

# Notes and exercises from *Finite Dimensional Multilinear Algebra*

John Peloquin

## **Introduction**

This document contains notes and exercises from [1] and [2].

**Unless otherwise stated,  $R$  denotes a field of characteristic 0 over which all vector spaces are defined.**

# Part I

## Chapter 1

### § 3

**Exercise** (9,10). Let  $\varphi \in M(V_1, \dots, V_m : U)$  in this commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_1 \times \dots \times V_m & \xrightarrow{\otimes} & V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_m \\ & \searrow \varphi & \downarrow f \\ & & U \end{array}$$

Let  $K = \ker f$ . Then  $\text{Im } \varphi = U$  if and only if  $f$  is surjective and every element in  $(V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_m)/K$  has a decomposable representative.

*Proof.* If  $\text{Im } \varphi = U$ , then  $f$  is surjective and there is an induced isomorphism  $\bar{f} : (V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_m)/K \rightarrow U$ . Also for any  $\bar{z} \in (V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_m)/K$  there are  $v_i \in V_i$  with

$$\bar{f}(\overline{v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_m}) = f(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_m) = \varphi(v_1, \dots, v_m) = f(z) = \bar{f}(\bar{z})$$

Since  $\bar{f}$  is injective, it follows that  $\overline{v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_m} = \bar{z}$ .

For the converse, if  $u \in U$  there are  $v_i \in V_i$  with  $\overline{v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_m} = \bar{f}^{-1}(u)$ , so

$$u = \bar{f}(\overline{v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_m}) = f(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_m) = \varphi(v_1, \dots, v_m)$$

Therefore  $\text{Im } \varphi = U$ . □

*Remark.* In Exercise 11, it is simpler to prove that  $(V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_m)^*$  is a tensor product of  $V_1^*, \dots, V_m^*$ , then use Theorem 2.4.

*Remark.* In Exercise 12, it is simpler to observe that the linear map induced by  $v$  through a tensor product is surjective between spaces of the same finite dimension and hence an isomorphism, then use Exercise 3.

### § 4

*Remark.* In the extension of the scalar field of  $V$  from  $R$  to  $F$ , it is simpler to observe that the scalar product of  $F$  on  $F \otimes V$  is the bilinear map corresponding

to the composite linear map

$$F \otimes (F \otimes V) \rightarrow (F \otimes F) \otimes V \rightarrow F \otimes V$$

using Exercise 4 in Section 1.3, where  $F \otimes F \rightarrow F$  is from multiplication in  $F$ .

*Remark.* If  $\sigma : R \rightarrow R$  is an involutory automorphism and  $V$  is a vector space over  $R$ , then the  $\sigma$ -conjugate vector space  $V^\sigma$  has the same underlying additive group as  $V$ , but has scalar multiplication defined by

$$\alpha v = \sigma(\alpha) v \quad (\alpha \in R, v \in V)$$

where the product on the left is in  $V^\sigma$  and the product on the right is in  $V$ . Clearly  $(V^\sigma)^\sigma = V$ .

If  $\varphi : U \times V \rightarrow R$  is a sesquilinear function, then the corresponding function  $\varphi^\sigma : U \times V^\sigma \rightarrow R$  defined by  $\varphi^\sigma(u, v) = \varphi(u, v)$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^\sigma(u, \alpha v_1 + \beta v_2) &= \varphi(u, \sigma(\alpha) v_1 + \sigma(\beta) v_2) \\ &= \alpha \varphi(u, v_1) + \beta \varphi(u, v_2) \\ &= \alpha \varphi^\sigma(u, v_1) + \beta \varphi^\sigma(u, v_2) \end{aligned}$$

so  $\varphi^\sigma$  is *bilinear*, and the converse also holds.

If  $\otimes : V_1 \times V_2 \rightarrow V_1 \otimes V_2$  is a tensor product, then  $\otimes^\sigma : V_1^\sigma \times V_2^\sigma \rightarrow (V_1 \otimes V_2)^\sigma$  defined by  $v_1 \otimes^\sigma v_2 = v_1 \otimes v_2$  is also a tensor product, which means

$$(V_1 \otimes V_2)^\sigma = V_1^\sigma \otimes V_2^\sigma$$

Now if  $\varphi_i : U_i \times V_i \rightarrow R$  are sesquilinear for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , then we can define

$$\psi^\sigma(u_1, \dots, u_m; v_1, \dots, v_m) = \prod_{i=1}^m \varphi_i^\sigma(u_i, v_i)$$

which is *multilinear* and hence induces a bilinear  $\varphi^\sigma : (\otimes_1^m U_i) \times (\otimes_1^m V_i)^\sigma \rightarrow R$  and corresponding sesquilinear  $\varphi : (\otimes_1^m U_i) \times (\otimes_1^m V_i) \rightarrow R$  with

$$\varphi(u_1 \otimes \dots \otimes u_m, v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_m) = \prod_{i=1}^m \varphi_i(u_i, v_i)$$

as in Theorem 4.4. This approach avoids the use of a basis in defining  $\varphi$ .

## Chapter 2

### § 1

*Remark.* The tensor product of linear maps of finite dimensional vector spaces is really a tensor product. More specifically, the map

$$\otimes : L(V_1, U_1) \times \cdots \times L(V_m, U_m) \rightarrow L(V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_m, U_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes U_m)$$

defined by

$$(T_1, \dots, T_m) \mapsto T_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes T_m$$

is a tensor product map, so

$$L(V_1, U_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes L(V_m, U_m) = L(V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_m, U_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes U_m)$$

This is the content of Theorem 2.7, which should be in this section. Similarly the Kronecker product of matrices is a tensor product.

*Remark.* In Example 1.2(b), it is simpler to prove (13) directly from definition (10) of the Kronecker product.

*Remark.* In Example 1.2(f), it is also possible to observe by (17) that

$$\det(A_1 \otimes A_2) = \det(A_1 \otimes I_{n_2}) \det(I_{n_1} \otimes A_2)$$

By Example 1.2(d),

$$\det(I_{n_1} \otimes A_2) = \det(A_2)^{n_1}$$

and by the same example after permuting rows and columns in the Kronecker product,

$$\det(A_1 \otimes I_{n_2}) = \det(I_{n_2} \otimes A_1) = \det(A_1)^{n_2}$$

so

$$\det(A_1 \otimes A_2) = \det(A_1)^{n_2} \det(A_2)^{n_1}$$

### § 2

*Remark.* In Exercise 4, it is also possible to use Example 2.6(c).

### § 4

*Remark.* In Exercise 11, it is simpler to use Exercise 10, together with Exercise 15 in Section 2.3.

## Chapter 3

### § 1

*Remark.* It follows from Theorem 1.7, together with Theorem 3.4 in Section 2.3, that if  $\varphi \in M_m(V, R, S_m, 1)$  and  $\varphi(x, \dots, x) = 0$  for all  $x \in V$ , then  $\varphi = 0$ . In other words, *a (completely) symmetric multilinear function is completely determined by its output values on equal input values.*

### § 2

*Remark.* It follows from Theorem 2.7 that a linear transformation of  $\otimes_1^m V$  is bisymmetric as a transformation if and only if it is (completely) symmetric as a tensor, when we take

$$L(\otimes_1^m V, \otimes_1^m V) = \otimes_1^m L(V, V)$$

as in the remark from Chapter 2, § 1 above.

*Remark.* In Exercise 25, if  $\varphi(x, y) = \langle x, y \rangle$  is an inner product on  $V$  over  $\mathbb{R}$ , then the induced derivation  $\delta_x : \wedge V \rightarrow \wedge V$  given by

$$\delta_x(x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_m) = \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{k-1} \langle x, x_k \rangle x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{x_k} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_m$$

is adjoint to the multiplication operator  $M_x : \wedge V \rightarrow \wedge V$  in Exercise 24 given by

$$M_x(x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_m) = x \wedge x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_m$$

under the induced inner product in  $\wedge V$ .

*Remark.* In Exercise 33, if  $H = S_m$  then

$$D(T_1, \dots, T_m) = m! \Omega(T_1, \dots, T_m)$$

## References

- [1] Marcus, M. *Finite Dimensional Multilinear Algebra I*. 1973.
- [2] Marcus, M. *Finite Dimensional Multilinear Algebra II*. 1975.