

Errata from *Foundations of Modern Analysis*

John Peloquin

Introduction

This document contains errata from [1]. Locations in the text are indicated by coordinates (p, n) , where p is a page number and n is a line number on page p . Positive line numbers count from the top of the page, whereas negative line numbers count from the bottom of the page. Displayed equations, diagrams, and figures are counted as single lines. An asterisk indicates that the error was corrected in [2] (possibly at different coordinates).

Errata are currently only listed for Chapters I–VIII, not including problems.

Chapter I

- (4, -5): in (1.3.2), “ $X \times Y \neq \emptyset$ (which means that both X and Y are non-empty)” should be “ $X' \times Y' \neq \emptyset$ (which means that both X' and Y' are non-empty)”.
- (9, 3): in (1.6.3), it must be assumed that X and Y are nonempty.
- (12, -4): “if a set A is at most denumerable” should be “if a nonempty set A is at most denumerable”.

Chapter II

- (17, 14): in (2.2.3), “Any finite subset A ” should be “Any nonempty finite subset A ”.
- (22, -14)*: in the proof of (2.3.2), $2^{-n} \leq \beta - \alpha$ should be $2^{-n} \geq \beta - \alpha$.

- (23, 3): infimum and supremum of the empty set and of sets unbounded below and above, respectively, should be defined here since they occur in the text, for example in (2.3.5) and in the definition of diameter in (3.4).

Chapter III

- (30, -14)*: in (3.3.2), " $1/(1 + |x|)$ " should be " $1/(1 + |x|)$ ".
- (31, -15): distance to the empty set should be defined here since it occurs in the text, for example in (3.7.4) and (3.8.9).
- (32, 7): diameter of the empty set should be defined here since it occurs in the text, for example in the definition of oscillation in (3.14).
- (32, 13): the empty set should be bounded.
- (34, 10)*: "(3.17.10)" should be "(3.17.11)".
- (35, -6)*: in the proof of (3.7.4), $d(x, y) > r$ should be $d(x, y) \geq r$.
- (37, -16)*: "(3.8.7)" should be "(3.8.6)".
- (40, -11): the empty set should be a subspace.
- (42, 7): in the proof of (3.10.9), "the sets $G_n \cap F$ form a denumerable basis" should be "the sets $G_n \cap F$ form an at most denumerable basis".
- (54, -12)*, (54, -9)*: in the proof of (3.15.5), $\overline{f}(x) = \lim_{y \rightarrow x, x \in A} f(y)$ should be $\overline{f}(x) = \lim_{y \rightarrow x, y \in A} f(y)$.
- (56, -3)*: in the proof of (3.16.1) (c) \implies (a), "there is one at least B_k " should be "there is one at least B_n ".
- (60, 10): in the proof of (3.17.6), $2/n$ should be $2(b - a)/n$.
- (60, -3)*: in the proof of (3.17.8), $(A \cup V_\lambda)_{\lambda \in H}$ should be $(A \cap V_\lambda)_{\lambda \in H}$.
- (61, 10)*: in (3.17.10), "Let E be a compact metric space" should be "Let E be a nonempty compact metric space".
- (61, 16): in the proof of (3.17.11), the cases $A = \emptyset$ and $U = E$ need special attention.

- (63, 16): in the proof of (3.18.4), “ $x \in E$ ” should be “ $a \in A$ ”.¹
- (66, -7)*: in (3.19.8), “ $y \in E$ ” should be “ $x \in E$ ”.
- (69, -14): in (3.20.3), “a necessary and sufficient condition” should be “a sufficient condition”.
- (71, -4): in (3.20.15), uniform continuity of f implies uniform continuity of f_1 and f_2 only if $E_1 \times E_2 \neq \emptyset$.

Chapter IV

- (77, 9)*: in the proof of (4.1.7), $] - 1, +1]$ should be $] - 1, +1[$.

Chapter V

- (91, -4): above (5.2.1), x_{n+k} should be x_{n+k+1} .
- (98, 5): in the definition of vector subspace, “a subset” should be “a nonempty subset”.
- (100, 13)*: in (5.5.2), “mapping of a Banach space into a Banach space F ” should be “mapping of a Banach space E into a Banach space F ”.
- (104, 12)*: in (5.7.6), $a \notin F$ should be $a \in F$.

Chapter VI

- (112, 2)*: “scalars” should be “vectors and scalars”.
- (116, 9): in the proof of the displayed inequality in (6.3.1), “by (6.1.1)” should be “by (6.1.1) and substitution of $-\lambda$ for λ ”.
- (118, 15)*: above (6.4.1), $(x^{(m)}) = (x_n^{(m)})$ should be $(x^{(m)}) = ((x_n^{(m)}))$.

¹In [2], “ $a \in E$ ” is also incorrect.

Chapter VII

- (128, 13)*: $\|u_n(t)\| \leq u_n$ should be $\|u_n(t)\| \leq \|u_n\|$.
- (133, 11)*: in the proof of (7.3.2), $\mathcal{D}f$ should be $\mathcal{J}f$.
- (139, 8)*: $\lim_{y \in I, y > x, y \rightarrow x} f(x)$ should be $\lim_{y \in I, y > x, y \rightarrow x} f(y)$.
- (139, -2): $\mathcal{B}_F(E)$ should be $\mathcal{B}_F(I)$.

Chapter VIII

- (143, 7)*: in the definition of tangency of mappings f and g at a point x_0 , it should be required that $f(x_0) = g(x_0)$.²
- (148, -6)*: in the displayed equation below (8.3.2.2), $1 - w^{N+1}$ should be $1 - (-1)^{N+1} w^{N+1}$.
- (150, 7)*: $g'(\xi)(Df(g(\xi)))$ should be $g'(\xi)Df(g(\xi))$.
- (157, -9): in the proof that $g = f'$ in (8.6.3), $\|f'_n(z) - f'_m(z)\| \leq \epsilon/r$ should be $\|f'_n(z) - f'_m(z)\| \leq \epsilon$.
- (158, 3): in (8.6.4), “ $g_n(\xi)$ is the derivative of a continuous function f_n ” should be “ $g_n(\xi)$ is the derivative of a continuous function f_n at ξ ”.
- (158, 7), (158, 9): in (8.6.4), A should be I .
- (167, -5): in the proof of (8.9.1), “ $x_1 \mapsto f(x_1, a_2)$ has at (a_1, a_2) a derivative” should be “ $x_1 \mapsto f(x_1, a_2)$ has at a_1 a derivative”.
- (168, -4): in the proof of (8.9.1), $Df = D_1f \circ i_1 + D_2f \circ i_2$ should be $Df = P_1 \circ D_1f + P_2 \circ D_2f$ where $P_k(\varphi) = \varphi \circ pr_k$.³
- (169, 6)*: the displayed equation in (8.9.2) should be

$$Dh(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n D_k f(g(x)) \circ Dg_k(x)$$

where $g = (g_k)$ and $h = f \circ g$.

²In [2], it is required instead that f and g be continuous, which ensures that $f(x_0) = g(x_0)$ but makes the proof of (8.1.1) superfluous.

³In [2], $Df = D_1f \circ pr_1 + D_2f \circ pr_2$ is also incorrect.

- (170, -5): in Example A, “the derivative of f is the mapping” should be “the derivative of f at $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ is the mapping”.
- (171, 6): in Example B, “the (total) derivative of f is the linear mapping” should be “the (total) derivative of f at $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ is the linear mapping”.
- (171, 11): in Example B, “in other words, f' , which is a linear mapping” should be “in other words, $f'(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, which is a linear mapping”.
- (171, -10): the first displayed equation in (8.10.1) should be

$$(D_k \theta_i(x)) = (D_j \psi_i(\varphi(x)))(D_k \varphi_j(x))$$

where $\varphi = (\varphi_j)$.

- (171, -7): the second displayed equation in (8.10.1) should be

$$\det(D_k \theta_i(x)) = \det(D_j \psi_i(\varphi(x))) \det(D_k \varphi_j(x))$$

where $\varphi = (\varphi_j)$.

- (172, 7): in the proof of (8.11.1), $\|f(\eta, z) - f(\xi, z_0)\| \leq \epsilon$ should instead be $\|f(\eta, z) - f(\xi, z_0)\| \leq \epsilon/2$.
- (184, 1): in the proof of (8.13.1), it should be noted that $D = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} D^{\alpha}$ and $x = (\xi_i)$.
- (184, 1): in the proof of (8.13.1), it should be noted that we only have the *real* exponential function from (8.8), although the same proof does work with the complex exponential function by (9.5.3).
- (184, -7)*: in (8.13.2), $f \in \mathcal{E}_F^{(p)}(A)$ should be $f \in \mathcal{E}_E^{(p)}(A)$.

References

- [1] Dieudonné, J. *Foundations of Modern Analysis*, 8th printing. Academic Press, 1960.
- [2] Dieudonné, J. *Foundations of Modern Analysis*, 9th (enlarged and corrected) printing. Volume 1 of *Treatise on Analysis*. Academic Press, 1969.