## **RUBRIC** for Functional Specification

The Functional Specification is graded Pass/Fail. Use this rubric as a checklist. Comply with each item on this list to receive a passing grade. If I feel that one or more are lacking the team will receive a Fail on the Functional Specification.

The fo	llowing a	are necessary to earn a Pass:
	Title Page done correctly	
		Logos properly handled
2.	All instructions (red) deleted	
		All red italics deleted
3.	Table of Contents is correct	
		Section numbers retained
		Page numbers correct
		Project Name is in header
4.	Require	ements
		Requirements are clearly stated
5.	Numbers	
		Numbers related to Sponsor are reasonable
		Numbers related to project are reasonable
		The project numbers reasonably satisfy Sponsor needs
6.	Existing System	
		Existing system and limitations are clearly described
		New system, functions, and constraints are clearly described
7.	Terminology	
		Terminology is clear and concise
8.	References	
		All documents referenced in the Functional Spec are cited in a consistent format
		The Functional Spec has no forward references
9.	Functional Description	
		Includes diagrams and examples where appropriate
		All statements are written with consistent terminology
		Related functions are logically grouped
		Mandatory, additional, and irrelevant functions are clearly differentiated
		Content of each subsection is clear and consistent with heading
		Boundary conditions and constraints demonstrate an understanding of the project
		All features from the SOW are included
		Hardware spec contains relevant items: tolerances, all inputs/outputs/supplies, Timing
		diagrams or waveforms provided for digital I/O, performance or response
		Software spec contains relevant items: use cases, user community, admin functions,
		error handling, security, file management, interfaces, platforms, response time, etc.
		Mechanical spec contains relevant items: design criteria, materials,
		dimension/tolerances, performance, safety, operational conditions, controls, etc
10	_	atures were present before submitting the Functional Specification to me
		You worked with your Sponsor & Faculty Sponsor in a timely fashion
		You gave them plenty of time to review the Functional Specification
		Signatures were genuine, i.e., not electronic/digital