Homework 9

* Name:Xin Xu Student ID:519021910726 Email: xuxin20010203@sjtu.edu.cn

Problem 1. Find an example to verify the claim that '(pairwise) independence does not imply mutual independence'. Pls give a detailed proof.

Solution. Suppose there are three events: A, B, C so that $Pr(A) = Pr(B) = Pr(C) = <math>\frac{1}{5}$, $Pr(AB) = Pr(BC) = Pr(AC) = <math>\frac{1}{25}$, $Pr(A \cup B \cup C) = \frac{13}{25}$. It's pairwise independent but not mutually independent. Proof is as follows:

Since $Pr(AB) = \frac{1}{25} = \frac{1}{5} \times \frac{1}{5} = Pr(A) \times Pr(B)$, and it's also true for both Pr(AC) and Pr(BC). So, it's clear that events A, B, C are pairwise independent. But for Pr(ABC), we can calculate that:

 $Pr(A \cup B \cup C) = Pr(A) + Pr(B) + Pr(C) - Pr(AB) - Pr(AC) - Pr(BC) + Pr(ABC)$, so that $Pr(ABC) = \frac{1}{25} \neq Pr(A) \times Pr(B) \times Pr(C)$.

Above all, this example denotes that '(pairwise) independence does not imply mutual independence'.

Problem 2. Show that, if $E_1, E_2, ..., E_n$ are mutually independent, then so are $\overline{E_1}, \overline{E_2}, ..., \overline{E_n}$.

Proof. We will prove it by induction. For any k events $E_{i_1}, E_{i_2}, \ldots, E_{i_k}$, we can know that:

$$Pr(E_{i_1}E_{i_2}...\overline{E_{i_k}}) = Pr(E_{i_1}E_{i_2}...E_{i_{k-1}}) - Pr(E_{i_1}E_{i_2}...E_{i_k})$$

$$= Pr(E_{i_1})Pr(E_{i_2})...Pr(E_{i_{k-1}}) - Pr(E_{i_1})Pr(E_{i_2})...Pr(E_{i_k})$$

$$= Pr(E_{i_1})Pr(E_{i_2})...(1 - Pr(E_{i_k})).$$

So, every time we change an event E_{i_j} into $\overline{E_{i_j}}$, the corresponding probability changes to $1 - Pr(E_{i_j})$. After k times recursion, $Pr(\overline{E_{i_1}E_{i_2}}...\overline{E_{i_k}}) = (1 - E_{i_1})(1 - E_{i_2})...(1 - E_{i_k})$.

The statement is true.

Problem 3. A monkey types on a 26 -letter keyboard that has lowercase letters only. Each letter is chosen independently and uniformly at random from the alphabet. If the monkey types 1,000,000 letters. what is the expected number of times the sequence "proof" appears?

Solution. There are 1000000 - 4 = 999996 ways to choose 5 continuous chars from 1000000 chars. And for every continuous chars, the probability of sequence "proof" appears is $Pr(proof) = \frac{1}{26^5}$. So that the expected number of times is $999996 \times Pr(proof) = \frac{999996}{26^5}$.

Problem 4. We have 27 fair coins and one counterfeit coin (28 coins in all), which looks like a fair coin but is a bit heavier. Show that one needs at least 4 weighings to determine the counterfeit coin. We have no calibrated weights, and in one weighing we can only find out which of two groups of some k coins each is heavier, assuming that if both groups consist of fair coins only the result is an equilibrium.

Solution. We will prove that 3 times weighting cannot find out the counterfeit coin.

Every weighting, we can divide all the coins into three parts and choose one part from them. Since $28 > 3^3$, we cannot find out the counterfeit coin in 3 times weighting. Consider the worst condition, the first choice can reduce the number to 10: (9,9,10). The second weighting can reduce the number to 4: (3,3,4). Since the number can only increase by adding the normal coins, there must be 2 coins grouped into one:(1,1,2). So that if the third weighting reduced the number to 2, we cannot find out the counterfeit coin.

- **Problem 5.** 1. Prove that, for every integer n, there exists a coloring of the edges of the complete graph K_n by two colors so that the total number of monochromatic copies of K_4 is at most $\binom{n}{4}2^{-5}$.
 - 2. Give a randomized algorithm for finding a coloring with at most $\binom{n}{4}2^{-5}$ monochromatic (i.e. single-color) copies of K_4 that runs in expected time polynomial in n.
- *Proof.* 1. For any K_4 , the probability of being monochromatic is $\frac{1}{2^{\binom{4}{2}}} \times 2 = \frac{1}{2^5}$. To choose 4 nodes from n nodes to form a K_n , there is at most $\binom{n}{4}$ ways to form a K_n . So that the number is at most $\binom{n}{4}2^{-5}$.
 - 2. Every time the algorithm finds a K_4 out of K_n , and examine it monochromatic or not. The loop needs $\binom{n}{4}$ times and the examination needs 6 times. So the total time is $6\binom{n}{4}$ satisfying polynomial in n.

Problem 6. Use the Lovasz local lemma to show that if

$$4\binom{k}{2}\binom{n}{k-2}2^{1-\binom{k}{2}} \le 1$$

then it is possible to color the edges of K_n with two colors so that it has no monochromatic (i.e. single color) K_k subgraph.

Proof. We assume that the event E_i is: chosen K_k^i is monochromatic. So that $Pr(E_i) = 2^{1-\binom{k}{2}}$. And for every event E_i , if E_i with other events E_j can combine to form a monochromatic K_k , there is an edge between node E_i and node E_j . So that $d < \binom{k}{2} \binom{n}{k-2}$. With conditions above, $4dPr(E_i) \le 1$, so that $Pr(\overline{E_1E_2} \dots \overline{E_n}) \ge 0$, which means it is possible to color the edges of K_n with two colors so that it has no monochromatic (i.e. single color) K_k subgraph.

Problem 7. What is the expected number of trees with k vertices in $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, p)$?

Solution. For any k nodes, the probability to form a tree is $Pr(T) = k^{k-2}p^{k-1}(1-p)^{\binom{k-2}{2}}$. And there are $\binom{n}{k}$ ways to find k nodes. So that the expected number is $\binom{n}{k}k^{k-2}p^{k-1}(1-p)^{\binom{k-2}{2}}$.

Problem 8. Show that if almost all $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, p)$ have a graph property \mathcal{P}_1 and almost all $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, p)$ have a graph property \mathcal{P}_2 , then almost all $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, p)$ have both properties.

Proof.

$$\begin{split} \lim_{n \to \infty} Pr(\mathcal{P}_1 \mathcal{P}_2) &= \lim_{n \to \infty} Pr(\mathcal{P}_1) + Pr(\mathcal{P}_2) - Pr(\mathcal{P}_1 \cup \mathcal{P}_2) \\ &= \lim_{n \to \infty} 1 + 1 - Pr(\mathcal{P}_1 \cup \mathcal{P}_2) \\ &\geq \lim_{n \to \infty} 1 + 1 - 1 \\ &\geq 1 \end{split}$$

So that almost all $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, p)$ have both properties.