

9/26/2018
PRODUCED UNDER
EXTREME
"DURESS";
EXPENSE; PROTEST;
AND,
EXASPERATION
TO BENEFIT ALL
COURTS
AND DISGUST

9/26/2018
PRODUCED UNDER
EXTREME
"DURESS";
EXPENSE; PROTEST;
AND,
EXASPERATION
TO BENEFIT ALL
COURTS
AND DISGUST

BL 9/26/2018
NOTICE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

PROOF OF NON-COMPETENCE OF "THE COURT"

"No. 18-FM-567"

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA COURT OF APPEALS

v.

"BLAKE LA PIERRE,

Appellant,

DRB472-18

KIMBERLY LA PIERRE,
Appellee."

THIS NOTICE AND CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE AND PROOF OF NON-COMPETENCE OF "THE COURT" IS BEING SERVED IN A SIMILAR MANNER TO PREVIOUS SERVICE AND TO AT LEAST THE SAME PERSONS

MANY RULES
ALREADY WAIVED

MANY RULES
ALREADY WAIVED

Official Transcript of Proceedings by Laurie A. Kohl, Transcriber [EMPHASIS MINE]:

BL 9/26/2018
MR. LA PIERRE: I actually don't think Sogand or Amanda should be allowed to represent Kim. They've made a number of false statements. They've proven themselves to not be competent and it's not fair to Kim for her to be represented by them.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, she's entitled to choose whatever counsel she wants. [... rest omitted] BL 9/26/2018

Copied And Produced Without Error By Blake La Pierre BL 9/26/2018

DC SC RCP Civil Rule 101. Appearance and Withdrawal of Attorneys

- (a) Who May Practice...
- (2) "Sentence 1":

No person other than one authorized by this Rule shall be permitted to appear in this Court in a representative capacity for any purpose other than securing a continuance.

PRODUCED UNDER
EXTREME
"DURESS";
EXPENSE; PROTEST;
AND,
EXASPERATION
TO BENEFIT ALL
COURTS
AND DISGUST

Signed under extreme duress,
expense, protest, disgust, and
exasperation,

Blake La Pierre
9/26/2018

PRODUCED UNDER
EXTREME
"DURESS";
EXPENSE; PROTEST;
AND,
EXASPERATION
TO BENEFIT ALL
COURTS
AND DISGUST

9/26/2018
BL 9/26/2018