

Homework 10

Problem 1. Show that, for constant $p \in (0, 1)$, almost no graph in $\mathcal{G}(n, p)$ has a separating complete subgraph.

[Hint]

1. Recall the property $P_{i,j}$ from the slides
2. You may need to recall the definitions:
 - Separating subgraph: Given $G = (V, E)$, and some $X \subseteq V \cup E$, we call X a separating subgraph if there exists two vertices $u, v \in V(G - X)$ such that u, v are in the same component of G , while u, v lie in two disconnected components of $G - X$ (i.e., X separates u and v).
 - Separating complete subgraph: If the above subgraph X is also a complete graph.

Solution. Consider $P_{2,1}$. For any vertices u, v, w , there is a vertex x that connects u, v but disconnects w . Repeatedly, there is a vertex y that connects u, v but disconnects x . So, if there is a separating complete subgraph X , it cannot hold x, y concurrently. So, u, v must be connected, which is contradicted. In a nutshell, almost no graph in $\mathcal{G}(n, p)$ has a separating complete subgraph. \square

Problem 2. Consider $\mathbf{G}(n, p)$ with $p = \frac{1}{3n}$.

Use the second moment method to show that with high probability there exists a simple path of length 10.

Solution. Suppose $x = \sum I_k, I_k$ is a random order to get 11 vertices from n vertices. The order of choosing vertices is the way to connecting a simple path. And

$$I_k = \begin{cases} 1 & I_k \text{ is a simple path of length 10} \\ 0 & \text{others} \end{cases}$$

So, $E(x) = \binom{n}{11} \times 11! \times p^{10}, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(x) = \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)\dots(n-10)}{(3n)^{10}} = \infty$. And let $a = \binom{n}{11} \times 11!$.

$$E(x^2) = E(\sum I_k \sum I_j) = E(\sum I_k^2) + 2E(\sum I_k I_j).$$

$$E(\sum I_k^2) = E(\sum I_k) = E(x) = o(E^2(x)).$$

$$E(\sum I_k I_j) = a(a-1)p^{20} = \theta(E^2(x)).$$

So, $E(x^2) = E(\sum I_k \sum I_j) = E(\sum I_k^2) + 2E(\sum I_k I_j) = E^2(x)(1 + o(1))$. So, $\text{Var}(x) = o(E^2(x))$. x is almost surely greater than zero, which means there exists a simple path of length 10 with high probability. \square

Problem 3. Prove that ‘the disappearance of isolated vertices in $\mathbf{G}(n, p)$ ’ has a sharp threshold of $\frac{\ln n}{n}$.

[Hint: John’s book, theorem 8.6]

Proof. Let x be the number of isolated vertices in $G(n, p)$. Then,

$$E(x) = n(1 - p)^{n-1}.$$

Let’s consider $p = c \frac{\ln n}{n}$. Then,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} E(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} n(1 - c \frac{\ln n}{n})^n = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} n e^{-c \ln n} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} n^{1-c}.$$

So, when $c > 1$, the expected number of isolated vertices goes to 0, which means almost all graphs have no isolated vertices. When $c < 1$, we will consider the second moment method.

Let $x = I_1 + I_2 + \dots + I_n$ where I_i is a binary variable which means whether vertex i is an isolated vertex. Then, $E(x^2) = \sum_{i=1}^n E(I_i^2) + 2 \sum_{i < j} E(I_i I_j)$.

$$\sum_{i=1}^n E(I_i^2) = \sum_{i=1}^n E(I_i) = E(x).$$

$$2 \sum_{i < j} E(I_i I_j) = n(n-1)E(I_i I_j) = n(n-1)(1-p)^{2(n-1)-1}.$$

So, $E(x^2) = E(x) + n(n-1)(1-p)^{2(n-1)-1}$. For $p = c \frac{\ln n}{n}$ and $c < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E(x^2)}{E^2(x)} &= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n(1-p)^{n-1} + n(n-1)(1-p)^{2(n-1)-1}}{n^2(1-p)^{2(n-1)}} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{1}{n^{1-c}} + (1 - \frac{1}{n}) \frac{1}{1 - c \frac{\ln n}{n}} \right] \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} (1 + c \frac{\ln n}{n}) = o(1) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

So, $\text{Var}(x) = E(x^2) - E^2(x) = o(E^2(x))$, which means x is almost surely larger than 0 when $c < 1$. In a nutshell, this statement has to be proved. \square

Problem 4. (Optional)

1. Prove that the threshold for the existence of cycles in $\mathcal{G}(n, p)$ is $p = \frac{1}{n}$.

2. Search the World Wide Web to find some real world graphs in machine readable form or data bases that could automatically be converted to graphs.
 - (a) Plot the degree distribution of each graph.
 - (b) Compute the average degree of each graph.
 - (c) Count the number of connected components of each size in each graph.
 - (d) Describe what you find.
3. Create a simulation (an animation) to show the evolution of the $\mathcal{G}(n, p)$ (Erdős-Rényi) random graph as its density p is gradually increased. Observe the phase transitions for trees of increasing orders, followed by the emergence of the giant component, etc.