

## ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# Upper-Airway Stimulation for Obstructive Sleep Apnea

Patrick J. Strollo, Jr., M.D., Ryan J. Soose, M.D., Joachim T. Maurer, M.D., Nico de Vries, M.D., Jason Cornelius, M.D., Oleg Froymovich, M.D., Ronald D. Hanson, M.D., Tapan A. Padhya, M.D., David L. Steward, M.D., M. Boyd Gillespie, M.D., B. Tucker Woodson, M.D., Paul H. Van de Heyning, M.D., Ph.D., Mark G. Goetting, M.D., Olivier M. Vanderveken, M.D., Ph.D., Neil Feldman, M.D., Lennart Knaack, M.D., and Kingman P. Strohl, M.D., for the STAR Trial Group\*

## ABSTRACT

**BACKGROUND**

Obstructive sleep apnea is associated with considerable health risks. Although continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) can mitigate these risks, effectiveness can be reduced by inadequate adherence to treatment. We evaluated the clinical safety and effectiveness of upper-airway stimulation at 12 months for the treatment of moderate-to-severe obstructive sleep apnea.

**METHODS**

Using a multicenter, prospective, single-group, cohort design, we surgically implanted an upper-airway stimulation device in patients with obstructive sleep apnea who had difficulty either accepting or adhering to CPAP therapy. The primary outcome measures were the apnea–hypopnea index (AHI; the number of apnea or hypopnea events per hour, with a score of  $\geq 15$  indicating moderate-to-severe apnea) and the oxygen desaturation index (ODI; the number of times per hour of sleep that the blood oxygen level drops by  $\geq 4$  percentage points from baseline). Secondary outcome measures were the Epworth Sleepiness Scale, the Functional Outcomes of Sleep Questionnaire (FOSQ), and the percentage of sleep time with the oxygen saturation less than 90%. Consecutive participants with a response were included in a randomized, controlled therapy-withdrawal trial.

**RESULTS**

The study included 126 participants; 83% were men. The mean age was 54.5 years, and the mean body-mass index (the weight in kilograms divided by the square of the height in meters) was 28.4. The median AHI score at 12 months decreased 68%, from 29.3 events per hour to 9.0 events per hour ( $P < 0.001$ ); the ODI score decreased 70%, from 25.4 events per hour to 7.4 events per hour ( $P < 0.001$ ). Secondary outcome measures showed a reduction in the effects of sleep apnea and improved quality of life. In the randomized phase, the mean AHI score did not differ significantly from the 12-month score in the nonrandomized phase among the 23 participants in the therapy-maintenance group (8.9 and 7.2 events per hour, respectively); the AHI score was significantly higher (indicating more severe apnea) among the 23 participants in the therapy-withdrawal group (25.8 vs. 7.6 events per hour,  $P < 0.001$ ). The ODI results followed a similar pattern. The rate of procedure-related serious adverse events was less than 2%.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In this uncontrolled cohort study, upper-airway stimulation led to significant improvements in objective and subjective measurements of the severity of obstructive sleep apnea. (Funded by Inspire Medical Systems; STAR ClinicalTrials.gov number, NCT01161420.)

The authors' affiliations are listed in the Appendix. Address reprint requests to Dr. Strollo at University of Pittsburgh Medical Center Montefiore, Suite S639.11, 3459 Fifth Ave., Pittsburgh, PA 15213-2582, or at [strollopi@upmc.edu](mailto:strollopi@upmc.edu).

\*The complete list of investigators in the Stimulation Therapy for Apnea Reduction (STAR) Trial Group is provided in the Supplementary Appendix, available at [NEJM.org](http://NEJM.org).

This article was updated on August 7, 2014, at [NEJM.org](http://NEJM.org).

*N Engl J Med* 2014;370:139-49.  
DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1308659

Copyright © 2014 Massachusetts Medical Society.

**O**BSTRUCTIVE SLEEP APNEA IS A COMMON disorder, characterized by recurrent narrowing and closure of the upper airway accompanied by intermittent oxyhemoglobin desaturation and sympathetic activation.<sup>1</sup> Sequelae include excessive sleepiness and impaired quality of life. Moderate-to-severe obstructive sleep apnea, defined as an apnea-hypopnea index (AHI) score of 15 or more apnea or hypopnea events per hour, is an independent risk factor for insulin resistance, dyslipidemia, vascular disease, and death.<sup>2-7</sup> Treatment with continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) with the use of a mask favorably modifies these adverse health consequences.<sup>8</sup> However, the general effectiveness of CPAP therapy is dependent on patient acceptance of and adherence to the treatment.<sup>9,10</sup>

Alternative treatments to CPAP include custom-made oral-appliance therapy and a variety of upper-airway surgeries.<sup>11,12</sup> Since evidence-based reviews do not uniformly support the efficacy of these treatments for moderate-to-severe sleep apnea, new therapy is desirable.<sup>13,14</sup>

The onset of apnea is accompanied by a reduction in drive to the upper-airway muscles,<sup>15,16</sup> and upper-airway patency is strongly correlated with the activation of the genioglossus muscle.<sup>17</sup> Upper-airway stimulation with the use of unilateral stimulation of the hypoglossal nerve has been developed as a possible treatment option and has shown promise in feasibility trials.<sup>18-23</sup>

Using a multicenter, prospective, single-group trial design followed by a randomized, therapy-withdrawal trial that included only participants who had had a response to therapy, we addressed the clinical safety and effectiveness of upper-airway stimulation at 12 months after implantation. This technology permits stimulation to be synchronized with ventilatory effort during sleep.

## METHODS

### PARTICIPANTS

Participants with moderate-to-severe obstructive sleep apnea were eligible for enrollment if they had difficulty accepting or adhering to CPAP treatment. Exclusion criteria were a body-mass index (BMI; the weight in kilograms divided by the square of the height in meters) of more than 32.0, neuromuscular disease, hypo-

glossal-nerve palsy, severe restrictive or obstructive pulmonary disease, moderate-to-severe pulmonary arterial hypertension, severe valvular heart disease, New York Heart Association class III or IV heart failure, recent myocardial infarction or severe cardiac arrhythmias (within the past 6 months), persistent uncontrolled hypertension despite medication use, active psychiatric disease, and coexisting nonrespiratory sleep disorders that would confound functional sleep assessment.

### STUDY DESIGN AND OVERSIGHT

The study was designed by the sponsor (Inspire Medical Systems), the investigators, and the Food and Drug Administration as a multicenter, prospective, single-group trial with participants serving as their own controls. There was no concurrent control group. The primary outcome evaluation was followed by a randomized, controlled therapy-withdrawal study that included a subgroup of consecutive participants selected from the population that had a response to therapy.

The trial protocol was approved by the institutional review board (in the United States) or medical ethics committee (in Europe) at each participating center. All the participants provided written informed consent before enrollment. An independent clinical-events committee and a data and safety monitoring board provided review and adjudication of safety data. Verification of source data was performed by independent monitors. The study investigators had full access to the data and had the right to submit the manuscript for publication without input from the sponsor. The writing committee (the first, second, and last authors), an independent statistician (Teri Yurik, NAMSA), and the sponsor vouch for the accuracy and completeness of the data and analyses and for the fidelity of the study to the protocol.

The primary outcome measures were assessed by means of overnight polysomnography and scored by an independent core laboratory with the use of standard criteria.<sup>24</sup> The data analysis was performed by the independent statistician, with the results reviewed by the first and last authors. The first author wrote the manuscript with assistance from the writing committee; no one who is not listed as an author contributed substantially to the study report.

**SCREENING AND IMPLANTATION**

In order for investigators to verify eligibility for the implantation, enrolled participants underwent screening that included polysomnography, medical and surgical consultation, and endoscopy during drug-induced sleep.<sup>25</sup> Participants were excluded if the AHI score from the screening polysomnography was less than 20 or more than 50 events per hour, if central or mixed sleep-disordered breathing events accounted for more than 25% of all apnea and hypopnea episodes, or if the AHI score while the person was not in a supine position was less than 10 events per hour. Participants were also excluded if pronounced anatomical abnormalities preventing the effective use or assessment of upper-airway stimulation were identified during the surgical consultation (e.g., tonsil size of 3 or 4 [tonsils visible beyond the pillars or extending to midline]) or if complete concentric collapse at the retropalatal airway was observed on endoscopy performed during drug-induced sleep.<sup>25</sup>

Qualified participants underwent a surgical procedure to implant the upper-airway stimulation system (Inspire Medical Systems)<sup>20</sup> (Fig. 1). The stimulation electrode was placed on the hypoglossal nerve to recruit tongue-protrusion function; the sensing lead was placed between the internal and external intercostal muscles to detect ventilatory effort; the neurostimulator was implanted in the right ipsilateral mid-infraclavicular region.

Approximately 1 month after implantation, all the participants underwent a second baseline diagnostic polysomnographic examination before activation of the device. Immediately after this polysomnography, all the participants had their device activated and were instructed regarding the use of a controller to initiate and terminate therapy on a nightly basis. After activation, participants had scheduled outpatient visits at months 2, 3, 6, 9, and 12; at each of these visits data on adverse events were obtained and device interrogation was performed.

**OUTCOME MEASURES**

The primary outcome was the change in the severity of obstructive sleep apnea in the study population, as assessed by means of the AHI and the oxygen desaturation index (ODI; the number of times per hour of sleep that the blood oxygen

level drops by  $\geq 4$  percentage points from baseline). The coprimary outcome was the proportion of participants with a response from baseline to 12 months with respect to the primary outcome measures of the AHI and ODI scores. A response as measured by means of the AHI was defined as a reduction of at least 50% from baseline in the AHI score and an AHI score on the 12-month polysomnography of less than 20 events per hour.<sup>26</sup> The ODI was chosen as a stable integrative outcome value of all forms of sleep-disordered breathing. A response as measured by means of the ODI was defined as a reduction of at least 25% from baseline in the ODI score. The prespecified primary efficacy objectives were response rates of at least 50%, as assessed by means of the AHI and ODI. All participants who received an implant were included in the primary outcome analysis; participants who did not complete the 12-month visit were considered not to have had a response.

Secondary outcome measures included self-reported sleepiness and disease-specific quality of life as assessed with the use of the Epworth Sleepiness Scale (scores range from 0.0 to 24.0, with higher scores indicating more daytime sleepiness), disease-specific quality of life, as assessed with the use of the Functional Outcomes of Sleep Questionnaire (FOSQ; scores range from 5.0 to 20.0, with higher scores indicating greater functioning), and the percentage of sleep time with the oxygen saturation less than 90%.


**FOLLOW-UP**

The follow-up visits at months 2, 6, and 12 included a polysomnographic study and evaluation of daytime sleepiness by means of the Epworth Sleepiness Scale. An Epworth Sleepiness Scale score of less than 10.0 is considered to be the threshold for normal subjective sleepiness.<sup>27</sup> Participants also completed the FOSQ, on which a score of more than 17.9 is considered to be the threshold for persons with normal sleep-related quality of life. A change of 2.0 or more points in the FOSQ score is considered to indicate a clinically meaningful improvement in daily functioning.<sup>28</sup>

During the polysomnographic studies at 2 months and 6 months, device variables were adjusted with the use of a programmer unit that communicates with the device by means of telemetry. The adjusted variables included the

stimulation voltage, rate, and pulse width and the timing of electrical stimulation. No device adjustments were made in the 30 days before or during the polysomnographic study at 12 months.

At the 12-month visit, the first 46 consecutive participants who met the criterion of having a response to therapy were randomly assigned, in a 1:1 ratio, to the therapy-maintenance group or the



**Figure 1. Upper-Airway Stimulation.**

The neurostimulator delivers electrical stimulating pulses to the hypoglossal nerve through the stimulation lead; the stimulating pulses are synchronized with ventilation detected by the sensing lead. For implantation of the device, the main trunk of the hypoglossal nerve (XII) was exposed by means of a horizontal incision in the upper neck at the inferior border of the submandibular gland. The nerve was followed anteromedially until it branched into a lateral and a medial (m-XII) division. The stimulation lead was placed on the m-XII branch. The cuff section of the stimulation lead includes three electrodes that can be arranged in a variety of unipolar or bipolar configurations for stimulation of the upper airway. Appropriate placement of the stimulation lead was confirmed by observing tongue protrusion during stimulation and by electromyographic monitoring during surgery. A second incision was made horizontally at the fourth intercostal region. The dissection was aimed at the upper border of the underlying rib. A tunnel was created posteroanteriorly between the external and internal intercostal muscles. The ventilatory sensor was placed in the tunnel, with the sensing side facing the pleura. A third incision was made horizontally, 2 to 4 cm inferior to the right clavicle. A pocket was created inferior to the incision and superficial to the pectoralis major muscle to accommodate the neurostimulator (implanted pulse generator). With a subcutaneous tunneling device, the leads of the stimulation electrode and the pressure sensor were led into the infraclavicular pocket and connected to the implanted pulse generator. Adequate functioning of the system was confirmed before closure.



therapy-withdrawal group.<sup>29</sup> This design filtered out persons who had not had a response to therapy. The therapy-withdrawal group had the device turned off for 7 days, whereas the therapy-maintenance group continued with the device turned on. Polysomnography was performed after the randomization period to measure the effects of therapy withdrawal, as compared with continued use of the therapy. For the 12-month nonrandomized phase of the study, participant enrollment commenced on November 10, 2010, and ended on March 23, 2013.

#### ADVERSE EVENTS

Adverse events were reported and then reviewed and coded by the clinical-events committee. Serious adverse events were defined as any events that led to death, life-threatening illness, permanent impairment, or new or prolonged hospitalization with serious health impairment.

#### STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

For the coprimary outcomes, the AHI and ODI scores at the 12-month follow-up were compared with the baseline measurements, which were the averages of the measurements obtained before implantation and at the 1-month preactivation visit, to determine a binary outcome of status with respect to response to the therapy. We estimated that 108 participants would need to be enrolled for the study to have 80% power to evaluate the primary outcome, with the exact one-sided binomial test set at a significance level of 2.5%. The changes in the Epworth Sleepiness Scale and FOSQ scores from the preimplantation screening to the 12-month visit were calculated for each participant. P values from a paired t-test were calculated for the secondary outcome measures.

In the randomized controlled therapy-withdrawal trial, the difference in mean AHI scores (i.e., the difference between scores obtained at the 12-month visit in the nonrandomized phase and those obtained at the end of the randomized phase) was compared between the therapy-maintenance group and the therapy-withdrawal group. We estimated that 40 participants would need to undergo randomization in a 1:1 ratio in order for the study to have 80% power to detect a significant difference between groups, at the 5% significance level, with the use of a two-sided t-test.

## RESULTS

### CHARACTERISTICS OF THE PARTICIPANTS

The study population consisted of 126 participants (83% of whom were men), with a mean age of 54.5 years (range, 31 to 80) and mean BMI of 28.4 (range, 18.4 to 32.5). Per protocol, all the participants had a history of nonadherence to CPAP therapy; 17% of the participants had undergone a uvulopalatopharyngoplasty (surgery to remove excess upper-airway tissue) for the treatment of obstructive sleep apnea.

The mean time from the diagnosis of obstructive sleep apnea to study enrollment was 5.6 years. The mean AHI score on preimplantation screening polysomnography was 32.0 events per hour, and the mean ODI score was 28.9 events per hour. At the baseline visit before implantation, the mean FOSQ score was 14.3, and the mean Epworth Sleepiness Scale score was 11.6. The mean AHI score on the second baseline polysomnography was 31.9 events per hour. There was no significant difference between the two baseline AHI assessments ( $P=0.83$ ).

A total of 124 of 126 participants (98%) completed the follow-up at 12 months. The mean BMI at 12 months was 28.5, which did not differ significantly from the mean BMI at baseline. The characteristics of the study cohort at baseline are presented in Table 1. Information on study enrollment, randomization, and follow-up are shown in Figure 2.

### SURGICAL IMPLANTATION

The upper-airway stimulation device was successfully implanted in all 126 participants. The median time for surgical implantation was 140 minutes (range, 65 to 360). Participants were discharged after surgery on the same day (16% of participants), the next day (79%), or the second day after surgery (5%).

### PRIMARY OUTCOMES

The scores on the AHI and ODI (primary outcome measures) were lower (indicating fewer episodes of sleep apnea) at 12 months than at baseline. The median AHI score decreased 68%, from the baseline value of 29.3 events per hour to 9.0 events per hour. The median ODI score decreased 70%, from 25.4 events per hour to 7.4 events per hour. At the 12-month visit, the

**Table 1. Characteristics of the Study Population at Baseline.\***

Characteristic	Participants (N=126)
Age — yr	54.5±10.2
Male sex — no. (%)	105 (83)
White race — no. (%)†	122 (97)
Body-mass index‡	28.4±2.6
Neck size — cm	41.2±3.2
Blood pressure — mm Hg	
Systolic	128.7±16.1
Diastolic	81.5±9.7
Hypertension — no. (%)	48 (38)
Diabetes — no. (%)	11 (9)
Asthma — no. (%)	6 (5)
Congestive heart failure — no. (%)	2 (2)
Uvulopalatopharyngoplasty — no. (%)	22 (17)

\* Plus-minus values are means ±SD.

† Race was self-reported.

‡ The body-mass index is the weight in kilograms divided by the square of the height in meters.

criteria for the coprimary outcome of a reduction of at least 50% in the AHI score from baseline and an AHI score of less than 20 events per hour were met by 66% of the participants (83 of 126 participants; lower boundary of the 97.5% confidence interval [CI], 57). The criterion for the coprimary outcome of a reduction of at least 25% in the ODI score from baseline was met by 75% of participants (94 of 126; lower boundary of the 97.5% CI, 66). Both primary efficacy outcomes exceeded the predefined study objectives (Table 2).

## SECONDARY OUTCOMES

Scores on the FOSQ and Epworth Sleepiness Scale indicated significant improvement at 12 months, as compared with baseline. The increase in the FOSQ score (mean change, 2.9 points; 95% CI, 2.4 to 3.5) exceeded the 2.0-point increase that is typically considered to be a clinically meaningful improvement. Similarly, the Epworth Sleepiness Scale score at 12 months was consistent with normalization of the measure (i.e., score <10.0). The median percentage of sleep time with the oxygen saturation less than 90% decreased from a baseline value of 5.4% to 0.9% at 12 months (Table 2).

## THERAPY-WITHDRAWAL STUDY

Among the 46 consecutive participants with a response to therapy who underwent randomization, the demographic and clinical characteristics at baseline were similar with regard to age, BMI, neck size, and AHI and ODI scores. By design, participants who had not had a response were not included in this part of the study.

The AHI and ODI scores were similar in the two groups at 12 months (baseline of the randomized portion of the trial). There was a significant difference between the therapy-withdrawal group and the therapy-maintenance group with respect to the change in AHI scores from the beginning of the randomization period at 12 months to the assessment 1 week later. Among the 23 participants in the therapy-withdrawal group, the AHI score was significantly higher at the 1-week assessment than it was at the start of the randomized phase (25.8 vs. 7.6 events per hour,  $P<0.001$ ). The average increase in the AHI score in the therapy-withdrawal group was 18.2 events per hour, whereas the average increase in the therapy-maintenance group was 1.7 events per hour (difference in changes in mean scores,  $16.4\pm12.0$  events per hour;  $P<0.001$ ). A similar effect was observed with respect to the mean ODI scores (Fig. 3).

## ADVERSE EVENTS

Two participants had a serious device-related adverse event requiring repositioning and fixation of the neurostimulator to resolve discomfort. A total of 33 serious adverse events not considered to be related to the implantation procedure or implanted devices were reported. Most of non-serious adverse events related to the procedure (88%) occurred within 30 days after implantation and were expected postsurgical events, including sore throat from intubation, pain at the incision site, and muscle soreness.

A total of 18% of the participants had temporary tongue weakness after surgery, which resolved over a period of days to weeks. No permanent tongue weakness was reported during the study. Among device-related events that were not considered to be serious, 40% of the participants reported some discomfort associated with stimulation, and 21% reported tongue soreness, including abrasion on the lower side of the tongue. These events were related to the functional


stimulation of the tongue muscles and the resulting tongue motion over the lower teeth. Most of these events resolved after the participants acclimated to the upper-airway stimulation therapy or after the device was reprogrammed to adjust the stimulation variables. In nine participants, a tooth guard was used to resolve tongue soreness or abrasion related to the device.

The overall rate of serious adverse events was less than 2%. A detailed list of adverse events is provided in Table S1 in the Supplementary Appendix, available with the full text of this article at NEJM.org.

## DISCUSSION

Patients with moderate-to-severe obstructive sleep apnea may not have consistent clinical benefit from CPAP therapy owing to poor adherence to treatment.<sup>30</sup> These patients, if left untreated, remain at considerable risk for cardiovascular complications and death. In the current study, unilateral stimulation of the hypoglossal nerve, synchronous with ventilation, resulted in significant and clinically meaningful reductions in the severity of obstructive sleep apnea and self-reported sleepiness and improvements in quality-of-life measures at 1 year. The observed response rates, which were based on the primary outcome measures of AHI and ODI, consistently exceeded the previously defined threshold for surgical success.<sup>12</sup> The reduction in sleepiness and improvement in quality-of-life measures at 12 months were similar to previously reported effects of CPAP on moderate-to-severe obstructive sleep apnea.<sup>28</sup>

The effect of stimulation of the hypoglossal nerve with respect to obstructive events was first described by Schwartz et al. in 1993 in a feline model.<sup>31</sup> Subsequent studies showed that stimulation of the genioglossal muscle or the hypoglossal nerve could reverse inspiratory flow limitation during sleep.<sup>17</sup> The current study extended the observations that were reported by Eastwood et al. over a period of 6 months in a single-group interventional trial.<sup>18</sup> The feasibility studies conducted by our team identified a BMI of 32 or lower or an AHI score of 50 events per hour or less as phenotypic risk factors that favorably affect the success of upper-airway stimulation.<sup>22,25</sup> This approach may not be appropriate for persons with excessive airway collapsibility.<sup>32</sup> Screening potential participants by means of



**Figure 2. Study Enrollment.**

Of 929 participants enrolled, 205 were excluded before undergoing a screening test. An additional 598 participants were excluded after the screening assessment, which included polysomnography, consultation with the surgeon, and endoscopy during sleep; 56 of these participants were excluded after the endoscopy was performed during drug-induced sleep (25% of the 222 participants who underwent the procedure). A total of 126 participants underwent implantation. The apnea–hypopnea index (AHI) measures the number of apnea or hypopnea events per hour. A tonsil size of 3 indicates that the tonsils are visible beyond the pillars, and a tonsil size of 4 that they extend to the midline.

endoscopy during drug-induced sleep helped to identify functional upper-airway collapse that was likely to be focused on the retrolingual region and therefore amenable to forward motion of the base of the tongue by means of neurostimulation.<sup>25</sup>

Surgical implantation of the upper-airway stimulation system was performed by otolaryngologists at 22 academic and private centers. None of the implantation procedures resulted in serious complications, participant rehospitalizations, or explantations because of infection. The serious adverse events in the two participants

who required repositioning and fixation of the neurostimulator occurred 30 days after implantation and were related primarily to discomfort at the device location. The electrical stimulation of the hypoglossal nerve evokes a functional response of the tongue muscles and an anterior displacement of the tongue. The patient can feel the anterior displacement of the tongue during wakefulness when the stimulation is turned on. Similar to CPAP, therapeutic stimulation variables were determined during attended in-laboratory sleep studies.

The implanted upper-airway stimulation de-

**Table 2. Primary and Secondary Outcome Measures.\***

Outcome	Baseline	12 Months	Change	P Value
<b>Primary outcomes</b>				
AHI score†	32.0±11.8	15.3±16.1	-16.4±16.7	<0.001
Median	29.3	9.0	-17.3	
Interquartile range	23.7 to 38.6	4.2 to 22.5	-26.4 to -9.3	
ODI score‡	28.9±12.0	13.9±15.7	-14.6±15.8	<0.001
Median	25.4	7.4	-15.7	
Interquartile range	19.5 to 36.6	3.5 to 20.5	-24.0 to -8.6	
<b>Secondary outcomes</b>				
FOSQ score§	14.3±3.2	17.3±2.9	2.9±3.1	<0.001
Median	14.6	18.2	2.4	
Interquartile range	12.1 to 17.1	16.2 to 19.5	0.7 to 4.7	
Epworth Sleepiness Scale score¶	11.6±5.0	7.0±4.2	-4.7±5.0	<0.001
Median	11.0	6.0	-4.0	
Interquartile range	8.0 to 15.0	4.0 to 10.0	-8.0 to -1.0	
Percentage of sleep time with oxygen saturation <90%	8.7±10.2	5.9±12.4	-2.5±11.1	0.01
Median	5.4	0.9	-2.2	
Interquartile range	2.1 to 10.9	0.2 to 5.2	-6.6 to -0.3	

\* Plus-minus values are means ±SD. Two participants did not complete follow-up at 12 months: one participant died unexpectedly 10 months after implantation owing to a cardiac event that was not thought to be related to the implant, and one requested explantation of the device because of personal choice. In the primary-outcome analysis, both participants were considered not to have had a response to therapy. Means, standard deviations, medians, and interquartile ranges are presented because some variables (e.g., the 12-month scores on the apnea-hypopnea index [AHI] and oxygen desaturation index [ODI]) show evidence of nonnormality.

† The AHI score indicates the number of apnea or hypopnea events per hour; a score of 15 or more events per hour indicates moderate-to-severe obstructive sleep apnea.

‡ The ODI score indicates the number of times per hour of sleep that the blood oxygen level drops by 4 percentage points or more from baseline.

§ Scores on the Functional Outcomes of Sleep Questionnaire (FOSQ) range from 5.0 to 20.0, with higher scores indicating better functioning. A score of more than 17.9 is considered to be the threshold for persons with normal sleep-related quality of life. A change of 2.0 or more points in the score is considered to indicate a clinically meaningful improvement of daily functioning.<sup>28</sup> Data at 12 months were missing for one participant in addition to the two who did not complete the 12-month follow-up.

¶ Scores on the Epworth Sleepiness Scale range from 0.0 to 24.0, with lower scores indicating less daytime sleepiness. Data at 12 months were missing for one participant in addition to the two who did not complete the 12-month follow-up.




**Figure 3. Primary Outcomes at 12 Months after Implantation and during the Randomized, Therapy-Withdrawal Trial.**

After 12 months, 46 consecutive participants who had a response to therapy were randomly assigned, in a 1:1 ratio, to the therapy-maintenance group or the therapy-withdrawal group. The therapy-withdrawal group had the device turned off for at least 5 days during this phase, and it remained off until polysomnography was performed. The therapy-maintenance group continued nightly use of the device. There was a significant difference between the therapy-withdrawal group and the therapy-maintenance group with respect to the change in the apnea-hypopnea index score from the assessment at 12 months of the cohort study to the assessment at the end of the therapy-withdrawal study (difference in changes in mean scores, 16.4 events per hour;  $P < 0.001$ ) (Panel A). A similar effect was observed for the mean oxygen desaturation index scores (the number of times per hour of sleep that the blood oxygen level drops by  $\geq 4$  percentage points from baseline) (Panel B). Results are expressed as the mean values, with T bars representing standard errors.

vice eliminated adherence issues associated with wearing a CPAP mask. The daily use of upper-airway stimulation was 86%, as assessed on the basis of self-report (see the Supplementary Appendix). Objective use of the device, quantified as the time spent using the device each night, could not be directly reported with the current generation of the device. The average stimulation time per night was measured. This value accounts for the time predominately associated with the inspiratory phase of the breathing cycle. Assuming a normal duty cycle of 1:2.0 or 1:1.5, the average objective use would be in excess of 5 hours per night (see the Supplementary Appendix). Additional objective data on adherence will be required to confirm the findings of the current study.

The current study was designed to assess the severity and symptoms of obstructive sleep apnea before the implantation of the upper-airway stimulation device as compared with 12 months after implantation, with the use of a prospective single-group trial design in which the participants served as their own controls. Only participants who could not use CPAP, or who declined to do so, were recruited for the study. A control group of therapeutic CPAP users (i.e., a comparative-effectiveness design) would be impractical, given the current study design.

Some participants had a significant increase in the AHI score at month 12 (see the Supplementary Appendix). An additional analysis of



the association between the baseline characteristics and outcome measures did not identify predictors that differentiated between participants who had a response and those who did not.

The randomized, controlled therapy-withdrawal study in which some participants had the therapy turned off for 1 week provided evidence that the therapeutic effect established at 12 months was attributable to the upper-airway stimulation therapy, rather than variability in the AHI score. The randomized phase included only consecutive participants who had had a response to therapy and, as a result, does not provide information on participants who did not have a response to therapy.

By design, this trial enrolled participants with moderate-to-severe obstructive sleep apnea who had various difficulties adhering to CPAP and who did not have clinically significant central or mixed sleep apnea or complete

concentric collapse at the retropalatal airway on endoscopy during drug-induced sleep. The cohort had a reduction in the severity of obstructive sleep apnea, and the adverse-event profile was acceptable.

Supported by Inspire Medical Systems.

Disclosure forms provided by the authors are available with the full text of this article at NEJM.org.

We thank Christopher P. O'Donnell, Ph.D., for comments on an earlier version of the manuscript.

#### APPENDIX

The authors' affiliations are as follows: the Division of Pulmonary Allergy and Critical Care Medicine (P.J.S.) and the Department of Otolaryngology (R.J.S.), University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh; the Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, Sleep Disorders Center, University Hospital Mannheim, Mannheim (J.T.M.), and Intersom Köln, Cologne (L.K.) — both in Germany; the Department of Otolaryngology, Sint Lucas Hospital, Amsterdam (N.V.); North Memorial Sleep Health Center, Maple Grove (J.C.), Paparella Ear, Head, and Neck Institute, Minneapolis (O.F.), and St. Cloud Ear, Nose, and Throat, St. Cloud (R.D.H.) — all in Minnesota; the Department of Otolaryngology–Head and Neck Surgery, University of South Florida College of Medicine, Tampa (T.A.P.), and St. Petersburg Sleep Disorders Center, St. Petersburg (N.F.) — both in Florida; the Department of Otolaryngology–Head and Neck Surgery, University of Cincinnati Academic Health Center, Cincinnati (D.L.S.); the Department of Otolaryngology, Medical College of South Carolina, Charleston (M.B.G.); the Department of Otolaryngology and Human Communication, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee (B.T.W.); the Department of Otorhinolaryngology and Head and Neck Surgery, Antwerp University Hospital, Edegem, and the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Antwerp, Antwerp — both in Belgium (P.H.V.H., O.M.V.); the Sleep Disorders Center, Borgess Medical Center, Kalamazoo, MI (M.G.G.); and the Division of Pulmonary, Critical Care, and Sleep Medicine, Louis Stokes Cleveland Veterans Affairs Medical Center and Case Medical Center, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland (K.P.S.).

#### REFERENCES

1. Strollo PJ Jr, Rogers RM. Obstructive sleep apnea. *N Engl J Med* 1996;334:99-104.
2. Durgan DJ, Bryan RM. Cerebrovascular consequences of obstructive sleep apnea. *JAMA* 2012;307(14):e000091.
3. Gottlieb DJ, Yenokyan G, Newman AB, et al. Prospective study of obstructive sleep apnea and incident coronary heart disease and heart failure: the Sleep Heart Health Study. *Circulation* 2010;122:352-60.
4. Redline S, Yenokyan G, Gottlieb DJ, et al. Obstructive sleep apnea-hypopnea and incident stroke: the Sleep Heart Health Study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2010;182:269-77.
5. Punjabi NM, Caffo BS, Goodwin JL, et al. Sleep-disordered breathing and mortality: a prospective cohort study. *PLoS Med* 2009;6(8):e1000132.
6. Seicean S, Kirchner HL, Gottlieb DJ, et al. Sleep-disordered breathing and impaired glucose metabolism in normal-weight and overweight/obese individuals: the Sleep Heart Health Study. *Diabetes Care* 2008;31:1001-6.
7. Punjabi NM, Shahar E, Redline S, Gottlieb DJ, Givelber R, Resnick HE. Sleep-disordered breathing, glucose intolerance, and insulin resistance: the Sleep Heart Health Study. *Am J Epidemiol* 2004;160:521-30.
8. Marin JM, Carrizo SJ, Vicente E, Agustí AG. Long-term cardiovascular outcomes in men with obstructive sleep apnoea-hypopnoea with or without treatment with continuous positive airway pressure: an observational study. *Lancet* 2005;365:1046-53.
9. Sawyer AM, Gooneratne NS, Marcus CL, Ofer D, Richards KC, Weaver TE. A systematic review of CPAP adherence across age groups: clinical and empiric insights for developing CPAP adherence interventions. *Sleep Med Rev* 2011;15:343-56.
10. Ravesloot MJ, de Vries N. Reliable calculation of the efficacy of non-surgical and surgical treatment of obstructive sleep apnea revisited. *Sleep* 2011;34:105-10.
11. Vanderveken OM, Devolder A, Marklund M, et al. Comparison of a custom-made and a thermoplastic oral appliance for the treatment of mild sleep apnea. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2008;178:197-202.
12. Caples SM, Rowley JA, Prinsell JR, et al. Surgical modifications of the upper airway for obstructive sleep apnea in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sleep* 2010;33:1396-407.
13. Lim J, Lasserson TJ, Fleetham J, Wright J. Oral appliances for obstructive sleep apnoea. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2006;1:CD004435.
14. Sundaram S, Bridgman SA, Lim J, Lasserson TJ. Surgery for obstructive sleep apnoea. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2005;4:CD001004.
15. Remmers JE, Issa FG, Suratt PM. Sleep and respiration. *J Appl Physiol* (1985) 1990;68:1286-9.
16. Strohl KP, Saunders NA, Feldman NT, Hallett M. Obstructive sleep apnea in family members. *N Engl J Med* 1978;299:969-73.
17. Schwartz AR, Bennett ML, Smith PL, et al. Therapeutic electrical stimulation of the hypoglossal nerve in obstructive sleep apnea. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2001;127:1216-23.
18. Eastwood PR, Barnes M, Walsh JH, et al. Treating obstructive sleep apnea with hypoglossal nerve stimulation. *Sleep* 2011;34:1479-86.
19. Goding GS Jr, Tesfayesus W, Kezirian EJ. Hypoglossal nerve stimulation and airway changes under fluoroscopy. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2012;146:1017-22.
20. Maurer JT, Van de Heyning P, Lin H-S, et al. Operative technique of upper airway stimulation: an implantable treatment of obstructive sleep apnea. *Oper Tech Otolaryngol* 2012;23:227-33.
21. Schwartz AR, Barnes M, Hillman D, et al. Acute upper airway responses to hypoglossal nerve stimulation during sleep in obstructive sleep apnea. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2012;185:420-6.
22. Van de Heyning PH, Badr MS, Baskin JZ, et al. Implanted upper airway stimulation device for obstructive sleep apnea. *Laryngoscope* 2012;122:1626-33.
23. Mwenge GB, Rombaux P, Dury M, Lengelé B, Rodenstein D. Targeted hypoglossal neurostimulation for obstructive sleep apnoea: a 1-year pilot study. *Eur Respir J* 2013;41:360-7.
24. The AASM manual for the scoring of sleep and associated events: rules, terminology and technical specifications. Darien, IL: American Academy of Sleep Medicine, 2007.
25. Vanderveken OM, Maurer JT, Hohenhorst W, et al. Evaluation of drug-induced sleep endoscopy as a patient selection tool for implanted upper airway stimulation for obstructive sleep apnea. *J Clin Sleep Med* 2013;9:433-8.
26. Elshaug AG, Moss JR, Southcott AM, Hiller JE. Redefining success in airway surgery for obstructive sleep apnea: a meta analysis and synthesis of the evidence. *Sleep* 2007;30:461-7.
27. Johns MW. Sensitivity and specificity of the Multiple Sleep Latency Test (MSLT),

the maintenance of wakefulness test and the Epworth Sleepiness Scale: failure of the MSLT as a gold standard. *J Sleep Res* 2000;9:5-11.

28. Weaver TE, Maislin G, Dinges DF, et al. Relationship between hours of CPAP use and achieving normal levels of sleepiness and daily functioning. *Sleep* 2007;30:711-9.

29. Kohler M, Stoewhas AC, Ayers L, et al.

Effects of continuous positive airway pressure therapy withdrawal in patients with obstructive sleep apnea: a randomized controlled trial. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2011;184:1192-9.

30. Weaver TE, Grunstein RR. Adherence to continuous positive airway pressure therapy: the challenge to effective treatment. *Proc Am Thorac Soc* 2008;5:173-8.

31. Schwartz AR, Thut DC, Russ B, et al.

Effect of electrical stimulation of the hypoglossal nerve on airflow mechanics in the isolated upper airway. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1993;147:1144-50.

32. Oliven A, O'Hearn DJ, Boudewyns A, et al. Upper airway response to electrical stimulation of the genioglossus in obstructive sleep apnea. *J Appl Physiol* (1985) 2003;95:2023-9.

Copyright © 2014 Massachusetts Medical Society.



Volcano National Park, Hawaii, 2012

Zia Farooki, M.D.