COMP3900 Hal_3900 Report

Ellen Oates z5098896 Developer

Hayden Le z5098972 Developer

Yi Wang z5124282 Developer

Zain Afzal z5059449 Scrum Master

08/03/2019

e.l.oates@unsw.edu.au hayden.le@unsw.edu.au z.afzal@unsw.edu.au yi.wang7@student.unsw.edu.au

Contents

1	Intr	roduction	3	
	1.1	The Problem	3	
	1.2	Existing Solutions & Problems	3	
	1.3	Our Solution	4	
		1.3.1 Our Contributions	4	
		1.3.2 Aim & Purpose	4	
		1.3.3 Differences to Existing Systems	4	
2	Background 5			
	2.1	Usage Scenario	5	
	2.2	System Architecture	5	
		2.2.1 Architecture Diagram	5	
		2.2.2 Data Scraping & Processing	5	
		2.2.3 Extensible API	5	
		2.2.4 Interactive User Interface	5	
3	Data Scraping & Processing 6			
	3.1	Input & Output	6	
	3.2	Example Usage	6	
	3.3	Technical Details	6	
4	Extensible API 7			
	4.1	Input & Output	7	
	4.2	Example Usage	7	
	4.3	Technical Details	7	
5	Interactive User Interface 8			
	5.1	Input & Output	8	
	5.2	Example Usage	8	
	5.3	Technical Details	8	
6	Conclusion 9			
	6.1	How Existing Problems Were Addressed	9	
	6.2	Improvements	9	
7	App	pendix	10	
	7.1	Technologies	10	
		7.1.1 Node.js	10	
		7.1.2 Vue.js	10	
		7.1.3 Dialogflow	10	
		7.1.4 Google NLP	10	

1 Introduction

1.1 The Problem

Online learning is changing the way students access and engage with higher education. Courses with online delivery increase the flexibility and accessibility of education by providing students with a platform to learn course content in their own time, at their own pace. Increasingly courses which are taught face to face include some online content delivery, including course materials, quizzes, online lecture recordings, and forums to ask questions and discuss the course content outside of class. Because online learning is so prevalent in higher education, it is crucial for universities to ensure students are satisfied with their learning experience.

There is a delay between when students ask a question and when they get a response, and this can vary from hours to days. Answering individual student questions via email or on forums requires a significant amount of time for tutors, course administrators and lecturers. Often the same questions will be asked many times by different students, making it inefficient to have course staff respond to each one individually.

Some key factors that contribute to student satisfaction in the online learning space are:

- Students' preferences for actively participating in learning, rather than through passive learning styles.
- Students' expectations on instructors to facilitate their learning by organising the course resources¹
- The amount of interaction students have with each other, and the availability of their instructors.²
- The availability of strong administrative support when using online learning tools or when confused about assessments and learning expectations.
- Course staff who are concerned with the quality of their course delivery, and want to know what their students need the most help with
- The availability of individual support and extended materials

Making these factors available to students becomes more challenging as classes grow in size. Course staff are thus in need of a more effective way to support with their students.

1.2 Existing Solutions & Problems

Currently at UNSW, learning support is provided to students through email, forums and help sessions. This is very man-hour intensive, requiring many tutors to be on

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/282699144_Student_Satisfaction_with_ Online_Learning_Is_it_a_Psychological_Contract

²'Key Factors for Determining Student Satisfaction in Online Courses': https://www.learntechlib.org/primary/p/2226/article_2226.pdf

hand to answer questions which, of themselves, are quite repetitive. In addition, as these courses become larger with increasing enrollment sizes, it becomes more difficult to be able to give students individual attention.

Another side effect of growing cohort sizes is the fact that many tutors and lecturers are forced to spend most of their time answering admin related questions, which is time that could be spent improving the course. The current solution has been to hire more staff and offload the majority of questions to forums, however these are full of repeated questions and require many tutors to moderate them.

This growth is becoming unsustainable, and with the rise of online education platforms, many students are eager to interact with course material in a more meaningful way. Waiting for a tutor to respond often creates a disconnect between the initial question and the answer, which limits the effectiveness of the response. This is provided that the tutor finds time to respond at all.

Chat bots have been deployed in some areas of secondary education, which interact with students in meaningful ways outside of class hours³, and some have even been created to answer university-level questions⁴. However these tools can not be easily adopted by all university courses, or their administration and assessments.

1.3 Our Solution

- 1.3.1 Our Contributions
- 1.3.2 Aim & Purpose
- 1.3.3 Differences to Existing Systems

³https://botsify.com/education-chatbot

 $^{^4} https://www.canberra.edu.au/about-uc/media/newsroom/2018/february/students-make-new-friend-in-lucy-the-chatbot$

2 Background

- 2.1 Usage Scenario
- 2.2 System Architecture
- 2.2.1 Architecture Diagram
- 2.2.2 Data Scraping & Processing
- 2.2.3 Extensible API
- 2.2.4 Interactive User Interface

- 3 Data Scraping & Processing
- 3.1 Input & Output
- 3.2 Example Usage
- 3.3 Technical Details

- 4 Extensible API
- 4.1 Input & Output
- 4.2 Example Usage
- 4.3 Technical Details

- 5 Interactive User Interface
- 5.1 Input & Output
- 5.2 Example Usage
- 5.3 Technical Details

- 6 Conclusion
- 6.1 How Existing Problems Were Addressed
- 6.2 Improvements

7 Appendix

- 7.1 Technologies
- 7.1.1 Node.js
- 7.1.2 Vue.js
- 7.1.3 Dialogflow
- 7.1.4 Google NLP