

Teammate Evaluation Form

Team name	Green Team	
Teammate's Name	Paul Nunley	
	Rubric: 0-3 – Negative contribution, counterproductive 4 – Never met expectations 5 – Almost never met expectations 6 – Seldom met expectations 7 – Infrequently met expectations 8 – Usually met expectations 9 – Always met expectations 10 – Exceeded expectations	Rate 0-10
Communicates Well	Did the teammate return e-mails and other forms of communication promptly? Could the teammate understand, explain and evaluate the technical aspects of the project in a clear concise manner?	10
Works in team environment	Did your teammate come to meetings on time? Did the teammate participate in all aspects of the project? How much did the teammate's efforts contribute to the overall success of the project?	10
Meets deadlines	Did you teammate complete individual tasks on time? Did the teammate keep the project progressing forward in a timely manner with a consistent effort throughout the project or was the teammate only available when the team was in trouble?	10
Quality of work	Was your teammate willing to accept and carry out individual tasks on time? How well were these individual tasks carried out? Did your teammate do his or her fair share of the work?	10
Overall	Would you be happy working with the person again? Would you give this person a job reference?	10
Average		10
Comments: Paul is a great teammate and excellent organizer. Paul helped the team to stay on track by organizing meetings as well as being the final set of eyes on all of our assignments, making sure formatting was clean and that we were meeting rubric requirements. Excellent organizational skills.		

Adapted from http://claymore.engineer.gvsu.edu/~jackh/eod/courses/egr345/fall07/media/contest_forms.pdf