STATS 205: Homework Assignment 5

Brian Liu 6/10/2019

Solution to Problem 1

We say that two observations X_1 and X_2 are independent of one another with respect to a collection of events A if

$$Pr\{X_1 \in A \text{ and } X_2 \in B\} = Pr\{X_1 \in A\} Pr\{X_2 \in B\}$$

where A and B are any two not necessarily distinct sets of outcomes belonging to A^3 .

- 2.2.1 Independent Observations; Permutation, Parametric, and Bootstrap Tests of Hypotheses; Good, Phillip I

In deciding whether your own observations are exchangeable and a permutation test applicable, the key question is the one we posed in the very first chapter: Under the null hypothesis of no differences among the various experimental or survey groups, can we exchange the labels on the observations without significantly affecting the results?

– 2.2.2 Exchangeable Observations; Permutation, Parametric, and Bootstrap Tests of Hypotheses; Good, Phillip I

Solution to Problem 2

```
cysticerci <- c(28.9, 32.8, 12.0, 9.9, 15.0, 38.0, 12.5, 36.5, 8.6, 26.8);cysticerci

## [1] 28.9 32.8 12.0 9.9 15.0 38.0 12.5 36.5 8.6 26.8

worms_reco <- c(1.0, 7.7, 7.3, 7.9, 1.1, 3.5, 18.9, 33.9, 28.6, 25.0); worms_reco
```

```
## [1] 1.0 7.7 7.3 7.9 1.1 3.5 18.9 33.9 28.6 25.0
```

The null hypothesis is that the mean weight of introduced cysticerci has no correlation with the mean weight of worms recovered. That is,

$$H_0: \tau = 0$$

The alternative hypothesis is that the mean weight of introduced cysticerci is *positively correlated with* the mean weight of worms recovered. That is,

$$H_A: \tau > 0$$

To test the null hypothesis against the alternative hypothesis, we will use the Kendall test, a distribution-free test for independence based on signs.

```
cor.test(x = cysticerci, y = worms_reco, method = "kendall", alt = "greater")
```

Kendall's rank correlation tau

##

```
## data: cysticerci and worms_reco
## T = 19, p-value = 0.7578
## alternative hypothesis: true tau is greater than 0
## sample estimates:
## tau
## -0.1555556
```

The p-value is 0.7578, which is not significant at the $\alpha = 0.05$ level. There is not enough evidence that the mean weight of introduced cysticerci is positively correlated with the mean weight of worms recovered.

Solution to Problem 3

```
cysticerci <- c(28.9, 32.8, 12.0, 9.9, 15.0, 38.0, 12.5, 36.5, 8.6, 26.8) worms_reco <- c(1.0, 7.7, 7.3, 7.9, 1.1, 3.5, 18.9, 33.9, 28.6, 25.0) cor.test(x = cysticerci, y = worms_reco, method = "kendall", alt = "greater") ## ## Kendall's rank correlation tau ## ## data: cysticerci and worms_reco ## T = 19, p-value = 0.7578 ## alternative hypothesis: true tau is greater than 0 ## sample estimates: ## tau ## -0.1555556

The estimate for \tau = -0.1555556.
```

Solution to Problem 4

```
brain_weight = c(515, 286, 469, 410, 461, 436, 479, 198, 389, 262, 536); length(brain_weight)
## [1] 11
fiber_count = c(32500, 26800, 11410, 14850, 23640, 23820, 29840, 21830, 24650, 22500, 26000); length(f
## [1] 11
library(bootstrap)
theta.hat = cor(brain_weight, fiber_count); theta.hat
## [1] 0.1604644
# library(partitions)
# n = 1000
# allCompositions = compositions(n, n);allCompositions[,1:5]
\# all Compositions. sub = all Compositions [, sample (1:dim(all Compositions) [2], size=1000, replace=FALSE)]
# draw.bootstrap.samples = function(df){
# n = dim(df)[1]
  ind = sample(n, replace = TRUE)
   cor.bootstrap.replicate = cor(df[ind, "LSAT"], df[ind, "GPA"])
   return(cor.bootstrap.replicate)
# }
\# R = 1000
```

```
# theta.hat.star = replicate(R, draw.bootstrap.samples(law))
# # make a gaplot
# library(qqplot2)
# theta.hat.star.df = data.frame(theta.hat.star = theta.hat.star)
# qqplot(theta.hat.star.df) +
   geom\_density(aes(x = theta.hat.star, y = ...scaled..),
     fill = "lightblue") +
#
#
  geom hline(yintercept=0, colour="white", size=1) +
  theme bw() +
#
#
  ylab("density") +
#
  xlab(bquote(hat(theta))) +
  geom_vline(xintercept = theta.hat, col = "red")+
   scale_y_continuous(expand = c(0,0))
```

Solution to Problem 5

```
cysticerci <- c(28.9, 32.8, 12.0, 9.9, 15.0, 38.0, 12.5, 36.5, 8.6, 26.8)
worms_reco <- c(1.0, 7.7, 7.3, 7.9, 1.1, 3.5, 18.9, 33.9, 28.6, 25.0)
```

The null hypothesis is that the mean weight of introduced cysticerci has no correlation with the mean weight of worms recovered. That is,

$$H_0: r_s < r_{s,\alpha}$$

The alternative hypothesis is that the mean weight of introduced cysticerci is *positively correlated with* the mean weight of worms recovered. That is,

$$H_A: r_s \geq r_{s,\alpha}$$

Otherwise, do not reject.

To test the null hypothesis against the alternative hypothesis, we will use the Spearman test, a distribution-free test for independence based on ranks.

```
# this method of performing the test was given in the textbook
library(SuppDists)
qSpearman(p = 0.05, r = 10)
```

[1] -0.5393939

Since $r_{s,\alpha} = -0.5393939$, we will reject the null hypothesis only if $r_s \ge -0.5393939$.

Calculating r_s ,

```
cor(x = cysticerci, y = worms_reco, method = "spearman")
```

```
## [1] -0.2
```

Since $r_s = -0.2$ and $r_{s,\alpha} = -0.5393939$, the statement $r_s \ge r_{s,\alpha}$ is true. Thus, we reject the null hypothesis. There is sufficient evidence that the mean weight of introduced cysticerei is positively correlated with the mean weight of worms recovered.

NOTE: At this point, I tried to use cor.test() with method = "spearman" but I got a different result than I expected, and I'm not sure why. Maybe I'm interpreting the output incorrectly?

```
cor.test(x = cysticerci, y = worms_reco, method = "spearman", alternative = "greater")

##

## Spearman's rank correlation rho

##

## data: cysticerci and worms_reco

## S = 198, p-value = 0.72

## alternative hypothesis: true rho is greater than 0

## sample estimates:

## rho

## -0.2
```

The p-value is 0.72, which is not significant at the $\alpha = 0.05$ level. There is not enough evidence that the mean weight of introduced cysticerci is positively correlated with the mean weight of worms recovered.

Solution to Problem 6

```
x = c(0, 5000, 10000, 15000, 20000, 25000, 30000, 100000)

y = c(0.924, 0.988, 0.992, 1.118, 1.133, 1.145, 1.157, 1.357)
```

The null hypothesis is that the mean weight of introduced cysticerci has no correlation with the mean weight of worms recovered. That is,

$$H_0: \beta = \beta_0$$
$$H_0: \beta = 0$$

The alternative hypothesis is that the mean weight of introduced cysticerci is *positively correlated with* the mean weight of worms recovered. That is,

$$H_A: \beta > \beta_0$$
$$H_A: \beta > 0$$

To test the null hypothesis against the alternative hypothesis, we will use the Theil test, a distribution-free test for the slope of the regression line.

library(NSM3)

```
## Loading required package: combinat
##
## Attaching package: 'combinat'
## The following object is masked from 'package:utils':
##
## combn
## Loading required package: MASS
## Loading required package: partitions
## Loading required package: survival
## fANCOVA 0.5-1 loaded
```

```
## Registered S3 methods overwritten by 'ggplot2':
##
     method
                    from
     [.quosures
                   rlang
##
##
     c.quosures
                   rlang
     print.quosures rlang
theil(x, y, alpha=0.05, beta.0=0, type = "u")
                                                                          0
1.3
                0000
0.
            0
       0
    0e + 00
                 2e+04
                                             6e+04
                               4e + 04
                                                          8e+04
                                                                        1e+05
## Alternative: beta greater than 0
## C = 28, C.bar = 1, P = 0
## beta.hat = 0
## alpha.hat = 0.975
##
## 1 - alpha = 0.95 upper bound for beta:
## -Inf, 0
theil.fit = theil (x,
  у,
  beta.0 = 0,
  slopes=TRUE,
  type = "u",
  doplot = FALSE)
theil.fit
## Alternative: beta greater than 0
## C = 28, C.bar = 1, P = 0
## beta.hat = 0
## alpha.hat = 0.975
##
## All slopes:
   iј
  1 2 1.280000e-05
##
## 1 3 6.800000e-06
## 1 4 1.293333e-05
## 1 5 1.045000e-05
## 1 6 8.840000e-06
## 1 7 7.766667e-06
```

```
## 1 8 4.330000e-06
## 2 3 8.000000e-07
## 2 4 1.300000e-05
## 2 5 9.666667e-06
## 2 6 7.850000e-06
## 2 7 6.760000e-06
## 2 8 3.884211e-06
## 3 4 2.520000e-05
## 3 5 1.410000e-05
## 3 6 1.020000e-05
## 3 7 8.250000e-06
## 3 8 4.055556e-06
## 4 5 3.000000e-06
## 4 6 2.700000e-06
## 4 7 2.600000e-06
## 4 8 2.811765e-06
## 5 6 2.400000e-06
## 5 7 2.400000e-06
## 5 8 2.800000e-06
## 6 7 2.400000e-06
## 6 8 2.826667e-06
## 7 8 2.857143e-06
##
## 1 - alpha = 0.95 upper bound for beta:
## -Inf, 0
theil.output = theil(x,
 у,
 beta.0 = 0,
 slopes=TRUE,
 type = "u", doplot = FALSE, alpha = .05)
c(theil.output$L, theil.output$U)
## [1] -Inf
```

TODO: Interpret these results correctly.

Solution to Problem 7

```
height = c(42.8, 63.5, 37.5, 39.5, 45.5, 38.5, 43.0, 22.5, 37.0, 23.5, 33.0, 58.0); length(height)

## [1] 12

weight = c(40.0, 93.5, 35.5, 30.0, 52.0, 17.0, 38.5, 8.5, 33.0, 9.5, 21.0, 79.0); length(weight)

## [1] 12

heart_catheter_length = c(37.0, 49.5, 34.5, 36.0, 43.0, 28.0, 37.0, 20.0, 33.5, 30.5, 38.5, 47.0); length

## [1] 12

library(Rfit)

rfit(heart_catheter_length ~ height + weight)

## Call:
```

```
## rfit.default(formula = heart_catheter_length ~ height + weight)
##
## Coefficients:
## (Intercept)
                    height
                                 weight
## 25.9212658
                 0.0291139
                              0.2455696
rankfit_object <- rfit(heart_catheter_length ~ height + weight)</pre>
summary(rankfit_object)
## Call:
## rfit.default(formula = heart_catheter_length ~ height + weight)
## Coefficients:
##
                Estimate Std. Error t.value p.value
## (Intercept) 25.921266 7.550102 3.4332 0.00747 **
                            0.311060 0.0936 0.92748
## height
                0.029114
## weight
                0.245570
                           0.142480 1.7235 0.11888
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Overall Wald Test: 43.11247 p-value: 1e-05
r.01 <- rfit(heart_catheter_length ~ height)</pre>
f.01 <- rfit(heart_catheter_length ~ height + weight)</pre>
first_drop_test <- drop.test(f.01, r.01)</pre>
first_drop_test
##
## Drop in Dispersion Test
## F-Statistic
                   p-value
                   0.24429
##
       1.55202
r.02 <- rfit(heart_catheter_length ~ weight)</pre>
second drop test <- drop.test(f.01, r.02)
second_drop_test
##
## Drop in Dispersion Test
## F-Statistic
                   p-value
      0.014435
                  0.907007
Based on the large p-values, there is no evidence that height or weight contribute significantly over each other
to the determination of heart catheter length.
cor.test(x = height, y = heart_catheter_length, method = "pearson")
##
##
  Pearson's product-moment correlation
## data: height and heart_catheter_length
## t = 5.8936, df = 10, p-value = 0.0001524
## alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## 0.6216270 0.9663721
## sample estimates:
##
         cor
## 0.8811691
```

```
cor.test(x = weight, y = heart_catheter_length, method = "pearson")

##

## Pearson's product-moment correlation

##

## data: weight and heart_catheter_length

## t = 6.3033, df = 10, p-value = 8.871e-05

## alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0

## 95 percent confidence interval:

## 0.6568763 0.9700971

## sample estimates:

## cor

## 0.8938226

Moreover,
```