

Teammate Evaluation Form

Team name	Green Team	
Teammate's Name	Mike Block	
	Rubric: 0-3 – Negative contribution, counterproductive 4 – Never met expectations 5 – Almost never met expectations 6 – Seldom met expectations 7 – Infrequently met expectations 8 – Usually met expectations 9 – Always met expectations 10 – Exceeded expectations	Rate 0-10
Communicates Well	Did the teammate return e-mails and other forms of communication promptly? Could the teammate understand, explain and evaluate the technical aspects of the project in a clear concise manner?	10
Works in team environment	Did your teammate come to meetings on time? Did the teammate participate in all aspects of the project? How much did the teammate's efforts contribute to the overall success of the project?	10
Meets deadlines	Did you teammate complete individual tasks on time? Did the teammate keep the project progressing forward in a timely manner with a consistent effort throughout the project or was the teammate only available when the team was in trouble?	10
Quality of work	Was your teammate willing to accept and carry out individual tasks on time? How well were these individual tasks carried out? Did your teammate do his or her fair share of the work?	10
Overall	Would you be happy working with the person again? Would you give this person a job reference?	10
Average		10
Comments: Mike is a great teammate, and eager to help wherever he can. Always friendly, Mike did an excellent job filling in wherever the team needed him, whether that be with miscellaneous functions, GUI support, etc.		

Adapted from http://claymore.engineer.gvsu.edu/~jackh/eod/courses/egr345/fall07/media/contest_forms.pdf