On Leveraging Variational Graph Embeddings for Open World Compositional Zero-Shot Learning

Anonymous CVPR 2022 submission

Paper ID 7483

A. Supplementary Material: Derivation of ELBO Bound

We aim to learn the free parameters of our model such that the log probability of \mathcal{G} is maximized *i.e.*

$$log(p(\mathcal{G})) = log\left(\int p(\mathbf{Z})p_{\theta}(\mathcal{G}|\mathbf{Z}) d\mathbf{Z}\right)$$

$$= log\left(\int \frac{q_{\phi}(\mathbf{Z}|\mathcal{G})}{q_{\phi}(\mathbf{Z}|\mathcal{G})} p(\mathbf{Z})p_{\theta}(\mathcal{G}|\mathbf{Z}) d\mathbf{Z}\right)$$

$$= log\left(\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{Z} \sim q_{\phi}(\mathbf{Z}|\mathcal{G})} \left\{\frac{p(\mathbf{Z})p_{\theta}(\mathcal{G}|\mathbf{Z})}{q_{\phi}(\mathbf{Z}|\mathcal{G})}\right\}\right), \quad (1)$$

In order to ensure computational tractability, we use Jensen's Inequality [?] to get ELBO bound of Eq. (1). i.e.

$$log(p(\mathcal{G})) \geq \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{Z} \sim q_{\phi}(\mathbf{Z}|\mathcal{G})} \left\{ log\left(\frac{p(\mathbf{Z})p_{\theta}(\mathcal{G}|\mathbf{Z})}{q_{\phi}(\mathbf{Z}|\mathcal{G})}\right) \right\}$$
(2)
$$= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{Z} \sim q_{\phi}(\mathbf{Z}|\mathcal{G})} \left\{ log\left(p_{\theta}(\mathcal{G}|\mathbf{Z})\right) \right\}$$
$$+ \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{Z} \sim q_{\phi}(\mathbf{Z}|\mathcal{G})} \left\{ log\left(\frac{p(\mathbf{Z})}{q_{\phi}(\mathbf{Z}|\mathcal{G})}\right) \right\}$$
(3)

We follow Kipf *et al.* [?] and restrict the decoder $p_{\theta}(\mathcal{G}|\mathbf{Z})$ to reconstruct only edge information from the latent space. The edge information is contained in the adjacency matrix \mathbf{A} . In other words, we choose the decoder to be an edge decoder *i.e.* $p_{\theta}(\mathbf{A}|\mathbf{Z})$.

$$log(p(\mathcal{G})) \ge \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{Z} \sim q_{\phi}(\mathbf{Z}|\mathcal{G})} \left\{ log(p_{\theta}(\mathbf{A}|\mathbf{Z})) \right\}$$

$$- D_{KL} \left(q_{\phi}(\mathbf{Z}|\mathcal{G}) || p(\mathbf{Z}) \right)$$
(4)

where, D_{KL} denotes the Kullback-Leibler (KL) divergence between the prior and approximate posterior distributions. By using (??), (??), (??) and (??), the loss function can be formulated as negative of ELBO bound (4) *i.e.*

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{ELBO}} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} D_{KL} \bigg(\mathcal{N} \Big(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{i}(\mathcal{G}), \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{i}^{2}(\mathcal{G}) \Big) \mid\mid \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{1})) \bigg)$$
$$- \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{Z} \sim q_{\phi}(\boldsymbol{Z}|\mathcal{G})} \Big\{ log \Big(p_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{A}|\boldsymbol{Z}) \Big) \Big\}.$$