | Data Storage | 3 |
| 3.1 | Data Dictionary | 3 |
| 3.1.1 | Configuration | 3 |
| 3.1.2 | Task | 4 |
| 3.1.3 | Appointment | 4 |
| 3.1.4 | Active list | 5 |
| 3.1.5 | Complete list | 5 |
| 4 | Actions | 5 |
| 4.1 | Terminal | 5 |
| 4.2 | Automatic actions | 6 |
| 5 | Views | 6 |
| 5.1 | Viewing Active List | 6 |
| 5.2 | Viewing All | 6 |
| 6 | Interface | 7 |
| 6.1 | Terminal | 7 |
| 6.2 | Glade2 | 7 |
| 7 | Test Cases | 7 |
| 8 | Traceability matrix | 8 |

1 Introduction

1.1 Overview

This document is intended to be used by the developer of the TODO software.

1.2 Stakeholders

The stakeholder in the design is also the client.

1.3 Definitions

1.3.0.1 Should: Requirements with this marker are desired, but not crucial, and will be a part of the final deliverable contingent on time and progress.

1.3.0.2 TBD: Acronym for To Be Determined. This is used in this document to signify that the information necessary for a part of this document is “To Be Determined”.

1.3.0.3 TODO: Working name of the project.

1.3.0.4 User: The person, or persons, who operate or interact directly with the product.

1.3.0.5 Will: Requirements with this marker are guaranteed to be in the final delivered product.

1.3.0.6 Item: Item refers to a data object. This object is either an appointment or a task.

1.3.0.7 CSV: CSV is an acronym for Comma Separated Value. This is a standard and common format of simple tabular data.

1.4 References

The 1998 – IEEE Standard for Information Technology – Systems Design – Software Design Descriptions was referenced to produce this document.

1.5 Revision tracking

| | | |
|-------|--------|---------------------------------|
| 0.0.1 | Nov 11 | Empty document created. |
| 0.0.2 | Nov 17 | Framework added. |
| 0.0.3 | Nov 18 | Framework extended. |
| 0.0.4 | Nov 19 | Design. |
| 0.1.0 | Nov 21 | Version that will be turned in. |

2 Design Considerations

2.1 Programming Languages

TODO will be implemented in C++ due to the programmers experience with that language.

2.2 Project Management

This project will use `Git` version control in conjunction with `GitHub` to keep track of changes.

3 Data Storage

3.1 Data Dictionary

3.1.1 Configuration

TODO will reference a configuration file by the name of `config` in the same directory as the executable.

3.1.1.1 Update frequency: The active list will be updated at the frequency in seconds specified by the user. The system will not support updates more frequent than 1 second. The default value is 15 minutes.

3.1.1.2 Active list length: This value will determine what portion of the active list is printed to the terminal. This value does not limit the length of the list, only which entries are displayed. The default value is 15 items.

3.1.2 Task

3.1.2.1 Unique ID: Non user editable `int`. This is the index value used for internal reference to the task.

3.1.2.2 Name: Data type `string`.

3.1.2.3 Description: Data type `string`.

3.1.2.4 Project: Data type `string`.

3.1.2.5 Due date: Input as three integers. Stored as Unix epoch time `int`.

3.1.2.6 Time estimate: Input as three integers. Stored as Unix epoch time `int`.

3.1.2.7 Elapsed time: Calculated based on 'Working on top item in list' function. Stored as Unix epoch time `int`.

3.1.2.8 Priority: Integer representing number of tasks from the top.

3.1.2.9 Prerequisites: Integer representing the unique Id of another task.

3.1.3 Appointment

3.1.3.1 Unique ID: Non user editable `int`. This is the index value used for internal reference to the appointment.

3.1.3.2 Name: Data type `string`.

3.1.3.3 Description: Data type `string`.

3.1.3.4 Project: Data type `string`.

3.1.3.5 Date: Input as three integers. Stored as Unix epoch time `int`.

3.1.3.6 Estimated duration: Input as three integers. Stored as Unix epoch time `int`.

3.1.3.7 Time worked: Calculated based on 'Working on top item in list' function. Stored as Unix epoch time `int`.

3.1.3.8 Priority: Integer representing number of tasks from the top. This is recalculated every minute to move the appointment up the active list.

3.1.4 Active list

The active list will be recalculated from the `complete list` each time a recalculate is requested. This will occur when changes are made to the items in the list and at scheduled intervals.

3.1.5 Complete list

The complete list will be the entirety of all items regardless of their completion status. This list will be the only list which is stored. All other lists will be calculated from the complete list. As the complete list grows in size performance may suffer.

4 Actions

4.1 Terminal

4.1.0.1 Working on top item in list: By running `top` the user will initiate the accumulation of time worked on the top item in the list. If there are no items in the list, the program will return text to the command shell stating `No items in list`.

4.1.0.2 Mark inactive: By running `inactivate [Unique ID]` the specified task will be marked inactive and removed from view.

4.1.0.3 Completed: By running `complete` the top task in the list will be marked as inactive and completed, and the time worked will stop accumulating.

4.1.0.4 Done for now: By running `stop` the top task in the list will stop accumulating time worked.

4.1.0.5 Changing list order: By running `move [Unique ID] [new priority]` the specified task will be moved to the specified priority position in the list, unless the prerequisites for that task are ahead of it. In this case the option will be given to move all prerequisites of this task to the specified position.

4.1.0.6 Modify a user created field: By running `modify [Unique ID] [option]` the specified task will be modified, with the given piece of data being changed to the given value.

4.1.0.7 Make item top priority: By running `move [Unique ID] 0` the specified task will be moved to the top priority position in the list, unless the prerequisites for that task are ahead of it. In this case the option will be given to move all prerequisites of this task to the specified position.

4.1.0.8 Delete: There is no deletion functionality

4.1.0.9 View complete list: By entering `all` the user will be able to see the entire complete list in the terminal.

4.1.0.10 Export complete list: By entering `export` the user will be able to export the entire complete list to a CSV file.

4.2 Automatic actions

4.2.0.1 Appointment The position of an appointment will change at an interval set by the user so that the appointment appears at the top of the list before it actually occurs. The position in the active list will be calculated by time till appointment divided by user defined update interval. With the soonest appointment calculated first.

5 Views

5.1 Viewing Active List

The user will see the list of tasks and appointments to be done in order of priority. These tasks will be those that are not marked inactive, or completed. The number of items shown is specified by the user in the `config` file and defaults to 15 items. TBD

<insert example view here>

5.2 Viewing All

The user will see the entire list of work done on items regardless of completion status when executing the `all` or `export` functions.

6 Interface

6.1 Terminal

Using a command line interface would allow the TODO software to be used in an open Linux terminal. This interface option is for more limiting for typical users than a GUI but will be simpler to implement and will achieve the goals. The terminal would print out the active list in order of which priority and then leave a line at the bottom for the user to enter the commands listing the Actions section.

6.2 Glade2

Glade2 is a possible user interface design tool that would allow TODO to be implemented in a C++ GUI.

7 Test Cases

TBD

8 Traceability matrix

| | Functional | | | | | | | | | | | | Non-functional | | | |
|----------|------------|-------|-------|-------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------------|---------|---------|---------|
| | 3.1.1 | 3.1.2 | 3.1.3 | 3.1.4 | 3.1.5.1 | 3.1.5.2 | 3.1.6.1 | 3.1.6.2 | 3.1.6.3 | 3.1.6.4 | 3.1.6.5 | 3.1.7.1 | 3.2.0.1 | 3.2.0.2 | 3.2.0.3 | 3.2.0.4 |
| 3.1.1.1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | ✓ | | | |
| 3.1.2 | ✓ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3.1.3 | | ✓ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3.1.4 | | | ✓ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3.1.5 | | | | ✓ | | | | | | | | | | ✓ | | |
| 4.1.0.1 | | | | | | | ✓ | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.1.0.2 | | | | | | | | ✓ | | | | | | | | |
| 4.1.0.3 | | | | | | | | | ✓ | | | | | | | |
| 4.1.0.4 | | | | | | | | | | ✓ | | | | | | |
| 4.1.0.5 | | | | | | ✓ | | | | | ✓ | | | | | |
| 4.1.0.6 | | | | | ✓ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.1.0.7 | | | | | | ✓ | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.2.0.1 | | | | | | | | | | | | ✓ | ✓ | | | |
| 4.1.0.8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ✓ |
| 4.1.0.9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ✓ | |
| 4.1.0.10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ✓ | |
| 5.2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ✓ | |