Comparison performance report

To evaluate the performance using different amounts of threads, the following settings were applied:

Resolution: 1920x1080

Number of iterations: 30.000 Number of initial points: 20

Number of linear transformations: 4

Symmetry parameter: 4 Number of threads: 1-6

To compare the performance, the following procedure was chosen: generate 20 similar fractals 5 times in a row with the specific settings, and find t_{avg} :

$$t_{avg} = \frac{t_{total} - t_{best} - t_{worst}}{20}$$

where

 t_{total} is the total execution time for 5 by 20 generations, t_{best} is the best execution time among these 5 tries t_{worst} is the worst execution time among these 5 tries Thus, only 60 generations were considered (5x20-20-20=60)

Results:

Diamond Transformation:

1 thread: $t_{avg} = 824ms$

2 threads: $t_{avg} = 756ms(-8\%)$

3 threads: $t_{avg} = 687ms(-10\%)$

4 threads: $t_{avg} = 653ms(-5\%)$

5 threads: $t_{avg} = 578ms(-12\%)$

6 threads: $t_{avg} = 985ms(+70\%)$

Bubble Transformation:

1 thread: $t_{avq} = 455ms$

2 threads: $t_{avg} = 420ms(-8\%)$

3 threads: $t_{avg} = 370ms(-12\%)$

4 threads: $t_{avg} = 528ms(+42\%)$

5 threads: $t_{avg} = 403ms(-24\%)$

6 threads: $t_{avg} = 663ms(+64\%)$

Exponential Transformation:

- **1 thread:** $t_{avg} = 715ms$
- **2 threads:** $t_{avg} = 678ms(-6\%)$
- **3 threads:** $t_{avg} = 652ms(-4\%)$
- 4 threads: $t_{avg} = 704ms(+7\%)$
- **5 threads:** $t_{avg} = 836ms(+18\%)$
- **6 threads:** $t_{avg} = 1014ms(+21\%)$

Fisheye Transformation:

- **1 thread:** $t_{avg} = 423ms$
- **2 threads:** $t_{avg} = 407ms(-4\%)$
- **3 threads:** $t_{avg} = 390ms(-5\%)$
- 4 threads: $t_{avg} = 490ms(+25\%)$
- **5 threads:** $t_{avg} = 544ms(+11\%)$
- **6 threads:** $t_{avg} = 632ms(+16\%)$

Rays Transformation:

- **1 thread:** $t_{avg} = 531ms$
- **2 threads:** $t_{avg} = 551ms(+3\%)$
- 3 threads: $t_{avg} = 476ms(-14\%)$
- 4 threads: $t_{avg} = 450ms(-6\%)$
- **5 threads:** $t_{avg} = 699ms(+55\%)$
- **6 threads:** $t_{avg} = 822ms(+16\%)$