

# Magma-8B Multi-Technique Analysis Report

**Generated:** 2025-06-11 08:36:06

**Model:** Microsoft Magma-8B

**Task/Prompt:** Can you go towards the lamp?

## Input Image



## Analysis Results

### ***STANDARD Technique***

*Direct prompting without additional reasoning steps*

**Response:**

Yes

### ***COT Technique***

*Chain-of-Thought: Step-by-step reasoning before final answer*

**Response:**

Yes, I can walk towards the floor lamp.

## ***COD Technique***

*Chain-of-Draft: Draft thoughts followed by refined response*

### **Response:**

Yes, I can walk towards the lamp.

## ***COT\_CONSISTENCY Technique***

*Self-Consistency: Multiple reasoning paths with majority voting*

### **Majority Vote Result:**

Yes, I can walk towards the lamp.

### **All Samples:**

Sample 1: Yes, I can walk towards the lamp.

Sample 2: Yes, I can walk towards the floor lamp in the living room.

Sample 3: Yes, I can walk towards the lamp.

Sample 4: No, I cannot reach the lamp as it is too far away from me. I am only a computer program and don't have a physical body.

Sample 5: Yes, I can walk towards the lamp in the living room.

## Analysis Summary

This report compares four different prompting techniques applied to the Magma-8B vision-language model. Each technique has different strengths:

- **Standard:** Fast and direct, good for simple tasks
- **CoT:** Better reasoning for complex tasks requiring step-by-step thinking
- **CoD:** Iterative refinement for improved response quality
- **CoT-Consistency:** Most robust but computationally expensive, reduces hallucinations