

Exercises and Notes from *Topology*

John Peloquin

Fall 2008

Exercises and notes from *Topology* (2nd ed.) by James R. Munkres.

Chapter 1

Section 3

EXERCISE 15. Assume the least upper bound property for \mathbb{R} .

- (a) The sets $[0, 1]$ and $[0, 1)$ have the least upper bound property.

Proof. Let A be a nonempty subset of $[0, 1)$ which is bounded above in $[0, 1)$. Then there exists $0 \leq b < 1$ such that for all $a \in A$, $a \leq b$. Let β be the least upper bound of A in \mathbb{R} . Then $\beta \in [0, 1)$ since $0 \leq a \leq \beta \leq b < 1$ for any fixed $a \in A$. This shows that $[0, 1)$ has the least upper bound property.

The proof is nearly identical for $[0, 1]$. □

- (b) Under the dictionary order, the sets $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ and $[0, 1) \times [0, 1]$ have the least upper bound property, but the sets $[0, 1] \times [0, 1)$ and $[0, 1) \times [0, 1)$ do not.

Proof. To prove the latter claim, let

$$A = \{(0, 1 - 1/2^n) \mid n = 0, 1, \dots\} \subseteq [0, 1) \times [0, 1) \subseteq [0, 1] \times [0, 1)$$

Now A is bounded above in both sets, since for example $(1/2, 0)$ is an upper bound. Note that there is no upper bound with first coordinate 0. But also there is no *least* upper bound with nonzero first coordinate, since for example

$$B = \{(1/2^n, 0) \mid n = 1, 2, \dots\}$$

is a set of upper bounds with arbitrarily small nonzero first coordinates. Thus it follows that there is no least upper bound for A in either set, so neither set has the least upper bound property.

To prove the first claim, suppose A is a nonempty subset of $[0, 1) \times [0, 1]$ which is bounded above (in either set). Let α be the least upper bound of the set of left coordinates of elements in A . If the set $A \cap (\{\alpha\} \times [0, 1])$ is nonempty, let β be the least upper bound of right coordinates in this set; otherwise let $\beta = 0$.

We claim that (α, β) is a least upper bound for A . Indeed, it is an upper bound since for all $(a, b) \in A$, $a \leq \alpha$, and $b \leq \beta$ if $a = \alpha$. Suppose now $(\gamma, \delta) < (\alpha, \beta)$. If $\gamma < \alpha$, then there exists $(a, b) \in A$ with $\gamma < a \leq \alpha$, so $(\gamma, \delta) < (a, b)$ and hence (γ, δ) is not an upper bound for A . If $\gamma = \alpha$, then we must have $0 \leq \delta < \beta$, which means (by definition of β) there exists $(a, b) \in A$ with $a = \alpha$ and $\delta < b \leq \beta$. But then $(\gamma, \delta) < (a, b)$ again, so (γ, δ) is not an upper bound for A . These cases are exhaustive, hence (α, β) is the least upper bound for A . \square

Note that the latter argument fails for the second pair of sets in the problem, since for those sets β is *not* guaranteed to exist in $[0, 1]$.

Chapter 2

Section 13

EXERCISE 1. Let X be a topological space. Suppose $A \subseteq X$ and for all $x \in A$ there exists an open set U with $x \in U \subseteq A$. Then A is open.

Proof. For each $x \in A$ choose an open set U_x with $x \in U_x \subseteq A$. Then $A = \bigcup_{x \in A} U_x$ is a union of open sets, and hence is open. \square

EXERCISE 3. Let X be a set. Define the following collections:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_c &= \{U \subseteq X \mid X - U \text{ is countable or all of } X\} \\ \mathcal{T}_\infty &= \{U \subseteq X \mid X - U \text{ is infinite or empty or all of } X\}\end{aligned}$$

Then \mathcal{T}_c is a topology on X , but \mathcal{T}_∞ is not in general.

Proof. Let $\{U_\alpha\}$ be an indexed subcollection of \mathcal{T}_c . Set $U = \bigcup U_\alpha$. If the subcollection is empty, so is U , so $X - U = X$ and $U \in \mathcal{T}_c$. Otherwise fix α and note that

$$X - U = X - \bigcup U_\alpha = \bigcap (X - U_\alpha) \subseteq X - U_\alpha$$

which is countable since $X - U_\alpha$ is countable. Thus $U \in \mathcal{T}_c$.

Now let $\{U_\alpha\}$ be finite and set $U = \bigcap U_\alpha$. Then $X - U = X - \bigcap U_\alpha = \bigcup (X - U_\alpha)$ is a finite union of countable sets, which is countable, so $U \in \mathcal{T}_c$.

Set $X = \mathbb{R}$, $U_{-1} = (-\infty, 0)$ and $U_1 = (0, \infty)$. Then $U_{-1} \in \mathcal{T}_\infty$ and $U_1 \in \mathcal{T}_\infty$ but

$$U_{-1} \cup U_1 = (-\infty, 0) \cup (0, \infty) = \mathbb{R} - \{0\} \notin \mathcal{T}_\infty$$

Therefore \mathcal{T}_∞ is not a topology on X in general. \square

EXERCISE 4.

- (a) Let $\{\mathcal{T}_\alpha\}$ be a family of topologies on X . Then $\bigcap \mathcal{T}_\alpha$ is also a topology on X , but $\bigcup \mathcal{T}_\alpha$ is not in general.

Proof. The first claim is immediate from the fact that each \mathcal{T}_α is a topology. (Note if the family is empty, the intersection gives the discrete topology on X .)

To see that the union is not in general, set $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and define topologies

$$\mathcal{T}_1 = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, X\} \quad \mathcal{T}_2 = \{\emptyset, \{b\}, X\}$$

Then $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_1 \cup \mathcal{T}_2$ is not a topology, as $\{a\}, \{b\} \in \mathcal{T}$ but $\{a\} \cup \{b\} = \{a, b\} \notin \mathcal{T}$. \square

- (b) Let $\{\mathcal{T}_\alpha\}$ be a family of topologies on X . Then there exists a unique smallest topology on X containing all \mathcal{T}_α , and in addition a unique largest topology on X contained in all \mathcal{T}_α .

Proof. Let \mathcal{T} be the topology generated by the subbasis $S = \bigcup \mathcal{T}_\alpha$. Then \mathcal{T} is a topology on X containing all \mathcal{T}_α , and any topology on X containing all \mathcal{T}_α must contain \mathcal{T} (since such a topology will be closed under unions of finite intersections over S), so \mathcal{T} is smallest and unique.

It is immediate that $\bigcap \mathcal{T}_\alpha$ is the largest topology on X contained in all \mathcal{T}_α . \square

- (c) Set $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and define the topologies

$$\mathcal{T}_1 = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, X\} \quad \mathcal{T}_2 = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b, c\}, X\}$$

The smallest topology containing both is $\{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, X\}$. The largest topology contained in both is $\{\emptyset, \{a\}, X\}$.

EXERCISE 5. Let \mathcal{A} be a basis for a topology on X . Then the topology generated by \mathcal{A} is equal to the intersection of all topologies on X containing \mathcal{A} .

Proof. Both equal the unique smallest topology of X containing \mathcal{A} . \square

EXERCISE 6. The topologies \mathbb{R}_I and \mathbb{R}_K are not comparable.

Proof. Note $0 \in [0, 1)$, but there are no $a < b$ with $0 \in (a, b) - K \subseteq [0, 1)$. It follows that $\mathbb{R}_I \not\subseteq \mathbb{R}_K$ (Lemma 13.3). Conversely, note that $0 \in (-1, 1) - K$, but there are no $a < b$ with $0 \in [a, b] \subseteq (-1, 1) - K$, so $\mathbb{R}_K \not\subseteq \mathbb{R}_I$. \square

EXERCISE 8.

- (a) Let $\mathcal{B} = \{(a, b) \mid a < b \text{ rationals}\}$. Then \mathcal{B} is a countable basis generating the standard topology on \mathbb{R} .

Proof. It is immediate that \mathcal{B} is a countable collection of open sets. Note any interval (r, s) with $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$ can be expressed as a union of elements of \mathcal{B} (since the rationals are dense in \mathbb{R}), hence any open set can be expressed as a union of elements of \mathcal{B} (Lemma 13.1). Therefore \mathcal{B} is a basis for the standard topology (Lemma 13.2). \square

- (c) Let $\mathcal{C} = \{[a, b) \mid a < b \text{ rationals}\}$. Then \mathcal{C} is a basis for \mathbb{R} generating a topology different than \mathbb{R}_l .

Proof. It is immediate that \mathcal{C} is a basis. Consider basis element $B = [\pi, \pi + 1)$ in \mathbb{R}_l . Note $\pi \in B$, but there are no $a < b$ rational with $\pi \in [a, b) \subseteq [\pi, \pi + 1)$, lest $a = \pi$ be irrational. Thus the topology generated by \mathcal{C} is different from \mathbb{R}_l . \square

Section 16

EXERCISE 1. Let Y be a subspace of the topological space X and $A \subseteq Y$. Then the topology A inherits as a subspace of Y is the same as the topology A inherits as a subspace of X .

Proof. For the purposes of this proof, let $\mathcal{T}_{A,Z}$ denote the topology A inherits as a subspace of the topological space Z . Let \mathcal{T} denote the topology of X . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{A,Y} &= \{U \cap A \mid U \in \mathcal{T}_{Y,X}\} && \text{by definition} \\ &= \{(U \cap Y) \cap A \mid U \in \mathcal{T}\} && \text{since } \mathcal{T}_{Y,X} = \{U \cap Y \mid U \in \mathcal{T}\} \\ &= \{U \cap A \mid U \in \mathcal{T}\} && \text{since } A \subseteq Y \\ &= \mathcal{T}_{A,X} && \text{by definition} \end{aligned}$$

This establishes the result. \square

EXERCISE 2. Let \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{T}' be topologies on X with $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{T}'$, and suppose $Y \subseteq X$. Let \mathcal{T}_Y and \mathcal{T}'_Y denote the corresponding subspace topologies on Y . Then $\mathcal{T}_Y \subseteq \mathcal{T}'_Y$, but this inclusion need not be proper.

Proof. It is immediate from definitions that $\mathcal{T}_Y \subseteq \mathcal{T}'_Y$.

To see equality, set $X = \mathbb{R}$ and $Y = \mathbb{Z}$, let \mathcal{T} be the topology generated by intervals (a, b) with $a < b$ integers, and let \mathcal{T}' be the standard topology. Then $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{T}'$, but $\mathcal{T}_Y = \mathcal{T}'_Y$ is just the discrete topology on \mathbb{Z} . \square

EXERCISE 3. Consider $Y = [-1, 1]$ as a subspace of \mathbb{R} . Then we have the following:

Subset	Open in Y	Open in \mathbb{R}
$A = \{x \mid \frac{1}{2} < x < 1\}$	Yes	Yes
$B = \{x \mid \frac{1}{2} < x \leq 1\}$	Yes	No
$C = \{x \mid \frac{1}{2} \leq x < 1\}$	No	No
$D = \{x \mid \frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq 1\}$	No	No
$E = \{x \mid 0 < x < 1 \text{ and } 1/x \notin \mathbb{Z}_+\}$	Yes	Yes

Note $E = (-1, 0) \cup (\bigcup_{n \geq 1} (-\frac{1}{n+1}, -\frac{1}{n}))$, a union of open sets in both spaces.

EXERCISE 4. The projection maps on the product space are open maps (that is, they map open sets to open sets).

Proof. Let $X_1 \times X_2$ be a product space and suppose $U \subseteq X_1 \times X_2$ is open. If $u \in U$, then by definition there exists some basis element $B_1 \times B_2 \subseteq X_1 \times X_2$, where B_i is open in X_i , and $u \in B_1 \times B_2$. Then $\pi_i(u) \in B_i$. Since u was arbitrary, $\pi_i(U)$ can be expressed as a union of open sets in X_i , and hence is open in X_i as desired. \square

EXERCISE 6. The countable collection

$$\{(a, b) \times (c, d) \mid a < b \text{ and } c < d \text{ rationals}\}$$

is a basis for \mathbb{R}^2 .

Proof. Immediate by the density of \mathbb{Q} in \mathbb{R} (cf. Exercise 13.8(a)). \square

EXERCISE 7. If X is an ordered set and Y is a proper, convex subset of X , it need not be the case that Y is an interval or ray in X .

Indeed, consider $X = \mathbb{Q}$ and $Y = \{q \in \mathbb{Q} \mid q^2 < 2\}$. Then it is immediate that Y is proper and convex in X . But Y is not an interval or a ray in X . Note that Y is bounded in X by elements not in Y , so it is not a ray. Also it has no least or greatest element, so it is not a closed or half-open interval. If $Y = (a, b)$ for a, b rational, then $b^2 \not< 2$. But then since $b^2 \neq 2$ (no rational has square equal to 2), we must have $2 < b^2$. Now by computation we can choose $c < b$ with $2 < c^2$. But then since $c < b$, $c \in Y$, so $2 < c^2 < 2$ —a contradiction. This shows that Y is not an open interval either.

EXERCISE 10. Let $I = [0, 1]$. Let \mathcal{T}_1 denote the product topology on $I \times I$, \mathcal{T}_2 denote the dictionary order topology on $I \times I$, and \mathcal{T}_3 denote the subspace topology $I \times I$ inherits from $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ under the dictionary order topology.

Then \mathcal{T}_3 is strictly finer than \mathcal{T}_1 and \mathcal{T}_2 , while \mathcal{T}_1 and \mathcal{T}_2 are not comparable.

Proof. Recall that basis elements of \mathcal{T}_1 are interiors of rectangles (with possible edges touching edges of the square), basis elements of \mathcal{T}_2 are open vertical segments in the square (with possible endpoints only at the lower left and upper right corners of the square), and basis elements of \mathcal{T}_3 are restrictions of open vertical segments in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ to the square.

It is then immediate that \mathcal{T}_3 is finer than both \mathcal{T}_1 and \mathcal{T}_2 . To see that it is strictly finer, note that $(0 \times \frac{1}{2}, 0 \times 1]$ is a basis element in \mathcal{T}_3 , but there are no basis elements of \mathcal{T}_1 or \mathcal{T}_2 containing the point 0×1 and contained in this set.

It is immediate that \mathcal{T}_1 is not finer than \mathcal{T}_2 . Note that the rectangle $[0, 1] \times [0, \frac{1}{2})$ is a basis element in \mathcal{T}_1 containing the point $\frac{1}{2} \times 0$, but there is no basis element of \mathcal{T}_2 containing this point which is contained in the rectangle. Hence \mathcal{T}_2 is not finer than \mathcal{T}_1 . Thus \mathcal{T}_1 and \mathcal{T}_2 are not comparable. \square