

Security Audit

Report for

Ref-Exchange Smart

Contracts

Date: March 23rd, 2024 **Version:** 4.0

Contact: contact@blocksec.com

Contents

Chapter 1 Introduction	1
1.1 About Target Contracts	1
1.2 Disclaimer	2
1.3 Procedure of Auditing	2
1.3.1 Software Security	2
1.3.2 DeFi Security	3
1.3.3 NFT Security	3
1.3.4 Additional Recommendation	3
1.4 Security Model	3
Chapter 2 Findings	5
2.1 Software Security	5
2.1.1 Improper Account Unregistration	5
2.1.2 Lack of Storage Usage Check in function ft_on_transfer	6
2.2 DeFi Security	8
2.2.1 Unrestricted Referral Account	8
2.2.2 Incorrect Admin Fees Calculation in Simple Pool	9
2.3 Additional Recommendation	11
2.3.1 Lack of Check on Guardians' Removal	11
2.3.2 Two-Step Transfer of Privileged Account Ownership	12
2.3.3 Potential Elastic Supply Token Problem	12
2.3.4 Improper Check on the Admin Fees	13
2.3.5 Lack of Check in retrieve_unmanaged_token()	13
2.3.6 Lack of Check on the Gas Used by migrate()	14
2.3.7 Code Optimization (I)	15
2.3.8 Code Optimization (II)	19
2.3.9 Avoid Logging in View Functions	20
2.3.10 Slippage Protection in Function add_liquidity	20
2.3.11 Spelling Errors	23
2.3.12 Lack of Checks for Oracle Configuration	23
2.4 Notes	25
2.4.1 Delayed Price in Rated Swap Pool	25
2.4.2 Timely Triggering update_token_rate()	25
2.4.3 Sensitive Functions Managed by DAO	25
2.4.4 Reliability of Oracle	25

Report Manifest

Item	Description
Client	Ref Finance
Target	Ref-Exchange Smart Contracts

Version History

Version	Date	Description
1.0	November 2nd, 2022	First Version
2.0	November 20th, 2022	Second Version
3.0	September 28th, 2023	Third Version
4.0	March 23rd, 2024	Fourth Version

Signature

About BlockSec BlockSec focuses on the security of the blockchain ecosystem and collaborates with leading DeFi projects to secure their products. BlockSec is founded by top-notch security researchers and experienced experts from both academia and industry. They have published multiple blockchain security papers in prestigious conferences, reported several zero-day attacks of DeFi applications, and successfully protected digital assets that are worth more than 14 million dollars by blocking multiple attacks. They can be reached at [Email](#), [Twitter](#) and [Medium](#).

Chapter 1 Introduction

1.1 About Target Contracts

Information	Description
Type	Smart Contract
Language	Rust
Approach	Semi-automatic and manual verification

The target of this audit is the code repository of Ref-Exchange Smart Contracts¹ of Ref Finance. Note that, we did **NOT** audit all the modules in the repository. The modules covered by this audit report include `ref-contracts/ref-exchange/src` folder contract only. Specifically, the files covered in this audit include:

```
1 custom_keys.rs
2 action.rs
3 owner.rs
4 account_deposit.rs
5 legacy.rs
6 token_receiver.rs
7 lib.rs
8 simple_pool.rs
9 storage_impl.rs
10 views.rs
11 errors.rs
12 pool.rs
13 multi_fungible_token.rs
14 shadow_actions.rs
15 unit_lpt_cumulative_infos.rs
16 utils.rs
17 admin_fee.rs
18 stable_swap/mod.rs
19 stable_swap/math.rs
20 rated_swap/linear_rate.rs
21 rated_swap/nearx_rate.rs
22 rated_swap/rate.rs
23 rated_swap/sfrax_rate.rs
24 rated_swap/mod.rs
25 rated_swap/math.rs
26 rated_swap/stnear_rate.rs
```

Listing 1.1: Audit Scope for this Report

The auditing process is iterative. Specifically, we would audit the commits that fix the discovered issues. If there are new issues, we will continue this process. The commit SHA values during the audit are shown in the following table. Our audit report is responsible for the code in the initial version ([Version 1](#)), as well as new code (in the following versions) to fix issues in the audit report.

¹<https://github.com/ref-finance/ref-contracts>

Project	Version	Commit Hash
Ref-Exchange Smart Contracts	Version 1	536a60c842e018a535b478c874c747bde82390dd
	Version 2	19e98ec7e70b72d0a2bb1281eb8cd171cebcc931
	Version 3	edea28e1f9bb4f66f5f64eb8448f681f92ef3f10
	Version 4	422591c276224c6477cd638a88ab21807b4b5795
	Version 5	a708597e7333a6f7e2b335682af1cd4f01fb35c3
	Version 6	202ebb7d81ceed0c72b2434081ba7152e7c4075a

1.2 Disclaimer

This audit report does not constitute investment advice or a personal recommendation. It does not consider, and should not be interpreted as considering or having any bearing on, the potential economics of a token, token sale or any other product, service or other asset. Any entity should not rely on this report in any way, including for the purpose of making any decisions to buy or sell any token, product, service or other asset.

This audit report is not an endorsement of any particular project or team, and the report does not guarantee the security of any particular project. This audit does not give any warranties on discovering all security issues of the smart contracts, i.e., the evaluation result does not guarantee the nonexistence of any further findings of security issues. As one audit cannot be considered comprehensive, we always recommend proceeding with independent audits and a public bug bounty program to ensure the security of smart contracts.

The scope of this audit is limited to the code mentioned in Section 1.1. Unless explicitly specified, the security of the language itself (e.g., the solidity language), the underlying compiling toolchain and the computing infrastructure are out of the scope.

1.3 Procedure of Auditing

We perform the audit according to the following procedure.

- **Vulnerability Detection** We first scan smart contracts with automatic code analyzers, and then manually verify (reject or confirm) the issues reported by them.
- **Semantic Analysis** We study the business logic of smart contracts and conduct further investigation on the possible vulnerabilities using an automatic fuzzing tool (developed by our research team). We also manually analyze possible attack scenarios with independent auditors to cross-check the result.
- **Recommendation** We provide some useful advice to developers from the perspective of good programming practice, including gas optimization, code style, and etc.

We show the main concrete checkpoints in the following.

1.3.1 Software Security

- * Reentrancy
- * DoS
- * Access control
- * Data handling and data flow

- * Exception handling
- * Untrusted external call and control flow
- * Initialization consistency
- * Events operation
- * Error-prone randomness
- * Improper use of the proxy system

1.3.2 DeFi Security

- * Semantic consistency
- * Functionality consistency
- * Permission management
- * Business logic
- * Token operation
- * Emergency mechanism
- * Oracle security
- * Whitelist and blacklist
- * Economic impact
- * Batch transfer

1.3.3 NFT Security

- * Duplicated item
- * Verification of the token receiver
- * Off-chain metadata security

1.3.4 Additional Recommendation

- * Gas optimization
- * Code quality and style



Note *The previous checkpoints are the main ones. We may use more checkpoints during the auditing process according to the functionality of the project.*

1.4 Security Model

To evaluate the risk, we follow the standards or suggestions that are widely adopted by both industry and academy, including OWASP Risk Rating Methodology ² and Common Weakness Enumeration ³. The overall *severity* of the risk is determined by *likelihood* and *impact*. Specifically, likelihood is used to estimate how likely a particular vulnerability can be uncovered and exploited by an attacker, while impact is used to measure the consequences of a successful exploit.

²https://owasp.org/www-community/OWASP_Risk_Rating_Methodology

³<https://cwe.mitre.org/>

In this report, both likelihood and impact are categorized into two ratings, i.e., *high* and *low* respectively, and their combinations are shown in Table 1.1.

Table 1.1: Vulnerability Severity Classification

Impact	<i>High</i>	High	Medium
	<i>Low</i>	Medium	Low
		<i>High</i>	<i>Low</i>
		Likelihood	

Accordingly, the severity measured in this report are classified into three categories: **High**, **Medium**, **Low**. For the sake of completeness, **Undetermined** is also used to cover circumstances when the risk cannot be well determined.

Furthermore, the status of a discovered item will fall into one of the following four categories:

- **Undetermined** No response yet.
- **Acknowledged** The item has been received by the client, but not confirmed yet.
- **Confirmed** The item has been recognized by the client, but not fixed yet.
- **Fixed** The item has been confirmed and fixed by the client.

Chapter 2 Findings

In total, we find **four** potential issue. Besides, we also have **twelve** recommendations and **four** note.

- Medium Risk: 3
- Low Risk: 1
- Recommendation: 12
- Note: 4

ID	Severity	Description	Category	Status
1	Medium	Improper Account Unregistration	Software Security	Fixed
2	Medium	Lack of Storage Usage Check in function <code>ft_on_transfer</code>	Software Security	Fixed
3	Low	Unrestricted Referral Account	DeFi Security	Fixed
4	Medium	Incorrect Admin Fees Calculation in Simple Pool	DeFi Security	Fixed
5	-	Lack of Check on Guardians' Removal	Recommendation	Fixed
6	-	Two-Step Transfer of Privileged Account Ownership	Recommendation	Confirmed
7	-	Potential Elastic Supply Token Problem	Recommendation	Confirmed
8	-	Improper Check on the Admin Fees	Recommendation	Fixed
9	-	Lack of Check in <code>retrieve_unmanaged_token()</code>	Recommendation	Confirmed
10	-	Lack of Check on the Gas Used by <code>migrate()</code>	Recommendation	Fixed
11	-	Code Optimization (I)	Recommendation	Fixed
12	-	Code Optimization (II)	Recommendation	Fixed
13	-	Avoid Logging in View Functions	Recommendation	Fixed
14	-	Slippage Protection in Function <code>add_liquidity</code>	Recommendation	Fixed*
15	-	Spelling Errors	Recommendation	Fixed
16	-	Lack of Checks for Oracle Configuration	Recommendation	Fixed
17	-	Delayed Price in Rated Swap Pool	Note	Confirmed
18	-	Timely Triggering <code>update_token_rate()</code>	Note	Confirmed
19	-	Sensitive Functions Managed by DAO	Note	Confirmed
20	-	Reliability of Oracle	Note	Confirmed

The details are provided in the following sections.

2.1 Software Security

2.1.1 Improper Account Unregistration

Severity Medium

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description Function `storage_unregister()` allows users to unregister their accounts, and get back their deposits (i.e., `NEARs`). However, it doesn't check whether the `legacy_tokens` of accounts are empty before the unregistration.

```
56  #[allow(unused_variables)]
57  #[payable]
58  fn storage_unregister(&mut self, force: Option<bool>) -> bool {
59      assert_one_yocto();
60      self.assert_contract_running();
61      let account_id = env::predecessor_account_id();
62      if let Some(account_deposit) = self.internal_get_account(&account_id) {
63          // TODO: figure out force option logic.
64          assert!(
65              account_deposit.tokens.is_empty(),
66              "{}", ERR18_TOKENS_NOT_EMPTY
67          );
68          self.accounts.remove(&account_id);
69          Promise::new(account_id.clone()).transfer(account_deposit.near_amount);
70          true
71      } else {
72          false
73      }
74  }
```

Listing 2.1: `src/storage_impl.rs`

Impact Users may lose tokens that are recorded in `legacy_tokens`.

Suggestion I Add the check to ensure `legacy_tokens` of accounts are empty before the removal.

Feedback from the Project Will fix this in the next accumulated contract upgrade.

2.1.2 Lack of Storage Usage Check in function `ft_on_transfer`

Severity Medium

Status Fixed in [Version 4](#)

Introduced by [Version 3](#)

Description `HotZap` allows users to seamlessly swap tokens and provide liquidity to pools in a single transaction via the function `ft_on_transfer()`. However, adding liquidity may potentially increase the pool's storage usage. The function does not perform sufficient validation of user's storage usage.

```
116  TokenReceiverMessage::HotZap {
117      referral_id,
118      hot_zap_actions,
119      add_liquidity_infos
120  } => {
121      assert!(hot_zap_actions.len() > 0 && add_liquidity_infos.len() > 0);
122      let sender_id: AccountId = sender_id.into();
123      let mut account = self.internal_unwrap_account(&sender_id);
124      let referral_id = referral_id.map(|x| x.to_string());
```

```
125     let out_amounts = self.internal_direct_actions(
126         token_in,
127         amount.0,
128         referral_id,
129         &hot_zap_actions,
130     );
131
132     let mut token_cache = TokenCache::new();
133     for (out_token_id, out_amount) in out_amounts {
134         token_cache.add(&out_token_id, out_amount);
135     }
136
137     for add_liquidity_info in add_liquidity_infos {
138         let mut pool = self.pools.get(add_liquidity_info.pool_id).expect(ERR85_NO_POOL);
139         let tokens_in_pool = match &pool {
140             Pool::SimplePool(p) => p.token_account_ids.clone(),
141             Pool::RatedSwapPool(p) => p.token_account_ids.clone(),
142             Pool::StableSwapPool(p) => p.token_account_ids.clone(),
143         };
144
145         let mut add_liquidity_amounts = add_liquidity_info.amounts.iter().map(|v| v.0).collect(
146             ();
147
148         match pool {
149             Pool::SimplePool(_) => {
150                 pool.add_liquidity(
151                     &sender_id,
152                     &mut add_liquidity_amounts,
153                     false
154                 );
155                 if let Some(min_amounts) = add_liquidity_info.min_amounts {
156                     // Check that all amounts are above request min amounts in case of front
157                     // running that changes the exchange rate.
158                     for (amount, min_amount) in add_liquidity_amounts.iter().zip(min_amounts.
159                         iter()) {
160                         assert!(amount >= &min_amount.0, "{}", ERR86_MIN_AMOUNT);
161                     }
162                 }
163             },
164             Pool::StableSwapPool(_) | Pool::RatedSwapPool(_) => {
165                 let min_shares = add_liquidity_info.min_shares.expect("Need input min_shares");
166                 pool.add_stable_liquidity(
167                     &sender_id,
168                     &add_liquidity_amounts,
169                     min_shares.into(),
170                     AdminFees::new(self.admin_fee_bps),
171                     false
172                 );
173             }
174         };
175
176         for (cost_token_id, cost_amount) in tokens_in_pool.iter().zip(add_liquidity_amounts.
177             into_iter()) {
```

```
174         token_cache.sub(cost_token_id, cost_amount);
175     }
176
177     self.pools.replace(add_liquidity_info.pool_id, &pool);
178 }
179
180 for (remain_token_id, remain_amount) in token_cache.0.iter() {
181     account.deposit(remain_token_id, *remain_amount);
182 }
183
184 self.internal_save_account(&sender_id, account);
185
186 env::log(
187     format!(
188         "HotZap remain internal account assets: {:?}]",
189         token_cache.0
190     )
191     .as_bytes(),
192 );
193
194 PromiseOrValue::Value(U128(0))
195 }
```

Listing 2.2: src/token_receiver.rs

Impact The storage fees that should be claimed from users may be bypassed. Furthermore, users can claim extra fees with the function `remove_liquidity` or `remove_liquidity_by_tokens`.

Suggestion I Add storage check in the function `ft_on_transfer()`.

2.2 DeFi Security

2.2.1 Unrestricted Referral Account

Severity Low

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description The protocol allows the user to provide a [referral](#) account for receiving a reward during the swap process. However, there is no restriction on this [referral](#) account, which allows the user to receive the [referral](#) fee as a reward by providing his/her own address.

```
62  #[allow(unreachable_code)]
63  fn ft_on_transfer(
64      &mut self,
65      sender_id: ValidAccountId,
66      amount: U128,
67      msg: String,
68  ) -> PromiseOrValue<U128> {
69      self.assert_contract_running();
70      let token_in = env::predecessor_account_id();
71      // feature frozenlist
```

```

72     self.assert_no_frozen_tokens(&[token_in.clone()]);
73     if msg.is_empty() {
74         // Simple deposit.
75         self.internal_deposit(sender_id.as_ref(), &token_in, amount.into());
76         PromiseOrValue::Value(U128(0))
77     } else {
78         // instant swap
79         let message =
80             serde_json::from_str::<TokenReceiverMessage>(&msg).expect(ERR28_WRONG_MSG_FORMAT);
81         match message {
82             TokenReceiverMessage::Execute {
83                 referral_id,
84                 actions,
85             } => {
86                 let referral_id = referral_id.map(|x| x.to_string());
87                 let out_amounts = self.internal_direct_actions(
88                     token_in,
89                     amount.0,
90                     referral_id,
91                     &actions,
92                 );
93                 for (token_out, amount_out) in out_amounts.into_iter() {
94                     self.internal_send_tokens(sender_id.as_ref(), &token_out, amount_out);
95                 }
96                 // Even if send tokens fails, we don't return funds back to sender.
97                 PromiseOrValue::Value(U128(0))
98             }
99         }
100     }
101 }

```

Listing 2.3: src/token_receiver.rs

Impact Users can earn the additional referral fee in the swap process, which is against the original design.

Suggestion I Ensure the `referral` account is different from the `sender_id`.

Feedback from the Project The new rated referral fee feature would include a fix for it.

2.2.2 Incorrect Admin Fees Calculation in Simple Pool

Severity Medium

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description The shares minted to admin for Simple Pool are calculated as follows:

$$\text{Minted_Share} = \text{Total_Share} * \text{Admin_Fee} * \frac{\sqrt{k'} - \sqrt{k}}{\sqrt{k'}}$$

The actual `Admin_Fee` is $\frac{\text{Admin_Fee_Amount}}{\text{Total_Fee_Amount}}$. The total value of the pool can be represented as $\sqrt{k'}$, and the `Total_Fee_Amount` can be represented as $\sqrt{k'} - \sqrt{k}$. Thus, `Admin_Fee_Amount` should be

$$\frac{\text{Minted_Share}}{\text{Total_Share} + \text{Minted_Share}} * \sqrt{k'}$$

In this case, given the `Minted_Share` above, the actual `Admin_Fee` could be calculated as follows:

$$\text{Actual Admin_Fee} = \frac{\text{Total_Share} * \text{Admin_Fee} * \frac{\sqrt{k'} - \sqrt{k}}{\sqrt{k'}}}{\text{Total_Share} * \text{Admin_Fee} * \frac{\sqrt{k'} - \sqrt{k}}{\sqrt{k'}} + \text{Total_Share} * \frac{\sqrt{k'}}{\sqrt{k'} - \sqrt{k}}} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\text{Admin_Fee}} + \frac{\sqrt{k'} - \sqrt{k}}{\sqrt{k'}}$$

, which is always less than the `Admin_Fee` in the `Simple Pool`. That's to say, the calculation of the amount of shares minted for the admin is incorrect.

To ensure that the actual `Admin_Fee` is equal to `Admin_Fee`, we have the following equations:

$$\text{Actual Admin_Fee} = \frac{\text{Admin_Fee_Amount}}{\text{Total_Fee_Amount}} = \frac{\text{Minted_Share} * \sqrt{k'}}{\text{Total_Share} + \text{Minted_Share}} \div (\sqrt{k'} - \sqrt{k}) = \text{Admin_Fee}$$

Given the formula above, the minted share should be calculated as following:

$$\text{Minted_Share} = \text{Total_Share} * \frac{\sqrt{k'} - \sqrt{k}}{(\frac{1}{\text{Admin_Fee}} - 1) * \sqrt{k'} + \sqrt{k}}$$

```

269 pub fn swap(
270     &mut self,
271     token_in: &AccountId,
272     amount_in: Balance,
273     token_out: &AccountId,
274     min_amount_out: Balance,
275     admin_fee: &AdminFees,
276 ) -> Balance {
277     assert_ne!(token_in, token_out, "{}", ERR73_SAME_TOKEN);
278     let in_idx = self.token_index(token_in);
279     let out_idx = self.token_index(token_out);
280     let amount_out = self.internal_get_return(in_idx, amount_in, out_idx);
281     assert!(amount_out >= min_amount_out, "{}", ERR68_SLIPPAGE);
282     env::log(
283         format!(
284             "Swapped {} {} for {} {}",
285             amount_in, token_in, amount_out, token_out
286         )
287         .as_bytes(),
288     );
289
290     let prev_invariant =
291         integer_sqrt(U256::from(self.amounts[in_idx]) * U256::from(self.amounts[out_idx]));
292
293     self.amounts[in_idx] += amount_in;
294     self.amounts[out_idx] -= amount_out;
295
296     // "Invariant" is by how much the dot product of amounts increased due to fees.
297     let new_invariant =
298         integer_sqrt(U256::from(self.amounts[in_idx]) * U256::from(self.amounts[out_idx]));
299
300     // Invariant can not reduce (otherwise loosing balance of the pool and something it broken)
301
302     assert!(new_invariant >= prev_invariant, "{}", ERR75_INVARIANT_REDUCE);
303     let numerator = (new_invariant - prev_invariant) * U256::from(self.shares_total_supply);

```

```

304 // Allocate exchange fee as fraction of total fee by issuing LP shares proportionally.
305 if admin_fee.exchange_fee > 0 && numerator > U256::zero() {
306     let denominator = new_invariant * FEE_DIVISOR / admin_fee.exchange_fee;
307     self.mint_shares(&admin_fee.referral_id, (numerator / denominator).as_u128());
308 }
309
310 // If there is referral provided and the account already registered LP, allocate it % of LP
    rewards.
311 if let Some(referral_id) = &admin_fee.referral_id {
312     if admin_fee.referral_fee > 0
313         && numerator > U256::zero()
314         && self.shares.contains_key(referral_id)
315     {
316         let denominator = new_invariant * FEE_DIVISOR / admin_fee.referral_fee;
317         self.mint_shares(referral_id, (numerator / denominator).as_u128());
318     }
319 }
320
321 // Keeping track of volume per each input traded separately.
322 // Reported volume with fees will be sum of input, without fees will be sum of output.
323 self.volumes[in_idx].input.0 += amount_in;
324 self.volumes[in_idx].output.0 += amount_out;
325
326 amount_out
327 }

```

Listing 2.4: src/simple_pool.rs

Impact Simple Pool will always charge less admin fees than expected.

Suggestion I Use the equation listed above to calculate the shares minted for admins.

Note After the patch, the fee mechanism among [Simple Pool](#), [Stable Pool](#), and [Rated Pool](#) are consistent. However, the actual admin fee rate is higher than the `admin_fee_bps` configured in `AdminFees`. The reason is that the LP fees are distributed to all shares in the pool including the newly minted shares for admins.

2.3 Additional Recommendation

2.3.1 Lack of Check on Guardians' Removal

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description The `owner` of the protocol can remove `guardians` via the function `remove_guardians()`. However, the existence of `guardians` is not checked. In this case, if the `guardians` do not exist, the program will not panic, which may mislead the `owner` and bring unexpected impact.

```

64 #[payable]
65 pub fn remove_guardians(&mut self, guardians: Vec<ValidAccountId>) {
66     assert_one_yocto();

```

```
67     self.assert_owner();
68     for guardian in guardians {
69         self.guardians.remove(guardian.as_ref());
70     }
71 }
```

Listing 2.5: src/owner.rs

Suggestion I Check the return value of function `remove_guardians()`.

Feedback from the Project Will fix it in the next accumulated contract upgrade.

2.3.2 Two-Step Transfer of Privileged Account Ownership

Status Confirmed

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description The contract uses `set_owner()` to configure the privileged account, which can conduct many sensitive operations (e.g., retrieve unmanaged tokens). In this case, when an incorrect new owner is provided, the contract is under the risk of attack and the privileged functions cannot be invoked.

```
14  #[payable]
15  pub fn set_owner(&mut self, owner_id: ValidAccountId) {
16      assert_one_yocto();
17      self.assert_owner();
18      self.owner_id = owner_id.as_ref().clone();
19  }
```

Listing 2.6: src/owner.rs

Suggestion I Implement a two-step approach for the `owner` update: `set_owner()` and `commit_owner()`.

Feedback from the Project To prevent human unintentional errors during the ownership transfer, we would have a safety design to ensure the next owner exists and is able to perform his duty (sign TX). For that purpose, we may leverage a relay baton process: Grant (by cur owner with a deadline blockheight or timestamp), Accept (by next owner to ensure he can sign TX within the deadline), Confirm (by cur owner and followed by the real ownership transfer) or Cancel (by cur owner)

2.3.3 Potential Elastic Supply Token Problem

Status Confirmed

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description Elastic supply tokens could dynamically adjust their price, supply, user's balance, etc. For example, inflation tokens, deflation tokens, rebasing tokens, and so forth. In the current implementation of protocol, elastic supply tokens are not supported. If the token is a deflation token, there will be a difference between the recorded amount of transferred tokens to this

smart contract (as a parameter of function `ft_on_transfer()`) and the actual number of transferred tokens (the token smart contract itself). That's because a small number of tokens will be burned by the token smart contract.

This inconsistency can lead to security impacts for the operations based on the transferred amount of tokens.

Suggestion I Do not add elastic supply tokens to the whitelist.

2.3.4 Improper Check on the Admin Fees

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description In the process of swapping, the user has to pay three different fees for the service, i.e., `exchange_fee`, `referral_fee`, and `lp_fee`. The admin fee (i.e., `exchange_fee` and `referral_fee`) is adjusted with the function `modify_admin_fee()`. However, the maximum admin fee (the sum of `exchange_fee` and `referral_fee`) is allowed to be set as `FEE_DIVISOR` (i.e., 100%), which means all the fees collected from the user are kept to admin. In this case, the liquidity provider cannot get any profit, which is unfair.

```
137 #[payable]
138 pub fn modify_admin_fee(&mut self, exchange_fee: u32, referral_fee: u32) {
139     assert_one_yocto();
140     self.assert_owner();
141     assert!(exchange_fee + referral_fee <= FEE_DIVISOR, "{}", ERR101_ILLEGAL_FEE);
142     self.exchange_fee = exchange_fee;
143     self.referral_fee = referral_fee;
144 }
```

Listing 2.7: `src/owner.rs`

Suggestion I It is recommended to limit the sum up of `exchange_fee` + `referral_fee` with a reasonable value, which is less than `FEE_DIVISOR`.

Feedback from the Project Will fix it in the next accumulated contract upgrade.

2.3.5 Lack of Check in `retrieve_unmanaged_token()`

Status Confirmed

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description Function `retrieve_unmanaged_token()` enables the `owner` to transfer [NEP-141](#) tokens from the contract to the `owner`. The purpose is to retrieve the tokens accidentally transferred in by others. However, there is no limitation on the amount of tokens that are transferred out. In this case, users' assets may lose if the owner transfers more tokens than expected.

```
29 #[payable]
30 pub fn retrieve_unmanaged_token(&mut self, token_id: ValidAccountId, amount: U128) -> Promise
31 {
32     self.assert_owner();
33     assert_one_yocto();
```



```
33     let token_id: AccountId = token_id.into();
34     let amount: u128 = amount.into();
35     assert!(amount > 0, "{}", ERR29_ILLEGAL_WITHDRAW_AMOUNT);
36     env::log(
37         format!(
38             "Going to retrieve token {} to owner, amount: {}",
39             &token_id, amount
40         )
41         .as_bytes(),
42     );
43     ext_fungible_token::ft_transfer(
44         self.owner_id.clone(),
45         U128(amount),
46         None,
47         &token_id,
48         1,
49         env::prepaid_gas() - GAS_FOR_BASIC_OP,
50     )
51 }
```

Listing 2.8: src/owner.rs

Suggestion I It is recommended to add the check to ensure the user's assets would not be transferred out.

Feedback from the Project Current safety policy includes two points: First, we only grant that sensitive interface to the owner's management, and he (the DAO) would be careful with the numbers according to relevant transfer TX. Second, this interface can only withdraw tokens to the owner's account, which gives the owner (the DAO) 2ed chance to inspect numbers.

2.3.6 Lack of Check on the Gas Used by migrate()

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description There is no check on whether the `attached_gas` is enough for function `migrate()`.

```
309     #[no_mangle]
310     pub extern "C" fn upgrade() {
311         env::setup_panic_hook();
312         env::set_blockchain_interface(Box::new(near_blockchain::NearBlockchain {}));
313         let contract: Contract = env::state_read().expect(ERR103_NOT_INITIALIZED);
314         contract.assert_owner();
315         let current_id = env::current_account_id().into_bytes();
316         let method_name = "migrate".as_bytes().to_vec();
317         unsafe {
318             BLOCKCHAIN_INTERFACE.with(|b| {
319                 // Load input into register 0.
320                 b.borrow()
321                     .as_ref()
322                     .expect(BLOCKCHAIN_INTERFACE_NOT_SET_ERR)
323                     .input(0);
324                 let promise_id = b
```

```

325         .borrow()
326         .as_ref()
327         .expect(BLOCKCHAIN_INTERFACE_NOT_SET_ERR)
328         .promise_batch_create(current_id.len() as _, current_id.as_ptr() as _);
329     b.borrow()
330     .as_ref()
331     .expect(BLOCKCHAIN_INTERFACE_NOT_SET_ERR)
332     .promise_batch_action_deploy_contract(promise_id, u64::MAX as _, 0);
333     let attached_gas = env::prepaid_gas() - env::used_gas() - GAS_FOR_MIGRATE_CALL;
334     b.borrow()
335     .as_ref()
336     .expect(BLOCKCHAIN_INTERFACE_NOT_SET_ERR)
337     .promise_batch_action_function_call(
338         promise_id,
339         method_name.len() as _,
340         method_name.as_ptr() as _,
341         0 as _,
342         0 as _,
343         0 as _,
344         attached_gas,
345     );
346 });
347 }
348 }

```

Listing 2.9: src/owner.rs

Suggestion I Check whether the `attached_gas` is larger than a specified value.

Feedback from the Project Will fix it in the next accumulated contract upgrade.

2.3.7 Code Optimization (I)

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description Function `internal_unwrap_or_default_account()` is used to get the stored `Account` in the contract with the `AccountId`. If the `AccountId` is not registered, the function will return a default `Account`. This function is improperly used in the functions listed below (i.e., `add_liquidity()`, `add_stable_liquidity()`, `remove_liquidity()`, and `remove_liquidity_by_tokens()`). Take the function `add_liquidity()` as an example, if the `Account` of the sender doesn't exist (line 266), the withdrawal of the deposited tokens in the newly created `Account` (lines 269 - 271) will always fail.

```

237     #[payable]
238     pub fn add_liquidity(
239         &mut self,
240         pool_id: u64,
241         amounts: Vec<U128>,
242         min_amounts: Option<Vec<U128>>,
243     ) -> U128 {
244         self.assert_contract_running();

```

```
245     assert!(
246         env::attached_deposit() > 0,
247         "{}", ERR35_AT_LEAST_ONE_YOCTO
248     );
249     let prev_storage = env::storage_usage();
250     let sender_id = env::predecessor_account_id();
251     let mut amounts: Vec<u128> = amounts.into_iter().map(|amount| amount.into()).collect();
252     let mut pool = self.pools.get(pool_id).expect(ERR85_NO_POOL);
253     // feature frozenlist
254     self.assert_no_frozen_tokens(pool.tokens());
255     // Add amounts given to liquidity first. It will return the balanced amounts.
256     let shares = pool.add_liquidity(
257         &sender_id,
258         &mut amounts,
259     );
260     if let Some(min_amounts) = min_amounts {
261         // Check that all amounts are above request min amounts in case of front running that
262         // changes the exchange rate.
263         for (amount, min_amount) in amounts.iter().zip(min_amounts.iter()) {
264             assert!(amount >= &min_amount.0, "{}", ERR86_MIN_AMOUNT);
265         }
266     }
267     let mut deposits = self.internal_unwrap_or_default_account(&sender_id);
268     let tokens = pool.tokens();
269     // Subtract updated amounts from deposits. This will fail if there is not enough funds for
270     // any of the tokens.
271     for i in 0..tokens.len() {
272         deposits.withdraw(&tokens[i], amounts[i]);
273     }
274     self.internal_save_account(&sender_id, deposits);
275     self.pools.replace(pool_id, &pool);
276     self.internal_check_storage(prev_storage);
277     U128(shares)
278 }
```

Listing 2.10: src/lib.rs

```
284 #[payable]
285 pub fn add_stable_liquidity(
286     &mut self,
287     pool_id: u64,
288     amounts: Vec<U128>,
289     min_shares: U128,
290 ) -> U128 {
291     self.assert_contract_running();
292     assert!(
293         env::attached_deposit() > 0,
294         "{}", ERR35_AT_LEAST_ONE_YOCTO
295     );
296     let prev_storage = env::storage_usage();
297     let sender_id = env::predecessor_account_id();
298     let amounts: Vec<u128> = amounts.into_iter().map(|amount| amount.into()).collect();
```

```
299     let mut pool = self.pools.get(pool_id).expect(ERR85_NO_POOL);
300     // feature frozenlist
301     self.assert_no_frozen_tokens(pool.tokens());
302     // Add amounts given to liquidity first. It will return the balanced amounts.
303     let mint_shares = pool.add_stable_liquidity(
304         &sender_id,
305         &amounts,
306         min_shares.into(),
307         AdminFees::new(self.exchange_fee),
308     );
309     let mut deposits = self.internal_unwrap_or_default_account(&sender_id);
310     let tokens = pool.tokens();
311     // Subtract amounts from deposits. This will fail if there is not enough funds for any of
312     // the tokens.
313     for i in 0..tokens.len() {
314         deposits.withdraw(&tokens[i], amounts[i]);
315     }
316     self.internal_save_account(&sender_id, deposits);
317     self.pools.replace(pool_id, &pool);
318     self.internal_check_storage(prev_storage);
319     mint_shares.into()
320 }
```

Listing 2.11: src/lib.rs

```
333 #[payable]
334 pub fn remove_liquidity(&mut self, pool_id: u64, shares: U128, min_amounts: Vec<U128> -> Vec<
335     U128> {
336     assert_one_yocto();
337     self.assert_contract_running();
338     let prev_storage = env::storage_usage();
339     let sender_id = env::predecessor_account_id();
340     let mut pool = self.pools.get(pool_id).expect(ERR85_NO_POOL);
341     // feature frozenlist
342     self.assert_no_frozen_tokens(pool.tokens());
343     let amounts = pool.remove_liquidity(
344         &sender_id,
345         shares.into(),
346         min_amounts
347             .into_iter()
348             .map(|amount| amount.into())
349             .collect(),
350     );
351     self.pools.replace(pool_id, &pool);
352     let tokens = pool.tokens();
353     let mut deposits = self.internal_unwrap_or_default_account(&sender_id);
354     for i in 0..tokens.len() {
355         deposits.deposit(&tokens[i], amounts[i]);
356     }
357     // Freed up storage balance from LP tokens will be returned to near_balance.
358     if prev_storage > env::storage_usage() {
359         deposits.near_amount +=
```

```
359         (prev_storage - env::storage_usage()) as Balance * env::storage_byte_cost();
360     }
361     self.internal_save_account(&sender_id, deposits);
362
363     amounts
364         .into_iter()
365         .map(|amount| amount.into())
366         .collect()
367 }
```

Listing 2.12: src/lib.rs

```
373 #[payable]
374 pub fn remove_liquidity_by_tokens(
375     &mut self, pool_id: u64,
376     amounts: Vec<U128>,
377     max_burn_shares: U128
378 ) -> U128 {
379     assert_one_yocto();
380     self.assert_contract_running();
381     let prev_storage = env::storage_usage();
382     let sender_id = env::predecessor_account_id();
383     let mut pool = self.pools.get(pool_id).expect(ERR85_NO_POOL);
384     // feature frozenlist
385     self.assert_no_frozen_tokens(pool.tokens());
386     let burn_shares = pool.remove_liquidity_by_tokens(
387         &sender_id,
388         amounts
389             .clone()
390             .into_iter()
391             .map(|amount| amount.into())
392             .collect(),
393         max_burn_shares.into(),
394         AdminFees::new(self.exchange_fee),
395     );
396     self.pools.replace(pool_id, &pool);
397     let tokens = pool.tokens();
398     let mut deposits = self.internal_unwrap_or_default_account(&sender_id);
399     for i in 0..tokens.len() {
400         deposits.deposit(&tokens[i], amounts[i].into());
401     }
402     // Freed up storage balance from LP tokens will be returned to near_balance.
403     if prev_storage > env::storage_usage() {
404         deposits.near_amount +=
405             (prev_storage - env::storage_usage()) as Balance * env::storage_byte_cost();
406     }
407     self.internal_save_account(&sender_id, deposits);
408
409     burn_shares.into()
410 }
```

Listing 2.13: src/lib.rs

Suggestion I Replace the function `internal_unwrap_or_default_account()` with the function `internal_unwrap_account()` in above functions.

Feedback from the Project Will fix it in the next accumulated contract upgrade.

2.3.8 Code Optimization (II)

Status Fixed in [Version 2](#)

Introduced by [Version 1](#)

Description Function `ft_on_transfer()` is a callback function which is used to receive tokens. It will check whether the token transferred in is frozen for both the operation of `deposit` and the operation of `swap`. There also exist checks in the operation of `swap` to make sure the token swapped out is not frozen as well. However, this check will be done for each `swap`. The problem comes when a sequence of swaps executes, and there is a frozen token in the middle of the sequence. In this case, the execution will not fail until it reaches the middle, and the gas is wasted for executing the previous swap actions.

```
62  #[allow(unreachable_code)]
63  fn ft_on_transfer(
64      &mut self,
65      sender_id: ValidAccountId,
66      amount: U128,
67      msg: String,
68  ) -> PromiseOrValue<U128> {
69      self.assert_contract_running();
70      let token_in = env::predecessor_account_id();
71      // feature frozenlist
72      self.assert_no_frozen_tokens(&[token_in.clone()]);
73      if msg.is_empty() {
74          // Simple deposit.
75          self.internal_deposit(sender_id.as_ref(), &token_in, amount.into());
76          PromiseOrValue::Value(U128(0))
77      } else {
78          // instant swap
79          let message =
80              serde_json::from_str::<TokenReceiverMessage>(&msg).expect(ERR28_WRONG_MSG_FORMAT);
81          match message {
82              TokenReceiverMessage::Execute {
83                  referral_id,
84                  actions,
85              } => {
86                  let referral_id = referral_id.map(|x| x.to_string());
87                  let out_amounts = self.internal_direct_actions(
88                      token_in,
89                      amount.0,
90                      referral_id,
91                      &actions,
92                  );
93                  for (token_out, amount_out) in out_amounts.into_iter() {
94                      self.internal_send_tokens(sender_id.as_ref(), &token_out, amount_out);
95                  }
96              }
97          }
98      }
99  }
```

```

96          // Even if send tokens fails, we don't return funds back to sender.
97          PromiseOrValue::Value(U128(0))
98      }
99  }
100 }
101 }

```

Listing 2.14: src/token_receiver.rs

Suggestion I Check all the tokens listed in `actions` before the swapping to make sure no frozen tokens exist.

Feedback from the Project Will fix it in the next accumulated contract upgrade.

2.3.9 Avoid Logging in View Functions

Status Fixed in [Version 4](#)

Introduced by [Version 3](#)

Description The function shown below will always emit the logs regardless of whether the `is_view` argument is `true` or `false`, which can lead to inaccuracies in off-chain statistics and analytics. Though logs emitted in most of the functions can be differentiated by originating from a view account or not, logs emitted in function `swap` cannot be differentiated between view and non-view usage.

File	Function
simple_pool.rs	<code>add_liquidity</code>
simple_pool.rs	<code>remove_liquidity</code>
simple_pool.rs	<code>swap</code>
rated_swap/mod.rs	<code>add_liquidity</code>
rated_swap/mod.rs	<code>remove_liquidity_by_shares</code>
rated_swap/mod.rs	<code>remove_liquidity_by_tokens</code>
rated_swap/mod.rs	<code>swap</code>
stable_swap/mod.rs	<code>add_liquidity</code>
stable_swap/mod.rs	<code>remove_liquidity_by_shares</code>
stable_swap/mod.rs	<code>remove_liquidity_by_tokens</code>
stable_swap/mod.rs	<code>swap</code>

Suggestion I Avoid emitting logs when `is_view` is true.

2.3.10 Slippage Protection in Function `add_liquidity`

Status Fixed* in [Version 4](#)

Introduced by [Version 3](#)

Description When providing liquidity to `simple pools` via the operation `HotZap`, users can optionally specify the parameter `min_amounts` to control slippage. However, when providing liquidity to `stable pools` or `rated pools`, the function `add_liquidity()` requires users to provide a `mint_shares`.

Considering the consistency and risk of frontrunning, it's recommended to check that `min_amounts` has to be provided.

```

250  #[payable]
251  pub fn add_liquidity(
252      &mut self,
253      pool_id: u64,
254      amounts: Vec<U128>,
255      min_amounts: Option<Vec<U128>>,
256  ) -> U128 {
257      self.assert_contract_running();
258      assert!(env::attached_deposit() > 0, "{}", ERR35_AT_LEAST_ONE_YOCTO);
259      let prev_storage = env::storage_usage();
260      let sender_id = env::predecessor_account_id();
261      let mut amounts: Vec<u128> = amounts.into_iter().map(|amount| amount.into()).collect();
262      let mut pool = self.pools.get(pool_id).expect(ERR85_NO_POOL);
263      // feature frozenlist
264      self.assert_no_frozen_tokens(pool.tokens());
265      // Add amounts given to liquidity first. It will return the balanced amounts.
266      let shares = pool.add_liquidity(&sender_id, &mut amounts, false);
267      if let Some(min_amounts) = min_amounts {
268          // Check that all amounts are above request min amounts in case of front running that
269          // changes the exchange rate.
270          for (amount, min_amount) in amounts.iter().zip(min_amounts.iter()) {
271              assert!(amount >= &min_amount.0, "{}", ERR86_MIN_AMOUNT);
272          }
273          // [AUDITION_AMENDMENT] 2.3.7 Code Optimization (I)
274          let mut deposits = self.internal_unwrap_account(&sender_id);
275          let tokens = pool.tokens();
276          // Subtract updated amounts from deposits. This will fail if there is not enough funds for
277          // any of the tokens.
278          for i in 0..tokens.len() {
279              deposits.withdraw(&tokens[i], amounts[i]);
280          }
281          self.internal_save_account(&sender_id, deposits);
282          self.pools.replace(pool_id, &pool);
283          self.internal_check_storage(prev_storage);
284
285          U128(shares)
286      }

```

Listing 2.15: src/lib.rs

```

116  TokenReceiverMessage::HotZap {
117      referral_id,
118      hot_zap_actions,
119      add_liquidity_infos
120  } => {
121      assert!(hot_zap_actions.len() > 0 && add_liquidity_infos.len() > 0);
122      let sender_id: AccountId = sender_id.into();
123      let mut account = self.internal_unwrap_account(&sender_id);
124      let referral_id = referral_id.map(|x| x.to_string());

```



```
125     let out_amounts = self.internal_direct_actions(
126         token_in,
127         amount.0,
128         referral_id,
129         &hot_zap_actions,
130     );
131
132     let mut token_cache = TokenCache::new();
133     for (out_token_id, out_amount) in out_amounts {
134         token_cache.add(&out_token_id, out_amount);
135     }
136
137     for add_liquidity_info in add_liquidity_infos {
138         let mut pool = self.pools.get(add_liquidity_info.pool_id).expect(ERR85_NO_POOL);
139         let tokens_in_pool = match &pool {
140             Pool::SimplePool(p) => p.token_account_ids.clone(),
141             Pool::RatedSwapPool(p) => p.token_account_ids.clone(),
142             Pool::StableSwapPool(p) => p.token_account_ids.clone(),
143         };
144
145         let mut add_liquidity_amounts = add_liquidity_info.amounts.iter().map(|v| v.0).collect(
146             ();
147
148         match pool {
149             Pool::SimplePool(_) => {
150                 pool.add_liquidity(
151                     &sender_id,
152                     &mut add_liquidity_amounts,
153                     false
154                 );
155                 if let Some(min_amounts) = add_liquidity_info.min_amounts {
156                     // Check that all amounts are above request min amounts in case of front
157                     // running that changes the exchange rate.
158                     for (amount, min_amount) in add_liquidity_amounts.iter().zip(min_amounts.
159                         iter()) {
160                         assert!(amount >= &min_amount.0, "{}", ERR86_MIN_AMOUNT);
161                     }
162                 }
163             },
164             Pool::StableSwapPool(_) | Pool::RatedSwapPool(_) => {
165                 let min_shares = add_liquidity_info.min_shares.expect("Need input min_shares");
166                 pool.add_stable_liquidity(
167                     &sender_id,
168                     &add_liquidity_amounts,
169                     min_shares.into(),
170                     AdminFees::new(self.admin_fee_bps),
171                     false
172                 );
173             }
174         };
175
176         for (cost_token_id, cost_amount) in tokens_in_pool.iter().zip(add_liquidity_amounts.
177             into_iter()) {
```

```

174         token_cache.sub(cost_token_id, cost_amount);
175     }
176
177     self.pools.replace(add_liquidity_info.pool_id, &pool);
178 }
179
180 for (remain_token_id, remain_amount) in token_cache.0.iter() {
181     account.deposit(remain_token_id, *remain_amount);
182 }
183
184 self.internal_save_account(&sender_id, account);
185
186 env::log(
187     format!(
188         "HotZap remain internal account assets: {:?}]",
189         token_cache.0
190     )
191     .as_bytes(),
192 );
193
194 PromiseOrValue::Value(U128(0))
195 }

```

Listing 2.16: src/token_receiver.rs

Suggestion I Revise the logic accordingly.

Feedback from the Project The `add_liquidity` function has not been updated for compatibility.

2.3.11 Spelling Errors

Status Fixed in [Version 6](#)

Introduced by [Version 5](#)

Description There are some spelling errors in the code, as shown in the table below

File & Line	Spelling Error
src/utils.rs #line 162,165,166,167	pirce
src/sfrax_rate.rs #line 76,173	Oralce

Suggestion I Revise the corresponding typos.

2.3.12 Lack of Checks for Oracle Configuration

Status Fixed in [Version 6](#)

Introduced by [Version 5](#)

Description The functions `SfraxRate::update_extra_info()` and `SfraxRate::new()` configure the `frax` and `sfrax` oracle with `extra_info_string` provided by the `admin`, but there is no validation for the critical system variables such as `pyth_price_valid_duration_sec`, `maximum_recency_duration_sec`, and `maximum_staleness_duration_sec`.

```
39 pub struct PriceOracle {
40     pub oracle_id: AccountId,
41     pub base_contract_id: AccountId,
42     /// The maximum number of seconds expected from the oracle price call.
43     pub maximum_recency_duration_sec: u32,
44     /// Maximum staleness duration of the price data timestamp.
45     /// Because NEAR protocol doesn't implement the gas auction right now, the only reason to
46     /// delay the price updates are due to the shard congestion.
47     /// This parameter can be updated in the future by the owner.
48     pub maximum_staleness_duration_sec: u32,
49 }
```

Listing 2.17: src/rated_swap/sfrax_rate.rs

```
87 pub struct PythOracle {
88     pub oracle_id: AccountId,
89     pub base_price_identifier: PriceIdentifier,
90     pub rate_price_identifier: PriceIdentifier,
91     /// The valid duration to pyth price in seconds.
92     pub pyth_price_valid_duration_sec: u32,
93 }
```

Listing 2.18: src/rated_swap/sfrax_rate.rs

```
263 impl SfraxRate {
264     pub fn new(contract_id: AccountId, extra_info_string: String) -> Self {
265         let extra_info =
266             near_sdk::serde_json::from_str::<SfraxExtraInfo>(&extra_info_string).expect(
267                 ERR128_INVALID_EXTRA_INFO_MSG_FORMAT);
268         Self {
269             stored_rates: PRECISION,
270             rates_updated_at: 0,
271             contract_id,
272             extra_info,
273         }
274     }
275     pub fn update_extra_info(&mut self, extra_info_string: String) {
276         let extra_info =
277             near_sdk::serde_json::from_str::<SfraxExtraInfo>(&extra_info_string).expect(
278                 ERR128_INVALID_EXTRA_INFO_MSG_FORMAT);
279         self.extra_info = extra_info;
280     }
281 }
```

Listing 2.19: src/rated_swap/sfrax_rate.rs

Suggestion I There should be a maximum value limit imposed on `PythOracle::pyth_price_valid_duration_sec` and `PriceOracle::maximum_staleness_duration_sec`.

2.4 Notes

2.4.1 Delayed Price in Rated Swap Pool

Status Confirmed

Introduced by [version 1](#)

Description Given the async nature of [NEAR](#) protocol, one transaction on the [NEAR](#) protocol may be executed in several blocks. The price of tokens in the [Rated Swap Pool](#) may not be the latest. Therefore, it should be noted that the token added to the [Rated Swap Pool](#) should be as stable as possible.

2.4.2 Timely Triggering `update_token_rate()`

Status Confirmed

Introduced by [version 1](#)

Description Function `update_token_rate()` is used to get the newest rates of tokens from the token contracts and update them in the contract for further use. It's important for the team to make sure that the function will be triggered by the team timely.

2.4.3 Sensitive Functions Managed by DAO

Status Confirmed

Introduced by [version 1](#)

Description Privileged functions in [Ref-Exchange](#) are controlled by [DAO](#) (i.e., [ref-finance.sputnik-dao.near](#)). The [DAO](#) has the privilege to configure system parameters, change the state of the contract (pause and unpaue), upgrade the contract, etc. The community should manage the [DAO](#) carefully.

2.4.4 Reliability of Oracle

Status Confirmed

Introduced by [version 5](#)

Description The prices of the tokens [frax](#) and [sfrax](#) are supplied by two different external oracles, and the specific oracle to be used is determined and configured by the [admin](#) during registration and activation of the corresponding pool through the [extra_info](#) parameter. To ensure the normal operation of the [frax-sfrax](#) rated pool, it is essential to have reasonable prices. Therefore, a stable and reliable oracle is a necessary requirement in this case.

