Path-based SST relies on classical results from tabelled combinatorial structures [11] to uniformly sample the set of program paths with length in [1,7]. Fach path sample is provided to a constraint solver (oracle) and tabelled as feasible or infeasible; see [9] and references therein. The infeasibility of a given path arises if it violates some dependencies between different parts of the program, referred to as XOR patterns. For instance if two 1+ nodes are based on an unchanged expression, then their successors are correlated in every feasible path (if the program path includes the then successor of the first 1+ node, it must also include the then successor of the second 1+ node). Because of the small number of available labelled paths (due to the labelling cost) compared to the complexity of the natural' search space, i.e. that of long strings on a large alphabet, a frugal propositional representation inspired by Parikh maps 11.1 is considered. For $t \equiv 1 \dots T$, let s[t] denote the t-th symbol in string s, set to value v_f if the length of s is less than t.

• To each symbol v, is associated an integer attribute a_v , $a_v(s)$ is the number of occurrences of symbol v in path s.

• To the t-th occurrence of a symbol v, is associated a categorical attribute $a_{v,i}$. Attribute $a_{v,i}(s)$ gives the next informative' symbol following the i-th occurrence of symbol v in s (or v_f if s contains less than t occurrences of v). Preliminary attempts at discriminant learning have been hindered by the tiny percentage of the feasible paths, as could have been expected from [8]. A generative learning approach was then considered.

Overview of EXIST

This section describes a sampling algorithm called *EXIST* for *Exploration vs eXploitation inference for Software Testing*, able to retrieve distinct feasible paths with high probability based on a set £ of feasible/infeasible paths. £ mitially set to a small set of labelled paths, is gradually enriched with the paths generated by *EXIST* and labelled by the constraint solver.

EXIST proceeds by iteratively exploiting and updating a probabilistic model P EXIST involves we modules: the Init module estimates the probability for a path to be feasible conditionally to its extended Parikh description²; the Decision module uses the P model to iteratively construct the current path s.

3.1 Decision module

Let s (resp. v) denote the path under construction (resp. the ast node symbol in s). Let t be the total number of occurrences of t in s. Let w be one possible successor node of v; if w is selected, the total number of w symbols in the final path will be at least the current number of occurrences of w in s, plus one; let t denote this number. Let us define $p_{\varepsilon}(w)$ as the probability for a path S to be feasible conditionally to $F_{\varepsilon,w}(S) = a_{\varepsilon,i}(S) = w \land [a_w(S) \ge y_w]$, estimated by the *Init* module; $p_s(w)$ is conventionally set to T if there is no path in \mathcal{E} satisfying $E_{s,w}$. Probabilities $p_{\bullet}(w)$ for w ranging over the successors of p are used to select the next node in s. Three options have been considered in order to favor the generation of a new feasible path. The *Greedy* option selects the successor node w maximising $p_{\bullet}(w)$. The *Roulette Wheel* option stochastically selects node $p_{\bullet}(w)$ with probability proportional to p(s,w). The *Bandist* option considers the multi-armed panelit problem where every bandit arm corresponds to a successor $p_{\bullet}(w)$ of the current node $p_{\bullet}(w)$ and the associated reward is $p_{\bullet}(w)$, and uses the UCB1 algorithm [1] for determining the best arm/successor node.

3.2 *Init* module

The *Init* module determines how the conditional probabilities used by the *Decision* module are estimated. The baseline *Init* option computes $p_o(w)$ as the fraction of paths in \mathcal{E} satisfying $F_{o,w}$ that are feasible. However, this option fails to guide *EXIST* efficiently due to the disjunctive nature of the target concept, as shown on the following toy problem.

Formally, $a_{v,i}(s)$ is set to s[t(t)] + k!, where t(t) is the index of the i-th occurrence of symbol v in s; v is initially set to 1; in case $a_{v,i}$ takes on a constant value over all examples. v is incremented.

This probabilistic model space is meant to avoid the limitations of probabilistic FSAs and variable order Markov Models [41]. On one hand, probabilistic FSAs (and likewise simple Markov models) cannot model the long range dependencies of the xox patterns. On the other hand, although variable order Markov Models can accommodate such dependencies, they are ill-suited to the sparsity of the initial data available.