

# Notes and exercises from *Abstract Algebra*

John Peloquin

## Introduction

This document contains notes and exercises from [1].

## Chapter I

### Section 4

In addition to Propositions 4.9 and 4.10, the following is useful (see for example the proof of Theorem II.9.12):

**Proposition.** *Let  $G$  be a group,  $N \trianglelefteq G$  and  $N \subseteq H, K \leq G$ . Then  $H$  and  $K$  are conjugate in  $G$  if and only if  $H/N$  and  $K/N$  are conjugate in  $G/N$ .*

## Chapter II

### Section 5

The argument used in the proof of Proposition 5.10 is essentially Frattini's:

**Proposition** (Frattini). *Let  $G$  be a finite group,  $H \trianglelefteq G$ , and  $P$  a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $H$ . Then  $G = HN_G(P)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $g \in G$ , then  $gPg^{-1} \subseteq gHg^{-1} = H$  since  $P \subseteq H \trianglelefteq G$ . But  $gPg^{-1}$  is also a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $H$ , and all Sylow  $p$ -subgroups of  $H$  are conjugate in  $H$  (Theorem 5.7), so there is  $h \in H$  with

$$hgP(hg)^{-1} = h(gPg^{-1})h^{-1} = P$$

Therefore  $hg \in N_G(P)$ ,  $g \in HN_G(P)$ , and  $G = HN_G(P)$ . □

The key observation is that since all conjugates of  $P$  in  $G$  are contained in  $H$ , they are also conjugate in  $H$ . Proposition 5.10 follows as a corollary:

**Corollary.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group,  $P$  a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ , and  $N_G(P) \subseteq H \leq G$ . Then  $N_G(H) = H$ .*

*Proof.* Note  $N_G(H)$  is finite,  $H \trianglelefteq N_G(H)$ , and  $P$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $H$ , so  $N_G(H) = HN_{N_G(H)}(P) \subseteq HN_G(P) \subseteq HH = H$  by Frattini.  $\square$

## Section 9

*Remark.* In the proof of Lemma 9.11,  $G = N \rtimes A = N \rtimes B$  (Proposition 11.2). In particular, each  $b \in B$  can be expressed uniquely in  $N \rtimes A$  in the form  $b = ua$  with  $u \in N$  and  $a \in A$ . Then  $u = u_a$  in Grillet's notation, and  $u_{aa'} = u_a(au_{a'}a^{-1})$  follows from the multiplication rule in  $N \rtimes A$ . In this way,  $N$  acts as a “bridge” between  $A$  and  $B$ .

## Section 10

Commutator subgroups satisfy the following universal mapping property:

**Proposition.** *Let  $G$  be a group,  $H \trianglelefteq G$ , and  $K = [G, H]$  the subgroup of  $G$  generated by commutator elements  $[x, y] = xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$  with  $x \in G$  and  $y \in H$ . Then  $K \trianglelefteq G$ . If  $\pi : G \rightarrow G/K$  is the canonical projection, then  $\pi(H) \subseteq Z(\pi(G))$ , and if  $\varphi : G \rightarrow L$  is a homomorphism with  $\varphi(H) \subseteq Z(\varphi(G))$ , then  $\varphi$  factors uniquely through  $\pi$ ; that is, there exists  $\psi : G/K \rightarrow L$  unique such that  $\varphi = \psi \circ \pi$ :*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{\pi} & G/K \\ & \searrow \varphi & \downarrow \psi \\ & & L \end{array}$$

*Proof.* By the universal mapping property for quotient groups (Theorem I.5.1), since  $K \subseteq \ker \varphi$ .  $\square$

This is a generalization of the universal mapping property noted in Section 9, where  $H = G$  (see Proposition 9.1 and Exercise 9.7). It is implicit in the proofs of Propositions 10.1 and 10.3.

## Chapter IV

### Section 5

*Remark.* In Proposition 5.1(2), if  $K$  is finite then  $m = 0$  and  $q$  is separable.

### References

- [1] Grillet, Pierre A. *Abstract Algebra*, 2nd ed. Springer, 2007.