

Project #1Multinomial logistic regression

Student: David Albert

Contents

I	Task 1: Multinomial logistic regression			3
	1	Code explanation and results		
		1.1	Code explanation	3
		1.2	Outputs	4
	2	Deriva	ations of the optimal estimator and the optimal average MSE	5
		2.1	Integration of the 1st part	5
		2.2	Integration of the 2nd part	5
		2.3	Integration of the 3rd part	6
		2.4	Minimization of average MSE over all θ	6
II	Task 2: Rain or shine			
	1	Explar	nation and outputs of <i>project1_task2_generate.py</i>	8
		1.1	Explanation	8
		1.2	Outputs	9
	2	Explar	nation and outputs of <i>project1_task2.py</i>	9
		2.1	Explanations	9
		2.2	Outputs	11

Part I

Task 1: Multinomial logistic regression

1 Code explanation and results

1.1 Code explanation

Creating the computational graph using softmax function as output and so *softmax cross entropy with logits* as loss function.

```
x = tf.placeholder(tf.float32, shape=[None, 3])
y_true = tf.placeholder(tf.float32, shape=[None, 3])

w = tf.Variable(tf.truncated_normal([3, 3], stddev=0.5))
b = tf.Variable(tf.constant(0.1, shape=[3]))
z = tf.matmul(x, w) + b
y_pred = tf.nn.softmax(z)

loss = tf.reduce_mean(
    tf.nn.softmax_cross_entropy_with_logits(
        labels=y_true,logits=z))
train = tf.train.GradientDescentOptimizer(0.1).minimize(loss)
mse = tf.reduce_mean((y_pred - y_true)**2)
```

At each epoch, we create $n = mini_batch_size$ random samples of $\theta = \{\theta_0, \theta_1, \theta_2\}$ from the uniform distribution on the simplex $\theta_0 + \theta_1 + \theta_2 = 1$. It is similar to sampling from the Dirichlet(1,1,1) distribution.

```
# Sampling theta=(theta0,theta1,theta2) uniformly on the simplex
# -> theta0+theta1+theta2=1
theta = np.random.dirichlet((1, 1, 1), mini_batch_size)
```

We then generate data according to the random values of θ above mentionned. We sample values from the corresponding 3-ary distribution and transform the result $x \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ as an *one hot* vector.

```
# Change data as one_hot vector
one_hot_data = np.zeros((mini_batch_size,3))
one_hot_data[np.arange(mini_batch_size), data.astype(int)] = 1
```

Finally, we train the model to predict θ from a single input.

```
_, train_mse = sess.run((train, mse),
	feed_dict={x: one_hot_data, y_true: theta})
```

And test and display the results.

```
print('w: ', sess.run(w))
print('b: ', sess.run(b))
# estimated theta when 0 is observed
print('t0:', sess.run(y_pred, feed_dict={x:[[1,0,0]]}))
# estimated theta when 1 is observed
print('t1:', sess.run(y_pred, feed_dict={x:[[0,1,0]]}))
# estimated theta when 2 is observed
print('t2:', sess.run(y_pred, feed_dict={x:[[0,0,1]]}))
```

1.2 Outputs

Figure I.1 – Outputs

The results (values of θ and MSE) are similar to the theoritical values found in the next section (see subsection 2.4).

2 Derivations of the optimal estimator and the optimal average MSE

The goal is to determine:

$$\hat{\theta}_{MSE} = argmin_{\hat{\theta}} \left[2 \int_0^1 \int_0^{1-\theta_0} \mathbb{E}_{X \sim p_{\theta}(x)} \left[\| \hat{\theta}(X) - \theta \|^2 \right] d\theta_1 d\theta_0 \right]$$

We have:

$$\mathbb{E}_{X \sim p_{\theta}(x)} \left(\|\hat{\theta}(X) - \theta\|^{2} \right) = \mathbb{E}_{X \sim p_{\theta}(x)} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{2} \left(\hat{\theta}_{i}(X) - \theta_{i} \right)^{2} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i} \left(\mathbb{E} \left([\hat{\theta}_{i}(X)]^{2} \right) - 2\theta_{i} \mathbb{E} \left(\hat{\theta}_{i}(X) \right) + \mathbb{E} \left(\theta_{i}^{2} \right) \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{2} \sum_{j=0}^{2} \theta_{j} [\hat{\theta}_{i}(j)]^{2} - 2 \sum_{i=0}^{2} \sum_{j=0}^{2} \theta_{i} \theta_{j} \hat{\theta}_{i}(j) + \sum_{i=0}^{2} \theta_{i}^{2}$$

$$= (1) \qquad = (2)$$

Now, we can integrate each part of the MSE over θ_0 and θ_1 . Because the probability distribution of $\theta = \{\theta_0, \theta_1, \theta_2\}$ is symetric, we can determine $\hat{\theta}(0) = \left[\hat{\theta}_0(0), \hat{\theta}_1(0), \hat{\theta}_2(0)\right]$ and infer the values of $\hat{\theta}(1)$ and $\hat{\theta}(2)$.

2.1 Integration of the 1st part

Let's calculate:

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^{1-\theta_0} (1) \ d\theta_1 d\theta_0$$

with:

$$(1) = \theta_0 \left([\hat{\theta}_0(0)]^2 + [\hat{\theta}_1(0)]^2 + [\hat{\theta}_2(0)]^2 \right) + \theta_1 \left([\hat{\theta}_0(1)]^2 + [\hat{\theta}_1(1)]^2 + [\hat{\theta}_2(1)]^2 \right) + \theta_2 \left([\hat{\theta}_0(2)]^2 + [\hat{\theta}_1(2)]^2 + [\hat{\theta}_2(2)]^2 \right)$$

We find that:

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^{1-\theta_0} (1) d\theta_1 d\theta_0 = \sum_{j=0}^2 \frac{\|\hat{\theta}(j)\|^2}{6}$$

2.2 Integration of the 2nd part

Let's now calculate:

$$\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1-\theta_{0}} (2) d\theta_{1} d\theta_{0}$$

with:

$$(2) = -2[\theta_0^2 \hat{\theta}_0(0) + \theta_0 \theta_1 \hat{\theta}_1(0) + \theta_0 \theta_2 \hat{\theta}_2(0) + \theta_0 \theta_1 \hat{\theta}_0(1) + \theta_1^2 \hat{\theta}_1(1) + \theta_1 \theta_2 \hat{\theta}_2(1) + \theta_0 \theta_2 \hat{\theta}_0(2) + \theta_1 \theta_2 \hat{\theta}_1(2) + \theta_2^2 \hat{\theta}_2(2)]$$

By keeping only the terms referring to $\hat{\theta}(0)$, we have:

$$-2\int_0^1 \int_0^{1-\theta_0} \theta_0^2 \hat{\theta}_0(0) + \theta_0 \theta_1 \hat{\theta}_1(0) + \theta_0 (1-\theta_0-\theta_1) \hat{\theta}_2(0) d\theta_1 d\theta_0 = -\frac{1}{6} \hat{\theta}_0(0) - \frac{1}{12} \hat{\theta}_1(0) - \frac{1}{12} \hat{\theta}_2(0)$$

Because the probability distribution of θ is symetric, we can infer the results of the integral for the terms $\hat{\theta}(1)$ and $\hat{\theta}(2)$ and thus we find that:

$$\begin{split} \int_0^1 \int_0^{1-\theta_0} (2) \; d\theta_1 d\theta_0 &= -\frac{1}{6} \hat{\theta}_0(0) - \frac{1}{12} \hat{\theta}_1(0) - \frac{1}{12} \hat{\theta}_2(0) \\ &- \frac{1}{12} \hat{\theta}_0(1) - \frac{1}{6} \hat{\theta}_1(1) - \frac{1}{12} \hat{\theta}_2(1) \\ &- \frac{1}{12} \hat{\theta}_0(2) - \frac{1}{12} \hat{\theta}_1(2) - \frac{1}{6} \hat{\theta}_2(2) \end{split}$$

2.3 Integration of the 3rd part

Let's finally calculate:

$$\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1-\theta_{0}} (3) d\theta_{1} d\theta_{0}$$

with:

$$(3) = \theta_0^2 + \theta_1^2 + \theta_2^2$$

= $\theta_0^2 + \theta_1^2 + (1 - \theta_0 - \theta_1)^2$
= $2\theta_0^2 + 2\theta_1^2 - 2\theta_0 - 2\theta_1 + 2\theta_0\theta_1 + 1$

We find:

$$\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1-\theta_{0}} 2\theta_{0}^{2} + 2\theta_{1}^{2} - 2\theta_{0} - 2\theta_{1} + 2\theta_{0}\theta_{1} + 1 d\theta_{1}d\theta_{0} = \int_{0}^{1} \left(-\frac{5}{3}\theta_{0}^{3} + 3\theta_{0}^{2} - 2\theta_{0} + \frac{2}{3} \right) d\theta_{0}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4}$$

2.4 Minimization of average MSE over all heta

Thus, the estimator based one sample that minimizes the average MSE over all θ is given by:

$$\begin{split} \hat{\theta}_{MSE} &= argmin_{\hat{\theta}} \left[2 \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1-\theta_{0}} \mathbb{E}_{X \sim p_{\theta}(x)} \left[\| \ \hat{\theta}(X) - \theta \|^{2} \right] \ d\theta_{1} d\theta_{0} \right] \\ &= argmin_{\hat{\theta}} \left[2 \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1-\theta_{0}} (1) + (2) + (3) \ d\theta_{1} d\theta_{0} \right] \\ &= argmin_{\hat{\theta}} \left[\frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{6} \left(\hat{\theta}_{0}(0) - \frac{1}{2} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{6} \left(\hat{\theta}_{1}(0) - \frac{1}{4} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{6} \left(\hat{\theta}_{2}(0) - \frac{1}{4} \right)^{2} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{6} \left(\hat{\theta}_{0}(1) - \frac{1}{4} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{6} \left(\hat{\theta}_{1}(1) - \frac{1}{2} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{6} \left(\hat{\theta}_{2}(1) - \frac{1}{4} \right)^{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{6} \left(\hat{\theta}_{0}(2) - \frac{1}{4} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{6} \left(\hat{\theta}_{1}(2) - \frac{1}{4} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{6} \left(\hat{\theta}_{2}(2) - \frac{1}{2} \right)^{2} \end{split}$$

Finally,

$$\hat{\theta}_{MSE}(x) = \begin{cases} (1/2, 1/4, 1/4) & if \ x = 0 \\ (1/4, 1/2, 1/4) & if \ x = 1 \\ (1/4, 1/4, 1/2) & if \ x = 2 \end{cases}$$

For this estimator, the MSE averaged over all θ is equal to $\frac{1}{8} = 3 \times \frac{1}{24}$. Thus, the error contribution for each $\hat{\theta}_i$, $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ is $\frac{1}{24} \approx 0.04$. We find the same result than the pratical result (section 1.2).

Part II

Task 2: Rain or shine

1 Explanation and outputs of project1_task2_generate.py

1.1 Explanation

Function to approximate the steady-state by multiplying the transition matrix of the markov chain. The stop condition is $|P^{i+1} - P^i| < \epsilon$ or i > 10000

```
# Approximate the steady—state by multiplying the transition
# matrix of the markov chain until convergence.
def approx_steady(t_matrix, eps=0.0001):
    def dist_max(x,y):
        return max(np.abs(x-y))
    i = 0
    t0 = t_matrix
    t1 = np.matmul(t0, t_matrix)
    while (i<10000 and dist_max(t0[0],t1[0])>eps):
        t0=t1
        t1 = np.matmul(t0, t_matrix)
    return t0[0]
```

The function *generate_next_state* generates a next state X_{t+1} given previous state X_t and transition matrix P.

```
# Return a state given a list of proba :
#t_prob = [p(0), p(1), p(2), p(3)]
def generate_state(t_prob):
    p = np.random.rand(1)
    cumsum = np.cumsum(t_prob)
    for i, val in enumerate(cumsum):
        if (p<val):
            return i

# Generate next state given previous state
# and transition matrix
def generate_next_state(prev_state, tr_mat):
        return generate_state(tr_mat[prev_state])</pre>
```

We then can generate easily n=100000 data given the transition matrix describes by psh, pra, pcl, psn. X_0 is generated thanks to the approximation of the steady-state probabilities.

```
# prob. conditional of sh (shine), ra (rain), cl (cloudy),
            given for each shine, rain, cloudy, snow
# sn (snow)
psh = [0.6, 0.1, 0.1, 0.1]
pra = [0.1, 0.5, 0.3, 0.1]
pc1 = [0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.3]
psn = [0.1, 0.1, 0.2, 0.5]
# create transition matrix of the markov chain
tr_mat = np.transpose([psh, pra, pcl, psn])
# steady-state prob. of respectively shine, rain, cloudy, snow
pr = approx_steady(tr_mat)
print("Approx steady-state prob. : {}".format(pr))
n = 100000
x = np.zeros(n, dtype=np.int)
for k in range(n):
    if k == 0:
        x[k] = generate_state(pr)
    else:
        x[k] = generate_next_state(x[k-1], tr_mat)
```

1.2 Outputs

```
Approx steady-state prob. : [0.20019531 0.27027365 0.31106228 0.21846876]
> 19.982% shine days in data
> 27.11% rain days in data
> 31.178% cloudy days in data
> 21.73% snow days in data
```

Figure II.1 – Stats of data

2 Explanation and outputs of project1_task2.py

2.1 Explanations

We assume in this example that the weather at day t is fixed. It can take only one value given by shine (0), rain (1), cloudy (2), snow (3). Thus, we create a placeholder for the input (day t) and one for the label (day t+1) which are integers $x \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ and translate these values in "one hot" vector to help our neural network training.

```
# Create placeholder of input : the weather at day t.
# shine (0), rain (1), cloudy (2), snow (3)
x = tf.placeholder(tf.int32, shape=[None, 1])
x_hot = tf.one_hot(x, 4)
```

```
# Create placeholder of input : the weather at day t+1.
# shine (0), rain (1), cloudy (2), snow (3)
y_true = tf.placeholder(tf.int32, shape=[None, 1])
y_true_hot = tf.one_hot(y_true, 4)
```

Then, we create our neural network architecture. It consists of a simple multinomial logistic regression with four inputs and four outputs. Thus, the computed function is :

```
p(y=i\mid x;w,b)=softmax(w^Tx+b)_i\;,\;\;\forall i\in\{0,1,2,3\} with x\in\mathbb{R}^4, w\in\mathbb{R}^4\times\mathbb{R}^4 and b\in\mathbb{R}^4
```

We train the model as for the rain and shine problem.

Finnaly, we test the model and display results. We display the probability of weather at day t + 1 given weather at day t for all possible weather at day t.

```
s_test = np.zeros((4, 1))
s_test[0] = 0
s_test[1] = 1
s_test[2] = 2
s_test[3] = 3

# Evalute prediction for each weather at day t
pred = y_pred.eval(feed_dict={x: s_test})

# Display results
print()
print("——— Resultats pred prob. cond ———")
```

```
print("Proba[ X(t+1) | X(t) = 'shine' ] = {}".format(pred[0]))
print("Proba[ X(t+1) | X(t) = 'rain' ] = {}".format(pred[1]))
print("Proba[ X(t+1) | X(t) = 'cloudy' ] = {}".format(pred[2]))
print("Proba[ X(t+1) | X(t) = 'snow' ] = {}".format(pred[3]))
```

2.2 Outputs

```
----- Resultats pred prob. cond -----
Proba[ X(t+1) | X(t) = 'shine' ] = [[0.59664243 0.10369433 0.20219867 0.09746451]]
Proba[ X(t+1) | X(t) = 'rain' ] = [[0.09989394 0.49063125 0.30890697 0.1005678 ]]
Proba[ X(t+1) | X(t) = 'cloudy' ] = [[0.09801193 0.29907972 0.40050235 0.20240599]]
Proba[ X(t+1) | X(t) = 'snow' ] = [[0.10040201 0.10248841 0.30068332 0.49642625]]
```

Figure II.2 – Prediction prob. of weather at day t+1 given weather at day t

We can see that the neural network have approximately learnt the conditional probabilities given in the table of proba. There is a small error probably due to the small number of initial data.